

COPY OF LETTER SENT TO NM-OCD AZTEC, NM

January 24, 2003

CALPINE NATURAL CAS COMPANY TABOR CENTER 1200 17th STREET, SUITE 770 DENVER, COLORADO 80202 720.359.9144 720.359.9140 (fax)

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Rd. Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Re: Conversion of Roosevelt #1 (API No. 30-045-26458) to Class II Injection Well

Attention: Mr. Frank Chavez

Dear Mr. Chavez,

Calpine has approval to convert the Roosevelt #1 (API No. 30-045-26458) to a Class II injection well under the New Mexico's Underground Injection Program. This well was originally completed as a conventional oil and gas well with perforations in the Dakota Sandstone (5891'-6046' BGS). Cement plugs have subsequently been set across the Dakota (5385'-6061') and Gallup (4924'-5124') Sandstones with the intent to convert the well to a Class II injection well. A cement bond log run on the well from 2000' to 5407' indicated a top of cement at 2438' with a good bond to 5407'.

It is proposed to perforate the well in the Cliff House (2802'-2895') and Point Lookout (3735'-3830') Formations for injection. Mechanical integrity tests have been run on this well, and the well holds pressure from 2560' (242' above the top of the proposed perforations) to the top of the cement plug; however, leaks were detected in the casing above 2400'. As seen on the attached well completion reports several attempts were made to rehabilitate the well to meet the integrity test requirements for a Class II injection well.

Given these conditions we propose the following alternative completion procedure:

- Rerun a cement bond log on the 4-1/2" well casing to confirm the bond and the top of cement,
- Rerun the pressure test below 2560' to confirm the mechanical integrity of the well below this depth,
- Perforate the well in the Point Lookout (3735'-3830') and Cliff House (2802'-2895') Formations,
- Install 2-7/8" tubing with a packer and seating nipple to 2752' (50' above the top perforation),
- Cement the tubing to surface inside the well casing,
- Clean out tubing.
- Run a cement bond log inside the 2-7/8" tubing.

Director Rocky Mountain Operations

Cc: David Catnach-New Mexico OCF- Santa Fe Jim Lovato-BLM – Farmington, New Mexico

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt #1			
Date:	6-Nov-02	Report #:					
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson		

Work Summary:

Move on location and rig up JC Well Service. Blew well down. ND wellhead and NU BOP. Pulled the donut and loaded the hole with 80 bbls of City water. Circulated the hole clean with another 40 bbls. Picked up additional tubing from Walsh stock and tagged fill at 6010' KB. Pulled up to 5989' KB. Rigged up Halliburton. Established circulation and spotted a 50 sx (59 cu.ft.) of Cl "B" cement with ¼#/sk celloflake across the Dakota perfs. Displaced cement with 19 bbls of water. TOH to 5111'. Established circulation with fresh water and cemented across the Gallup with 15 sx (18 cu.ft.) of Cl "B" cement. Displace cement with 17.5 bbls of water. Finished TOH. Recovered a total of 186 jts, SN and tail joint of 2-3/8", 4.7#, J-55, EUE tubing. Tubing appears to be in good shape. SI well overnight to WOC. Will run CBL and perforate the Point Lookout tomorrow.

Daily Costs:

Road & Location	
Rig Costs	
Equipment Rental (BOP)	
Logging & Perforating	
Stimulation solvent	
Testing	
Cementing	
Completion Fluids (City water)	
Contract Services	
Miscellaneous Supplies	
Engr. & Supervision	
Slick line	
Other (csg. head)	

\$2,050	
\$150	
\$4,128	
\$576	
\$533	



Cumulative Costs

\$7,437
\$7,437

	6159
	6096
	4-1/2", 11.6#
5891' - 6046	
1 spf	
Pulled from	well
187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN
	5891' - 6046 1 spf Pulled from 187 jts 2-3/8", 4

Well Record

	Run	in well		
Tubing	Joints		Grade	
Wt	Thread	1	Length	
Tubing Subs			Length	
Seating Nipple			Length	
		T	op of tbg to KB	
	Bottom of Tubing/Pro	duction Str	ing Landed at:	
Rods	Size			
Rods	Size			
Pony subs				
Pump				
Packer Depth				
Туре				
How set				

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:	Rooseve	elt #1	
Date:	7-Nov-02	Report #:	2		
ield:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County: San Jua	n State:	NM
ontractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:	Paul The	ompson	
Vork Sumr	nary:				
Finish l	aying down 2-3/8 out of th	derrick. Rig up Hallibur	ton logging. TIH w	ith CBL to	ol. Tagged cemer
top at 540	7'. The plug across the Ga	lup was not there. Loaded	d the hole and ran th	ne CBL fro	om 5407' to 2000
Good bor	d across the Mesa Verde a	d top of cement is at app	roximately 2438'. 7	Top MV pe	rf is at 2803'. Rig
down Hal	liburton. Pick up 162 jts of	2-3/8" and set at 5111' K	B. Plan to spot cen	nent across	the Gallup again
tomorrow	tomorrow.		•		1.0
aily Costs	: 			·	-
oad & Locatio	n		Tubulars		4
g Costs		,450	Wellhead Equipment		<u> </u>
quipment Ren	tal (BOP)	\$150	Subsurface Equipment		_
ogging & Perfe	orating	3,473	Artificial Lift Equipment		4
timulation	solvent		Sucker Rods		4
esting			Tanks		_
ementing	⊢ _		Bailer		_
ompletion Flu	ids (City water)		Flowlines		4
ontract Servic	es		Installation/Labor		_
iscellaneous :	Supplies		Fittings, Valves, Etc		
ngr. & Superv	ision	\$533	Meters, Lact, Etc		_
lick line			Electrical Equipment	L	
ther (csg. nea	d)	l	Tatal Dalls Oasta	05.00	
			Total Dally Costs	\$5,60	6
			Cumulative Costs	\$13,04	3
		Wall Decard			
	6159				
DIU:		Tubing	Joints		Grade
asing Size	4-1/2,11.0		Thread		Length
	E9041 - 60461	Tubing Subs			Length
errorations	5091 - 0040	Seating Nipple	<u></u>	Top of the	
		1			

SPF:	1 spf
	Pulled from well
Tubing	187 ite 2-3/8" / 7# ELIE & SN
Packer	10/ JG 2-3/0 , 4.1#, 202 & 3/4
Rods	
Pump	

	Run in well	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tubing	Joints		Grade
Wt	Thread		Length
Tubing Subs			Length
Seating Nipple			Length
		Top of tbg	to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed	at:
Rods	Size		
Rods	Size		
Pony subs			
Pump			
Packer Depth			
Туре			
How set			

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		
Date:	8-Nov-02	Report #:	3	3			
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	npson		
Work Sum	mary:						
Rig up	Halliburton and load hole wit	h 4 bbls of water. Establ	lish circula	tion with a	another 6	5 bbls. Sl	hut the pip
rams and	l casing valves. Established in	jection into the well at 1	BPM and	230 psi. S	potted 2	5 sx (29	cu.ft.) of
CL "B" d	cement at 5111' KB. Displace	d cement with 17.5 bbls	Job comp	pleted at 09	915 hrs. 1	Rig down	n
Halliburt	ton and TOH with the tubing.	SI well to WOC.	•			U	
	C						
Daily Cost	s:						
Daily Cost	s: on		Tubulars				
Daily Costs	S: on \$1,0	00	Tubulars Wellhead Equ	uipment			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re	s: on	000 50	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E	uipment quipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per	s: on\$1,0 (ntal (BOP)\$1 forating	00 50	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E	uipment iquipment iquipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation	s: on \$1,0 intal (BOP) \$1 forating solvent	00 50	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods	uipment iquipment iquipment			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation	s: on \$1,0 intal (BOP) \$1 forating solvent	000 50	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks	uipment Guipment Guipment			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Cementing	s: on \$1,0 Intal (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6	000 50 63	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer	uipment Equipment Equipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Completion Flu	s: ion \$1,0 sintal (BOP) \$1 forating solvent \$2,6 uids (City water) \$	000 50 63 555	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines	uipment iquipment iquipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Completion Fla Completion Fla	s: on \$1,0 Initial (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6 uids (City water) \$ ices	000 50 63 55	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La	uipment Guipment Guipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Cementing Completion Flu Contract Servi Miscellaneous	s: on \$1,0 sintal (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6 uids (City water) \$ ices \$ Supplies \$	00 50 63 55	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valve	uipment iquipment iquipment abor es, Etc			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Completion Flu Completion Flu Contract Servi Aiscellaneous Engr. & Super	s: ion \$1,0 intal (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6 uids (City water) \$ ices \$ Supplies \$ vision \$2	00 50 63 55 83	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valve Meters, Lact,	uipment iquipment iquipment abor es, Etc Etc			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Completion Flu Contract Servi Miscellaneous Engr. & Super Slick line	s: intal (BOP) \$1 forating solvent uids (City water) \$ ices Supplies vision \$2	000 50 63 55 883	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valve Meters, Lact, Electrical Equ	uipment iquipment iquipment stoor es, Etc Etc iipment			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Festing Completion Flu Contract Servi Miscellaneous Engr. & Super Slick line Dther (csg. he	s: ion \$1,0 sintal (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6 uids (City water) \$ ices \$ Supplies \$ vision \$2 ad)	000 50 63 555 883	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valve Meters, Lact, Electrical Equ	uipment Equipment Equipment abor es, Etc Etc bipment			
Daily Costs Road & Locati Rig Costs Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Festing Completion Flu Contract Servi Miscellaneous Engr. & Super Slick line Dther (csg. he	s: ion \$1,0 intal (BOP) \$1 forating \$2,6 uids (City water) \$ ices \$ Supplies \$ vision \$2 ead)	000 50 63 55 83	Tubulars Wellhead Equ Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valve Meters, Lact, Electrical Equ Total Daily	uipment iquipment iquipment abor es, Etc Etc iipment y Costs	\$4,15	51	

