PLAINS PETROLLUM OPERATING C O M P A N Y

February 5, 1993

New Mexico Oil Conservation District P. O. Box 2088 State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re:

Application for Authorization to Inject

G.H. Mattix Federal No. 7

1980' FSL & 1980' FWL, Sec 3-T24S-R37E

Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Sirs:

Please find enclosed an Application for Authorization to Inject for the above wells. This well is an expansion of the G. H. Mattix Federal Waterflood Project that was originally approved by the Oil Conservation Commission on September 30, 1982 with Order No. R-7082. Should you have any questions in regard to this application, please call me at (915) 683-4434.

Sincerely yours,

Plains Petroleum Operating Company

Mark A. Nieberding Petroleum Engineer

Well File cc: Reading File



February 5, 1993

New Mexico Oil Conservation District P. O. Box 1980 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Re:

Application for Authorization to Inject

G.H. Mattix Federal No. 7

1980' FSL & 1980' FWL, Sec 3-T24S-R37E

Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Sirs:

Please find enclosed an Application for Authorization to Inject for the above wells. This well is an expansion of the G. H. Mattix Federal Waterflood Project that was originally approved by the Oil Conservation Commission on September 30, 1982 with Order No. R-7082. Should you have any questions in regard to this application, please call me at (915) 683-4434.

Sincerely yours,

Plains Petroleum Operating Company

Mark A. Nieberding Petroleum Engineer

cc: Well File Reading File



February 5, 1993

Bureau of Land Management P. O. Box 1778 Carlsbad, NM 88221

Re: Application for Authorization to Inject

G.H. Mattix Federal No. 7

1980' FSL & 1980' FWL, Sec 3-T24S-R37E

Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Sirs:

Plains Petroleum Operating Company respectfully submits the enclosed an Application for Authorization to Inject for the above well. The conversion of this well from producer to water injector will be an expansion of the G. H. Mattix Federal Waterflood Project that was originally approved by the Oil Conservation Commission on September 30,1982 with Order No. R-7082. Should you have any questions in regard to this application, please call me at (915) 683-4434.

Sincerely yours,

Plains Petroleum Operating Company

Mark A. Nieberding Petroleum Engineer

cc: Well File Reading File

of the earlier submittal.

# OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE HUNDING

FORM C-108 Revised 7-1-81

	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501			
APPLIC	CATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT			
I.	Purpose: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance Dichosal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? Tyes The			
II.	Operator: Plains Petroleum Operating Company			
	Address: 415 West Wall, Suite 1000, Midland, TX 79701			
	Contact party: Mark A. Nieberding Phone: 915/683-4434			
III.	Well data: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.			
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? $\overline{XX}$ yes $\overline{\Box}$ no $R-7082$			
٧.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.			
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.			
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:			
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.).</li> </ol>			
VIII.	Attach appropriate geological data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geological name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such source known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.			
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.			
х.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division they need not be resubmitted.)			
XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.			
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground — source of drinking water.			
aii.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.			
XIV.	Certification			
	I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.			
	Name: Mark A. Nieberding, Title Petroleum Engineer			
	Signature: Thank a Mulkerding Date: 2/16/93			

#### III. WELL DATA

- A. The following well data must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. The data must be both in tabular and schematic form and shall include:
  - (1) Lease name; Well No.; location by Section, Township, and Range; and footage location within the section.
  - (2) Each casing string used with its size, setting depth, sacks of cement used, hole size, top of cement, and how such top was determined.
  - (3) A description of the tubing to be used including its size, lining material, and setting depth.
  - (4) The name, model, and setting depth of the packer used or a description of any other seal system or assembly used.

Division District offices have supplies of Well Data Sheets which may be used or which may be used as models for this purpose. Applicants for several identical wells may submit a "typical data sheet" rather than submitting the data for each well.

- B. The following must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. All items must be addressed for the initial well. Responses for additional wells need be shown only when different. Information shown on schematics need not be repeated.
  - (1) The name of the injection formation and, if applicable, the field or pool name.
  - (2) The injection interval and whether it is perforated or open-hole.
  - (3) State if the well was drilled for injection or, if not, the original purpose of the well.
  - (4) Give the depths of any other perforated intervals and detail on the sacks of cement or bridge plugs used to seal off such perforations.
  - (5) Give the depth to and name of the next higher and next lower oil or gas zone in the area of the well, if any.

