STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,946

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL

CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER

REQUIRING GLADSTONE RESOURCES, INC., TO

PROPERLY PLUG TWO WELLS, IMPOSING CIVIL

PENALTIES IN EVENT OF FAILURE TO COMPLY,

AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION TO PLUG SAID

WELLS IN DEFAULT OF COMPLIANCE BY

GLADSTONE RESOURCES, INC., AND ORDERING

A FORFEITURE OF APPLICABLE PLUGGING BOND,

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

October 24th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

NOV -8 PH 2: 22

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, October 24th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

October 24th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,946

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<u>DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS</u> (Plugging Bond Administrator, NMOCD, Santa Fe)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks Examination by Examiner Stogner	4 7
BILLY PRICHARD (Deputy Inspector, Hobbs District Office, District 1, NMOCD) (Present by Telephone)	0
Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks Examination by Examiner Stogner	8 13
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	16

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identif	ied	Admitted
Exhibit	1	8,	14	14
Exhibit	2	8,	14	14
Exhibit	3		9	13
Exhibit	4		9	13
Exhibit	5		10	13
Exhibit	6		10	13
Exhibit	7		10	13
Exhibit	8		10	13
Exhibit	9	8,	14	14
Exhibit	10		10	13
Exhibit	11		11	13
Exhibit	12		11	13
Exhibit	13		5	7
Exhibit	14		5	7
Exhibit	15		13	13
Exhibit	16		13	13

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

ALSO PRESENT:

WILLIAM V. JONES, JR.
Petroleum Engineer
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	2:18 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll call Case Number 12,946.
4	This is the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation
5	Division for an order requiring Gladstone Resources, Inc.,
6	to properly plug two particular wells in Lea County, New
7	Mexico.
8	At this time I'll call for appearances.
9	MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I'm David Brooks,
10	Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department of the
11	State of New Mexico, appearing for the New Mexico Oil
12	Conservation Division.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: No other appearances.
14	You have two witnesses, and I'll remind them
15	again for the record
16	MR. BROOKS: I have two witnesses, and they've
17	both been previously sworn.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Previously sworn. Okay, Mr.
19	Brooks, you may continue.
20	DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS,
21	the witness herein, having been previously duly sworn upon
22	her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BROOKS:
25	O. State your name for the record, please. Ms.

	5
1	Phillips.
2	A. Dorothy Phillips.
3	Q. By whom are you employed?
4	A. New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.
5	Q. In what capacity?
6	A. I am the plugging bond administrator.
7	Q. Ms. Phillips, you maintain a file on Gladstone
8	Resources, Inc.?
9	A. Yes, sir, I have two files for Gladstone
10	Resources, Inc.
11	Q. Okay. Before you look at your files I'll ask you
12	to look at Exhibits Numbers 13 and 14 that have been marked
13	in this proceeding, and could you identify those?
14	A. They are two single-well cash bonds for Gladstone
15	Resources, Inc.
16	Q. And to which wells do they refer?
17	A. OCD-477 is the number issued for McNeil Number 1,
18	and the other is OCD-476 for the McGarrity Number 1.
19	Q. And the one for the McNeil Number 1, OCD-477, is
20	Exhibit Number 14; is that correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And what is the amount of that bond?
23	A. \$7500.
24	Q. And OCD-476 is the bond for the McGarrity Number

25

1; is that correct?

That's correct. 1 Α. And that is Exhibit Number 13? 2 Q. That's correct. 3 Α. And what is the amount of that bond? 4 Q. \$5000. 5 Α. Now, attached to each of these bonds is another 6 Q. 7 document labeled Assignment of Cash Collateral? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 And is that signed in each case by a bank? Q. Yes, by the assistant vice president of the Lea 10 Α. 11 County State Bank. 12 Q. Very good, and that's true of each of Exhibits 13 13 and 14? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. Okay, would you refer to your file for Shanley --16 your bond file for Shanley Oil Company [sic], and can you 17 tell us if Exhibits 13 and 14 are true copies of the 18 original bonds maintained in your files? 19 Α. Yes, for the Gladstone Resources, Inc. 20 14 is the same as what is in my bond file. 21 Q. Okay. 22 And also again for Gladstone Resources, Inc., 23 Exhibit 13 is what is in my bond file. 24 MR. BROOKS: Very good, we'll tender Exhibits 13

and 14.

