STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,319
APPLICATION OF POGO PRODUCING COMPANY
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO

OCCUPANY

NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

	EXAMINER HEARING		2004		
BEFORE:	RICHARD	EZEANYIM,	Hearing	Examiner	OCT
					
•	•	Septemb	er 16th,	2004	PM
		Santa F	e, New M	exico	N
					90

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 16th, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

September 16th, 2004 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,319

PAGE

STATEMENT BY MR. BRUCE

3

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

8

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	4	4	
Exhibit 2	4	4	

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 9:12 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this time I will call Case 3 Number 13,319. As you know, that case is styled, "in the 4 absence of objection this matter will be taken under 5 advisement." 6 And at this time are there any objections to this 7 8 Application? MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I am Jim Bruce 9 representing the Applicant. I just have a short statement. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Since we don't have 11 any objection you can go ahead and make your statement. 12 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this case was first 13 heard, I believe, on the August -- well, the first August 14 15 docket --5, August 5th. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: MR. BRUCE: -- and a witness was presented and 17 testimony was taken and an order was issued, and 18 subsequently the well location changed. The original well 19 location was in the northeast quarter, southeast quarter, 20 of the section. The well was moved to the southeast 21 22 quarter, northeast quarter, of the section. 23 As a result, the Applicant re-proposed the well 24 to the only interest owner -- to the only nonconsenting 25 interest owner, which is ConocoPhillips Company, and in

accordance with Rule 1207.A.(1).(b), the verified statement 1 is submitted by a landman for Pogo Producing Company --2 that's submitted as Exhibit 1 -- just reiterating the same 3 data that was presented previously to the Division. 4 5 only change is the well location. I would note that the parties are still negotiating, but nothing has been signed 6 7 vet. So if before a new order is issued the parties do 8 9 come to agreement, I will let the Division know. 10 The second matter is that notice of the re-opened Application was given to ConocoPhillips Company, and that 11 is shown by the notice affidavit marked Exhibit 2. 12 And I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 and 13 2 and ask that the case be taken under advisement. 14 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be 16 taken under -- I mean, will be admitted to evidence. 17 What you're telling me is that the location of 18 the well changed, right? 19 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And you notified the person 21 in that unit that is affected --22 MR. BRUCE: Yeah --23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- is that correct? 24 MR. BRUCE: -- the interest -- the only party 25 being pooled in the first -- in the original case, was

1	ConocoPhillips
2	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Uh-huh.
3	MR. BRUCE: and that's still the same party
4	being pooled, and their interests do not change in the well
5	units at all from the move in location. It was simply
6	changed for geologic reasons.
7	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, and I know this case
8	has been heard, but I have a couple of questions I may have
9	to ask you.
10	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: First of all, if you go to
12	overhead rates, what are the overhead rates?
13	MR. BRUCE: They are \$6000 per month for a
14	drilling well and \$600 for a producing well. Those were
15	testified to in the first case.
16	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: In the first case. And the
17	first time it was heard, we also have a transcript?
18	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
19	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And the well has not been
20	drilled, right?
21	MR. BRUCE: It has not been drilled.
22	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And no APD yet. Have they
23	gotten an APD?
24	MR. BRUCE: I think one has been filed. It is on
25	federal land. One has been filed, but I checked the OCD's

website Tuesday night, and I could not locate an APD -- or 1 last night, and I could not locate an APD number for it. 2 3 An APD has been filed, but I think just recently, and it 4 has not been approved by the BLM. That's generally a --5 it's over a 30-day process to get them approved. 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. What is the primary 7 objective of this well? 8 MR. BRUCE: Morrow. 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And to your knowledge, do you 10 have -- I always ask this question -- do you have any lease 11 issues that you might discuss, like it's expiring tomorrow 12 or something? 13 MR. BRUCE: No, there is no lease-expiration 14 issue or anything of that matter. The parties have been in 15 negotiations, I think, since last October so, you know, there have been extensive discussions and those are on the 16 17 record from the first hearing, and there is no leaseexpiration issue, so there is no --18 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 20 MR. BRUCE: -- for a change, I'm not going to ask 21 for an expedited order. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: But the -- but even if you --23 regarding this Application, this AFE here, can you provide that amendment that you told me you had one of the --24

Well, the -- a revised Application

MR. BRUCE:

25

1	was filed with the Division, and obviously new notice, and
2	then Pogo Producing Company sent re-proposed the well at
3	the new location, and that is marked that is Exhibit B
4	to Exhibit 1
5	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay.
6	MR. BRUCE: together with a new AFE, which is
7	Exhibit C to Exhibit 1.
8	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, yeah, I see.
9	MR. BRUCE: And the parties have continued to
10	negotiate.
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And you're saying that the
12	initial agreement, you might, you know, let us know so we
13	can
14	Do you have any more?
15	MS. MacQUESTEN: No, thank you.
16	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Case Number 13,319 will be
17	taken under advisement at this time.
18	Let's have about 15 minutes' break and come back.
19	Let's start at 9:30.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	9:19 a.m.)
22	* * * de hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
23	the Examiner hearing of Case No. [33]
24	(the state of the
25	Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

Output

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 18th, 2004.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006