#### XIV. PROOF OF NOTICE

All applicants must furnish proof that a copy of the application has been furnished, by certified or registered mail, to the owner of the surface of the land on which the well is to be located and to each leasehold operator within one-half mile of the well location.

Where an application is subject to administrative approval, a proof of publication must be submitted. Such proof shall consist of a copy of the legal advertisement which was published in the county in which the well is located. The contents of such advertisement must include:

- The name, address, phone number, and contact party for the applicant;
- (2) the intended purpose of the injection well; with the exact location of single wells or the section, township, and range location of multiple wells;
- (3) the formation name and depth with expected maximum injection rates and pressures; and
- (4) a notation that interested parties must file objections or requests for hearing with the Oil Conservation Division, P. O. Box 2088, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 within 15 days.

NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE APPLICATION UNTIL PROPER PROOF OF NOTICE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED.

NOTICE: Surface owners or offset operators must file any objections or requests for hearing of administrative applications within 15 days from the date this application was mailed to them.

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT G. H. MATTIX FEDERAL #7 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO February 5, 1993

In addition with Form C-108, Application for Authorization to Inject for the subject well, Plains Petroleum Operating Company submits the following typical well data in accordance with NMOCD requirements.

#### III. Well Data

See the attached Well Data sheets.

#### VI. Tabulation of Offsetting Well Data

The attached data sheets provide a description of all of the wells within a 1/2 mile radius around the subject well that penetrate the Queen-Penrose interval. The 1/2 mile circle was used because the subject well are an expansion of an existing approved waterflood project and is surrounded by other waterflood projects in operation within the Queen-Penrose interval.

#### VII. Proposed Operating Conditions:

1. Average and Maximum Daily Rate:

400, 1000 BWPD

2. Water Injection System Configuration:

Open

3. Average and Maximum Injection Pressure:

1500 psig Produced and

4. Sources of Injection Fluids:

Fresh water

5. Water Injection Purpose:

Secondary Oil

Recovery

#### VIII. Geological Data:

The injection zone in the Queen-Penrose between 3400 and 3800' consists of fine grained quartzose sandstone. The fresh water source is the Santa Rosa Formation between 350 and 650'. This unit is comprised of medium grained sandstone units of variable thickness and lateral extent.

#### IX. Proposed Stimulation Program:

The proposed injection well will be stimulated with approximately 10,000 gals 15% NEFE HCl acid upon conversion. Thereafter, maintenance of injectivity will be obtained with smaller volume acid stimulation treatments as needed.

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT G. H. MATTIX FEDERAL #7
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
February 5, 1993
Page 2

#### X. Logging or Test Data:

Upon drilling and completing the wells, injectivity test data and well logs will be acquired, reported, and submitted to the appropriate Federal and State offices.

#### XI. Analysis of Fresh Water:

Attached is a chemical analysis of the fresh water produced from the E. C. Hill 'B' No. 7 WSW located 990' FNL & 330' FWL of Section 35-T23S-R37E. The well is currently producing from the Santa Rosa formation with perforations between 580' to 681'.

#### XIV. Proof of Notice:

Attached are copies of the certified mail receipts to the surface owner and offsetting leasehold operators within the 1/2 mile radii of the subject wells. In addition, a proof of publication is attached to show that a public advertisement has been published in the Hobbs Sun on September 23, 1992.

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPA. MENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 7662 Order No. R-7082

APPLICATION OF CARTER FOUNDATION PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD PROJECT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on September 1, 1982, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this 30th day of September, 1982, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Carter Foundation Company, seeks authority to expand its Bline-Cade Waterflood Project in the Langlie Mattix Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through its Mattix Federal Wells Nos. 2, 5, 6 located in Units C, E, and O, respectively, in Section 3, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the proposed injection is not an expansion of said Bline-Cade project but is in fact a new project.
- (4) That the wells in the project area are in an advanced state of depletion and should properly be classified as "stripper" wells.
- (5) That the proposed waterflood project should result in the recovery of otherwise unrecoverable oil, thereby preventing waste.