Exhibits 13 and 14 will be **EXAMINER STOGNER:** 1 admitted into evidence. 2 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 3 4 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 5 Ms. Phillips, up on the upper right-hand corners 6 Q. there's a couple of numbers, OCD-476 and OCD-477. 7 these internal bond numbers, or what is that referring to? 8 Yes, sir, on cash bonds the OCD assigns the 9 Α. On surety bonds, the surety assigns the number. 10 number. MR. BROOKS: And I'm -- Go ahead. 11 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other questions. MR. BROOKS: Very good. I would add that we do 13 not make the bank a party to -- depository bank a party to 14 these proceedings where they're cash bonds, because the 15 bank is simply acknowledging that they are holding these 16 17 funds for the benefit of the OCD, and -- if we release them in principal, and they -- unlike a surety, they don't 18 19 pledge their own assets to secure this plugging liability; 20 they just are willing to pay us the principal's money. 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, so noted. Thank you, Mr. Brooks. 22 23 No other questions of Ms. Phillips at this time? 24 MR. BROOKS: No other questions of Ms. Phillips. 25 **EXAMINER STOGNER:** Okay.

MR. BROOKS: Call Billy Prichard. 1 2 MR. PRICHARD: Yes, sir. BILLY PRICHARD (Present by Telephone), 3 the witness herein, having been previously duly sworn upon 4 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. BROOKS: 7 Mr. Prichard, once again, Exhibit Number 1 is the 8 affidavit of Jane Prouty, Exhibit Number 2 is a summary 9 that I've prepared from the well files. 10 11 Would you state your name for the record, please, Billy? 12 Yes, sir, Billy Prichard, compliance officer, 13 District 1, NMOCD. 14 Q. And were your credentials accepted in the 15 previous proceeding? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Mr. Prichard, would you look at Exhibits Numbers 18 Q. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 -- 9 is another summary so you can 19 disregard 9 -- 10, 11 and 12, and would you tell us, are 20 21 those copies of documents that are contained in the well files for the wells -- well, for the McGarrity and McNeil 22 23 wells of Gladstone Resources? Yes, they are, these are forms that are 24 maintained in the District 1 well files. 25

- Q. Okay. Now, Exhibits 1 [sic], 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, do those refer to the McGarrity well?
 - A. You got ahead of me, David, just a little.
 - Q. Exhibits 3 through 8, inclusive, do those -- do each of those exhibits refer to the McGarrity Number 1 well?
 - A. Yes, they do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Now, there's another name, the Keohane Number 1, on Exhibit Number 3, but that is API Number 30-025-26880 -- No, wait, I'm sorry, that's the McNeil. Exhibit Number 3 describes the Keohane Well Number 1. The API number given is 30-025-22245. Is that the same API number as for the well that's now called the McGarrity Number 1?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay, would you go through Exhibits 3 through 8 and explain to the Examiner what each one of them is?
- A. Okay, Exhibit 4 is the intent to drill the Keohane Number 1 by William Barnhill, and it was approved in 1967, and it has the same well number that is currently carried by the McGarrity.
 - Q. Okay, go ahead. Exhibit Number 4?
- A. Number 4 is a C-105, which is the completion of the Keohane Number 1, the same legal description as the McGarrity.
 - Q. Okay, Number 5?

Number 5 is a sundry notice, a C-103, that 1 Α. 2 outlines the completion and recompletion, it looks like, of the McGarrity Number 1. 3 Right, that was quite a bit subsequent to the 4 Q. original drilling, was it not? 5 A. Yes, it was. That was approved in 1992. 6 7 Okay, and Exhibit Number 6? Q. Exhibit Number 6 is a sundry notice, a C-103, to 8 Α. 9 recomplete into the San Andres by Team Exploration. Q. Okay, and Exhibit Number 7? 10 Number 7 is a sundry notice approved in 1993 to 11 Α. recomplete into the Eumont-Yates-Seven Rivers. 12 Okay, and I wonder if they couldn't think of some 13 Q. more formations to try in that well. 14 Exhibit Number 8, what is that? 15 Exhibit Number 8 is a C-104 changing ownership 16 Α. 17 from Team Exploration to Gladstone Resources, approved in 1994. 18 Okay. Now I will ask you to look at Exhibits 10, 19 Q. 20 11 and 12 and ask you, do each of those exhibits refer to 21 the McNeil Well Number 1, API Number 30-025-26880? 22 Α. Okay, this is a C-101 -- this is Exhibit Number 23 10. 24 Q. Right. 25 It was the intent to drill the McNeil Number 1 by Α.

1 Kenai Oil and Gas out of Midland, Texas. It was approved 2 in 1980.

- Q. Okay, Exhibit Number 11, what is that?
- A. Exhibit Number 11 is the completion report of the McNeil Number 1 by Kenai Oil and Gas, and it was approved also in 1980, or received in 1980.
 - Q. And Exhibit Number 12?