TD:		6159	
PBTD:		6096	
Casing Siz	e:	4-1/2", 11.6#	
Perforations	5891' - 6046	3'	
SPF:	1 spf		
	Pulled from	well	
ſubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN	
acker			
Rods			
^o ump			

		Run in wel			
Tubing		Joints		Grade	
Wt		Thread		Length	
Tubing Subs				Length	
Seating Nipple				Length	
			Top of tbg	to KB	
	Bottom of Tu	bing/Production	String Landeo	i at:	
Rods		Size			
Rods		Size		1	
Pony subs					
Pump					
Packer Depth				_	
Туре					
	T				

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas		Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		_
Date:	9-Nov-02		Report #:	4	1			
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location	n: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	npson		
Nork Sum	mary:							
Rigged BPM wit packer an holes are TOH and hrs due to	up Three Rivers pump truck h 0 psi. Opened bradenhead ad isolated holes in the 4-1/2 estimated to be between 160 laid down the packer. Riggo darkness and rain. Will squ	and loaded valve and es casing bet 0' and 1900 d up Hallib eeze 11/10/	the hole. SI t stablished circ ween 160' and)'. Pressure te urton pump tr 02.	he rams an culation. P d 2560'. B sted casin uck and w	nd establish icked up a fecause of 6 g below 25 vaited on co	ned an injo Halliburto circulating 60' to 200 ement. Sh	ection rate on tension g pressures 00 psi – he ut down at	of ½ set , major ld OK. 1700
Daily Costs	s: on \$2	,050		Tubulars Wellhead Eq	uipment]	
quipment Rei	ntal (BOP)	150		Subsurface E	quipment		4	
.ogging & Perl	orating			Artificial Lift E	Equipment		-	
Stimulation	solvent	500		Sucker Rods		 	-	
esting		,500		Tanks			4	
Cementing	ida (Citauratar)	1000		Baller			4	
Contract Section	nus (City Water)	000			abor	<u> </u>	-	
	Supplies			Fittinge Valu	abuli De Etc	 	4	
Finar & Super	vision	267		Meters Lact	Etc		4	
Slick line				Electrical For	lipment	}	1	
Other (csa. he:	ad)						-4	
				Total Dail	v Costs	\$4,647	7	
				Cumulativ	/e Costs	\$21,841	1	
						I		
		Well R	ecord					
TD:	6159		1		Run in wel	1		
PBTD:	6096		Tubing		Joints	T	Grade	
Casing Size	<u>4-1/2", 11.6#</u>		vVt	i	Thread	1	Length	
			Tubing Subs	t	-		Length	1
	150041 00401			t			1	

Casing Size	e
Perforations	5891' - 6046'
SPF:	1 spf
	Pulled from well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4.7#, EUE & SN
Packer	
Rods	
Pump	

	Run in well	
Tubing	Joints	Grade
Wt	Thread	Length
Tubing Subs		Length
Seating Nipple		Length
		Top of tbg to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed at:
Rods	Size	
Rods	Size	
Pony subs		
Pump		
Packer Depth		
Туре		
How set		

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		
Date:	10-Nov-02	Report #:	5	5			
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	_
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson		

Work Summary:

Halliburton bulk truck got stuck driving to location. Waited on dozer operator to pull trucks to location. Rigged up Halliburton to the casing valve. Established circulation through the bradenhead with 12 bbls of water. Pumped 560 sx (823 cu.ft.) of Cl "B" 50:50 poz with 3% gel, 5#/sk gilsonite, 0.8% Halad – 9, and 0.2% CFR-3. Lost full returns with 80 bbls of cement gone. Slowed rate to 1 bpm and re-established full returns. Circulated 10 bbls of good cement to surface. Displaced cement with 5 bbls of water at 1 bpm and 100 psi, then SI the bradenhead valve. Pumped 3.75 bbls and pressure increased to 320 psi. SD for 15 min. pressure dropped to 55 psi. Pumped another 2.75 bbls and pressure increased to 340 psi. SD for 15 min and pressure dropped to 54 psi. Pumped 3.5 bbls and pressure increased to 400 psi. Pressure was slowly dropping. Total displacement was 15 bbls (TOC in 4-1/2" is at approximately 970'). SI well and rigged down Halliburton. WOC overnight.

Daily Costs:

Road & Location
Rig Costs
Equipment Rental (BOP)
Logging & Perforating
Stimulation solvent
Testing
Cementing
Completion Fluids (City water)
Contract Services
Miscellaneous Supplies
Engr. & Supervision
Slick line
Other (csg. head)

\$150	
\$11,597	
\$300	
\$408	
\$408	

Tubulars	
Wellhead Equipment	
Subsurface Equipment	
Artificial Lift Equipment	
Sucker Rods	
Tanks	
Bailer	
Flowlines	
Installation/Labor	
Fittings, Valves, Etc	
Meters, Lact, Etc	
Electrical Equipment	
T () D () O (64

Total Daily Costs Cumulative Costs

\$	12	,45	5
Ŝ	34	20	6

TD:		615	9	
PBTD:		609	6	
Casing Size:		4-1/2", 1	1.6#	
Perforations	5891' - 6046	۱ ⁻		
SPF:	1 spf			
	Pulled from v	well		
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN		
Packer				
Røds				
Pump				

Well Record

	Run in well		
Tubing	Joints		Grade
Wt	Thread		Length
Tubing Subs			Length
Seating Nipple			Length
		Top of tbg	to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed	at:
Rods	Size		
Rods	Size		
Pony subs			
Pump			
Packer Depth			
Туре			
How set			

Operator:	Calpine Na	tural Gas		Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		
Date:	11-Nov-02			Report #:	6	6			
Field:	Basin Dakotal	MV SWD	Locatior	n: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	J	C Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson		
Work Sum	mary:								
Set and	filled workov	ver pit. Picked up	a 3-7/8" s	step mill on 4	- 3-1/8"	DC. TIH at	nd tagged	l cement at	824'.
Picked up	p power swive	el and drilled soft	to mediu	n hard cemer	nt to 1464'	. Pulled 5 j	oints and	I SDON.	
Daily Costs	5.								
Road & Locatio	on	r	٦		Tubulars				
Rig Costs		\$1,60	0		Wellhead Eq	uipment		-	
Equipment Rer	ntal (BOP)	\$15	0		Subsurface E	quipment			
Logaina & Perf	orating				Artificial Lift E	auioment		-1	
Stimulation	solvent		-		Sucker Rods	- , F		-1	
Testing			-		Tanks			-	
Cementing			-		Bailer		<u>}</u>	-1	
Completion Flu	ids (Citv water)		4		Flowlines			-	
Contract Service	ces		-		Installation/L	abor		-	
Miscellaneous	Supplies	\$50	0		Fittings, Valv	es. Etc	<u> </u>	-1	
Enar. & Superv	vision	\$26	7		Meters, Lact.	Etc	<u> </u> -	-	
Slick line					Electrical Equ	lipment		-	
Other (trucking)	\$25	0				L	-4	
	,		<u> </u>		Total Dail	v Costs	\$2 767	7	
					Cumulativ	e Costs	\$37.063	1	
					Janualu			_	
			Well R	ecord					
TD:		6159				Run in well			
PBTD:		6096	-1	Tubing		Joints	<u> </u>	Grade	T
Casing Size	:	4-1/2", 11.6#	-1	Wt	├ ────	Thread	<u>├</u> ────	Length	1
	<u> </u>		-1	Tubing Subs	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Length	t
					<u> </u>				

