	1	
STATE OF NEW MEXICO		
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOUR	CES DEPARTMENT	
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	ON	
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:)))	
APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO) CASE NOS. 13,031))	
APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	_) (Consolidated)	
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
EXAMINER HEARING	RECEIVE	
BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, JR., Hearing E	-000	
March 27th, 2003	Oil Conservation Division	
Santa Fe, New Mexico		
This matter came on for hearing	g before the New	
Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID	K. BROOKS, JR.,	
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 27th	h, 2003, at the New	
Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Reso	urces Department,	
1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102	, Santa Fe, New	
Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Cour	rt Reporter No. 7	
for the State of New Mexico.		
* * *		

INDEX

March 27th, 2003 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 13,031 and 13,032 (Consolidated)

PAGE

3

10

APPEARANCES

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

EXHIBIT

Applicant's

Affidavit

Identified Admitted

5

_

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR. Attorney at Law Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Assistant General Counsel 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

ALSO PRESENT:

WILLIAM V. JONES, JR. Petroleum Engineer New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:19 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, I guess we're ready to
4	proceed then. At this time we will call Case Number
5	13,031, the Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for
6	compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
7	Call for appearances.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of
9	the Applicant. I just have a brief statement.
10	I would also ask at this time you call the next
11	case. They involve the same section of land.
12	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, at this time we will
13	call Case Number 13,032, the Application of Mewbourne Oil
14	Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox oil well
15	location, Eddy County, New Mexico.
16	Call for appearances.
17	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, again Jim Bruce
18	representing the Applicant.
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, any other appearances in
20	either of these cases?
21	Are you going to make a consolidated presentation
22	of these two cases, Mr. Bruce?
23	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
24	EXAMINER BROOKS: And are these presented under
25	Rule 1207.A?

4

	3
1	MR. BRUCE: A.(1).(b), I believe it is.
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: I haven't gotten as good with
3	those as I got with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.
4	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, these two cases involve
5	two wells, one in the east half of Section 11, 21 South, 27
6	East, the other one in the west half of the section. These
7	cases have been filed under Rule 1207.A.(1).(b), and
8	attached to the Application were the land exhibits and
9	geologic exhibits.
10	I have handed you the notice affidavit regarding
11	notice given to the interest owners. The interest owners
12	pooled in each case are the same individuals that
13	collectively own, I think, less than a half a percent
14	working interest in the well.
15	I would ask that the affidavit of notice be made
16	part of the record and that these cases be taken under
17	advisement.
18	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, you've presented the
19	affidavit of notice to the reporter, I see.
20	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: And the affidavit required by
22	Rule 1207 is attached to the Application?
23	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
24	EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, in 13,032 it's advertised
25	as also being for an unorthodox oil well location. Can you

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1 tell us about that?

