### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

#### OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,257

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION THROUGH THE SUPERVISOR OF DISTRICT 1 FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING VERDE GRANDE, INC., TO BRING ONE WELL INTO COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 201, ASSESSING AN APPROPRIATE CIVIL PENALTY IN THE EVENT OF NONCOMPLIANCE, AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION TO PLUG SAID WELL AND ORDERING A FORFEITURE OF THE APPLICABLE PLUGGING BOND, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

JUN 24 AM 10 1

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

June 10th, 2004

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, June 10th, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# ĬÑDEX

June 10th, 2004 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,257

|   | PAGE |
|---|------|
| APPEARANCES   | 3    |
| STATEMENT BY MS. MacQUESTEN   | 4    |
| APPLICANT'S WITNESS:  |      |
| <pre>CHRIS J. WILLIAMS (District Supervisor, Hobbs District Office, District 1, NMOCD) (Present by telephone)</pre> |      |
| Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten  | 7    |
| Examination by Examiner Jones   | 12   |
| REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  | 14   |

\* \* \*

# EXHIBITS

| Applicant's        |    | Identified | Admitted |
|--------------------|----|------------|----------|
| Exhibit<br>Exhibit |    | 6          | 12       |
| Exhibit            | _  | 6<br>6     | 12<br>12 |
| Exhibit            | 4  | 6          | 10       |
| Exhibit            |    | 6<br>7     | 12<br>12 |
| Exhibit            | 6  | 7          | 12       |
| Exhibit            | 7  | 8          | 12       |
| Exhibit            | 8  | 9          | 12       |
| Exhibit            | 9  | 10         | 12       |
| Exhibit            | 10 | 11         | 12       |

\* \* \*

## APPËÄRANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

\* \* \*

## ALSO PRESENT:

MARK FESMIRE Director, Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:00 a.m.: EXAMINER JONES: At this time let's call Case 3 13,257, Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation 4 5 Division through the Supervisor of District 1 for an order 6 requiring Verde Grande, Incorporated, to bring one well into compliance with Rule 201, assessing an appropriate 7 civil penalty in the event of noncompliance, authorizing 8 the Division to plug said well and ordering a forfeiture of 9 the applicable plugging bond, Lea County, New Mexico. 10 11 Call for appearances in this case. 12 MS. MacQUESTEN: Gail MacQuesten, Energy, 13 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, appearing for 14 the Oil Conservation Division. I have one witness, Chris 15 Williams, who will be testifying by telephone. **EXAMINER JONES:** Any other appearances? 16 17 being none, Chris, can you raise you right hand? MR. WILLIAMS: 18 Yes. 19 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 20 MS. MacQUESTEN: Mr. Examiner, before we have Mr. 21 Williams testify I'd like to briefly explain what we're 22 asking for in this case. 23 The most recent operator of this well intended to 24 use it for saltwater disposal. The well is in violation of 25 Rule 201 because it has been inactive for at least a year

and 90 days, as stated in the Rule. In fact, according to OCD records it appears that we have no record of any production or any use as a saltwater injection well. The well has not been plugged and abandoned, it has not been placed on temporary abandonment status pursuant to Rule 203. In addition, it appears that the site needs cleanup. There's rusted equipment at the site.

We anticipate that the State will have to plug this well because the operator is no longer in business.

We're asking for an order pursuant to the Oil and Gas Act, Section 70-2-14.B, and OCD Rule 101.M, requiring the operator to bring the well into compliance with 201 within 30 days by plugging, placing the well on temporary abandonment status, or returning it to beneficial use.

We also request that the order authorize the Division to plug the well and clear the site if the operator fails to comply, and we further ask that the order authorize the Division to forfeit the financial assurances in place.

We are not asking for a penalty at this time, either for past misconduct or for violating any order the Division may issue in this case. Because we are not seeking a penalty for past misconduct, we are not presenting evidence of correspondence with the company about the violation, which is usually presented to show a

knowing and willful disregard of OCD Rules.

There is a packet of evidence in front of you.

I'd like to go through the initial exhibits because I'm

presenting some testimony by affidavit.