- (6) That the operator should take all steps necessary to ensure that the injected water enters only the proposed injection interval and is not permitted to escape to other formations or onto the surface from injection, production, or plugged and abandoned wells.
- (7) That the injection wells or injection pressurization system should be so equipped as to limit injection pressure at the wellhead to no more than 900 psi, but the Division Director should have authority to increase said pressure limitation, should circumstances warrant.
- (7) That the subject application should be approved and the project should be governed by the provisions of Rules 702 through 708 of the Division Rules and Regulations.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That the applicant, Carter Foundation Production Company, is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project on its Mattix Federal Lease, Langlie Mattix Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through its Mattix Federal Wells Nos. 2, 5, and 6, located in Units C, E, and O, respectively, in Section 3, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (2) That injection into each of said wells shall be through internally coated tubing, set in a packer which shall be located as near as practicable to the uppermost perforation or in the case of an open hole completion, the casing shoe; that the casing-tubing annulus of each injection well shall be loaded with an inert fluid and equipped with an approved pressure gauge or attention-attracting leak detection device.
- (3) That the operator shall immediately notify the supervisor of the Division's Hobbs district office of the failure of the tubing or packer in any of said injection wells, the leakage of water or oil from around any producing well, or the leakage of water or oil from any plugged and abandoned well within the project area and shall take such timely steps as may be necessary or required to correct such failure or leakage.
- (4) That the injection wells herein authorized and/or the injection pressurization system shall be so equipped as to limit injection pressure at the wellhead to no more than 900 psi, provided however, the Division Director may authorize a higher surface injection pressure upon satisfactory showing that such pressure will not result in fracturing of the confining strata.

- (5) That the subject waterflood project is hereby designated the Carter-Mattix Waterflood Project and shall be governed by the provisions of Rules 701 through 708 of the Division Rules and Regulations.
- (6) That monthly progress reports of the waterflood project herein authorized shall be submitted to the Division in accordance with Rules 706 and 1115 of the Division Rules and Regulations.
- (7) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.


DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO QIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

JOE D. RAMEY

Director

SEAL



of the earlier submittal.

1001 TC	ATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT				
I.	Purpose: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance Disposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? Xyes no				
II.	Operator: Carter Foundation Production Company				
	Address: 901 W. Missouri, Midland, Texas 79701				
	Contact party: Robert D. Fitting Phone: (915) 683-4616				
111.	Well data: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.				
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? $X$ yes $C$ no R-3027 .				
٧.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.				
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.				
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:				
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.).</li> </ol>				
111.	Attach appropriate geological data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geological name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such source known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.				
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.				
х.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division they need not be resubmitted.)				
XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.				
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground source of drinking water.				
III.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.				
KIV.	Certification				
	I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.				
	Name: Robert D. Fitting Title Agent				
	Signature: Date: 7-7-82				

district office

\* If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not be duplicated and resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstance

DISTRIBUTION: Original and one copy to Santa to with one copy to the appropriate Division

Tetroleum Engineering & Geological Consultants
MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 July 7, 1982

#### CERTIFIED - RETURN RECEIPT

Getty Oil Company P. O. Box 1231 Midland, Texas 79702

Re: Carter Foundation Production Company,

G. H. Mattix Federal #2, #5 and #6,

Section 3, T-24-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

The Carter Foundation Production Company is in the process of making application to convert the above wells to water injection service. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division requires that a copy of this application be furnished all offset operators. The enclosed application is being mailed this date to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

Should you have any questions concerning this application, you may contact us at the address shown above.

Yours very truly,

CARTER FOUNDATION PRODUCTION COMPANY

By: Robert D. Fitting, Agent

RDF:jd Encl -

PRESENTATION DIVISION

#### GEOLOGICAL DATA

The wells in this area of the Langlie Mattix Pool have encountered oil and gas zones within the Penrose Sand section of the Queen formation. In a 1968 secondary recovery study prepared by Skelly Oil Company (now Getty Oil Company), an east-west cross section depicts the producing zone changes. In this section the westerly wells produce from the Seven Rivers with gradational changes to the east to the Upper Queen and then to the Penrose Sand section of the Lower Queen.

