STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,336

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

September 2nd, 2004

P 16

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New

Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 2nd, 2004, at the

Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR.,

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa

Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter

No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

September 2nd, 2004 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,336

Examiner Hearing	
CASE NO. 13,336	
	DIGE
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
	_
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS (Plugging Bond	
Administrator, NMOCD, Santa Fe)	
Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten	6
Examination by Examiner Jones	10
CHRIS J. WILLIAMS (District Supervisor, Hobbs	
District Office, District 1, NMOCD) (Present by telephone)	
Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten	11
Examination by Examiner Jones	17
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	19

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit	2 17	18 18 18
Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit	5 10	18 18 18
Exhibit	7 16	18

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 1:30 p.m.: EXAMINER JONES: Okay, let's go back on the 3 This is still Docket 24-04, and we're going to 4 call a couple of cases here before the Smith and Marrs 5 case, and it will be about 30 minutes, or at least 30 6 minutes, before that case starts. 7 (Off the record) 8 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, at this time let's call 9 Case 13,336, Application of New Mexico Oil Conservation 10 11 Division for a compliance order. 12 Call for appearances? 13 MS. MacQUESTEN: Gail MacQuesten, attorney for 14 the Oil Conservation Division. I have two witnesses. 15 Chris Williams will be appearing by phone, and Dorothy 16 Phillips will be here to testify. 17 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances? 18 (Off the record) 19 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, will the witness please stand to be sworn? 20 21 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 22 MS. MacQUESTEN: If I may briefly explain what we're asking for in this case, this is the case involving 23 24 B. Bernard Lankford, Case Number 13,336. 25 We're asking for an order pursuant to the Oil and Gas Act, Section 70-2-14.B, and OCD Rule 101.M, requiring the operator to bring one well into compliance with Rule 201 within 30 days by plugging the well, placing the well on temporary abandonment status or returning the well to beneficial use.

We are also asking the order to state that if the operator fails to comply with this deadline, that the Division is authorized to plug the well and to forfeit the applicable financial assurance.

We are not asking for a penalty for past misconduct in this case. Because we are not seeking such a penalty, we are not presenting evidence of correspondence, which is usually used to show a knowing and willful violation.

We do ask that the order contain a provision assessing a penalty if the operator fails to comply with the deadline set in the order.

There should be an evidence packet in front of you. The first exhibit is an affidavit of notice. It shows notice to the address we have for Mr. Lankford and the surety. We received a return from the surety but not from Mr. Lankford. This was the only address we have for him. The only address listed in ONGARD other than the one we sent a notice to was an address listed as a bad address. I did a very brief online search for Mr. Lankford in

Midland, Texas, and could not find any other addresses. 1 We have a published notice of the hearing in the 2 paper for the county, the relevant county, but we have not 3 yet received the affidavit of publication from the 4 5 newspaper. We ask that you hold the case open until we can provide you with a copy of the affidavit of publication. 6 7 I would call Dorothy Phillips as my first 8 witness. DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS, 9 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 10 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 13 Q. Would you state your name for the record, please? 14 15 A. Dorothy Phillips. 16 0. And where do you work? New Mexico Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe. 17 Α. 18 Q. And what is your title? 19 I'm the plugging bond administrator. Α. Do your duties include maintaining records of 20 Q. 21 financial assurance documents filed by operators pursuant to Section 70-2-14? 22 23 That's correct. 24 Q. Have you reviewed OCD's financial assurance 25 records to determine if the well at issue in the above-

captioned case, the Spears State Number 1 well, API 30-025-1 22098, is covered by a financial assurance? 2 Yes, I have. 3 Α. Would you take a look at what has been marked as 4 Exhibit Number 3 in this case? Is this a true and correct 5 copy of the bond as it appears in your files? 6 Yes, it is. 7 Α. And is this a \$5000 single-well surety bond? 8 Q. 9 Α. That's correct. Number 510E5648, issued by the Travelers 10 Q. Indemnity Company in 1979? 11 That's correct. Α. 12 Did you confirm that the well location identified 13. Q. on the bond matches the location of the Spears State Number 14 1 well? 15 16 Α. Yes, I have. And that's the well at issue in this case? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Do the OCD records show any amendments or riders 20 to this bond? 21 A. No. 22 Q. According to our records, does this bond remain in effect? 23 24 Α. Yes.

What is the name of the operator on the bond?

25

Q.

