STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,058

APPLICATION OF J. CLEO THOMPSON AND JAMES CLEO THOMPSON, JR., L.P., FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT, ROOSEVELT COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

RECEIVED

MAY 8 2003

April 24th, 2003

Oil Conservation Division

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, April 24th, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

April 24th, 2003 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,058

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

MIKE FOWLER (Geologist)

Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Stogner

4 13

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

17

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	6 6	12 12
Exhibit 3	7	-
Exhibit 4	8	12
Exhibit 5	8	12
Exhibit 6	9	12
Exhibit 7	11	12

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:19 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, there's been a request 3 to go out of order. Go over to page 3, and at this time 4 I'm going to call Case 13,058, which is the Application of 5 J. Cleo Thompson and James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P., for 6 approval of a unit agreement, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. 7 At this time call for appearances. 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 9 representing the Applicant. I have one witness. 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Will the witness please stand 11 to be sworn at this time? 12 13 Are there any other appearances? 14 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 15 MIKE FOWLER, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 16 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. BRUCE: 19 20 0. Would you please state your name for the record? Mike Fowler. 21 Α. 22 0. Where do you reside? 23 Α. Odessa, Texas. 24 Q. Who do you work for, and in what capacity? 25 Α. I'm a geologist for J. Cleo Thompson.

1	Q. Have you previously testified before the
2	Division?
3	A. No, I have not.
4	Q. Would you please summarize your educational and
5	employment background?
6	A. I have a BS degree in geology from Texas Tech
7	University. I have worked 17 years in the industry as a
8	geologist. The past six years I have worked for J. Cleo
9	Thompson.
10	Q. Does your area of responsibility at Thompson
11	include southeast New Mexico?
12	A. Yes, it does.
13	Q. And are you familiar with the geology involved in
14	this Application?
15	A. Yes.
16	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Mr. Fowler
17	as an expert petroleum geologist.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Is that Ballard or Fowler?
19	MR. BRUCE: Fowler.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: How do you spell that?
21	MR. BRUCE: F-o-w-l-e-r.
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Fowler is so qualified.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Fowler, what does Thompson
25	seek in this case?

- A. We seek an order approving the exploratory unit of the northwest Jenkins.

 Q. Would you identify Exhibit 1 and describe what
 - A. Exhibit 1 is the land plat covering the south half of Section 32 in Township 8, 34 East.
- Q. And the unit area is simply the south half; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. What types of lands are in the unit?
 - A. State lands.
- 12 Q. What is Exhibit 2?

the unit area encompasses?

4

5

6

9

10

- A. It's just a unit agreement, common unit agreement
 form, used by the Land Commissioner.
- Q. Okay, so this is the proposed form that the Land
 Office has, which just has been modified to fit your unit
 area; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Does Exhibit B of the agreement reflect all of the interest owners in the proposed unit area?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. If I'm correct, there are no overriding royalties; is that correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. So it's simply the royalty interest and the

working interests? 1 Α. Yes. 2 And have all of the working interests ratified or 3 Q. signed the unit agreement? 4 5 Α. Yes, they have. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Page 6 does contain the 6 7 signature pages of all the working interest owners in the 8 unit. They have all ratified the unit. 9 One other thing, Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 3 was meant to be the letter of preliminary approval from the 10 State Land Office. I have spoken with them as of 11 yesterday. They have told me that they would give 12 13 preliminary approval to the unit, but I haven't received 14 the letter from them. I would ask permission to hold the 15 record open for a few days so I can submit that to you. EXAMINER STOGNER: And how will that be marked? 16 Will that be designated --17 MR. BRUCE: -- Exhibit 3. 18 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- Exhibit 3. I'll hold the 20 record open until Exhibit 3 shows up. 21 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Fowler, what are your plans for the initial unit well? 22 23 To test the Devonian formation. Α. And where will that well be located? 24 Q.

That well will be located -- just a second here,

25

Α.

make sure of the -- in the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 32.