This report also shows that of eight Penrose wells cored, the average permeability was 5.7 millidarcies with an average posority of 14.2% and a residual oil saturation of 10.1%.

Of the subject injectors, the G. H. Mattix #5 was cored from 3430' to 3633'. The overall pay section was 169' with 58' of net pay. The average porosity was 7.33% with an average permeability of 0.67 millidarcies. In addition, the G. H. Mattix #6 was cored from 3450' to 3605'. The overall pay section of this well was 120' with 41' of net pay. The average porosity was 10.71% with an average permeability of 0.97 millidarcies. The average oil saturation in both of the cored intervals was extremely low, varying from a trace to 20.4%. Volumetric recovery calculations of the oil in place and the recoverable oil are not considered a correct indication of the probable oil reserves. This conclusion is verified by the fact that the six older wells on the G. H. Mattix Lease through the year of 1981 had produced approximately 302,000 barrels or 50,330 barrels of oil per well. It must be assumed that either at the time the Carter Foundation Production Company cores were taken, the degree of reservoir depletion created the low oil saturations, or that fractures within the Penrose are an important part of the reservoir oil voidage. The lithology of the subject wells consists of interbedded sand in dolomite and sandy dolomite. The oil pay occurrence is both in the sand and dolomite facies.


The depth of the presently produced drinkable water well within a mile of these proposed injection wells is 120'. In the southwest part of Unit D, Section 35, T-23-S, R-37-E, the Carter Foundation Production Company has developed a Santa Rosa water supply well at a depth of 681'. The water produced from this well is not considered potable.

#### PROPOSED OPERATIONS

The Carter Foundation Production Company proposes to use the subject G. H. Mattix Federal #2, #5 and #6 as cooperative water injection wells to match the injection pattern established by the Getty Oil Company, Myers Langlie Mattix Unit wells. The average initial daily rate should approximate 200 barrels to a maximum of 350 barrels per well per day.

The system will be closed and the average initial injection pressure is estimated from 0 to 50 psi with an after fillup approximate maximum injection pressure of 1600 psi.

The water source will be primarily of produced salt water from the multipay wells owned by Carter Foundation Production Company in Sections 34 and 35, T-23-S, R-37-E, and the subject lease. If the quantity is insufficient, produced Santa Rosa formation brakish water from our approved water supply well, the E. C. Hill Federal #7 in Section 35, T-23-S, R-37-E, will be used to supplement the supply.



#### INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET

PERATOR	Plains Petroleum Operating Company				
			LEASE		
7ELL NO.	Unit K, 1980' FSL & 1980' FWL FOOTAGE LOCATION	3 24S SECTION	37E TOWNSHIP RANGE		
<u>Lea</u> DUNTY/PARISH	NM STATE				
Schematic		Tabi	<u>ılar Data</u>		
50000000		<u>,</u>			
		Surface Casing			
		Size <u>8-5/8</u>	Cemented with 360		
			eet determined by <u>Circulate</u>		
	507'	Hole size 12-1/4"	-		
		Intermediate Casing			
			Cemented with		
			eet determined by		
		Hole size	<u>-</u>		
		Long String			
		Size <u>5-1/2</u> "	Cemented with 900		
		TOC <u>Surface</u> f	eet determined by <u>Circulat</u>		
		Hole size7-7/	′8 <b>"</b>		
	3474'	Total Depth3730			
	3638'	•			
	3732	<u>Injection Interval</u>			
	3730'		to <u>3638'</u> feet Perfora -hole, indicate which)		
		(porrorated or open	•		
		(porroration or open			
dubing size <u>2-3</u>	/8lined with	SALTA PVC	set in a		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2"	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Lockse	SALTA PVC (ma	terial)		
<u>2-3/8" x 5-1/2"</u> (brand an	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Lockse	SALTA PVC (ma	terial)		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2" (brand an	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Locksend model)	SALTA PVC (ma	terial)		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2" (brand an or describe any	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Locksend model)	SALTA PVC (ma t packer at3400'	terial)feet		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2" (brand an or describe any other Data  Name of t	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Lockse nd model) other casing-tubing seal).	SALTA PVC (ma t packer at 3400'	terial) feet		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2" (brand an or describe any ther Data  Name of the N	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Lockse and model) other casing-tubing seal).  The injection formationQueen-Penros	SALTA PVC (mat packer at 3400')  Se Sand  Le Mattix  Yes X No	terial)feet		
2-3/8" x 5-1/2" (brand an or describe any wither Data  Name of the Name of the State of the Stat	Arlington - Elder Nickel Coated Lockse and model) other casing-tubing seal). The injection formationQueen-Penrose	SALTA PVC  (ma t packer at 3400'  se Sand  le Mattix  Yes X No drilled? Oil and gas  zone(s)? List all such	s production  perforated intervals and g		

