STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,434

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

MAR 17

BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner

7 811

March 3rd, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 3rd, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

a sac says it was

March 3rd, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,434 **PAGE** 3 **EXHIBITS APPEARANCES** 3 APPLICANT'S WITNESS: JOHN AMIET (Geologist) Direct Examination by Ms. Munds-Dry 4 Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 15 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 21

* * *

ËXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1		6, 14	15
Attachment A	A	6	15
Attachment 1	В	6	15
Attachment	С	7	15
Attachment 1	D	8	15
Attachment :	E	11	15
Attachment :	F	13	15
Exhibit 2		9	15
Exhibit 3		8	15
Tab A		8	15
Tab B		8	15
Exhibit 4		14	15

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:19 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this time I call Case
4	13,434, which is the Application of Yates Petroleum
5	Corporation for approval of a unit agreement, Lea County,
6	New Mexico.
7	Call for appearances.
8	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Ocean
9	Munds-Dry with Holland and Hart, appearing on behalf of
10	Yates Petroleum Corporation, and I have one witness.
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances?
12	May the witness stand up to be sworn.
13	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
14	JOHN AMIET,
15	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
16	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:
19	Q. Will you please state your name for the record?
20	A. John Amiet.
21	Q. where do you reside?
22	A. Artesia, New Mexico.
23	Q. By whom are you employed?
24	A. Yates Petroleum.
25	Q. What is your position with Yates?

and the second of the second o

1	A. I'm a geologist with Yates.
2	Q. Have you previously testified before the Oil
3	Conservation Division?
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	Q. Were your educational background and your
6	credentials previously made a matter of record before the
7	Division?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And they were accepted by the Division?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in
12	this case?
13	A. Yes, I am.
14	Q. Are you familiar with the proposed Chesney State
15	Exploratory Unit, including the status of the lands in the
16	proposed unit area?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Have you made a geologic study of the area which
19	is the subject of this Application?
20	A. Yes, I have.
21	Q. Mr. Amiet, are you prepared to share the results
22	of this study with the Examiner?
23	A. Yes.
24	MS. MUNDS-DRY: We'd ask that Mr. Amiet be
25	tendered as an expert in petroleum geology.

of the state of th

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Amiet is so qualified. 1 (by Ms. Munds-Dry) Mr. Amiet, if you could 0. 2 briefly state for the Examiner what Yates Petroleum seeks 3 with this Application. 4 Yates is seeking approval of the Chesney State 5 Α. Exploratory Unit agreement. This is a voluntary 6 exploratory unit located in Lea County, New Mexico. 7 contains approximately 5899 acres of both state and fee 8 lands. And have you prepared certain exhibits for 10 Q. introduction into this case? 11 Yes, I have. 12 Α. Would you identify and review for the Examiner 13 Q. what's been marked as Yates Exhibit Number 1 and explain 14 15 what it is? 16 This is my affidavit and attachments. 17 summarizes my geologic testimony. Originally we planned to submit this unit by affidavit, but we decided to testify at 18 the last minute. 19 20 And could you review for the Examiner what's been Q. marked Yates Attachment A? 21 This is the unit agreement. It's based on the 22 state/fee form for an exploratory unit. 23

what's been marked Yates Attachment B.

And if you could review also for the Examiner

24

- This is the plat map, it's Exhibit A to the unit Α. 1 agreement. It shows that there are State of New Mexico 2 There's also 160 acres of fee lands 3 lands, 5739 acres. located in Sections 1 and 2. Six of these fee lands remain unleased, either due to people not deciding what they want 5 to do yet, or Yates was unable to contact them. 6 Mr. Amiet, if you could identify for the Examiner 7 what's been marked Yates Attachment C. 8 This is the ownership breakdown. It's Exhibit B A. 9 in the unit agreement. 10 How many State of New Mexico leases are there? 11 There are 18 State of New Mexico leases, totaling 12 There are 12 fee leases, totaling 160 acres. 13 5739 acres. And as I've mentioned, six of these fee acres are 14 15 uncommitted at this point. And this means how much acreage, approximately, 16 Q. 17 has been committed to the unit? Ninety-seven percent of the acreage is state 18 Α. 19 land, and of course that's leased 100 percent by Yates. There's -- About 3 percent of that land is fee. There's 20 about .1 percent of this unit outline that remains 21 unleased. 22 23 But this would give Yates effective control of

That's correct.

unit operations?

Α.