Perforations	5891' - 6046'	
SPF:	1 spf	
	Pulled from well	
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4.7#, EUE & SN	
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in well	
Tubing	Joints	Grade
Wt	Thread	Length
Tubing Subs		Length
Seating Nipple		Length
		Top of tbg to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production S	String Landed at:
Rods	Size	
Rods	Size	
Pony subs		
Pump	_	
Packer Depth		
Туре		
How set		

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1 _		
Date:	12-Nov-02	Report #:	1	7			
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	ipson		
Continu	nary: red to drill soft cement to 18	883'. TIH to 2074'. Drille	l soft cem	ent from 2	074' to 22	232'. TIH t	0 2560'
without ta	agging any more cement. C	irculated hole clean with 6	5 bbls of :	tresh water	. Started	IOH with	tubing.
Pulled 16	jts and SDON.						
Daily Costs	F	-	Tubulaas			7	
Road & Localic		1 750			<u> </u>		
Rig Costs		1,750	weinead Eq		<u> </u>	4	
Equipment Rer		\$150	Subsurface E	quipment		4	
Logging & Perr			Artificial Lift E	quipment		-4	
Stimulation	solvent		Sucker Rods				
Testing			Tanks		L		
Cementing			Bailer			4	
Completion Flu	ids (City water)		Flowlines				
Contract Servic	ces		Installation/La	abor			
Miscellaneous	Supplies		Fittings, Valv	es, Etc			
Engr. & Superv	rision	\$267	Meters, Lact,	Etc			
Slick line			Electrical Equ	uipment			
Other (trucking)						
			Total Dail	v Costs	\$2,167		
			Cumulativ	/e Costs	\$39.230	,	
		Well Record					
TD:	6159		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Run in wel	<u> </u>		
PBTD:	6096	Tubing	<u> </u>	Joints		Grade	

TD:		6159
PBTD:		6096
Casing Siz	:e:	4-1/2", 11.6#
Perforations	5891' - 6046	6′
SPF:	1 spf	
	Pulled from	well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	1.7#, EUE & SN
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in w	ell	
Tubing	Joints		Grade
Wt	Thread		Length
Tubing Subs			Length
Seating Nipple			Length
		Top of tb	g to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Producti	on String Lande	ed at:
Rods	Size		
Rods	Size		
Pony subs			
Pump			
Facker Depth			
Туре			
How set			

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1	
Date:	13-Nov-02	Report #:		3		
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	ipson	
Work Sum	mary:					
Finishe	ed TOH with the tubing and b	oit. Picked up a 4-1/2" cas	ing scrap	per on $2-\overline{3}/3$	8" tubing	g to 2520'. Hole was
clean. Pie	cked up a Halliburton tension	n packer and found holes f	from 160'	to 2400' (s	same inte	erval as before).
Pressure	would fall from 350 psi to 10	00 psi in 30 min. I suspect	that the c	ement nev	er set up	. TOH and laid
down the	packer. Contacted the NMC	CD about cementing in th	ne 2-7/8"	plastic line	d tubing	 waiting on a
decision.						-
Daily Cost	s:					
Road & Locati	on		Tubulars			7
Rig Costs	\$1	,750	Wellhead Eq	uipment		7
Equipment Re	ntal (BOP)	\$150	Subsurface E	Equipment		7
Logging & Per	forating		Artificial Lift E	Equipment		
Stimulation	solvent		Sucker Rods			
Festing		\$700	Tanks			
Cementing			Bailer			-
Completion Flu	uids (City water)	\$510	Flowlines			7
Contract Servi	ces		Installation/La	abor		7
Miscellaneous	Supplies	-1	Fittings, Valv	es, Etc		7
Engr. & Super	vision	\$267	Meters, Lact,	Etc		7
Slick line			Electrical Equ	uipment		
Other (trucking	a)	-1			6	
			Total Dail	y Costs	\$3,37	7
			Cumulativ	- /e Costs	\$42.60	7

Well Record

TD:		6159
PBTD:		6096
Casing Size:		4-1/2", 11.6#
Perforations	5891' - 604	6'
SPF:	1 spf	
	Pulled from	well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8",	4.7#, EUE & SN
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in well		
Tubing	Joints		Grade
V√t	Thread		Length
Tubing Subs			Length
Seating Nipple			Length
		Top of tbg	to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed	at:
Rods	Size		
Rods	Size		
Pony subs			
Pump			
Packer Depth			
Туре			
How set			

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		
Date:	14-Nov-02	Report #:		9			
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson		

Work Summary:

TIH to 2400' open ended with 75 jts of 2-3/8" tubing. Rigged up Halliburton. Established circulation down the tubing and out the casing valve. SI casing valve and pressured up well to 400 psi – dropped to 200 psi in 5 min. Opened the casing valve and filled the casing with 160 sx (189 cu.ft.) Cl "B" with 0.3% Halad 322 (dispersant). Circulated a trace of cement to surface. TOH with the tubing. Tried to mix 20 more sx of cement but Halliburton could only get the weight to 10 ppg. Pumped that 3.5 bbls to load the casing. Closed the casing valve and pressured the well to 400 psi with 2.2 bbls of water. Dropped to 180 psi in 5 min. Pressured well to 650 psi with 0.7 bbls and well broke back to 50 psi. SI well. Pressure to 15 psi in 20 min. Pressured well to 700 psi with 2.3 bbls. SI and pressure dropped to 275 psi in 1 min and 120 psi in 25 min. Pressured well to 815 psi with 0.6 bbls. Dropped to 500 psi in 1 min and to 220 psi in 15 min. Pressured well to 1060 psi with 1.7 bbls. Slow build up. SI well and rigged down Halliburton to WOC

Daily Costs:

Road & Location	
Rig Costs	
Equipment Rental (BOP)	
Logging & Perforating	
Stimulation solvent	
Testing	
Cementing	
Completion Fluids (City water)	
Contract Services	
Miscellaneous Supplies	
Engr. & Supervision	
Slick line	
Other (trucking)	

	-
\$1,750	
\$150	
\$2,966	
\$200	
\$142	

Tubulars	
Wellhead Equipment	
Subsurface Equipment	
Artificial Lift Equipment	
Sucker Rods	
Tanks	
Bailer	
Flowlines	
Installation/Labor	
Fittings, Valves, Etc	
Meters, Lact, Etc	
Electrical Equipment	

Total Daily Costs Cumulative Costs

\$5,208
\$47,815

Well Record

TD:		6159
PBTD:		6096
Casing Size):	4-1/2", 11.6#
Perforations	5891' - 6046	NI
SPF:	1 spf	
	Pulled from	well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in w	
Tubing	Joints	Grade
Wt	Thread	Length
Tubing Subs		Length
Seating Nipple		Length
		Top of tbg to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Producti	ion String Landed at:
Rods	Size	
Rods	Size	
Pony subs		
Pump		
Packer Depth		
Туре		
How set		

