

# GALLEGOS LAW FIRM

A Professional Corporation

460 St. Michael's Drive  
Building 300  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505  
Telephone No. 505-983-6686  
Telefax No. 505-986-1367  
Telefax No. 505-986-0741  
E-Mail glf460@spinn.net

May 20, 2003  
(Our File No. 93-1.63)

ms  
**RECEIVED**

MAY 20 2003

Oil Conservation Division

J.E. GALLEGOS \*\*

## VIA HAND-DELIVERY

Michael Stogner  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division  
1220 S. St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

## VIA HAND-DELIVERY

William V. Jones, Jr.  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division  
1220 S. St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

Re: Application of Doyle Hartman, Oil Operator for simultaneous dedication, an exception to Rule 3(D) of the Special Rules and Regulations for the Eumont Gas Pool, an unorthodox infill gas well location, and to amend Division Administrative Order NSL-4773(SD), Lea County, New Mexico, Case No. 13050

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Notice Letter which was sent out May 14, 2003. We previously requested by letter of May 7, 2003 that this matter be rescheduled for the June 4, 2003 docket. That date is erroneous and the correct date should have been June 5, 2003. We do have a question as to whether the Division recognizes the twenty (20) day notice requirement from the date of mailing, which was May 14, or from the date of receipt by the Affected Parties. If the Division is concerned about the notice period being met for a June 5 hearing date, please advise and reschedule for the next later Examiner Docket.

Please also note the change in the notice provision as it affects the relief requested for the H.M. Britt Well No. 3 and H.M. Britt Well No. 11. Mr. Stogner's original notice had specified that the applicant proposes to replace the H.M. Britt Well No. 3 with the H.M. Britt Well No. 11. In fact, Hartman is requesting approval for simultaneous dedication of the two wells.

If you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

GALLEGOS LAW FIRM, P.C.

By

  
J.E. GALLEGOS

JEG:sg

fx: Doyle Hartman

Steve Hartman

ioc: Michael J. Condon

\*Admitted in N.M. & Colo.

\*\*New Mexico Board of Legal Specialization  
Recognized Specialist in the area of  
Natural Resources-Oil and Gas Law



# GALLEGOS LAW FIRM

A Professional Corporation

460 St. Michael's Drive  
Building 300  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505  
Telephone No. 505-983-6686  
Telefax No. 505-986-1367  
Telefax No. 505-986-0741  
E-Mail glf460@spinn.net

May 14, 2003

J.E. GALLEGOS \*\*

**CERTIFIED MAIL**

**RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Chevron/Texaco  
15 Smith Road  
Midland, Texas 79702

## TO ALL AFFECTED PARTIES

Re: Application of Doyle Hartman, Oil Operator for simultaneous dedication, an exception to Rule 3(D) of the Special Rules and Regulations for the Eumont Gas Pool, an unorthodox infill gas well location, and to amend Division Administrative Order NSL-4773(SD), Lea County, New Mexico, Case No. 13050

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is to advise you that Doyle Hartman, Oil Operator has filed an application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division in the above-referenced case. The public notice which has previously been provided by the Division, with certain modifications, reads as follows:

Case 13050. Division Administrative Order **NSL-4773 (SD)** dated **September 4, 2002** authorized Doyle Hartman, Oil Operator to **simultaneously dedicate the following six gas wells** to a non-standard 320-acre gas spacing unit (approved by Division Order No. R-5448 (within the Eumont Gas Pool (76480) comprising the W/2 E/2 and E/2 W/2 of Section 7, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, which is located approximately three (3) miles south-southwest of Monument, New Mexico:

- (i) **H.M. Britt Well No. 1** (API No. 30-025005972), located at a standard gas well location 1980 feet from the North and West lines (Unit F) of Section 7;
- (ii) **H.M. Britt Well No. 3** (API No. 30-025-05991), located at an unorthodox gas well location (approved by Division Order No. R-5448) 2310 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the East line (Unit G) of Section 7;

- (iii) **H.M. Britt Well No. 4** (API No. 30-025-05992), located at a standard gas well location 660 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the East line (Unit B) of Section 7;
- (iv) **H.M. Britt Well No. 5** (API No. 30-025-05993), located at a standard gas well location 1980 feet from the South and West lines (Unit K) of Section 7;
- (v) **H.M. Britt Well No. 12** (API No. 30-025-05999), located at an unorthodox gas well location (approved by Division Order No. R-5448) 330 feet from the North line and 2281 feet from the West line (Unit C) of Section 7; and
- (vi) **Britt Well No. 14** (API No. 30-025-35152), located at an unorthodox gas well location [approved Division Administrative Order NSL-4773 (SD)] 1400 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the East line (Unit J) of Section 7.

**Applicant now seeks an exception to Rule 3(D)** of the "Special Rules and Regulations for the Eumont Gas Pool", as promulgated by Division Order No. R-8170, as amended, to allow its repaired and recompleted **H.M. Britt Well No. 2** (API No. 30-025-05990), located at a standard infill gas well location 660 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line (Unit C) of Section 7, to be simultaneously dedicated with the **H.M. Britt Well No. 12**. Hartman will demonstrate that even though these wells are within the same quarter-quarter section (NE/4 NW/4), they produce from different strata within the Eumont Gas Pool. Further, applicant seeks an exception to Rule 3(D) of the "Special Rules and Regulations for the Eumont Gas Pool to allow simultaneous dedication of **the H.M. Britt Well No. 3** within the SW/4 NE/4 (Unit G) of Section 7 and the repaired and recompleted **H.M. Britt Well No. 11** (API No. 30-025-05998), located at an unorthodox infill gas well location 1650 feet from the North and East lines (Unit G) of Section 7. Hartman will demonstrate that these proposed exceptions are necessary to prevent waste and protect correlative rights. Applicant proposes to amend Division Administrative Order NSL-4773 (SD) to reflect these changes.

This application has been set for hearing before a Division Examiner on June 5, 2003. You are not required to attend this hearing, but as an owner of an interest that may be affected by this application, you may appear and present testimony. Failure to appear at that time and become a party of record will preclude you from challenging the matter at a later date.

Parties appearing in cases are required by Division Rule 1208.B to file a Pre-Hearing Statement three days in advance of a scheduled hearing. This statement must

include: the names of the parties and their attorneys; a concise statement of the case; the names of all witnesses the party will call to testify at the hearings; the approximate time the party will need to present its case, and identification of any procedural matters that are to be resolved prior to the hearing.

Sincerely,

GALLEGOS LAW FIRM, P.C.

By



J. E. GALLEGOS

JEG:sg

cc: Doyle Hartman  
Steve Hartman

ioc: Michael J. Condon