STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,276

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

May 27th, 2004

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, May 27th, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX May 27th, 2004 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,276 PAGE **APPLICANT'S WITNESS:** D. PAUL HADEN (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 3 Examination by Examiner Stogner 12 **REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE** 16 * * * EXHIBITS Applicant's Identified Admitted Exhibit 1 4 12 Exhibit 2 4 12 Exhibit 3 8 12 Exhibit 4 10 12 Exhibit 5 12 * * * APPEARANCES FOR THE APPLICANT: JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 * * *

2

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 10:24 a.m.: 2 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, at this time I'll call 4 Case Number 13,276, which is the Application of Mewbourne 5 Oil Company for compulsory pooling. Call for appearances. 6 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 7 representing the Applicant. I have one witness. EXAMINER STOGNER: There's nobody else in the 8 So noted. 9 room. 10 Witness please stand to be sworn. 11 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) D. PAUL HADEN, 12 13 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 14 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BRUCE: 17 Would you please state your name and city of 0. residence for the record? 18 My name is Paul Haden. I live in Midland, Texas. 19 Α. 20 Q. And who do you work for? I work for Mewbourne Oil Company as a petroleum 21 Α. 22 landman. 23 Q. Have you previously testified before the Division 24 as a landman? 25 Α. Yes, I have.

3

| 1 | Q. And were your credentials as an expert accepted |
|----|---|
| 2 | as a matter of record? |
| 3 | A. Yes, they were. |
| 4 | Q. Are you familiar with the land matters involved |
| 5 | in this case? |
| 6 | A. Yes, sir, I am. |
| 7 | MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Haden as |
| 8 | an expert petroleum landman. |
| 9 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Haden is so qualified. |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Haden, could you identify |
| 11 | Exhibit 1 and describe what Mewbourne seeks in this case? |
| 12 | A. Exhibit Number 1 is a land plat of the area. It |
| 13 | depicts our proposed spacing unit in yellow, shaded in |
| 14 | yellow, as being in the south half of Section 32 of |
| 15 | Township 20 South, Range 28 East. It also indicates our |
| 16 | proposed well location, which is 660 from the south line |
| 17 | and 1650 from the east line of said Section 32. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And Exhibit 2, a lot of data there, but |
| 19 | what does that depict? |
| 20 | A. Exhibit 2 indicates the tract ownership in the |
| 21 | proposed spacing unit. |
| 22 | Q. Okay, so it goes tract by tract, and then on the |
| 23 | final part is a 320-acre unit ownership summary? |
| 24 | A. Yes, sir, that's correct. |
| 25 | Q. Why don't you go through that a little bit for |
| | |

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

the Examiner?

1

A. As described in the tract ownership, it has the
west half, southeast quarter below a depth of 6614 feet
beneath the surface to a depth of 11,600 feet beneath the
surface.

6 On the second page it shows the same 80-acre 7 tract, being the west half of the southeast quarter, the 8 ownership below a depth of 11,600 feet beneath the surface. 9 The reason for that is, a one-half mineral interest below 10 depths of 11,600 feet beneath the surface is currently 11 unleased. That would also affect the east half of the 12 southeast quarter.

Page Number 3 of said exhibit also describes the ownership in the east half of the southeast quarter, as does page 4, as to the ownership in the east half of the southeast quarter below 11,600 feet.

Page 5 indicates, first, the southwest quarter, the ownership there. Mewbourne Oil Company, 100 percent as to rights below the base of the Bone Spring formation through the Morrow formation. It also depicts the unit ownership. Q. Okay. Now, on this, just so we're clear on the

23 record as to who we seek to pool, could you go down these
24 people and tell the status of each company, other than
25 Mewbourne?

Α. Right, Lowe Partners, L.P., the second from the 1 2 top, it indicates the ownership there of 24.8-some-odd 3 percent ownership in the unit. We have just made a verbal 4 agreement with them Monday. But you still need to pool them at this time? 5 Q. Yes, we still need to pool them until there's an 6 Α. 7 executed agreement between us and Lowe Partners, L.P. The same goes with OXY USA WTP Limited 8 9 Partnership, roughly 8.333 percent unit interest. We made a verbal agreement with OXY yesterday, and we're just 10 11 waiting on an executed agreement. The interest of Lloyd Largent and Rock Eagle 12 Ranch Corporation, it describes a percentage interest less 13 14 than one percent. As to Lloyd Largent we have a farmout 15 agreement with him that covers a life estate. However, Rock Eagle Ranch Corporation, they were named as one of the 16 17 poolees because they have a remainder interest. We had 18 requested them to execute a ratification farmout agreement. 19 Actually, the principal of Rock Eagle Ranch is the brother-20 in-law of Lloyd Largent. 21 Q. So you would still need to pool Rock Eagle? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Α. Also the Estate of Helen G. Harrison, Deceased, 25 .58119 percent interest, currently negotiating with them.