- Q. Okay. Would you identify your Exhibit 4, the cross-section, and describe for the Examiner what you are hoping to test in the initial unit well?
- A. Exhibit 4 is a structural cross-section using the local control points. It runs west to east from the Magnolia well through the Bright Apache to a Kerr-McGee well. What it is showing is, is a Devonian feature that was tested in 1991 by the Bright Apache.
- Q. Did the Bright Apache well produce from the Devonian?
 - A. Yes, it did, it cum'd approximately 46,000 barrels.
 - Q. Did it water out?
- 16 A. Yes, it did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

- 17 Q. Okay, go ahead.
- A. Thompson is planning to test the same feature in our proposed location in Section 32.
 - Q. There isn't a lot of well control in the Devonian out here, is there?
 - A. No, there's not.
- Q. Okay. What are Exhibits 5 and 6?
- A. Exhibits 5 and 6, Exhibit 5 is a structure map on the Devonian. That map shows an advantageous location to

the Bright well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. It would be structurally higher than the Bright well?
 - A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. Okay. And the Devonian feature does cover a good chunk of the south half of Section 32, does it not?
 - A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. And it dips down into Section 5 to the south?
- A. Yes.
- O. What does Exhibit 6 reflect?
- A. Exhibit 6 is just a subsurface map created using the Wolfcamp XX marker. There is basically no deep control out here, as I've stated. It does show closure on this marker and in my opinion reflects a deep-seated Devonian feature.
 - Q. Okay. Now, the location, the proposed location of the initial well is, I believe, 1129 feet from the south line and 2150 feet from the west line?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So it's too close to that northern quarterquarter section line under state rules; is that correct?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Why is the unorthodox location necessary in this case?
 - A. To gain the most structural advantage that we

can, and that way to most effectively drain the Devonian 1 2 feature. 3 0. Now, Mr. Fowler, when you look at your Exhibit 5 it looks like the well could be placed further to the 4 5 Is there additional data you have which would indicate that this is the best proposed location? 6 Yes, there is. We have shot a proprietary 3-D 7 Α. shoot across this acreage. 8 And that data shows that this location is the 9 Q. best location? 10 Yes, it does. 11 Α. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, that data, if you'll 12 recall, has been separately submitted to you in connection 13 14 with the unorthodox location request. EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take administrative 15 16 notice, and I don't have that -- the order has not been 17 issued at this point. MR. BRUCE: Correct. 18 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: I am familiar with the 20 administrative application that was filed for this well for 21 an unorthodox location. If I remember right too, there was a question on that, and you're referring to the additional 22 information --23 24 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 25 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- to the insufficient data

filed with that unorthodox location that was filed 1 administratively? 2 3 MR. BRUCE: That's correct, sir. EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take administrative 4 5 notice of the administrative application and also will -whatever record is made, I will make mention in the 6 administrative application this case number today, which it 7 8 can be referenced by at any time. Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Fowler, are there other 9 objectives in this well, other than the Devonian? 10 Yes, there is. There's the Atoka, Bough C, Abo 11 and San Andres. 12 Is there much well control out here in those 13 Q. formations? 14 In the Atoka, no. The Bright well did produce 15 out of the Atoka, approximately a half a BCF. The Bough C 16 has been heavily tested and produced in this area, and so 17 has the San Andres. 18 Okay. Would you identify Exhibit 7 and discuss 19 Q. the cost of the initial unit well? 20 Α. Exhibit 7 is our AFE. It is for a 12,200-foot 21 test well. The casing point or dryhole cost is 22 approximately going to be \$796,000, with a completed well 23 costing \$1.1 million. 24

Does Thompson request that it be designated

Q.