24

1	Q. If you could identify and review for the Examiner
2	Exhibit 3. We're just going to come back to the end here a
3	little bit, we're going to jump around.
4	A. This is the notice letters that were sent out to
5	the unleased mineral interest owners, or trying to find
6	them and trying to get either a lease from them or get
7	their either to lease or sign a waiver.
8	Q. And if you could also identify for the Examiner
9	what's been marked Tab A to Exhibit 3?
10	A. This is a copy of the lease that was also sent
11	out to these parties that have not leased.
12	Q. And if you could also identify Tab B to Exhibit
13	3.
14	A. This is just a copy of a typical waiver that was
15	also sent out to the unleased interest owners.
16	Q. Thank you. Has the Commissioner of Public Lands
17	given his preliminary approval to the proposed unit
18	agreement?
19	A. Yes, this is Yates Attachment D. It's a
20	preliminary approval letter from the Commissioner of Public
21	Lands. He has given his preliminary approval.
22	MS. MUNDS-DRY: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, we're
23	hopping around a little bit. It's
24	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah
25	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Attachment D to Exhibit 1

1	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah
2	MS. MUNDS-DRY: so if you'll go back up just a
3	little bit.
4	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, that's Attachment D; is
5	that what you said?
6	THE WITNESS: Attachment D to Exhibit 1.
7	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, I don't see that.
8	MS. MUNDS-DRY: If you can't locate your copy, I
9	can certainly
10	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do I have it? Attachment D?
11	THE WITNESS: Here's one if you need it.
12	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No, that's okay. Okay, here
13	we go.
14	THE WITNESS: This is the preliminary approval
15	from the Commissioner of Public Lands.
16	Q. (by Ms. Munds-Dry) Does Yates Petroleum
17	Corporation desire to be the designated unit operator?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And again, if we could skip around a little bit,
20	if you could identify and review for the Examiner Yates
21	Exhibit Number 2.
22	A. This is the AFE. It's for the initial test well.
23	It states that the dryhole cost is \$1,649,000, a completed
24	well cost is \$2,354,000. Yates plans to spud this well
25	before April 1st, since two leases expire on that date if

the unit is not approved and drilling has not commenced. 1 These two expiring leases are located in the north part of 2 the unit, in Sections 1 and 2. 3 Turning back to the unit agreement, Mr. Amiet, 4 does the agreement provide for periodic filing of plans of 5 development? 6 Yes. 7 A. Will the plans be filed with the OCD as well as 8 the State Land Office? 9 Α. Yes, they will. 10 How often are these plans to be filed? 11 Q. This is covered in Article 9 of the unit 12 13 agreement. Initial plan is six months after completion of 14 the first unit well, the subsequent plans are every 12 15 months thereafter. 16 Q. What horizons are being unitized in the proposed 17 Chesney Exploratory Unit? All horizons from the surface to the base of the 18 Α. 19 Mississippian formation. 20 And can you tell the Examiner where the test well Q. 21 will be drilled? The initial well will be 1650 feet from the north 22 23 line and 990 feet from the west line of Section 26, 24 Township 11 South, Range 35 East.

Please, can you repeat that

EXAMINER EZEANYIM:

1	location?
2	THE WITNESS: 1650 feet from the north line, 990
3	feet from the west line of Section 26, Township 11 South,
4	Range 35 East.
5	Q. (by Ms. Munds-Dry) Do you know the total depth
6	planned to be drilled to?
7	A. We have a tentative TD of 13,100 feet down into
8	the Mississippian.
9	Q. What is the primary objective in this well?
10	A. It would be Atoka-Morrow formation.
11	Q. Are there any secondary objectives?
12	A. We've had some luck with the upper Mississippian
13	or Austin formation, so we that's why we're drilling
14	down as deep as we are.
15	Q. If you could now identify for the Examiner Yates
16	Attachment E to Exhibit 1 and review that for the Examiner.
17	A. This is what I'm calling the Atoka red sand
18	isopach map. This is probably the best sand in this area,
19	or most consistent sand in this area. This is the map
20	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Attachment E, now
21	THE WITNESS: This is Attachment E to Exhibit 1.
22	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, go ahead.
23	Q. (by Ms. Munds-Dry) Are the producing wells shown
24	on this map, the Atoka-Morrow wells?
25	A. Yes, they are. Again, this is a net sand

thickness map of this red sand isopach. It's showing kind of a distributary channel coming from north down to the southeast. The unit outline is shown in red. The productive Atoka-Morrow wells are shown with the bright red or purple or maybe pink, solid circles.