Operator:	erator: Calpine Natural Gas		Well Name:			Roosevelt #1			
Date:	: 15-Nov-02		15-Nov-02 Report #:		10				
Field:	Basin Dakota	aMV SWD	Location	: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:		JC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thon	npson		
Work Sum	mary:			1.0.0/00					
Picked	up 3-7/8" ste	p mill on $4 3-1/8''$	drill collar	rs and $2-3/8^{\prime\prime}$	tubing. Ta	agged cem	ent top at	568'. Dri	lled hard
cement to	o 984'. Circu	lated the hole clea	in. Pulled c	one joint off l	oottom and	l shut dow	m for the	weekend.	
Daily Cost	s:								
Road & Locati	on				Tubulars]	
Rig Costs		\$1,75	50		Wellhead Equ	uipment			
Equipment Re	ntal (BOP)	\$15	50		Subsurface E	quipment			
Logging & Per	forating				Artificial Lift E	quipment			
Stimulation	solvent	-			Sucker Rods				
Testing					Tanks				
Cementing					Bailer				
Completion Flu	uids (City water)				Flowlines				
Contract Servi	ces				Installation/La	ibor			
Miscellaneous	Supplies				Fittings, Valve	es, Etc			
Engr. & Super	vision	\$26	57		Meters, Lact,	Etc			
Slick line					Electrical Equ	ipment			
Other (trucking	3)							_	
					Total Daily	y Costs	\$2,167		
					Cumulativ	ve Costs	\$49,982	2	
				_					
			Well Re	ecord					
TD:		6159			·	Run in wel			
PBTD:		6096	_	Tubing	ļ	Joints	1	Grade	
Casing Size	ə:	4-1/2", 11.6#	_	Wt	Ļ	Thread		Length	
			_	Tubing Subs	L			Length	
Perforations	5891' - 6046	·	4	Seating Nipple	L			Length	<u> </u>
			_				Top of the	g to KB	
			_	L	Bottom of Tul	oing/Production	n String Lande	d at	
SPF:	1 spf		_	Rods	Ļ	Size	_		
			_	Rods	Ļ	Size	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Pulled from v	vell		Pony subs	L	···· <u>··</u> ·····			
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4.	7#, EUE & SN		Pump	L				
Onekar	1			Cooker Depth	•				

Туре

How set

Rods Pump

.

Operator: Calpine Natural Gas			Well Name:			Roosevelt #1			
Date:	18-Nov-02		Report #:	1.					
Field:	Id: Basin DakotaMV SWD Location: 22/		22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM		
Contractor:	JC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	ipson			
Work Sum	mary:								
Pressure	e tested casing to 300 psi at 9	84' – held O	K. Continue	ed drilling	hard cemer	nt to 1176	5 and lost		
circulatio	n. Could not get casing to ho	ld more than	125 psi. Re	egained cir	culation an	id circula	ted hole clean. Plan		
to rig up	larger triplex pump 11/19/02	. SDON.							
Daily Costs	5:								
Road & Locatio	n	7		Tubulars			7		
Rig Costs	\$1,	750		Wellhead Eq	uipment		1		
Equipment Rer	ntal (BOP) \$	150		Subsurface E	Equipment		-1		
Logging & Perf	forating	7		Artificial Lift	Equipment		-1		
Stimulation	solvent	_		Sucker Rods			-1		
Testing				Tanks			7		
Cementing		_		Bailer			1		
Completion Flu	uids (City water)			Flowlines			1		
Contract Servic	ces	_		Installation/La	abor		7		
Miscellaneous	Supplies			Fittings, Valv	es, Etc		1		
Engr. & Superv	vision \$	267		Meters, Lact,	Etc		7		
Slick line				Electrical Equ	uipment		7		
Other (trucking	i)						-		
				Total Dail	y Costs	\$2,167	7		
				Cumulativ	/e Costs	\$52,149	9		
						L			
		Well Re	cord						
TD:	6159		1		Run in well	·			
· ·			L			•			

TD:		6159
PBTD:		6096
Casing Size):	4-1/2", 11.6#
Perforations	5891' - 6046	s'
SPF:	1 spf	
	Pulled from	well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in well			
Tubing	Joints		Grade	
Wt	Thread		Length	
Tubing Subs			Length	
Seating Nipple			Length	
		Top of tbg	to KB	
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed	at:	
Rods	Size			
Rods	Size			
Pony subs				
Pump				
Packer Depth				
Туре				
How set				

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1	
Date:	19-Nov-02	Report #:	12	2		
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thorr	pson	
Work Sumr	nary:					
Installed	l tri-plex pump. Drilled to 127	2'. TOH and replaced t	he bit. TIF	I with anot	her step	drag bit on 4 3-1/8"
DC. Cont	inued drilling hard cement to	1400'. Penetration rate	increased	with new b	it. SDOl	N.
Daily Costs						_
Road & Locatio	n		Tubulars			
Rig Costs	\$2,00	00	Wellhead Eq	uipment		
Equipment Ren	tal (BOP) \$15	50	Subsurface E	Equipment		
Logging & Perfe	orating		Artificial Lift E	Equipment		_
Stimulation	solvent		Sucker Rods			_
Testing			Tanks			
Cementing		_	Bailer			
Completion Flu	ids (City water)		Flowlines			_
Contract Servic	es	_	Installation/La	abor	L	
Miscellaneous	Supplies		Fittings, Valv	es, Etc	\$25	50
Engr. & Superv	ision		Meters, Lact,	Etc		
Slick line			Electrical Equ	uipment		
Other (trucking))					_
			Total Dail	y Costs	\$2,40	0
			Cumulativ	ve Costs	\$54,54	9

TD:		6159		
PBTD:		6096		
Casing Size	Э:	4-1/2", 11.6#		
Perforations	5891' - 6046	;		
005	11 onf			
5PF:				
	Pulled from	well		
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN		
Packer				
Rods				
Pump				

We	ell	Re	co	rd
----	-----	----	----	----

		Run in well		
Tubing		Joints		Grade
Wt		Thread		Length
Tubing Subs				Length
Seating Nipple				Length
			Top of tbg	to KB
	Bottom of Tub	ing/Production	String Landed	at:
Rods		Size		
Rods		Size		
Pony subs				
Pump				
Packer Depth	1			
Туре				
How set				

Operator:	itor: Calpine Natural Gas		Well Name:		Roosevelt #1				
Date:	20-Nov-02			Report #:	13				
Field:	Basin Dakota	/MV SWD	Location	: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:		JC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	npson		
Work Sum	mary:								
Drilled	hard cement	from 1400' to 172	20'. Tried	to pressure te	st to 300 p	osi – dropp	bed to 250	psi in 5 m	in
SDON				-	-			-	
Daily Costs	5:								
Road & Locatio	on		7		Tubulars			1	
Rig Costs		\$2,00	0		Wellhead Equ	lipment		1	
Equipment Rei	ntal (BOP)	\$15	0		Subsurface E	quipment			
Logging & Perl	forating				Artificial Lift E	quipment			
Stimulation	solvent				Sucker Rods]	
Testing					Tanks				
Cementing					Bailer]	
Completion Flu	uids (City water)				Flowlines				
Contract Service	ces				Installation/La	bor			
Miscellaneous	Supplies	7			Fittings, Valve	es, Etc			
Engr. & Superv	vision	\$26	7		Meters, Lact,	Etc			
Slick line					Electrical Equ	ipment			
Other (trucking	3)							-	
					Total Daily	Costs	\$2,417		
					Cumulativ	e Costs	\$56,966	1	
			Well Re	ecord					ور معند و معند و
TD:		6159				Run in wel	1		
PBTD:		6096		Tubing		Joints		Grade	
Casing Size	e:	4-1/2", 11.6#		Wt		Thread		Length	
		-	4	Tubing Subs	L			Length	
Perforations	5891' - 6046'		1	Seating Nipple				Length	
			4				Top of the	to KB	
			4		Bottom of Tub	oing/Production	String Lande	d at:	
SPF:	1 spf		4	Rods		Size			
			4	Rods	L	Size			
	Pulled from w	ell	1	Pony subs	I				