WELL. TYPE PROD PROD PROD PROD WIW WIW P&A WIN WIW WHW WIM WIW AA!AA 10-Sep-36 06-Feb-52 03-Apr-35 13-Jan-51 01-Aug-81 20-Jul-78 11-Jul-8H 02-Nov-61 17-Nov-61 23-Jul-81 22-Jan-51 08-Oct-81 07-Oct-61 DRILLED DATE COMPLETION INTERVAL QUEEN-PENROSE 3605 3745 3731 3913 3574 3667 3671 3730' 3560 3705 3886' 3695 3586 ₽ SURFACE 70 POF CEMENT 3200, 3300 NO. OF SX CEMENT 98 000 300 360 175 875 175 875 360 380 150 150 800 350 300 8 3218 TO 1374' 3466' 3313 323' 3310' 311' 3670' 200' 1294' 3407' 308' 3665' 507 501° 3745′ 585' 3692' 287° 3328' 261 ' 3323' 500° 3703° 3484 CASING SIZE 13-3/8" /\* 13-3/8" 7" 10-3/4" **7** 13" 8-3/4" 7" 9-5/8" 8-5/8" 5-1/2" 8-5/8" 5-1/2" 9-5/8" 8-5/8" 5-1/2" 8-5/8" 5-1/2" 4" 8-5/8" 5-1/2" 7, RNG 37E 37E 37E 37E 375 37E 37E 37E 37E 37E 37E 37E 37E WELL LOCATION TWP 248 248 **248** 24S 248 24\$ **248** 248 248 24S 24S 248 **24S** SEC ო ტ ო n ന (C) ຕ ო ო ო 4 ო 3 님 --ட O -Σ ш 0 ¥ z Ø \_ \_ WELL NO. 162 163 185 152 159 -N co. 0 ø 1 œ MYERS LANGLIE MATTIX UNIT MYERS LANGUE MATTIX UNIT MYERS LANGLIE MATTIX UNIT MYERS LANGLIE MATTIX UNIT MYERS LANGLIE MATTIX UNIT G. H. MATTIX FEDERAL IG. H. MATTIX FEDERAL G. H. MATTIX FEDERAL IG. H. MATTIX FEDERAL H. MATTIX FEDERAL G. H. MATTIX FFDERAL H. MATTIX FEDERAL LEASE NAME CARR & HAIR

#### AQUANESS WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

Lab ID No.: Analysis Date: January 25, 1993

Company : Plains Petro. Sampled By :

Field :

Sample Date: 1-20-93

Lease/Unit : GH Mattix Salesperson:
Well ID. : #1 Formation :
Sample Loc.: Location :

CATIONS	MG/L	MEQ/L	anions	MG/L	MEQ/L
Calcium as Ca++	2,141	107	Hydroxyl as OH-	0	0
Magnesium as Mg++	3,244	266	Carbonate as CO3=	0	0
Sodium as Na+ (Calc)	12,398	539	Bicarbonate as HCO3-	913	15
Barium as Ba++	Not Determ	ined	Sulfate as SO4=	2,450	51
Oil Content	0		Chloride as Cl-	29,993	846

Total Dissolved Solids, Calculated: 51,139 mg/L.