1 operator of the unit? Α. Yes. 2 And Thompson is the largest working interest 3 Q. owner in the unit, is it not? 4 Yes. 5 Α. Were Exhibits 1 through 7 prepared by you or 6 Q. 7 under your supervision or compiled from company business records? 8 9 A. Yes, they were. 10 And in your opinion is the granting of this Q. Application in the interests of conservation and the 11 prevention of waste? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission 14 15 of Exhibits 1 through 7. 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 7 will be admitted into evidence -- I take that back, Exhibits 1 and 17 18 2 --19 MR. BRUCE: Oh, excuse me. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- 4 through 7 will be admitted into evidence at this time, and the record will be 21 22 kept open pending Exhibit Number 3, which is to be the preliminary approval from the State Land Office. 23 Do you have any other questions? 24 25 MR. BRUCE: No, sir.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. Okay, let's see. Exhibit Number 4 -- this is the cross-section -- I look down at the map, and there seems to be an outline that takes in the south half of Section 32, a lot of Section 5 to the south and part of Section 6. What does that denote? Is that an old existing -- an old unit, or do you have any idea?
- A. I do not know. It was just a land map that I had copied, and I really didn't pay any attention to that outline.
- Q. Okay. Now, what is the present status of that well in Section 5 that you -- is the main feature of this exhibit?
 - A. It's P-and-A'd.
- Q. It's P-and-A'd. And that was P-and-A'd by Bright and Company, which also drilled it, or did it ever change hands?
 - A. That was P-and-A'd by Bright and Company.
- Q. Now, also in looking at the various maps, there is a well in the southeast quarter, southeast quarter, Section 32, that shows that that was drilled to a depth of 9642. Could you elaborate on that? What did you find? Do you know anything about that well?
 - A. That was a Bough C test.

1	Q. Bough C test. And do you know when it was
2	drilled?
3	A. In the Sixties. I'm not sure of the exact date.
4	Q. Dry and abandoned?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Are there any other what other producing wells
7	does J. Cleo Thompson have in Roosevelt County at this
8	time?
9	A. We actually operate the Milnesand Unit, which is
10	located approximately six mile northeast of here.
11	Q. Northeast. And what's the primary formation or
12	pool that that's producing from?
13	A. San Andres.
14	Q. San Andres. The information that Mr. Bruce
15	alluded to and that was the 3-D seismic, or the seismic
16	work that was done in which there's some information in the
17	pending NSL application, nonstandard location application
18	when was that seismic data run or
19	A. That seismic data was shot in January of '03.
20	Q. Okay, so just very recently?
21	A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Now, the Devonian is your main prospect out
23	there; is that correct?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. And is the Pennsylvanian a any of the

Pennsylvanian, is that going to be a major secondary --1 Yes, sir, it will be. 2 Α. What is the nature of the Pennsylvanian up in 3 0. Roosevelt County? Is there -- There's obviously some 4 Atoka, but what is the Morrow like in this area? 5 In my opinion, the Morrow in this area is not 6 Α. It's very limey. 7 prospective. 8 It's not indicative to the channel structures that we see further south? 9 10 It is, you're still in channel structures, but Α. this is more structurally related than it is further to the 11 south. 12 13 Q. Did you meet -- Were you in the meeting with the 14 Land Office whenever you presented this to their 15 representatives? Α. I was not. 16 17 0. Do you know when that was done or when the Land Office was contacted? 18 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, it was about two weeks 19 20 ago. 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: Two weeks ago. 22 MR. BRUCE: And I spoke with Mr. Martinez at the 23 Land Office yesterday and he said they had about five of them pending, so he said they would hopefully have the 24 25 preliminary approval for me today.

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I believe there's a
2	voice mail message on my machine from Mr. Martinez, but I
3	don't believe this was the topic.
4	Now, you spoke to Mr. Martinez, and he has
5	MR. BRUCE: He was He had said it looked fine
6	to him, and he was waiting for a concurrence from Mr. Mraz,
7	their geologist.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay.
9	MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Mraz was in an all-day
10	meeting yesterday and so was not available.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: And you will get that to me
12	just as soon as you can?
13	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of this
15	witness?
16	If not, you may be excused. And if there's
17	nothing further in Case 13,058, I'm going to leave the
18	record open pending Exhibit Number 3.
19	MR. BRUCE: Thank you, sir.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	8:46 a.m.)
22	db hareby certify that the foregoing to
23	a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13058
24	heard by me on April 24, 2003
25	Oll Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 25th, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006