Packer Depth

Pump

Туре

How set

Tubing

Packer

Rods

Pump

187 jts 2-3/8", 4.7#, EUE & SN

Operator:	Calpine Na	pine Natural Gas Well Name: Roosevelt #1							
Date:	21-Nov-02			Report #:	14				
Field:	Basin Dakota	/MV SWD	Location	: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:		IC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	npson		
Work Sum	mary:								
Drilled	hard cement	from 1720' to 207	72'. Shoul	d drill out of	cement at	2400'. At	2072', trie	ed to press	ure test
to 300 ps	si – dropped t	o 250 psi in 5 mir	n (same res	sult as yester	day). SDO	N			
						<u></u> =	<u> </u>		
Daily Costs	5:	······	_					-	
Road & Location	n				Tubulars				
Rig Costs		\$2,00	0		Wellhead Equ	uipment			
Equipment Rei	ntal (BOP)	\$15	0		Subsurface E	quipment			
Logging & Perl	forating				Artificial Lift E	quipment			
Stimulation	solvent				Sucker Rods				
Testing					Tanks				
Cementing					Bailer				
Completion Flu	ids (City water)				Flowlines				
Contract Service	ces				Installation/La	abor			
Miscellaneous	Supplies				Fittings, Valve	es, Etc			
Engr. & Superv	vision	\$26	7		Meters, Lact,	Etc			
Slick line					Electrical Equ	ipment			
Other (trucking))	L						-	
					Total Daily	y Costs	\$2,417	4	
					Cumulativ	e Costs	\$59,383		
			Well Ke	ecora					
TD:		6159		ļ		Run in wel	 	-	
PBTD:		6096	4	Tubing		Joints		Grade	ļ
Casing Size	»:	4-1/2", 11.0#	_	Wt		Thread		Length	L
				Tubing Subs				Length	<u> </u>
Perforations	5891' - 6046'		4	Seating Nipple				Length	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			L			Top of tbg	to KB	L
			4	<u> </u>	Bottom of Tut	oing/Production	String Lander	d at:	
SPF:	1 spf		4	Rods	<u> </u>	Size	┨─────	╉╼────	<u> </u>
			-	Rods		Size	L	L	
	Pulled from w		4	Pony subs	L		·		
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4.7	'#, EUE & SN	1	Pump	1				

Packer Depth

Type How set

Packer Rods

Pump

Packer

Rods

Pump

WORKOVER AND COMPLETION REPORT

Operator:	Calpine Na	atural Gas		Well Name: Roosevelt #1					
Date:	22-Nov-02			Report #:	15				
Field:	Basin Dakota	/MV SWD	Location	: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:		IC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	npson		
Work Sum	mary:								
Drilled	out of the cer	ment at 2424' KB	. TIH to 2	680' but did	not tag any	v more stri	ngers. Circ	culated ho	le clean.
Tried to	pressure test	to 300 psi – dropp	bed to 250	psi in 5 min	(same resu	It as befor	e). TOH a	nd SD for	the
weekend	l. Plan to pick	up a packer and	find holes	12/25/02.					
l									
l									
Daily Cost	5:		-					_	
Road & Locati	on				Tubulars				
Rig Costs		\$2,00	0		Wellhead Equ	ipment			
Equipment Re	ntal (BOP)	\$15	0		Subsurface E	quipment			
Logging & Per	forating				Artificial Lift E	quipment			
Stimulation	solvent				Sucker Rods				
Testing					Tanks]	
Cementing					Bailer]	
Completion Flu	uids (City water)				Flowlines				
Contract Servi	ces				Installation/La	bor			
Miscellaneous	Supplies				Fittings, Valve	es, Etc			
Engr. & Super	vision	\$26	7		Meters, Lact,	Etc			
Slick line					Electrical Equ	ipment			
Other (trucking	3)								
			-		Total Daily	/ Costs	\$2,417]	
					Cumulativ	e Costs	\$61,800		
								-	
			Well R	ecord					
TD:		6159				Run in wel]	· · · ·	
PBTD:		6096		Tubing		Joints		Grade	
Casing Size	e:	4-1/2", 11.6#		Wt		Thread		Length	
				Tubing Subs			_	Length	
Perforations	5891' - 6046'			Seating Nipple				Length	
							Top of tbg	to KB	
]		Bottom of Tub	oing/Production	String Landed	at:	
SPF:	1 spf]	Rods		Size			
]	Rods		Size			
	Pulled from w	ell		Pony subs					
Tubian	407 1- 0 0/01 4 7		4	D	1				

Packer Depth

Туре

How set

.

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas		Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1		
Date:	25-Nov-02		Report #:	16				
Field:	Basin Dakota/MV SWD	Location	22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Service		Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson		
Work Sum	nary:							
Picked	up Halliburton tension set pac	ker and iso	lated holes fi	om 320' t	o 2400' (sa	ame inter	val as befor	re).
Pressure	would fall from 320 psi to 200	0 psi in 5 m	in. TOH and	l laid down	n packer. T	TH open	ended to 49	36' and
tagged co	ement top. Landed 155 joints i	in the well (laid down 7	ioints). N	D BOP and	d NU wel	lhead. Laid	down
the colla	rs. Cleaned out the mud pit and	d rigged do	wn. Will wa	it on NMC)CD decisi	on to cen	nent in 2-7/	8"
tubing	b. Created out the filed pit an		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					0
tuonig.								
i i								
Daily Costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Road & Locatio	on and the second se			Tubulars			T	
Rig Costs (Adj	for pump) \$6,50	05		Wellhead Equ	upment		1	
Equipment Rei	ntal (BOP) \$1	50		Subsurface E	quipment		-1	
Logging & Perl	orating	-		Artificial Lift E	quipment		-	
Stimulation	solvent	-		Sucker Rods		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	
Testing	\$75	50		Tanks			1	
Cementing				Bailer			1	
Completion Flu	ids (City water)			Flowlines			1	
Contract Servic	æs –			Installation/La	ibor		-	
Miscellaneous	Supplies			Fittings, Valve	es, Etc		-1	
Engr. & Superv	rision \$20	67		Meters, Lact,	Etc	<u> </u>	-1	
Slick line	h	-		Electrical Equ	ipment		4	
Other (trucking)			,				
, -	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Total Dail	y Costs	\$7,672	2]	
				Cumulativ	ve Costs	\$69,472	2	
								
		Well Re	ecord					
TD:	6159				Run in wel			
PBTD:	6096		Tubing		Joints		Grade	

PBTD:		6096
Casing Size	e:	4-1/2", 11.6#
Perforations	5891' - 6046	j
	It onf	
SPF:	1 Spi	
	Pulled from	well
Tubing	187 jts 2-3/8", 4	.7#, EUE & SN
Packer		
Rods		
Pump		

	Run in well	
Tubing	Joints	Grade
Wt	Thread	Length
Tubing Subs		Length
Seating Nipple		Length
		Top of tbg to KB
	Bottom of Tubing/Production S	String Landed at:
Rods	Size	
Rods	Size	
Pony subs		
Pump		
Packer Depth		
Туре		
How set		

Operator: Calpine Natural Gas			Well Name:		Roosevelt #1			
Date:	26-Nov-02		Report #:	17	,			
Field:	Basin Dakota/MV SWD	Location	1: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM	
Contractor:	JC Well Servi	ce	Supervisor:		Paul Thon	ipson		
Work Sum	mary:							
Finishe	d cleaning out steel mud p	oit and rigging o	lown. Movec	to the Tig	er #5			
Daily Cost Road & Locati Rig Costs (Ad Equipment Re Logging & Per Stimulation Testing Cementing Completion Fl Contract Servi Miscellaneous Engr. & Super Slick line Other (trucking	s: on j for pump) intal (BOP) forating solvent uids (City water) ices Supplies vision	\$1,000		Tubulars Wellhead Eq Subsurface E Artificial Lift E Sucker Rods Tanks Bailer Flowlines Installation/La Fittings, Valv Meters, Lact, Electrical Equ Total Dail Cumulativ	uipment iquipment iquipment abor es, Etc Etc uipment y Costs re Costs	\$1,200 \$70,672		
	0450	Well R	ecord		Durain			
	6006		Tubing	1	Indiate	1	Grade	T
Casing Size	a· 4-1/2" 11	.6#	W/t	+	Thread	+	Length	+
			Tubing Subs		Lincar	<u></u>	Length	+
Perforations	5891' - 6046'		Seating Nipple	<u>†</u> ────			Length	+
			stand the pla	J		Top of the	to KB	+
			h	Bottom of Tu	bing/Production	String Landed	at	+
SPF:	1 spf		Rods		Size		<u> </u>	
	1		Rods	<u> </u>	Size	+	<u> </u>	
	Pulled from well		Pony subs	<u> </u>		J	L	
Tubina	187 its 2-3/8" 4 7# FUF & SN		Pump	t				
Packer			Packer Denth	<u> </u>	<u>. </u>			
Rods	+		Туре					
Pump	+		How set	<u> </u>				·
			100 301	L			·······	

Operator:	Calpine Natural Gas	Well Name:		Roosevelt	#1	
Date:	2-Jan-03	Report #:				
Field:	Basin DakotaMV SWD	Location: 22/30N/14W	County:	San Juan	State:	NM
Contractor:	JC Well Service	Supervisor:		Paul Thom	pson	