The first exhibit is an affidavit of notice, showing notice to Verde Grande, Inc., and its surety and the returns from that notice. I'd like to point out that the notice gives the original hearing date. According to Rule 1209, this case could be continued without new servicing, so we did not notify anyone of the new hearing date. No one had entered an appearance in the case at the first hearing date.

Exhibit 2 is a document provided by an attorney for Mr. Caudill. Mr. Caudill was the president of Verde Grande. This document shows that Verde Grande and Mr. Caudill received a discharge in bankruptcy in 1998.

Exhibit 3, Mr. Caudill told me that Western

Commerce Bank may have acquired Verde Grande's interest in

the well, so we continued this case from its original

hearing date so that we could notify Western Commerce and

let them know about the hearing if they wish to attend.

Exhibit 3 is my letter to Western Commerce notifying them

of the hearing today.

Exhibit Number 4 is the response I received from Western Commerce, indicating that they do not have an

化连续线 斯姆斯特特斯 人名英西克克尔 interest in the well. 1 Exhibit 5 is an affidavit from Dorothy Phillips 2 with a copy of the bond and the rider to the bond, 3 providing evidence of the financial assurance that has been 4 posted in this matter. There is a \$10,000 single-well bond 5 in the case. 6 And Exhibit 6 is an affidavit of Jane Prouty 7 showing that the OCD has no reports of production or use 8 for injection on this well. 9 At this time I would like to call Mr. Chris 10 Williams. Mr. Williams, are you on the line? 11 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. 13 CHRIS WILLIAMS (Present by telephone), the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 14 15 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 18 Q. Would you state your name for the record, please? 19 Α. Yeah, Chris Williams. 20 And where are you employed? Q. 21 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Α. 22 Department, Oil Conservation Division. 23 Q. In which office? 24 Α. The Hobbs District Office. 25 Q. And what is your title?

1 A. District Supervisor. What are your duties as District Supervisor? 2 0. 3 To regulate the oil and gas industry in Lea, Roosevelt, part of Chaves and Curry County. 4 Are you familiar with the well, the Aztec State 5 0. Number 1, API 30-025-22342, located at Unit Letter J, 6 7 Section 18, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, in Lea County, New Mexico? 8 A. Yes. 9 Is that well located in your district? 10 0. Yes. 11 Α. Have you examined the well file for that well? 12 Q. 13 Α. Yes. And do you have the packet of exhibits that I 14 Q. 15 faxed to you? 16 Α. Yes, I do. 17 Q. Can you tell us who the current operator of record is for this well? 18 19 A. Current operator of record is Verde Grande. Would you please look at what has been marked as 20 Q. OCD Exhibit Number 7? 21 22 A. Okay. 23 Is this the most recent filing in the well file Q. for this well? 24 Yes, it is. 25 Α.

| 1  | Q.         | And when was it submitted?                       |
|----|------------|--|
| 2  | А.         | August 4th, 1986.                                |
| 3  | Q.         | And who submitted it as the operator?            |
| 4  | Α.         | Verde Grande, Incorporated.                      |
| 5  | Q.         | Okay. And were there any subsequent filings      |
| 6  | Α.         | No.  |
| 7  | Q.         | in the well file?                                |
| 8  | А.         | No, there wasn't.                                |
| 9  | Q.         | Was there another operator of the well prior to  |
| 10 | Verde Gra  | nde, Inc.?                                       |
| 11 | Α.         | Right, Double "C" Enterprises.                   |
| 12 | Q.         | All right. Would you please look at what has     |
| 13 | been marke | ed as OCD Exhibit Number 8?                      |
| 14 | А.         | Okay.  |
| 15 | Q.         | Is that the document that transferred operations |
| 16 | from Doub  | le "C" Enterprises to Verde Grande, Inc.?        |
| 17 | А.         | It was.  |
| 18 | Q.         | I'm sorry, Mr. Williams, I couldn't hear you.    |
| 19 | Α.         | Yes, it is.                                      |
| 20 | Q.         | Thank you. And when that was submitted?          |
| 21 | Α.         | That was February 27th, 1985.                    |
| 22 | Q.         | All right, that was when it was approved?        |
| 23 | Α.         | Right, that's when it was approved.              |
| 24 | Q.         | Okay. In your examination of the well file for   |
| 25 | this well, | did you find any indication that the well had    |