Calculated Resistivity: 0.169 ohm-meters
mg/L. Hydrogen Sulfide: Not Determined
mg/L. Carbon Dioxide: Not Determined
mg/L. Dissolved Oxygen: Not Determined

pH: 7.250
Specific Gravity 60/60 F.: 1.038
Saturation Index @ 80 F.: +0.712
mg/L. Dissolved Oxygen: Not Determined

Total Hardness: 18,690 mg/L. as CaCO3 Total Iron: 13.00 mg/L. as Fe++

	PROBABLE MINERAL COMPOUND	COMPOSITIO MG/L	N MEQ/L
	Ca(HCO3)2	1,212	15.0
Calcium Sulfate Scaling Potential	CaSO4	3,474	51.0
Marginal	CaCl2	2,279	41.1
Estimated Momnovature of Calsium	Mg(HCO3)2	0	0.0
Estimated Temperature of Calcium Carbonate Instability is 57 F.	MgSO4	0	0.0
	MgC12	12,662	265.9
1	NaHCO3	0	0.0
Gindi A. Ward	Na2SO4	0	0.0
Analyst 12:46 PM	NaCl	31,512	539.0

#### AQUANESS WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

Lab ID No. : Analysis Date: January 25, 1993 Company : Plains Petro. Sampled By: Sample Date: 1-20-93 Field Lease/Unit : GH Mattix Salesperson: Well ID. : Fed Batt Water Tank Formation: Sample Loc .: Location : MG/L MEQ/L CATIONS anions MG/L MEQ/L Calcium as Ca++ 2,355 118 Hydroxyl as OH-0 0 Magnesium as Mq++ 1,557 128 Carbonate as CO3= 0 Sodium as Na+ (Calc) 13,530 588 Bicarbonate as HCO3-1,020 17 1,313 Barium as Ba++ Not Determined Sulfate as SO4= 27 Chloride as Cl-Oil Content 0 27,994 790 Total Dissolved Solids, Calculated: 47,769 mg/L. pH: 7.790 Calculated Resistivity: 0.210 ohm-meters mg/L. Hydrogen Sulfide: Not Determined Specific Gravity 60/60 F.: 1.033 Saturation Index @ 80 F.: +1.431 mg/L. Carbon Dioxide: Not Determined mg/L. Dissolved Oxygen: Not Determined @ 140 F.: +2.331 Total Hardness: 12,282 mg/L. as CaCO3 Total Iron: 18.00 mg/L. as Fe++ PROBABLE MINERAL COMPOSITION COMPOUND MG/L MEQ/L Ca(HCO3)2 1,355 16.7 CaSO4 1,862 27.4 Calcium Sulfate Scaling Potential Not Present CaC12 4,090 73.7 Mg(HCO3)2 0 0.0 Estimated Temperature of Calcium Carbonate Instability is MgSO4 0 0.0 53 F. MgC12 6,078 127.6 NaHCO3 0 0.0

Na2SO4

NaC1

0

34,390

0.0

588.3

#### RESHAUQA WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

Analysis Date: January 25, 1993 Lab ID No. : 

Company : Plains Petroleum

Field

Sampled By : Sample Date: 1-20-93

Lease/Unit : GH Mattix Well ID. : Fed #3 Sample Loc.:

Salesperson: Formation : Location

CATIONS	MG/L	MEQ/L	ANIONS	MG/L	MEQ/L
Calcium as Ca++	1,178	59	Hydroxyl as OH-	o	0
Magnesium as Mg++	1,233	101	Carbonate as CO3=	0	0
Sodium as Na+ (Calc	6,784	295	Bicarbonate as HCO3-	789	13
Barium as Ba++	Not Determ	ined	Sulfate as SO4=	910	19
Oil Content	0		Chloride as Cl-	14,997	423

Total Dissolved Solids, Calculated:

25,890 mg/L.