Work Summary:

12/31/02. Move on location and rig up JC Well Service. TOH with 155 jts of 2-3/8" tubing. SD for New Years. 1/2/03. Picked up Weatherford cup-type bridge plug and retrievable tension packer on 75 jts of 2-3/8" tubing. Set RBP at approximately 2400' and packer 2395'. Pressure tested to 500# - OK. Released the packer and re-set at 2336'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 200# in 1 min. Moved RBP to 2336' and set the PKR at 2208'. Pressure dropped from 600# to 300# in 5 min. Set BPR at 2208' and PKR at 2080'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 150# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 2080' and PKR at 1952'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 420# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 1952' and PKR at 1824'. Pressure dropped from 520# to 340# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 1824' and PKR at 1696'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 400# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 228' and PKR at 224'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 300# in 2 min. Reset RBP at 224' and PKR at 160'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 400# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 224' and PKR at 160'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 400# in 5 min. Reset RBP at 224'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 300# in 2 min. Reset RBP at 224' and PKR at 160'. Pressure dropped from 500# to 400# in 5 min. Holes are over the entire interval from 160' to 2400' and would be very difficult to squeeze. Laid down the RBP and PKR. Start TIH open ended with the tubing until it got dark. Will finish TIH tomorrow and move to the Roosevelt #1.

Daily Costs:

Road & Location	
Rig Costs	
Equipment Rental	
Logging & Perforating	
Stimulation solvent	
Testing	
Cementing	
Completion Fluids (City water)	
Contract Services	
Miscellaneous Supplies	
Engr. & Supervision	
Slick line	
Other (csg. head)	



Tubu	lars	
Well	nead Equipment	
Subs	urface Equipment	
Artific	cial Lift Equipment	
Suck	er Rods	
Tank	s	
Baile	r	
Flow	ines	
Instal	llation/Labor	
Fitting	gs, Valves, Etc	
Mete	rs, Lact, Etc	
Elect	rical Equipment	
_	A	

Total Daily Costs Cumulative Costs

\$6 287
φ0,201
\$76 959
$\phi_{10,000}$

TD: 6159 PBTD: 6096 4-1/2", 11.6# Casing Size: Perforations 5891' - 6046' SPF: 1 spf Pulled from well Tubing 155 jts 2-3/8*, 4.7#, EUE Packer Rods Pump

Well Record

	Run in well		
Tubing	Joints	Grade	
Wt	Thread	Length	
Tubing Subs		Length	
Seating Nipple		Length	
		Top of tbg to KB	
	Bottom of Tubing/Production	String Landed at:	
Rods	Size		
Rods	Size		
Pony subs			
Pump			
Packer Depth			
Туре			
How set			

UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VIII 999 18th STREET - SUITE 300 DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2466

- SUBJECT: GROUND WATER SECTION GUIDANCE NO. 36 Completing, operating, monitoring, and testing wells that have tubing cemented in place.
- FROM: Tom Pike, Chief UIC Direct Implementation Section
- TO: All Section Staff Montana Operations Office

This guidance is for UIC permit, compliance, and enforcement personnel to use when conducting permit, compliance, and enforcement actions on wells that have tubing cemented in place.

STANDARD WELL COMPLETIONS vs. CEMENTED TUBING COMPLETIONS

Injection wells should be designed and operated to prevent fluid from entering any formation other than the designated injection formation. One of EPA's duties is to monitor and test injection wells to make sure that injection occurs only into authorized formations. In a well with a tubing/casing annulus, EPA can (by monitoring and testing the pressures in the annulus) maintain a high degree of assurance that no injected fluid is lost through leaks in the well. For wells where the annulus is filled with cement, EPA loses this simple test to monitor for leaks in the well. Therefore, we need to take special precautions in dealing with wells where the tubing is cemented in place.

Standard Completions

The standard well completion (an annulus between the longstring casing, tubing, and packer) allows for two features that are very important to the safe operation and monitoring of injection wells:

1) MONITORING - By comparing the pressure relationship between the tubing and the annulus, an inspector can "look" into an injection well and assess the tubing/packer integrity. The inspector can use this "look" to determine if any injected fluids are lost through leaks in the well (i.e., leaks which may contaminate USDWs). Since the operator usually maintains the annulus at zero (0) psi, any pressure



COPY OFEPA GUIDANCE

DOCUMENT

evident on an annular pressure gauge suggests a potential problem with the well. When the annulus and tubing gauges show similar pressures, a tubing/packer leak may be the cause.

2) MITS - The standard well completion allows for a simple mechanical integrity test (MIT). A typical MIT consists of adding pressure to the annulus, and then monitoring that pressure for a certain time period. A drop in pressure indicates a leak in the annulus.

Cemented Tubing Completions

When an operator cements the tubing in the hole, we lose the monitoring and testing features described above. At first glance, it seems that cementing the injection tubing in the casing would provide additional protection against USDW contamination. The opposite is true, however.

We generally think of tubing as a string of pipe <u>that can be</u> <u>run in and out of the hole</u> as conditions and workovers warrant. When we cement the tubing in the hole, the tubing can no longer be retrieved; thereby making it a slim-hole casing string. The well should be considered just that: a slim-hole casing injection well.

Any string that is cemented in place may develop leaks. For example, leaks are common in a <u>casing</u> string that is fully cemented. Also, leaks may develop in a <u>tubing</u> string cemented in the hole. These leaks may allow injected fluid to exit the wellbore and to contaminate USDWs.

Since the annulus is full of cement, an inspector (either the operator or an EPA inspector) is unable to "lcok" into the well to find a leak by simple surface monitoring.

Also, cementing the tubing inside the casing prevents a straight-forward mechanical integrity test. We must now design an alternate MIT that may be more costly to the operator, which may not provide the same assurance that we would get from a standard annular pressure test.

Besides the limits described above, there may be other serious drawbacks to cementing the tubing string in the hole. With such a small wellbore, any problem with the well becomes much more serious than with a conventional completion. For example, we may not be able to run conventional logging tools for monitoring or testing due to the reduced I.D. of the tubing. Any mechanical problems with the well (i.e., scale buildup, internal corrosion,



buckled pipe, etc.) may prevent proper logging or plugging. Also, workover procedures that require entering the well may cause tools to become stuck or lost. If the stuck or lost tools happen to bridge the hole, the only alternative may be to abandon the well. If we must plug the well, the stuck tools may make it impossible to place cement in the proper interval(s) necessary to prevent flow into and between USDWs. Again, slim-hole completions <u>do</u> pose a <u>greater</u> environmental risk.

Cementing the tubing inside a bad string of casing should not become standard practice. Cementing a tubing string in the hole should be considered only as a last resort necessary to keep a <u>vital</u> well in service.

ADVISING OPERATORS WHO WISH TO CEMENT THE TUBING IN PLACE

To ensure that a well with tubing cemented in place will protect all USDWs, one must carefully plan the well completion, operation and testing program. A well that has tubing cemented in the hole is usually more costly to complete and to test. Therefore, the operator wishing to convert a well by cementing tubing in place must consider many factors before proceeding with the operation. Some of these factors include:

- <u>Consider the location of USDWs</u>. If the operator cannot run tubing through all USDWs, a MIT may be difficult or impossible to conduct. Make sure that all USDWs are covered by tubing.
- 2) <u>Consider the P&A plan</u>. How will the recompletion affect the P&A plan? If we cement the tubing inside another casing string, it may be difficult to place a plug outside the casing string during the P&A operation. In this situation, we may want to squeeze cement outside the casing before cementing tubing in place. This step should not compromise the integrity of the well since the squeeze perforations will be covered by the cemented tubing.

Will the operator be able to plug the well properly if there are any obstructions in the tubing? Any stuck tools or wireline may prevent a proper P&A. The operator may be forced to conduct an expensive fishing or milling operation prior to the P&A in order to place cement in the required intervals.

3) <u>Consider the MIT</u>. How will the MIT be conducted cnce the tubing is cemented in place? What type of tubing will be



run? Operators may wish to reduce corrosion problems by using tubing that has a corrosion resistant internal coating. This type of tubing may require that the operator conduct a very expensive MIT if the completion is not properly designed. Also, check the pressure ratings of different tools to see that they can withstand the pressure test at or above the maximum permitted injection pressure.

