

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 2088

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

October 8, 1965

Phillips Petroleum Company  
Exploration & Production Department  
Fourth and Washington Streets  
Room B-2 - Phillips Building  
Odessa, Texas

Attention: Mr. F. F. Lovering

Gentlemen:

Amendment  
Administrative Order CTB-120

Reference is made to your letter dated October 1, 1965, wherein you request an amendment to Administrative Order CTB-120 which order authorized the commingling of Vacuum-Glorieta production from several state leases in the Vacuum field, Lea County, New Mexico.

It is our understanding that you wish to add State Lease B-2519 comprising the NE/4 of Section 34, Township 17 South, Range 35 East, to the subject commingling installation. It is our further understanding that the ownership of said lease is identical with that of the other leases and that the allocation of production to each of the leases will be on the basis of periodic well tests.

Administrative Order CTB-120 is hereby amended to include your State B-2519 Lease.

Very truly yours,

A. L. Porter, Jr.  
Secretary-Director

ALP:DSN:sg

cc: Oil Conservation Commission (with enclosure) - Hobbs  
Oil & Gas Engineering Committee - Hobbs  
State Land Office - Santa Fe

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20540

1. The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of the proposed changes in the tariff schedule on the U.S. economy. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

2. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

3. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

4. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

5. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

6. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

7. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

8. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

9. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.

10. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full. The study is based on the assumption that the proposed changes will be implemented in full.