Calculated Resistivity: 0.245 ohm-meters mg/L. Hydrogen Sulfide: Not Determined mg/L. Carbon Dioxide: Not Determined mg/L. Dissolved Oxygen: Not Determined

pH: 7.250 Specific Gravity 60/60 F.: 1.023 Saturation Index @ 80 F.: +0.539

@ 140 F.: +1.469

Total Hardness: mg/L. as CaCO3 8,010 Total Iron: 8.00 mg/L. as Fe++

	FROBABLE MINERAL COMPOUND	COMPOSITIO MG/L	MEQ/L
	Ca(HCO3)2	1,048	12.9
Coloium Culfata Caplina Detauticl	CaSO4	1,290	19.0
Calcium Sulfate Scaling Potential Not Present	CaCl2	1,498	27.0
Estimated Memorature of Calcium	Mg(HCO3)2	0	0.0
Estimated Temperature of Calcium Carbonate Instability is	MgSO4	0	0.0
60 F.	MgC12	4,812	101.0
	NaHCO3	0	0.0
1. 1. 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	Na2SO4	0	0.0
Analyst 12:46 PM	NaCl	17,244	295.0

P.O. Box 2163 Midland. Texas 79702 915 - 687-2240

Plains Petroleum Operating Company SWD Injection System

Teague field Les County, NM Date of Analysis: September 28, 1992 Date of Sample: September 24, 1992

Sample Source: Header

Reference Number: DL-13488

EVA BLINEBRY SWD SEC. 34-T235-R37E LEA CO., NM.

API WATER ANALYSIS

DISSOLVED SOLIDS		
CATIONS	mg/1	me/l
Sodium, Na	18028	784
Calcium, Ca	3008	150
Magnesium, Mg	1458	120
ANIONS		
Chloride, Cl	34435	971
Sulfate, SO4	3207	€7
Carbonate, CD3	Ó	0
Bicarbonate, HCO3	976	16
Total Dissolved Solids .	61112	
Specific Gravity	1.044	
pH	7.€	
Hardness as CaCO3, mg/l	13514	
Resistivity, ohm-meters @ 75'F	0.120	
Sulfate as H2S	oresent	

R.S. Dickey Dickey Analytical Laboratory, Inc.

Inalytical Laboratory, Inc.

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal r	nemo 7671 # of pages > 2
M. K NIEBERDING	From DICKEU
CO. DIAINS PETROLLUM	DICKEY AND. LAD
Dept.	Phone # (487 - 2240
Fax# 683-8046	Fax # (082-4830

P.O. Box 2163 Midland, Texas 79702 915 - 687-2240

Plains Petroleum Operating Company Fresh Water Station

Teague Field Lea County, NM Date of Analysis: September 28, 1992

Date of Sample: September 24, 1992

Sample Source: Header

Reference Number: DL-13489

E.C. HILL 'B' No. 7 WSW SEC. 36-T235-R37E LEA CO.,NM

#### WATER ANALYSIS

DISSOLVED SOLIOS		
CATIONS:	mg/l	me/1
Sodium, Na	272	12
Calcium, Ca	92	5
Magnesium, Mg	73	6
ANIONS		
Chloride, Cl	389	11
Sulfate, SO4	340	7
Carbonate, CO3	O	Q
Bicarbonate, HCDS	268	4
Total Dissolved Solids	1494	
Specific Gravity	1.000	
pH	7.8	
Hardness as CaCO3, mg/l	531	
Resistivity, ohm-meters @ 75'F	4.6	
Sulfate as HOS	none detected	

R.S. Dickey Dickey Analytical Laboratory, Inc.



February 5, 1993

Mr. J. J. Doom Star Route Jal, NM 88252 Texaco E & P
P. O. Box 3109
Midland, TX 79702
Attn: D. D. Ulrich

Re:

Notification of Application for Authorization to Inject

G.H. Mattix Federal No. 7

1980' FSL & 1980' FWL, Sec 3-T24S-R37E

Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Linebery:

Please find enclosed an Application for Authorization to Inject for the above well. This well is an expansion of the G. H. Mattix Federal Waterflood Project that was originally approved by the Oil Conservation Commission on September 30, 1982 with Order No. R-7082. The purpose of this letter is to request a waiver, please sign and return one coy of this letter in the enclosed self-addressed envelope. Any objections or requests for hearing must be filed with the Oil Conservation Division, P. O. Box 2088, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501. Should you have any other questions in regard to this application, please call me at (915) 683-4434.