B. Bernard Lankford. 1 Α. All right. And just to be clear, this is not 2 Q. Bernard Lankford, Jr., but B. Bernard Lankford? 3 That's correct. 4 A. And that is the name of an individual? 5 Q. Yes. 6 Α. Now, we weren't able to have Mr. Williams testify 7 Q. before your testimony, but are you aware that the well file 8 9 in this case has some confusion regarding the name of the 10 operator? Yes, one would say Jr. He signed it different 11 A. ways. But according to our records, what the bond says and 12 ONGARD says is B. Bernard Lankford. 13 Q. So if the well file has the name occasionally of 14 15 Bernard Lankford, Jr., the fact remains that the operator of record is B. Bernard Lankford, and the name of the bond 16 17 is B. Bernard Lankford? Α. That's correct. 18 19 Q. Could you take a look, please, at what has been marked as Exhibit Number 4? Is this a copy of a memorandum 20 21 regarding this bond? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And was this found in your bond file? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And this is regarding the name issues in the

1 | case?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And apparently from the bond file there was some concern that the bond, the name on the bond and the name of the operator of record match; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why do you want to make sure they match?
- A. Well, whenever you submit a bond and however they're registered with the Public Regulation Commission or with the Secretary of State, it has to be exactly as whatever is on the bond. And in this case as an individual, we want to make sure that however they sign on the bond or whatever the name appears on the bond also appears in all the paperwork filed with OCD.
- Q. Have you checked ONGARD to see who's listed as operator of record for this well?
- A. Yes, B. Bernard Lankford.
 - Q. And what is ONGARD, just to back up?
- A. It's an agency -- a tri-agency database. It's

 State Land Office, Taxation and Revenue, and Oil

 Conservation Division.
 - Q. And what kind of data is kept in that database?
- A. What I input there are any bonds. Operators and the bonds, if they have a bond in place.
 - Q. All right. Please take a look at what has been

marked as OCD Exhibit Number 5. Is that a copy of the 1 ONGARD listing for B. Bernard Lankford? 2 This is what we show on e-permitting, and this 3 Α. shows the wells under B. Bernard Lankford that are active. 4 Okay, and the one well that is listed as active 5 Q. is the Spears State Number 1, the well at issue? 6 That's correct, that's correct. 7 Α. 8 So the name of the operator and the name on the Q. bond match --9 10 Α. Correct. -- in this case? 11 0. MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you. Those are all the 12 13 questions I have of Ms. Phillips. **EXAMINATION** 14 15 BY EXAMINER JONES: Okay, Ms. Phillips, you're just here to talk 16 17 about the bonds, and that's all, right? Α. That's correct. 18 19 Q. Okay. And how often do we have one-well bonds, 20 or one-well plugging bonds? Is it pretty often nowadays, or --21 22 Α. No, most of our bonds are blanket, are blanket 23 We do occasionally get one-well bonds, but most of the bonds are blanket bonds. 24 25 Q. Okay, so Travelers actually responded when you

```
sent them a notice, and they did confirm that they still
 1
     have -- bond was still placed with Travelers?
 2
               MS. MacQUESTEN: No, they -- all we received was
 3
     a confirmation that they received notice at the hearing.
 4
               EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Okay, well, thank you.
 5
               THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
 6
 7
                (Off the record)
 8
                (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
 9
              CHRIS J. WILLIAMS (Present by telephone),
     the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
10
     his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
11
12
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. MacQUESTEN:
13
               Mr. Williams, can you hear me?
14
          Q.
15
          A.
               Yes.
               Would you please state your name for the record?
16
          Q.
               Chris Williams.
17
          A.
18
               And where are you employed?
          Q.
               I'm employed by the New Mexico Oil Conservation
19
          Α.
     Division as the District Supervisor in the Hobbs Office.
20
21
          Q.
               Do your duties include enforcing Rule 201 in your
     District?
22
23
               Yes, it does.
          Α.
               Are you familiar with the well, Spears State
24
          Q.
25
                It's API 30-025-22098, located at Unit Letter F,
     Number 1?
```

Section 2, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, in Lea County? 1 Α. Yes. 2 Is that well located in your district? 3 Q. Yes. 4 Α. Have you examined the well file for that well? 5 Q. Yes, I have. 6 Α. Do you have a packet of exhibits in front of you 7 Q. that I sent to you? 8 9 Α. Yes, I do. Could you please turn to what has been marked as 10 Q. Exhibit Number 6? 11 Α. 12 Okay. Now, is that a complete copy of the well file as 13 Q. it appears in OCD's records? 14 15 Α. Yes, it is. All right, and these well files start with the 16 Q. 17 most recent filings on top and the older filings on bottom; is that right? 18 That's correct. 19 Α. And this particular copy, we have marked in the 20 Q. upper right-hand corner page numbers so it's easier for us 21 22 all to know what page we're referring to. 23 Yes. Α. 24 I'd like to go through some of these filings to Q. 25 show the Application is seeking compliance from the correct

operator, because as we had some testimony earlier from 1 Dorothy Phillips, there appears to be some confusion over 2 the name of the operator. 3 A. Okay. I'd like to start with the early filings for 5 0. background. Could you turn to page 28 of Exhibit Number 6? 6 Yeah, I'm on page 28. 7 Α. Okay. And is this a well completion report? 8 0. 9 Yeah it is, for this well. Α. Uh-huh. 10 Q. Yeah, John Yuronka is the name of the operator. 11 Α. It was submitted May 15th, 1967. 12 If you could turn just one page ahead of 13 Q. that to page 27, this is a sundry notice? 14 Yes, it is, it's a plug-and-abandonment for the 15 Spears State Number 1, and it was submitted May 13th, 1967. 16 Okay, so that first operator, Mr. Yuronka, went 17 Q. ahead and plugged the well at that time? 18 19 Yes, he did. Α. 20 Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 20. 21 Α. Okay. And what does this page show? 22 Q. 23 Α. That shows where the well was recompleted in the 24 Yates zone on March 17th, 1975, by Doyle Hartman as the

25

operator.