_	
2	MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. The location was
3	selected based upon the primary objective, which is the
4	Morrow formation, and there were some surface obstacles at
5	a standard location in the southwest quarter of the
6	southwest quarter, so it was moved somewhat to the north of
7	a standard location, so it made it unorthodox as to any oil
8	well location.
9	EXAMINER BROOKS: What are the footages?
10	MR. BRUCE: The footage is 1010 feet from the
11	south line and 660 feet from the west line.
12	EXAMINER BROOKS: And that's going to be in
13	the
14	MR. BRUCE: southwest southwest of Section 11.
15	EXAMINER BROOKS: southwest southwest. So
16	that puts it too close to the north line of that southwest
17	southwest
18	MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: for a 40-acre spacing?
20	MR. BRUCE: Yeah.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. And that was done by
22	reason of surface for surface reasons?
23	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
24	EXAMINER BROOKS: Is that explained in the
25	affidavit?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1MR. BRUCE: It may not be explained in the2affidavit, Mr. Examiner, but let me give you a little3background4EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.5MR. BRUCE: on that particular case.6This case was filed twice. It was filed once7last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did8go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason9for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing.10Subsequently, all of the parties who were being11force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well.12Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few13interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of14the Coquina limited partnerships.15EXAMINER BROOKS: Right.16MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact,17and that's who Those are the four or five people being18force-pooled today.19That case number I can't find right now. It's2012,928.21EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that22Case?23MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an24order was entered, the case was dismissed.		
3 background 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. 5 MR. BRUCE: on that particular case. 6 This case was filed twice. It was filed once 7 last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did 8 go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason 9 for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. 10 Subsequently, all of the parties who were being 11 force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. 12 Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few 13 interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of 14 the Coquina limited partnerships. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. 16 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, 17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	1	MR. BRUCE: It may not be explained in the
 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. 5 MR. BRUCE: on that particular case. 6 This case was filed twice. It was filed once 7 last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did 8 go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason 9 for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. 10 Subsequently, all of the parties who were being 11 force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. 12 Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few 13 interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of 14 the Coquina limited partnerships. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. 16 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, 17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	2	affidavit, Mr. Examiner, but let me give you a little
5MR. BRUCE: on that particular case.6This case was filed twice. It was filed once7last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did8go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason9for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing.10Subsequently, all of the parties who were being11force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well.12Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few13interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of14the Coquina limited partnerships.15EXAMINER BROOKS: Right.16MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact,17and that's who Those are the four or five people being18force-pooled today.19That case number I can't find right now. It's2012,928.21EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that22Case?23MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	3	background
 6 This case was filed twice. It was filed once 7 last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did 8 go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason 9 for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. 10 Subsequently, all of the parties who were being 11 force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. 12 Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few 13 interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of 14 the Coquina limited partnerships. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. 16 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, 17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
 last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. Subsequently, all of the parties who were being force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of the Coquina limited partnerships. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	5	MR. BRUCE: on that particular case.
 go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. Subsequently, all of the parties who were being force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of the Coquina limited partnerships. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	6	This case was filed twice. It was filed once
 for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing. Subsequently, all of the parties who were being force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of the Coquina limited partnerships. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	7	last fall, and I'm looking for the case number. And we did
10Subsequently, all of the parties who were being11force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well.12Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few13interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of14the Coquina limited partnerships.15EXAMINER BROOKS: Right.16MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact,17and that's who Those are the four or five people being18force-pooled today.19That case number I can't find right now. It's2012,928.21EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that22case?23MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	8	go to hearing at that time on this matter, and the reason
11 force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well. 12 Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few 13 interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of 14 the Coquina limited partnerships. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. 16 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, 17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 20 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	9	for the unorthodox location was explained in that hearing.
Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of the Coquina limited partnerships. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	10	Subsequently, all of the parties who were being
 interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of the Coquina limited partnerships. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	11	force-pooled in that case voluntarily joined in the well.
14 the Coquina limited partnerships. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. 16 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, 17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 20 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	12	Then a subsequent title examination showed up a few
 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	13	interest owners. It was a few limited partners of one of
 MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact, and that's who Those are the four or five people being force-pooled today. That case number I can't find right now. It's 12,928. EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that case? MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	14	the Coquina limited partnerships.
<pre>17 and that's who Those are the four or five people being 18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 20 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an</pre>	15	EXAMINER BROOKS: Right.
<pre>18 force-pooled today. 19 That case number I can't find right now. It's 20 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an</pre>	16	MR. BRUCE: And they showed up after the fact,
19That case number I can't find right now. It's2012,928.21EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that22case?23MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	17	and that's who Those are the four or five people being
<pre>20 12,928. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an</pre>	18	force-pooled today.
 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that 22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an 	19	That case number I can't find right now. It's
<pre>22 case? 23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an</pre>	20	12,928.
23 MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an	21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Was an order entered in that
	22	case?
24 order was entered, the case was dismissed.	23	MR. BRUCE: There was I believe before an
	24	order was entered, the case was dismissed.
25 EXAMINER BROOKS: So the unorthodox	25	EXAMINER BROOKS: So the unorthodox

MR. BRUCE: The reason for the --1 EXAMINER BROOKS: -- location has never been 2 3 proved then? MR. BRUCE: That's correct. 4 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: Either at hearing or 6 administratively? MR. BRUCE: That's correct. 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: And is there -- It seems to me 8 we have to have some kind of evidence to grant an order. 9 10 MR. BRUCE: If I could, Mr. Examiner, ask that the -- There was testimony presented in 12,928. 11 If we could incorporate that in the record of this case --12 13 EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh, okay. MR. BRUCE: -- and the reason for the unorthodox 14 15 location was explained there. EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, we will take 16 administrative notice of the record in Case Number 12,928. 17 18 Is that the correct number, 12,928? 19 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. We will take 21 administrative notice of the record in Case Number 12,928 22 in connection with Case Number 13,032. 23 Anything further, Mr. Bruce? 24 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Mr. Jones?

8

EXAMINER JONES: I noticed, Mr. Bruce, the well 1 location is based on the best location for the well in the 2 Morrow, which is the primary zone of interest. 3 That's why the oil turns out to be a little bit too far north? 4 5 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. EXAMINER JONES: And any affected parties to the 6 7 north have been noticed? MR. BRUCE: They are all voluntary participants 8 in the well --9 10 **EXAMINER JONES:** Okay. MR. BRUCE: -- so they know what the location is. 11 12 And as far as the royalty owner goes, it's all state land. So the royalty owner is not affected either. 13 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are the pools identified in 14 15 the --16 MR. BRUCE: They are identified in the 17 Application. 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, very good. 19 If there's nothing further, then Cases Numbers 13,031 and 13,032 at this time will be taken under 20 advisement. 21 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 22 I do hereby certify that the tore a complete record of the proceedings in 23 8:29 a.m.) the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13034. 27 2003 *heard by me on Mouch 24 * Utba Examine 25 Oil Conservation Division

9

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 1st, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006