- A. Exhibit Number 12 is a change of operator from Team Exploration to Gladstone Resources, approved in 1994 for the McNeil Number 1.
- Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with the Shanley Oil Company McGarrity -- I'm sorry, Shanley was the last one.

 Are you familiar with Gladstone Resources McGarrity and McNeil Number 1 -- McGarrity Number 1 and McNeil Number 1 wells?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. In your opinion -- Well, first of all, do you know how long those wells have been inactive?
- A. You know, David, really I don't. I'd need to look at Jane's deal. I do know that it's been an extremely long time.
- Q. Okay. Well, Exhibit Number 1 shows that there has been no production since 1998 from the McGarrity, and there has been no production since January of 1997 from the McNeil, for the record.

In your opinion as a well inspector, do these wells need to be plugged for the protection of the environment, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?

A. Yes, they do need to be plugged.

- Q. Now, I didn't make a written notice of this, but your boss, Mr. Williams, contacted me about one of the wells in this package, and I believe it's one of the Gladstone wells that he said was a high priority for plugging. Do you know which one that is?
 - A. Yes, I do, it is the McNeil Number 1.
- Q. And can you tell us why that would be a toppriority plugging project?
- A. Well, in my opinion the well poses a potential hazard to residents, as there's houses on the east side of it and on the west side of it. And what prompted this going ahead and scheduling this well for hearing was the fact that we had a big leak out there, and the fire department called us out on it. We had to check the well for several days after we were able to shut it in, and it does pose a threat.
- Q. And pursuant to Mr. Williams' direction, would you request that the Examiner put this one at his earliest convenience to get an order on?
- 25 A. Yes, I would.

Okay, very good. Oh, and call your attention now 1 0. to what's been marked as Exhibits Numbers 15 and 16. 2 3 Α. Yes. Are these plugging procedures prepared by you for 4 0. the McGarrity and McNeil wells, respectively? 5 Α. Yes, they are. 6 If these wells are plugged in accordance with 7 Q. 8 these procedures, would they be properly plugged and 9 abandoned in compliance with OCD Rules? Α. Yes, they would. 10 11 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, I tender in evidence 12 Exhibits 3 through 8 inclusive, and Exhibits 10 through 12 13 inclusive, and Exhibits 15 and 16. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 3 through 8, 10 15 through 12, 15 and 16 will be admitted into evidence at this time. 16 17 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 18 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 19 20 Q. Let's see, which well -- it was the McNeil. 21 about the McGarrity well? What's the environment around that? 22 There is a residence to the north of the 23 McGarrity well approximately three-quarters of a mile, and 24 25 I could be off on the distance some, but it is essentially

pastureland used by livestock. You know, there's very few houses around it.

- Q. Okay. How about water wells, windmills?
- A. I would say that the water well at the residence three-quarters of a mile to the north is probably the closest water well.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I have no other questions of Mr. Prichard.

MR. BROOKS: I have no other questions of Mr. Prichard. At this time I would tender into evidence the affidavit of Jane Prouty, Exhibit Number 1.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit Number 1 will be admitted into evidence at this time, and I'll take notice of Exhibit Number 2 and 9, which are summaries of the information provided on the wellbore.

MR. BROOKS: Correct, and may I see the Court's file for a moment, please? Oh, it's right here, I'm sorry.

I know that they got the notice in this, because they did in all but the Firo, but -- Okay, we will ask the Examiner to take judicial notice of the contents of the Court's file which reflect that the notice -- notification to Gladstone Resources -- now, the notice -- the original 20-day notice to Gladstone Resources was sent to Gladstone Resources, care of Oil Reports, Post Office Box 706, Hobbs, New Mexico, and was returned undeliverable. That is the

address that was shown in the Division's database. 1 Thereafter, when that was returned, two 2 additional addresses were procured from the Public 3 Regulation Commission, and a subsequent notice was sent to 4 the Public Regulation Commission. The Division's file 5 6 contains the return receipts from each of those two addresses, and the one was sent by F. Carrasquiglio and the 7 other was signed by Florence -- Esmira? I can't read for 8 9 sure. 10 But anyway, I recognize that the 20-day notice was not received. However, I do believe that we do have 11 12 legal jurisdiction because the 20-day notice was sent to 13 the last known address shown in the OCD's files, and 14 apparently Gladstone does have actual notice subsequent to 15 the 20-day period at another address. 16 So we'd request that the case be considered on 17 the notice as given. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: So noted, I'll take that under 19 advisement. 20 With that, Case Number 12, 946 will be taken under advisement. 21 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 23 2:34 p.m.) * * the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12946. 24 beard by me pn 24 October 2002 , Examiner 25 Of Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 6th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006