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

Apparently they are working out a trade with Lowe Partners, L.P. We've agreed to also acquire that interest by virtue of acquiring the Lowe Partners interest. Actually, the Helen Harrison interest also owns some rights in some producing Bone Spring wells which are operated by Lowe Partners, L.P., within Section 32.

Also, the Bilberry Exploration, Inc., Interest, 7 it says it's .05, however that's a typo. It should be 8 .542478 percent. They acquired their interest through Axis 9 Energy Corporation, which is right below the OXY interest. 10 11 In fact, Axis had already signed an AFE as to the combined interest, however we have not received an executed AFE from 12 Bilberry Exploration, Inc. Also, they have not signed the 13 JOA. Axis Energy, on the other hand, has. 14

15 The two bottom interests, Dolores L. McCall and 16 Charles Cline Moore, very small interests. We've had 17 dealings with these people in the past. They have never 18 responded to anything. They own some interest in Township 19 21 South, 27 East. So we'd like to pool them.

20 Q. Okay, so you'll pool those. All the others, you 21 know, Axis and the Yates group, et cetera, those people 22 have agreed to join in the well?

23 A. Yes, they have.

24 Q. Let's move on to your efforts to get the people 25 to join in, and could you identify Exhibit 3 and maybe just

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1 run through it briefly? Okay, Exhibit Number 3 is a summary of 2 Α. 3 communications, and behind those three pages of the summary, behind that, is a copy of all the correspondence 4 and notes of communications with all these people. 5 Let's start on page 1, Lowe Partners, L.P. 6 We 7 had several communications with them back in January of '03, February, May, July, August. We finally proposed the 8 9 well to them by a letter dated 11-13-03, with an AFE attached. There were subsequent conversations and phone 10 11 calls and letters where we currently are. 12 Also listed is OXY USA. We proposed the well August -- or, excuse me, November 13th. It indicates the 13 14 communications with OXY. 15 The next page, the Helen G. Harrison Estate 16 interest, it notes the date on which we proposed the well, 17 which is February 23rd, '04, with an AFE, follow-up 18 conversations, et cetera. 19 Next interest, Rock Eagle Ranch Corporation, it indicates our communications with them. 20 21 Dolores L. McCall, the same applies. Well 22 proposed November 18th, '03, with AFE. 23 The Charles Cline Moore interest, well proposed 24 November 18th, '03, with AFE. Davis Brothers interest, which is a mineral 25

8

interest below 11,600 feet, this interest showed up later 1 in our title. Unfortunately, our examining attorney didn't 2 Apparently the previous lease had a Pugh 3 pick this up. clause in it. It was a 1975-vintage lease, originally, 4 which at the end of the primary term rights below the 5 deepest depth drilled in the proration unit would revert 6 7 back to the lessors, so we sent them a well proposal, we 8 had subsequent conversations regarding leasing that 9 interest. We have come to an agreement with them as of last Monday. We're just waiting on the execution of a 10 11 lease covering that mineral interest. The last page, Bilberry Exploration, Inc., the 12 13 well was originally proposed to Axis Energy, Inc., on November 5th of '03, as I've previously indicated. Their 14 title came through -- that is, Bilberry Exploration 15 Company's title came through Axis Energy, so we named them 16 17 as poolee, pending execution of an operating agreement, an AFE. 18 19 The interests of Desert States Energy, Inc., they 20 are dismissed. They've signed an AFE and also an operating 21 agreement. 22 Q. So Mr. Haden, except for Davis Brothers Okay. 23 and the Helen Harrison Estate, the initial proposal letter went out in November of '03? 24 25 Α. That's correct.

| 1 | Q. And the other ones went out a couple months |
|----|---|
| 2 | after? |
| 3 | A. Right. |
| 4 | Q. And have you had besides the correspondence |
| 5 | the correspondence is in the back of Exhibit 3, is it not? |
| 6 | A. That's correct. |
| 7 | Q. And then there are also it looks like phone |
| 8 | notes, so you had phone conversations with a number of |
| 9 | these people? |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir, that's correct. |
| 11 | MR. BRUCE: Okay. Mr. Examiner, with respect to |
| 12 | Bilberry Exploration, I received a phone call from Mr. |
| 13 | Bilberry a couple weeks ago, and he informed me that he |
| 14 | would just be force pooled, and he would probably |
| 15 | participate under the pooling order, so |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: That's news to me. |
| 17 | Q. (By Mr. Bruce) In your opinion, has Mewbourne |
| 18 | made a good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of |
| 19 | the interest owners in the well? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we have. |
| 21 | Q. Could you identify Exhibit 4 for the Examiner? |
| 22 | A. Exhibit Number 4 is our AFE. It indicates the |
| 23 | name of the well, being the Saladar "32" Federal Com Number |
| 24 | 1 well, which is, as I previously indicated, located 660 |
| 25 | from the south line, 1650 from the east line. It's an |