We'll start with that well in the far east side in Section 30. That well has only made about 29 million cubic feet of gas, so it's obviously not an economic well.

The next red well in Section 25 is the Ray well. Yates re-entered this well, and for a re-entry it was a successful well. It's had a cumulative production of about 290 million cubic feet of gas. It's currently making about half a million cubic feet a day. So this was a successful re-entry, but it probably would not be economic as a new drill.

The only really economic well on this map would be over in Section 29 on the west-end side; it's that pink dot. It's made about 211 million cubic feet of gas in about five months, and it's making about -- over 800 million cubic feet a day, so that probably will be an economic well. But there are probably no other economic wells on this map, and this is one of the reasons that we're trying to form a unit. It's a high-risk project.

These well locations, we have 3-D seismic over this area, and so we're using 3-D seismic log correlations

and regional mapping to try to define these sands in this 1 kind of untested area. 2 Thank you. If you could identify and review 3 Yates Attachment F to Exhibit 1 for the Examiner. 4 This is a cross-section, A-A'. Again, the 5 Α. location shown on the map is kind of going in the southern 6 part of the unit from -- it's a structural cross-section, 7 going from northwest to southeast. 8 The well on the far right side is the Dempster 9 Number 2, and I've shown the red sand is the lowermost, 10 11 colored in yellow. There's a green sand above it, and also 12 a blue sand above it. But the red sand is really the one 13 that's more continuous and the one we're trying to find. On that Dempster Number -- State Unit Number 2, 14 the well in the far right-hand side, is wet in the target 15 This well has not produced at all. 16 horizon. 17 Coming to the west is the Ray well that we've talked about, that's made about 290 million cubic feet of 18 19 gas from this target interval, the same interval we're trying to chase. 20 21 22

We're trying to structurally high and hopefully get a thicker sand as we go to the proposed Chesney Unit Number 1 well. That would be the second well from the left.

23

24

25

And then the Roger is the well farthest to the

left, and it tested about 78 MCF a day from a sand above the red sand horizon. These sands are discontinuous, but like I said, the red sand is probably the most continuous and most prospective that we're looking for here.

1.6

Again, water is a problem out here, so we're trying to stay high and trying to use the seismic to get into some of these channel systems, but it is a very risky well.

- Q. Thank you. If you could refer generally to your Exhibit 1, which is your affidavit, and please explain to the Examiner why Yates is proposing to attempt to develop this area under the proposed unit plan.
- A. Once again, these wells cost almost \$2.4 million to drill. It's a risky area. There's really only one economic well on this map, so it's kind of a wildcat.

The formation of a unit will let us develop this acreage in a more reasonable manner where we can follow the channel systems, and we think a pool can effectively be developed under a unit plan.

- Q. Mr. Amiet, what does your geologic study tell you about the subject formation in this area?
- A. That this area or sand can best be produced under a unit plan.
 - Q. And is Exhibit 4 an affidavit of publication showing notice was published in the local newspaper?

1	A. That's correct, this is a copy of the ad that was
2	put in the Lovington newspaper advertising that this well
3	would be drilled.
4	Q. In your opinion, will approval of this
5	Application be in the best interests of conservation,
6	protect correlative rights and prevent waste?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 and all of their
9	attachments prepared by you or under your direction and
10	supervision?
11	A. Yes, they were.
12	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Hearing Examiner, we'd move
13	the admission into evidence of Yates Exhibits 1 through 4.
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 1 through 4 will be
15	admitted into evidence.
16	MS. MUNDS-DRY: That concludes my direct
17	testimony of Mr. Amiet.
18	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM:
21	Q. I have a couple of questions. Let's go back to
22	this cross-section. You said the red line is what
23	A. The red sand is really our target zone. The
24	other sands are more discontinuous and
25	Q. Yeah, I can see that.