The operator must also know that the well will be subject to more frequent MITs. Cost may quickly become a limiting factor for an operator considering to recomplete a well by cementing the tubing in place.

4) <u>Consider the finality of the recompletion</u>. Since there is no way to pull tubing, a simple problem in the well may require that the well be plugged and abandoned.

MIT METHODS FOR WELLS WITH TUBING CEMENTED IN PLACE

If you must conduct a mechanical integrity test on a well where the tubing is cemented in place, you have several options. Each method has pros and cons as explained below.

Seating Nipple

Description:

A seating nipple is a mechanical device which is installed on the tubing string before it is cemented in the hole. The seating nipple is a polished bore receptacle (PBR) that accepts a slickline conveyed tool. With the tool seated, pressure can be applied to assess the integrity of the tubing.

Pros:

Pressure test is a reliable indicator of mechanical integrity of the tubing above the tool.

The tool is widely available.

With the tool seated, the tubing can be pressure tested in much the same way as with a standard MIT.

The cost of running the test is low (1994 prices run from \$1300/well for single wells, with multi-well discounts pushing the cost down into the \$700/well range).



Low "down-time" required for the MIT (approximately 1 hour).

Cons:

The seating nipple must be made up on the tubing string before the string is cemented in the hole.

The up-front cost is higher since tubing must be pulled, and the seating nipple installed in the lower tubing string before cementing the tubing in the hole.

The tool may not provide a positive seal in wells that have scale problems or that are highly corrosive. Scale or corrosion buildup on the seating nipple may not allow the wireline tool to seat properly.

If scale or trash falls onto the wireline tool after it is set, we may experience problems pulling the tool cut of the PBR.

The tool is not drillable; thus, it must be milled if it is stuck.

The pressure test must be conducted at a pressure equal to or greater than the maximum permitted injection pressure.

Coiled-tubing conveyed packer

Description:

For wells that have internally coated tubing, but do not have seating nipples installed, a small-bore inflatable packer may be run and set near the lower end of the tubing. When set, this packer allows us to test the tubing in a manner much the same as with a standard completion.

Pros:

Pressure test is a reliable indicator of mechanical integrity.

The rubber packer elements will not damage a tubing's internal coating when the tool is set.

The tool can be used in rough tubing since the inflatable packer elements will expand to fill voids in the tubing wall.

With the tool seated, the tubing can be pressure tested in

much the same way as with a standard MIT.

Cons:

This method is expensive (1994 prices are roughly \$7,000 - \$10,000/well), and tools is not widely available.

Down-time runs about 6 hours per well.

The pressure test must be conducted at a pressure equal to or greater than the maximum permitted injection pressure.

Slick-line conveyed pluq

Description:

This method is intended for wells that do not have seating nipples installed. The tool is run in (and out) in two separate runs. The first run sets either a collar stop (set in the tubing collars), or a slip stop (sets in the middle of a joint). After the stop is run and set, the test tool is run in and is set on top of the stop. The test tool seats against the tubing wall and provides the seal. The tubing can then be pressure tested.

Pros:

Pressure test is a reliable indicator of mechanical integrity.

The tool is widely available.

The tool may be used where no seating nipple was installed.

Low cost (1994 prices approximately \$800/well).

Low down-time (approximately 2 hours/well since tool must be run-in (and retrieved) with two separate runs).

Cons:

May not provide a seal on rough-walled or pitted tubing.

Cannot be used with internally coated tubing since the tool mechanically engages the tubing wall.

The pressure test must be conducted at a pressure equal to or greater than the maximum permitted injection pressure.



Radioactive Tracer Survey (RTS)

Description:

There are several methods (drag, velocity shot, and slug area) for using tracer logs. However, only the drag method has been approved for use in determining Part I (internal) mechanical integrity.

The drag method involves injecting successive "slugs" of tracer material into the wellbore, and following these slugs down-hole with the detector. As the tool follows a tracer slug down-hole, it detects any tracer material that has passed through a hole in the tubing. This indicates a mechanical integrity failure.

Pros:

The tracer logging tools are usually widely available.

Cons:

The drag method may be unreliable for determining Part I (internal) mechanical integrity.

The limited depth of investigation may limit the detection of leaks (90% of the gammas registered by the tracer tool originates from within a foot of the detector).

The survey must be run at the highest permitted injection pressure so as to detect leaks that would occur while the well is operating. Consequently, the survey may not be applicable for wells with small-bore tubing since fluid velocity may be too fast to track.

Tracer material that may "hang up" at the collars between tubing joints suggests a leak, and may require the operator to run another type of MIT.

May not be reliable in wells where the tracer material may "hang-up" in pits or scale on the tubing wall. A specific tracer survey must be designed for each well since tubing size(s) and the corresponding fluid velocity (at maximum permitted pressure) dictates how we conduct the test.

Temperature Survey

Description:

The temperature survey when used properly may be used to determine if any injected fluids are exiting the tubing above the approved injection interval. Several log passes are required to detect leaks in tubing - one pass while the well is injecting, and several passes made with the well shut in. For specific procedures, refer to Section Guidance #38 - "Using temperature surveys to determine Mechanical Integrity (MI) for a Class II injection well."

Pros:

Temperature tools are widely available.

Temperature tools are available in sizes applicable for most tubing strings.

Resolution is adequate to detect leaks in the tubing.

Cons:

The well must be shut-in to run the complete set of log passes. This down-time may be considerable, and may be impractical for some operators.

Interpretation is often made more difficult since many factors can affect the logging results.

The survey must be run at the highest permitted injection pressure so as to detect leaks that would occur while the well is operating.

CHOOSING A TEST METHOD

Since injection wells are completed in many different ways, you must choose a test that fits the well's completion. If one of these tests described above will not fully demonstrate the integrity of the tubing, a combination of two or more tests may be necessary. In addition, one of the tests listed above may be used with another test - one that may not be listed above - to prove the integrity of the tubulars through the USDWs.

CONDUCTING MECHANICAL INTEGRITY TESTS

Frequency of Testing

For wells that have tubing cemented in place, the operator needs to conduct MITs more often than for a well with a standard completion. More frequent testing is required since the well's completion makes it impossible to assess



mechanical integrity during a surface inspection. Unless it is tested more frequently, a well with a cemented tubing completion could operate up to 5 years with a hole in the tubing - a hole that could allow contamination of USDWs.

The frequency of conducting mechanical tests is based on the location of USDWs containing less than 3000 mg/l TDS. If <u>all</u> USDWs containing water with TDS \leq 3000 mg/l occur behind cemented surface casing, then the well may operate for up to two years between MITs. If <u>any</u> USDW containing water with \leq 3000 mg/l TDS occurs below the surface casing, the well must be tested once per year.

Test Pressure

Wells that have tubing cemented in the hole must be tested at a pressure equal to or greater than the maximum permitted injection pressure. This is required so that EPA can assess the condition of the tubing under operating conditions. FCD:December 29, 1994:RCT/RCT/K:\GUIDANCE.36



