

William F. Carr wcarr@hollandhart.com 2009 OCT 8 AM 11 12

October 8, 2004

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mark E. Fesmire, P. E.
Chairman
Oil Conservation Commission
New Mexico Department of Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources
1221 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Oil Conservation Commission Order No. R-12108-A

Dear Mr. Fesmire:

Pursuant to paragraph 9 of Order No. R-12108-A entered by the Oil Conservation Commission on September 9, 2004, Yates Petroleum Corporation hereby furnishes an itemized schedule of the actual well costs incurred by Yates in conducting re-entry operations on the State "X" Well No. 1 pursuant to the Oil Conservation Division approved APD for this well.

In its Application for Rehearing filed on September 29, 2004, Yates has raised questions concerning the reasonableness of the limitations imposed by the Commission on its ability to recover these costs. See, Application for Rehearing at 13. Yates has been advised that the Commission will not consider its Application for Rehearing until its October hearing and therefore will not rule on the issues presented until after the date set by this Order for the submission of these costs. Yates therefore files the enclosed schedule of actual costs to comply with the provisions of Order No. R-12108-A.

The enclosed schedule of actual well costs identifies, and breaks down by supplier, the \$133,393.88 in costs incurred by Yates. Of these costs, Yates believes it should be authorized to recover \$94,412.86. It also shows that only \$36,716.24 of the costs incurred by Yates pursuant to the Division's APD may be recovered due to the time limitations imposed by Commission Order No. R-12108-A. Accordingly, \$57,696.92 of actual well costs incurred by Yates pursuant to the Division-approved APD for the subject well are disallowed by the arbitrary time frame imposed on the recovery of these costs by paragraph 9 of Order No. R-12108-A.



Mark E. Fesmire, P. E. October 8, 2004 Page 2

As the evidence presented by the parties to the Commission in Case 13153, de novo established, the Oil Conservation Division approved the Application for Permit to Drill filed by Yates Petroleum Corporation for its re-entry of the Limbaugh "AYO" State Well No. 1 (Pride Energy Company State "X" Well No. 1) on August 26, 2003. Finding 5(c). The N/2 of Section 12, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, was dedicated to the well and this standard spacing unit is owned 100% by Yates and its related companies. The well is at a standard location. Pursuant to this APD, Yates commenced re-entry operations on this well.

The Division's files in this matter show that on September 10, 2003, Pride Energy Company, filed its application to, among other matters, cancel Yates APD. Pride also sought an Emergency Order from the Division prohibiting Yates re-entry operations. Yates responded on September 11, 2003 and on September 12, 2003, a Division Examiner denied Pride's application for an Emergency Order halting Yates operations on the well. Yates therefore continued with its re-entry operations pursuant to the APD approved by the Division.

The Examiner's September 12th order was appealed by Yates to the Oil Conservation Commission because it also denied Yates' motion for dismissal of the Pride application. The Director of the Commission remanded the matter to the Division on September 22nd. On that date, Pride filed its motion for reconsideration of its application for an order directing Yates to cease operations on the well. Yates responded on September 26th, and Pride replied on October 3, 2003. Another hearing was held before the Examiner on October 7th, at which time Yates advised the Examiner that it had removed its rig and had voluntarily suspended its operations on this well pending a decision by the Division on this matter.

Every action taken to re-enter this well was taken by Yates in good faith and in reliance upon a Division-approved APD.

In its Order, the Commission recognized that "Pride should reimburse Yates for reasonable costs incurred by Yates in connection with such operations." Findings 5(1) and 45. However, the Order disallows costs incurred after the date Pride served its application on Yates. By denying Yates the right to recover the costs incurred after the date Pride served its application seeking an order halting Yates operations on the well—a application that was ultimately denied by the Division—the Commission denies Yates the right to recover reasonable costs it incurred in connection with Division—approved re-entry operations on the State "X" Well No. 1. This action is arbitrary, unreasonable and capricious, and should be addressed by the Commission in a rehearing.

By copy of this letter, and pursuant to paragraph No. 9 of Order No. R-12108-A, the enclosed schedule of actual well costs has been furnished to Pride Energy Company,



Mark E. Fesmire, P. E. October 8, 2004 Page 3

attn: John W. Pride (by Facsimile and U. S. Mail) and to James Bruce, Esq., attorney for Pride Energy Company (by Facsimile and U. S. Mail).