been plugged? 1 2 Α. No, the well has not been plugged. Has it been placed on temporary abandonment 3 Q. status? 4 No. 5 Α. Have you reviewed the inspection reports that 6 Q. 7 have been filed on this well? Yes, I have. 8 Α. 9 Q. Could you take a look at what has been marked as Exhibit Number 9? 10 11 Α. Right, okay. 12 0. What is this exhibit? 13 Α. It's a compliance notice from RBDMS, our risk-14 based data management system, which indicates several 15 inspections have been made on this well, and it shows that 16 the well has just been sitting idle and the equipment on 17 location is rusting out and in poor condition. Also it 18 shows that there was a tubing and a casing leak back in 19 1985, which the well file also references that. 20 Q. What is the most recent inspection that was 21 performed in this case? Α. 4-10-2004. 22 And what was shown then? 23 Q. 24 A. That was showing the rusted equipment and the --

basically the abandonment at the site. Even though there

25

| 1  | is equipment still there, it's not operational.        |
|----|--|
| 2  | Q. Okay, I heard you say 4-10-2004?                    |
| 3  | A. Right.  |
| 4  | Q. Where are you getting the year on that?             |
| 5  | A. I'm looking at the compliance record. It's not      |
| 6  | real clear. It could be a "2001".                      |
| 7  | Q. Are yo looking at Exhibit Number 9?                 |
| 8  | A. Yes, I am.  |
| 9  | Q. Okay.   |
| 10 | A. It says under "Date Performed" it appears to        |
| 11 | say "4/10/2004", but it may be 2001.                   |
| 12 | Q. Okay.   |
| 13 | A. It's not very clear through the fax.                |
| 14 | Q. All right, that may be a fax problem then.          |
| 15 | A. Right.  |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Do you have a proposed plugging procedure     |
| 17 | for this well?   |
| 18 | A. Yes, we do.   |
| 19 | Q. And if you could take a look at Exhibit Number      |
| 20 | 10, is that the plugging procedure that your office is |
| 21 | proposing?   |
| 22 | A. Yes, it is.   |
| 23 | MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you. I have no more              |
| 24 | questions of this witness, and I would move to admit   |
| 25 | Exhibits 1 through 10.                                 |

EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 10 are admitted to evidence.

### BY EXAMINER JONES:

Q. Chris, do you think there's any other potential in this well for any kind of other perforating any zones or using it for an injection well?

**EXAMINATION** 

- A. The problem is, I don't really see in the well file -- I see several -- or an instance in there where they talk about a casing leak, but I don't see any data to support where they repaired it. And this has been sitting so long that it does concern us in terms of groundwater contamination.
- Q. Okay. And how much would it cost to clean up that site and plug this well?
- A. If we end up having to repair casing and other things, it could probably run us close to \$30,000 just to plug it.

And the cleanup of the site, that's very difficult to say because you need to do the borehole sampling to know how deep the contamination has gone and -- before you can even make a determination on how to start. But it's going to cost at least -- probably double whatever it would cost, roughly \$60,000.

Q. And the bond is for only \$10,000?

| 1  | A. Correct.                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | EXAMINER JONES: Okay, that's all my questions   |
| 3  | that I have of this witness.                    |
| 4  | MS. MacQUESTEN: We have no further questions,   |
| 5  | and this concludes our presentation.            |
| 6  | EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thanks very much, Chris.  |
| 7  | THE WITNESS: Okay, thanks.                      |
| 8  | EXAMINER JONES: With that, we'll take Case      |
| 9  | 13,257 under advisement.                        |
| 10 | And that being the last case, this hearing is   |
| 11 | adjourned.                                      |
| 12 | (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at |
| 13 | 10:12 a.m.)                                     |
| 14 | * * *   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | I do hereby certify that the foregoing is       |
| 18 | the sar hearing of Case No.                     |
| 19 | heard by the on                                 |
| 20 | Oil Conservation Division                       |
| 21 |   |
| 22 | 1   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 12th, 2004.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006