LAW OFFICES

A. J. LOSEE EDWARD B. STEWART LOSEE AND STEWART CARPER BUILDING - P. O. DRAWER 239 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO

AREA CODE 505 746-3508

30 December 1963

Mr. Frank E. Irby, Chief Water Rights Division State Engineer Office Santa Fe, New Mexico

> Re: Application of Neil E. Salsich, Ltd. for administrative approval to convert J. E. McAdams-Wright No. 2 well to water injection.

Dear Mr. Irby:

I have a copy of your letter of December 27, 1963, addressed to Mr. Porter in regards to the subject application.

The diagrammatic sketch of the subject well is in error, stating that the theoretical top of the cement is 2897 feet. I am advised that with 50 sacks of cement the theoretical top of the cement in this well is 2287 feet. I am furnishing a copy of this letter to Mr. Porter with a request that the sketch be amended to show the top of the cement is 2287 feet.

The method of injection of the fresh water in this well is intended to be the same as was authorized in Oil Conservation Commission Order R-2269, that is to say, injection into the casing and through perforations.

If you have any further questions with respect to this application, please do not hesitate to write me. Otherwise, and if you have no objections, I will appreciate your early advice to the Oil Conservation Commission.

Very truly your

A. J. Losee

AJL/bk

cc Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

espirat w/s

LOSEE AND STEWART DAHERHOUTS NOT CHARGE 200 ARTESTA NEW MENTO

ي يې د ۲۹ و. پېښ ۲۹ و. د ۲۹ و.

.

,

,

¥80. ∪ 87.805 746 ∪308

desdi (soft in free in the ruladed bredgin matao euclime aaelined ordi ootaat woel aff arend

tel aggitussion elleriz I. Esteich, Mod. Ede stototes atting sentant EC antifera X. T. I. Element figge bel d'artica

and the second sec

tions copy of grant letter of becaber 27, 1963, sidested

(i) describe and the statistic of the subject well is in an active, enable, other the statistical tap of the access is index. I as advised that the statistical tap of contact the thirdenetical tap of the issues of the active is in a 2001 in 2001 these. I as devote the first a contend of the interes to Mr. Portent with a temperation the actual of this interes to Mr. Portent with a temperation inter a contend of the interes to the total of the the the actual table actual of the interes to the total of the total of the table actual of the interes the total table of the other of the table actual of the interes the total of the total of the other of the total of the interes the total of the the table of the other of the table.

or not at this life of the ord the freeh water it tits of the let heren e on he the same or ous untherised of the languages the readanter Order D-2269, that is in any, injurator det the carteria through confirmations.

the astronautor Subdies generators with reference to this and gliverior, classe do autor fourte in with astrones. Schermäre, per forgen bare an augoral vie, " with appreciate an classic warden to an dif Canevertian (comiserior.

ATTON VIETO TYS' 99 C. .

and the second sec



i Alta ang

STATE OF NEW MEXICON TO DO M 2005

STATE ENGINEER OFFICE SANTA FE

S. E. REYNOLDS STATE ENGINEER

SANIA CE

December 27, 1963

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO: STATE CAPITOL SANTA FE, N. M.

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary-Director Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter:

Reference is made to the application of Neil E. Salsich, Ltd., for administrative approval for conversion of the J. F. McAdams-Wright No. 2 well to water injection pursuant to Rule 701 E5. Under item 6 of the application, Mr. Losee states that a copy of this application has been sent to the State Engineer. No copy was received by the State Engineer. The copy I have before me was forwarded to this office from your office.

There is no statement in the application as to the method of injection. The diagrammatic sketch of the subject well, which was forwarded with Mr. Losee's letter of December 20, 1963, is either amusing or confusing, I can't tell which. It indicates that 50 sacks of cement were used to cement the $5\frac{1}{2}$ inch string of casing and shows the theoretical top of the cement to be 2 feet above the bottom of the hole. This leaves the top of the cement well below the perforations. If this sketch is accurate, it would be necessary for this office to object to the conversion of this well and I dare say that you could not approve it, even though we did not object. It is my opinion that very little thought has been given to the application should be submitted before any action is taken.

Because of the discrepancies mentioned above in this letter, I wish to enter an objection to the granting of any application

pertaining to this well until such time as the objection may be rescinded in writing.

Very truly yours,

S. E. Reynolds State Engineer

By: Snau Frank E. Irby

Chief Water Rights Division

FEI/ma cc-A. J. Losee