1	A they don't seem to continue for very long. So
2	we're the red sand we can see in a number of wells in
3	the area.
4	Water is a problem, so we're trying to stay
5	high
6	Q. Yeah.
7	A and use the 3-D seismic to find prospective
8	locations.
9	Q. Is that in the Morrow?
10	A. This will be in the Atoka, middle Atoka.
11	Q. The Atoka, yeah. Okay.
12	A. We haven't found the Morrow to be productive over
13	in this area, this far east.
14	Q. And you're asking to have this unit from the
15	surface to the base of the Mississippian; is that
16	A. That's correct. This is a structural cross-
17	section, so you're going updip as you go to the northwest.
18	Q. Let's go to the lands, the state and the fee
19	land. Is that Exhibit 2, those lands?
20	A. Excuse me, Examiner?
21	Q. Exhibit 2, is that where you have these land
22	descriptions for this unit? Let me see, that
23	MS. MUNDS-DRY: The plat?
24	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibit 2, is that where
25	you have that? I have a question on that.

1	THE WITNESS: Exhibit 2. Let me see what Exhibit
2	2 was.
3	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Are you referring to Attachment
4	C, Mr. Examiner, that lists the ownership?
5	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No. You know, the lands,
6	both state and fee, and there's an exhibit that shows which
7	one is state land and which one is fee land
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I think it's 2, if I'm not
10	mistaken.
11	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Exhibit 2, Mr. Examiner, is the
12	AFE.
13	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, okay.
14	THE WITNESS: This is maybe Attachment C.
15	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Attachment C?
16	THE WITNESS: Is that Exhibit B to the unit
17	agreement? It shows the State of New Mexico lands and the
18	fee interests.
19	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, is that C?
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, this is Attachment C, Exhibit
21	B to the unit agreement.
22	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Attachment A, B, C
23	It's very confusing.
24	THE WITNESS: There's a lot of exhibits here.
25	Q. (By Examiner Ezeanyim) Yeah. Okay, now, my

A Company of the Company

question on that is that you say it's 99 percent state lands?

- A. Ninety-seven percent is state land, all leased by Yates, and there's about 160 acres out of the -- almost 6000 is fee land, so that's about 3 percent.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And of that, there's a total of six acres out of that 160 that we either have not been able to find the parties, or the people are still making up their minds.

 We're still getting responses from these six acres, but we've made a number of calls to Houston trying to find some of these people, we've checked the records office in Lovington, we've mailed out to the last known address of these people. We just haven't been able to find all the people.
- Q. Yeah, okay. What percentage of interest owners have approved this unit, do you know?
- A. It's about ninety- -- over 99 percent. Well, there's -- of the fee lands, one person has decided to participate in the unit. The other -- several -- four or five percent have leased to Yates, but there's six acres that have not leased or joined the unit, or we have not been able to find them. So six acres, that's about .1 percent of the total area, is not leased.
 - Q. Okay. One more question, Mr. Amiet, and this is

-- Let's go back to the unit composed of -- let's see here, 1 5899 acres of State of New Mexico lands, but here I'm 2 3 getting about 6400 acres for 10 sections. Could you -- because here you have 10 sections. 4 Is there an irregular --5 Well, Sections 1 and 2 are not full sections. 6 Α. Oh. Okay, Section 1 is how many acres? 7 0. MS. MUNDS-DRY: Section 1 --8 THE WITNESS: Do I have that? 9 (By Examiner Ezeanyim) When I see all, I give it Q. 10 at least 640 acres. 11 Yeah, that's 80 -- I would say it's about 400 12 acres, just an estimate. It looks like it's about three-13 quarters of a section. Sections 1 and 2 are short 14 sections. 15 400? Q. 16 I'd estimate about 400 acres. 17 Α. Uh-huh, because your acreage here is shown by 18 Q. 19 about 400 acres, so I need to make sure this is correct. So that would be -- that would be 300 acres short 20 Α. 21 of a full 10 sections. 22 Q. 500 acres --23 Α. Yeah, something like that. 24 Q. Yeah, 500 acres. 25 Α. Yeah, okay. Yes. Yes, sir.

1	Q. So it's because of due to regulating 1 and 2?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Okay. So right now you are exploring the Atoka,
4	no Morrow?
5	A. That's correct. I mean, potentially the Morrow
6	and Austin also, but our target sand is in the middle
7	Atoka.
8	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Anything further?
9	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Nothing further.
10	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: There being nothing further,
11	then Case Number 13,434 will be taken under advisement.
12	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Examiner.
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point I think we'll
15	take a 10-minute break, and then we can continue.
16	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
17	9:42 a.m.)
18	* * *
19	do hereby corting that the foregoing in a complete record of the proceedings in the complete record of Case No. 13 43
20	the Examiner of Section 1995
21	() A S KANITH
22	dil Conservation Division
23	
24	
25	

State of the second second

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 5th, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006