Sincerely yours, PLAINS PETROLEUM OPERATING COMPANY

Thank a Mulerday
Mark A. Nieberding
Petroleum Engineer

Company
Signature
Date

cc: Well File Reading File

### ₽ **963 193 413**

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

	Sent to Texaco E & E	Ulrich				
	Street and NB ox 3109					
P.O., State and ZIP Code Midland, TX 79702						
	Postage	5				
	Certified Fee					
	Special Delivery Fee					
	Restricted Delivery Fee					
	Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered					
	Return Receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery					
	TOTAL Postage and Fees	S				
Postmark or Date						
	RE: G. H. Mattix	7				

P 963 193 414

#### RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

	Sent to Mr. J. J. Doom	
	Street and No. Star Route	
	P.O. State and ZIP Code Jal, NM 88252	
	Postage	S
	Certified Fee	
	Special Delivery Fee	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
10	Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered	
a 198	Return Receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	
Jun,	TOTAL Postage and Fees	3
3800	Postmark or Date	
PS Form 3800, June 1985	RE: G.H. Mattix	7

SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.	I also wish to receive the
Complete items 3, and 4a & b.     Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so the second secon	following services (for an extra
return this card to you.	CANCEL CONTROL OF THE
<ul> <li>Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back</li> </ul>	if space 1.1. L. Addressee's Address
Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the ar     The Return Receipt Fee will provide you the signature of the per	ticle number. 2   Restricted Delivery
to and the date of delivery.	Consult postmaster for fee.
3. Article Addressed to:	4a. Article Number
Texaco E & P	P 963 193 413
Attn: D. D. Ulrich	4b. Service Type
P. O. Box 3109	Registered Insured
Midland, Texas 79702	Certified COD
	Express Mail Return Receipt for Merchandise
Same of the state	7. Date of Delivery
RE: G. H. Mattix 7	FEB 17 1993
5. Signature (Addressee)	8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)
6. Signature (Agent)	
1000 1-	
SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services. Complete items 2, and 4e & b. Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so return this card to you.  Attach this form to the front of the mailplace, or on the bacdes not permit.  Write "Return Receips Requested" on the mailplace below the The Return Receips Feavill provide you the signature of the part of the provide you the signature of the part of the provide you the signature of the part of the	I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):  1.  Addressee's Address riticle number.
to and the date of delivery.	Consult postmaster for fee.
3. Article Addressed to:	4a. Article Number
Mr. J. J. Doom	P 963 193 414
Star Route	4b. Service Type ☐ Registered ☐ Insured
Ja1, NM 88252	
	☑ Certified ☐ COD
	Express Mail  Return Receipt for Merchandise
	7. Date of Delivery
Re: G.H.Mattix 7	12-17-93
5. Signature (Addressee)	Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)
	-
6. Signature (Agent)	
PS Form 3811. November 1990: +US GPO: 1991-	
	87-088 DAMESTIC DETIIDN DECEIDT

### AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

State of New Mexico, County of Lea.

oř\_

7	Kathi	Bearden

of the Hobbs Daily News-Sun, a daily newspaper published at Hobbs, New Mexico, do solemnly swear that the clipping attached hereto was published once a week in the regular and entire issue of said paper, and not a supplement thereof for a period

three	_weeks.
Beginning with the issu	e dated
Feb. 10 and ending with the issu	. 19 <u>93</u> ie dated
Feb. 12,	. 19 <u>9</u> 3
Lati Bu	esden
General Man Sworn and subscribed to	_
Dworn and adoptions	0 002010
. 4/.	-
me this 12th	day of
me this 12th February	_day of
February &	
February Plagy & Notary Public:	1993
February &	1993
Season & Notary Public:  My Commission expires	1993

This newspaper is duly qualified to publish legal notices or advertisements within the meaning of Section 3, Chapter 167, Laws of 1937, and payment of fees for said publication has been made.

Maintenance of short in the control of the control

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## 

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

RECE VED

HOBBS DISTRICT OFFICE 193 FEB 26 AM 9 42

**BRUCE KING** GOVERNOR

POST OFFICE BOX 1980 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88241-1980 (505) 393-6161

CL CONSERVATION DIVISION  O. BOX 2088 ANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501  E: Proposed:  MC  DHC  NSL  NSP  SWD  WFX  PMX	
entlemen:	
have examined the application for the:    lains   fitted contact   fitted	7
Of	
hurs very touly	
uglift	
perry Sexton spervisor, District 1	