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Charles Moran

Yates Petroleum Corporation

David K. Brooks, Esq. (Via Hand Delivery) Oil Conservation Commission

James G. Bruce, Esq. (Via Facsimile and U. S. Mail) Pride Energy Company

John W. Pride (Via Facsimile and U. S. Mail) Pride Energy Company

Schedule of Costs incurred by Yates Petroleum Corporation, et. al. Prepared in compliance New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Order # R-12108-A

T12S-R34E, N.M.P.M. Sec. 12 Lea County, New Mexico

:: State X #1)

		All Costs	Less	Holland &	#317 58	\$2 781 31	\$204.24	\$593.34	\$81.00	\$168.18	\$63.45	\$845.66	\$3,350.04	\$803.65	\$1,160.25	\$99.85	\$1,266.33	\$973.20	\$856.43	\$15,192.14	\$10,504.25	\$1,186.57	\$696.95	\$1,298.01	\$2,242.64	\$814.85	43,916.14	\$6.944.77	\$776.86	\$475.88	\$2,113.98	\$2,000.00	\$2,163.00	\$500.00	\$0.086.61	\$596.38	\$1,776.43	\$4,858.50	\$0.00	\$4,580.67	\$1,860.00	\$226.05	\$0.03 00.08	\$1 199 85	\$0.00	80.00	\$654.86	\$384.43	\$766.90	\$72.43	\$0.00	\$94,412.86
berningel open	After August 25	2003 and Before	Notice of Hearing,	September 10,	2003 6317 58	BC. 150	\$204.24	\$593.34	\$81.00	\$0.00	\$63.45	\$845.66	\$3,350.04	\$803.65	\$1,160.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$973.20	\$856.43	\$15,192.14	\$0.00	\$1,186.57	\$696.95	\$1,298.01	\$2,242.64	2814.85 00.08	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$776.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	\$2,163.00	\$500.00	00.00 90.00	\$596.38	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	00.00	90.00	00.00	90.09 90.09	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$30,/16.24
				i de constant de c	4317 58	\$2.781.30	\$204.24	\$593.34	\$81.00	\$168.18	\$63.45	\$845.66	\$3,350.04	\$803.65	\$1,160.25	\$99.85	\$1,266.33	\$973.20	\$856.43	\$15,192.14	\$10,504.25	\$1,186.57	\$696.95	\$1,298.01	\$2,242.64	\$3 016 14	\$11,382.04	\$6,944.77	\$776.86	\$475.88	\$2,113.98	\$2,000.00	\$2,163.00	\$500.00	\$7,086.79	\$596.38	\$1,776.43	\$4,858.50	\$3,877.40	\$4,580.67	\$1,860.00	\$226.03	\$249.65	\$1.199.85	\$430.22	\$106.96	\$654.86	\$384.43	\$766.90	\$72.43	\$7,230.00	9110,080,00
					CENEDAL SLIDVEVING CO	VATES DETECT ELIM CORPORATION	YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION	YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION	YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION	ARTESIA FIELD SERVICE	RYDER SERVICES, INC.	DUDLEY SALES & SERVICE	WOOD GROUP PRESSURE CONTROL	E.L. FARMER & COMPANY	GANDY CORPORATION	HOBBS ANCHOR, INC.	KEY ENERGY SERVICES, INC.	L. RAMIREZ TRUCKING AND	MORGAN TOOLS CO LLC	MORGAN TOOLS CO LLC	MORGAN TOOLS CO LLC	MORGAN TOOLS COLLC	AMENTHERECORD I S I D	WEATHERFORD I.S. I P	WEATHERFORD U.S., L.P.	PHILIP L. WEILER D/B/A WEILER	WILBANKS TRUCKING	YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION	JONES, A. D. ESTATE	JONES, A. D. ESTATE	JONES, A. D. ESTATE	HOLLAND & HART ! P	MCILROY SERVICE COMPANY	WEATHERFORD U.S., L.P.	J.S. WARD & SON, INC.	HOLLAND & HART L.L.P.	DUDLEY SALES & SERVICE	APTER PETROLECIM CORPORATION	GANDY CORPORATION	HOLLAND & HART L. P.	BUTCH'S RAT HOLE & ANCHOR SVC.	HOLLAND & HART L.L.P.	HOLLAND & HART L.L.P.	MORGAN TOOLS CO LLC	PHILIP L. WEILER D/B/A WEILER	DUDLEY SALES & SERVICE	HERITAGE INN, LLC	HOLLAND & HARI L.L.P.				
Sec. 12 Lea County, New Mexico					STAVING DEDMIT & LCGAL CCCS			2" 5K LP API GATE VALVE, (B)		-														ADDITIONAL LOC CHARGES-COMPL.		-					_		COCATION, RIGHT-OF-WAY							197003 ENGB SEBVICE								•		SUPERVISION & OVERHEAD	•	
), the contract of the contrac	I IMBALIGH AND STATE #1 VBC	01∧1E#1 0T∆TE#1		STATE #1	LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC	STATE #1	STATE #1	STATE #1	LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC	STATE #1	STATE #1	STATE #1	STATE #1		STATE #1	STATE #1	STATE #1	STATE #1		STATE #1	LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC								LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC						LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC	IMBAUGH ATO OTATE #1 YPO		STATE #1		STATE #1	#	STATE #1	STATE #1	LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC	STATE #1	LIMBAUGH AYO STATE #1 YPC	1	
:: State X #1)				м Ж	DAY TEAK	11 2003			8 2003		2 2003	6 2003	30 2003											3 2003								2 2003	2 2003						10 2003				13 2004	11 2003	16 2004				30 2004	12 2004		

Costs Excluded by Order

d by Order \$57,696.62