10

11 11,800-foot proposed test well. It also indicates the 1 estimated cost to casing point, which is \$739,000, and also 2 the estimated total completed cost of \$1,252,200. 3 And is this cost in line with the cost of other 4 ο. 5 wells which Mewbourne has drilled to this depth in this 6 immediate area? Yes, it is. 7 Α. Does Mewbourne request that it be designated 8 Q. 9 operator of the well? 10 Α. Yes, we do. And do you have a recommendation for the amounts ο. 11 which Mewbourne should be paid for supervision and overhead 12 13 expenses? Yes, sir, \$6500 per month for drilling and \$650 Α. 14 per month for a producing well rate. 15 ο. And does Mewbourne request that these rates be 16 adjusted under the COPAS accounting procedure? 17 Α. 18 Yes, we do. Does Mewbourne request that the maximum cost-19 ο. 20 plus-200-percent risk penalty be assessed against 21 nonconsenting interest owners? 22 Α. We do. 23 And with respect to the overhead rates, are those Q. 24 similar to overhead rates assessed by Mewbourne and other 25 operators in this area?

and the second second second

Yes, also being the same rates that our current 1 Α. joint interest owners have agreed to. 2 Okay. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you 3 Q. or under your supervision or compiled from company business 4 5 records? Α. Yes, they were. 6 And in your opinion, is the granting of this 7 0. Application in the interests of conservation and the 8 prevention of waste? 9 Α. Yes. 10 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission 11 of Exhibits 1 through 5. 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 13 admitted into evidence. 14 15 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 16 17 Q. Mr. Haden, referring now to page 5 of your second exhibit, I want to make sure that I've got the Yates 18 interest adequately addressed. Now, you mentioned in your 19 20 testimony that Yates Drilling Company, as I understand it -- Yates Drilling, that's the first Yates you come to down 21 22 the list? 23 Α. Well, that would include the Yates group, which includes Yates Drilling Company; MYCO Industries, Inc.; Los 24 25 Chicos Partnership; Yates Petroleum Corporation; John A.

19 1 F. P. 1 F. 2

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

| | 1.5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Yates, Jr., individually; and John A. Yates, Jr., as |
| 2 | Trustee of the "Q" Trust". |
| 3 | Q. They have all verbally, but you have not you |
| 4 | do not have a signed agreement? |
| 5 | A. They've executed AFEs, each one of those parties |
| 6 | have executed an AFE, they've agreed to join in the well, |
| 7 | they've been presented with the operating agreement, which |
| 8 | they seem to have no problem executing that. |
| 9 | MR. BRUCE: They were not notified of this |
| 10 | Application, Mr. Examiner. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 12 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Oh, okay, I thought I heard |
| 13 | somewhere that one of the Yates |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 15 | Q. (By Examiner Stogner) Okay, so none of the Yates |
| 16 | are to be force pooled? |
| 17 | A. No, sir. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Then again, who is? I've got Lowe |
| 19 | A Lowe, OXY |
| 20 | Q OXY |
| 21 | A Lloyd Largent/Rock Eagle Ranch Corporation; |
| 22 | Estate of Helen G. Harrison, Deceased; Bilberry |
| 23 | Exploration, Inc.; Dolores L. McCall; and Charles Cline |
| 24 | Moore. |
| 25 | Q. Okay, I had Now, with respect to OXY, that's a |

- . · ·

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

verbal --1 That's a verbal agreement within. They've agreed 2 Α. to farm out their interest to us. We have not reviewed 3 4 their proposed farmout agreement yet. Okay. And what percentage of the south half of 5 Q. 32 is state minerals and what percentage of it is fee? 6 Actually, the south -- 37 1/2 percent of the unit 7 Α. is federal, which includes the west half of the southwest 8 9 quarter and also southeast and southwest quarter. The balance of the acreage is fee in the south half. 10 MR. BRUCE: Did you say federal or state, Mr. 11 12 Haden? THE WITNESS: I said -- I said federal. 13 Ι believe that's a federal lease, not state acreage, although 14 15 it says state on the plat. 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Section 32 is usually a state 17 lease. MR. BRUCE: I think it is state, Mr. Examiner. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay, well, I'll have to check my 19 20 records on that. 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: Let's assume they're state --22 THE WITNESS: Okay --EXAMINER STOGNER: -- but if you find out 23 24 otherwise, if you would contact me. 25 MR. BRUCE: But regardless, 3/8 would be in that

lease --1 2 THE WITNESS: Right. -- 3/8 of the well unit. 3 MR. BRUCE: THE WITNESS: That's correct. 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: So far we've been breaking the 5 rule today on what should be state acreage is not state 6 7 acreage, and what's --Right, I thought --8 THE WITNESS: 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, is there anything further in this matter? 10 11 MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further, Mr. Examiner. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. In that case, then Case 12 13 13,276 will be taken under advisement. And stand at recess, I believe I said 1:15 to the 14 other parties. 15 16 MR. BRUCE: That's correct. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: 1:15. 18 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 19 10:43 a.m.) 20 * * i do hereby certify that the foregoing is 21 a complete record of the proceedings 1/2 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13276. 22 heard by mg of 127 May 2007 23 , Examiner Oll Conservation Division 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 2nd, 2004.

onu

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317