1	Q.	Okay. And now turn to page 7.
2	A.	Okay.
3	Q.	Is this the first filing in which Bernard
4	Lankford	appears as operator?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And what is the date on that?
7	A.	June 28th, 1976.
8	Q.	Okay. If you look at the top of that page, under
9	the opera	tor entry, what name is put there?
10	Α.	Bernard Lankford.
11	Q.	Okay, not Bernard Lankford, Jr., but Bernard
12	Lankford?	
13	Α.	Correct.
14	Q.	And then who signed this form?
15	Α.	B. Bernard Lankford, Jr., I think.
16	Q.	Yeah, okay, and that was signed as what?
17	Α.	Consulting engineer.
18	Q.	Okay. So this is where the confusion begins,
19	where we	start seeing two different names on these filings,
20	right?	
21	A.	Exactly.
22	Q.	Okay, let's turn to page 5
23	A.	Okay.
24	Q.	and this is a corrected copy of the form, is
25	it not?	

Yes, it is. It's a 104 operator change to 1 Α. Bernard -- it looks like Bernard Lankford, Jr. 2 Okay. Is there any indication of what the 3 0. correction was and why it was made? 4 Looks like they took the -- marked out the B in 5 A. front of Bernard. 6 Okay, could you look at just -- just below that 7 and over to the right, there's a -- on the reasons for 8 filing, other, there's an explanation. What does that say? 9 Α. 10 "Change in operator Amended to correct Pool Name 11 and give Operators complete name." 12 Q. Okay. So now the name is Bernard Lankford, Jr.? 13 A. Correct. 14 Okay. If you could just turn one page earlier to Q. 15 page 4 --Right. 16 A. -- what operator name is shown there? 17 Q. B. Bernard Lankford. Α. 18 And is there an explanation in the reasons other 19 Q. box? 20 Yes, "Change in operator Amended to change 21 Α. operating name to correspond with plugging bond." 22 23 Q. Okay. And go one more page to page 3. 24 Α. Uh-huh. 25 Q. Did they ever get the name right?

No, this is a Notice of Assignment of Allowable 1 A. 2 to a Gas Well, and the operator is shown as Bernard 3 Lankford, Jr. 4 Okay, but was that approved? Q. 5 No. A. 6 Were there any other filings in the file, well Q. file? 7 No, not really. 8 Α. Okay. Whatever is there is shown in this packet; 9 Q. is that correct? 10 Yes, it is. 11 Α. 12 Okay. Does anything in the file indicate that 0. this well was plugged after it was recompleted? 13 14 A. No. 15 0. And does the file indicate the well was put on temporary abandonment status? 16 17 A. No. Has your office proposed a plugging procedure for 18 Q. this well? 19 20 A. Yes, we have. 21 Q. And could you turn to what's been marked as OCD 22 Exhibit Number 7? 23 Okay, I've got it. A. 24 Is that the proposed plugging procedure? Q. Yes, it is. 25 Α.

1	Q. Have you reviewed and approved that plugging
2	procedure?
3	A. Yes.
4	MS. MacQUESTEN: All right, I have no more
5	questions of Mr. Williams.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY EXAMINER JONES:
8	Q. Mr. Williams, is there other stuff at the well
9	site besides the well, like tanks, pits, equipment? Is
10	there some junk?
11	A. Probably. I have not been to that location. My
12	field inspectors have, and I'm sure there is a tank battery
13	there.
14	Q. Does this plugging procedure or the plugging
15	if the OCD has to plug the well, will they pay for hauling
16	off all the stuff on the surface?
17	A. Yes, we will.
18	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, that's all I have
19	of Mr. Williams.
20	MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you. I have one other
21	exhibit that we haven't discussed, and that's OCD Exhibit
22	Number 2. This is an affidavit from Jane Prouty showing
23	that we have no record of production or use for injection
24	for this well.
25	EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

1	MS. MacQUESTEN: I'd move to admit Exhibits 1
2	through 7.
3	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 will be
4	admitted to evidence.
5	MS. MacQUESTEN: And that concludes our
6	presentation in this case.
7	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, I have no other questions
8	or comments, so with that we'll take Case 13,336 under
9	advisement.
10	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
11	1:55 p.m.)
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	I do hereby county that the foregoing to
16	a complete hearing of
17	heard by me on
18	Oil Conservation Division
19	Off Course
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 3rd, 2004.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006