STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,403

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

b FEB 17

February 3rd, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

 Ξ

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 3rd, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

February 3rd, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,403

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

9

* * *

EXHIBITS

Admitted	Identified	Applicant's
7	4	Exhibit 1
7	5	Attachment A
7	5	Attachment B
7	5	Attachment C
7	5	Attachment D
7	5	Attachment E
7	6	Attachment F

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:34 a.m.: 3 EXAMINER JONES: And let's call Case 13,403, Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for approval of 4 5 a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Call for appearances. 6 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Ocean 7 Munds-Dry with Holland and Hart, appearing on behalf of 8 Yates Petroleum Corporation. 9 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances? No other 10 11 appearances. MS. MUNDS-DRY: Let's see if I can not get these 12 mixed up. 13 Mr. Hearing Examiner, Yates seeks approval of the 14 Fender State Exploratory Unit. It's comprised of 5442.24 15 acres of State of New Mexico lands, and it's located 16 17 approximately four miles east of Caprock. One hundred percent of the working interest in 18 19 these lands is owned by Yates and its affiliated companies. 20 Yates proposes to test all formations from the surface to the Mississippian limestone, and Yates seeks 21 approval of this proposed unit by affidavit pursuant to 22 23 Division policy. Yates Exhibit Number 1 is the affidavit of John 24 Amiet, who is the petroleum geologist identifying the 25

project.

Attachment A to the affidavit is a fully executed copy of the unit agreement. As you will see, it conforms to the State Land Office form.

Attachment B to the affidavit is a copy of the plat to the executed unit agreement, identifying the unit boundary.

The initial test well is actually the re-entry of the Sohio State Number 1 well, and it's located at a standard gas-well location 1980 feet from the south line and 1980 feet from the west line of Section 9, and it will test all formations from the surface to an approximate total depth of 11,700 feet.

Attachment C to the affidavit is a copy of the ownership breakdown. You'll see 100 percent of the working interest is owned by Yates and its affiliated companies, and 100 percent is voluntarily committed to the unit. This means they will have complete control of the operations.

Attachment D to the affidavit is a letter from the Commissioner of Public Lands giving preliminary approval to the formation of this unit.

And Attachment E to this affidavit is a net sand isopach map for the Atoka sands. It illustrates Yates' projection of the sand trend in the area. The Atoka-Morrow sands are the main producing objective, and Yates is

attempting to locate channel sands by using log correlation, old sample-description logs, drill stem test information and regional mapping.

Although these gas sands can be very productive, the channels are often narrow and limited in areal extent.

The targeted sand channels are shown in black here on the isopach map.

There have been no producing wells within the proposed unit area. The only Atoka producers are located in the northeast corner of the map in Section 36 and in the southwest corner of the map in Section 19.

The first well in the northeast corner had a cumulative production of 16 MBO and 1481 MMCF. And the second well down in the southwest corner had a cumulative production of 2 MBO and 449 MMCF.

Attachment F to the affidavit is a cross-section of the Atoka gas sands in the proposed area. And it shows the sands, the Atoka sands, in the Sohio well and the offsetting wells, which, as I mentioned, is the -- the Atoka is the main producing objective.

Mr. Amiet also testifies that the development of this unit area is pursuant to a unit plan, is in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights.

And if there are no questions, Mr. Hearing

1 Examiner, we ask that Yates Exhibit Number 1 and all of its 2 attachments be admitted into evidence. EXAMINER JONES: Exhibit 1 and all attachments to 3 will be admitted into evidence. 4 5 The wells over in the east side there, now, what are they? There are a bunch of --6 7 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Are you looking --EXAMINER JONES: -- bunch of wells, but there's 8 9 no Atoka wells, I take it. MS. MUNDS-DRY: That's right, there's been no 10 Atoka production from any of these wells. Of course, the 11 open red circles indicate that there was no Atoka 12 production, and of course the solid red circles is Atoka 13 production. So within the unit boundary there has not been 14 any Atoka production. My understanding is, there's been 15 16 production from other horizons, but not in the Atoka. 17 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, and they're using any well 18 control or seismic or whatever to draw these channel sands? 19 MS. MUNDS-DRY: That's right, and that's my 20 understanding with the re-entry. Part of this is old, very old logging system, from the 1950s and 1960s, so they're 21 attempting to re-establish that information. 22 23 EXAMINER JONES: So when they go before the State Land Office, do you go with them? 24

No.

MS. MUNDS-DRY:

25

```
1
                EXAMINER JONES:
                                  No.
                                        So you have to pick all
     this up later?
 2
                MS. MUNDS-DRY:
                                 That's right.
 3
                EXAMINER JONES: Gail, do you have any questions?
 4
                MS. MacQUESTEN: (Shakes head)
 5
 6
                EXAMINER JONES: Okay, we have no more questions,
 7
     and Case 13,403 will be taken under advisement.
 8
                (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 9
     8:40 a.m.)
10
11
12
                                   I do heraby certify that the foregoing to
13
                                   a complete record of the proceedings to
                                   the Examiner hearing of Case No.
14
                                   heard by me on
15
                                                        Examiner
                                      Oil Conservation Division
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 3rd, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006