COPY OF BLM SUNDRY

SUNDRY M Do not use this form Use ' 	BUREAU OF LAND MA IOTICES AND REPORTS ON I for proposals to drill or to dee PAPPLICATION FOR PERMIT SUBMIT IN TRIPLIC Other Other Other Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	ANAGEMENT WELLS pen or reenter a different reservoir. for such proposals ATE 720-359-9144	Expires: March 31, 1993 Expires: March 31, 1993 S. Lease Designation and Serial No. NM 20314 G. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name 7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation 8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
SUNDRY M Do not use this form Use ' 1. Type of Well Oil Gas Oil Gas Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	IOTICES AND REPORTS ON In for proposals to drill or to dee APPLICATION FOR PERMIT SUBMIT IN TRIPLIC Other Other Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	WELLS pen or reenter a different reservoir. •* for such proposals CATE 720-359-9144	 Lease Designation and Serial No. NM 20314 If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 API Well No. 30-045-26458 Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
SUNDRY M Do not use this form Use ' 1. Type of Well Oil Gas Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	IOTICES AND REPORTS ON I for proposals to drill or to dee APPLICATION FOR PERMIT SUBMIT IN TRIPLIC Other Other Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	WELLS pen or reenter a different reservoir. ** for such proposals CATE 720-359-9144	NM 20314 6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name 7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation 8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Do not use this form Use ' 1. Type of Well Oil Gas Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	of proposals to drill or to dee CAPPLICATION FOR PERMIT SUBMIT IN TRIPLIC Other Other Other Other Other C., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	pen or reenter a different reservoir. * for such proposals ATE 720-359-9144 https://	6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name 7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation 8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Use 1. Type of Well Oil Gas Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	APPLICATION FOR PERMIT	* for such proposals CATE 720-359-9144	7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation 8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Type of Well Oil Gas Well X Well Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	SUBMIT IN TRIPLIC Other	720-359-9144	 7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation 8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Type of Well Oil Gas Well Well X Well Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec. 12.	Other Other Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Oil Gas Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	Other), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	8. Well Name and No. Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Well X Well 2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec. 12.	Other 0, Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	Roosevelt #1 9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 770 Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	9. API Well No. 30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
2. Name of Operator Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 77(4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec. 12.), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrit 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	30-045-26458 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Calpine Natural Gas 3. Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 77(4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec. 12.), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
Address and Telephone No. 1200 17th Street, Suite 77(Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec. 12.), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	720-359-9144	
1200 17th Street, Suite 77(4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.), Denver, CO 80202 c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrit 22-T30N-14W		
4. Location of Well (Footage, Se 1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	c., T., R., M., or Survey Descrip 22-T30N-14W	ntion)	11. County or Parish, State
1850' FSL & 790' FEL Sec.	22-130N-14W	54011)	San Juan County, New Mexico
12			
12	CHECK ADDODDIATE DO	Y(an) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTIC	
		SALES) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTIC	
× Notice of Int	ent	Abandonment	Change of Plans
		Recompletion	New Construction
Subsequent	Report	Plugging Eack	Non-Routine Fracturing
_		Casing Repair	Water Shut-Off
Final Aband	onment Notice	Altering Casing	x Conversion to Injection
		Other	Dispose Water
		(Note: Report results of multiple co	mpletion on Well
12 Describe Proposed of Comp	eted Operations (Clearly state	Completion or Recompletion Repo	rt and Log form.)
work. If well is directionally c	Irilled, give subsurface location	s and measured and true vertical depths for	or all markers and zones pertinent to this work.)*
Calpine is proposing to cen	nent the tubing in place and rev	vise the testing procedure.	
Proposed procedure and te	sting schedule is attached.		
14. I hereby certify that the foreg	oing is true and correct		
Signed Signed O	Ton C Hugo Cartaya	Title Production Manager	Date 01/27/03
(This space A Ferrera or Sta	te office se		
Approved by	ie onice-use/	Title	Date
Conditions of approval, if any:			<u> </u>
Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001			

*See Instructions on Reverse Side

		COPY	ÔF	STAT	E SUI	NDRY
Submit 3 Copies To Appropriate District	State of New Me	exico			Fo	orm C-103
Office	Energy Minerals and Natu	ral Resources			Revised Ma	arch 25, 1999
District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240	Energy, winteruis and wate	indi itesources	WELL	API NO.		
District II			30-045	26458		
1301 W. Grand Ave., Artesia, NM 88210	OIL CONSERVATION	DIVISION	5 India	rate Type o	flease	
District III	1220 South St. Fran	ncis Dr.	J. mun	STATE [
1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 8/410 District D/	Santa Fe, NM 8	7505	6 Sta	te Oil & G	<u> </u>	
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM			NM 20	21 A	as Lease NO.	
87505			14141-20	514		
SUNDRY NOTIC	ES AND REPORTS ON WELLS		7. Lea	ase Name o	r Unit Agree	ment Name:
(DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR PROPOSA	LS TO DRILL OR TO DEEPEN OR PL	UG BACK TO A				
DIFFERENT RESERVOIR. USE "APPLICA PROPOSALS)	TION FOR PERMIT" (FORM C-101) FO	DR SUCH	Roosev	elt #1		
1 Type of Well:						
$\begin{array}{c} 1. \text{rype of well} \\ \hline \\ 0.1 \text{Well} \hline \\ \hline$)that					
2 Name of Operator			0 11/	11 No		
2. Name of Operator			8. We	ell INO.		
Calpine Natural Gas				#1		
3. Address of Operator			9. Po	ol name or	Wildcat	
1200 17 ⁻ Street, Ste 770, Denve	er, Colorado 80202					
4. Well Location						
Unit Letter <u>I</u> : <u>18</u>	50 feet from the South	line and 790	feet from	the East	lin	e
Section 22	Township 30N	Range 14W	N	MPM	Count	y San Juan
	10. Elevation (Show whether D.	R, RKB, RT, GR, etc	c.)			
	5661 KB; 5647 GL					
11. Check Ap	propriate Box to Indicate N	lature of Notice,	Report	or Other I	Data	
NOTICE OF INT	ENTION TO:	SUB	SFOUR	NT REF	PORT OF	
		REMEDIAL WOR	с_ с-		AI TERING (
	CHANGE PLANS	COMMENCE DRI	LLING O	PNS.		
			ND			
	COMPLETION	CEMENT JOB				
OTHER:		OTHER:				

12. Describe proposed or completed operations. (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work). SEE RULE 1103. For Multiple Completions: Attach wellbore diagram of proposed completion or recompilation.

Calpine is proposing to cement the tubing in place and revise the testing procedure. Proposed procedure and testing schedule is attached.

I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.		
SIGNATURE Jugo Cartan		Production Manager DATE 1/27/03
Type or print name Hugo Cartaya		Telephone No. 720-946-1302
(This space for State use)		
APPPROVED BY Conditions of approval, if any:	_TITLE	DATE



CALPINE NATURAL GAS COMPANY

TABOR CENTER

1200 17th STREEL SUITE 770

DENVER, COLORADO 80202

720.359.9144

720,359 9140 HVV

January 27, 2003

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Attention: Mr. David Catnach

Dear Catnach,

Calpine is proposing to cement the tubing inside the casing in our attempt to convert the Roosevelt =1 to an SWD well. We have had several discussions with the Artee office regarding this matter. I spoke with Lori Wrotenberry and she recommended that I put the proposal in writing to the Aztee office and that I copy you on this correspondence to provide guidance.

1、十分机器 三分语言

Enclosed please find a copy of a letter sent to New Mexico OCD, a copy of the state sundry notice, a copy of an EPA guidance document, a copy of the recompletion reports as well as a copy of the BLM sundry notice for the proposed action. Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions. Any comments or suggestions would be appreciated.

Sincerely.

Hugo Cartaya Rocky Mountain Production Manager



NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

BILL RICHARDSON Governor

Joanna Prukop Cabinet Secretary Lori Wrotenbery Director Oil Conservation Division

February 11, 2003

Certified Receipt #7001 1140 0000 4018 5745

Mr. Hugo Cartaya Calpine Natural Gas Company 1200 17TH ST STE 770 Denver CO 80202

Re: Roosevelt #1, API# 30-045-26458

Dear Mr. Cartaya:

Our office does not approve your proposal to cement 2-7/8" tubing in the referenced well and converting it to injection without tubing and packer. We have been informed that the BLM concurs with this decision.

I will address each of your points.

- 1. Although the EPA may approve of such a configuration, the scope of the NMOCD's responsibility is broader than only protecting water. The EPA could conceivably approve an operation that protects water but allows migration of fluids from one zone to another even to the point of causing underground waste.
- 2. Although there are no designated fresh water aquifers there are still zones, including the productive Pictured Cliffs and Fruitland Coal, susceptible to the migration of fluids behind the pipe.
- 3. Actually, the standard configuration with the required leak detection system provides a continuous test of the integrity of the injection string that is monitored with every visit to the well. To reduce this to only twice a year is unacceptable. Secondly, the tests required by 704 test not only the primary protection offered by the injection string but also the important secondary containment provided by the casing. This would be totally absent in your proposed configuration.
- 4. The argument that only Calpine's interests would be affected by a failure is specious. The logical extension would be to allow a failure to continue because Calpine gains more benefit from injecting than is lost by the watering out of an otherwise productive zone.

From the information you supplied, this well is in violation of Rule 19.15.3.108. and our records indicate that it is also in violation of 19.15.4.201 as it has not produced since August of 2000.

Sincerely,

35.5

Frank T. Chavez District Supervisor ftchavez@state.nm.us

FTC/mk

Cc David Catanach Jim Lovato, BLM, Farmington