#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES

## ORIGINAL

#### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner

March 3rd, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 3rd, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

### INDEX

March 3rd, 2005 Continued and Dismissed Cases

PAGE

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

10

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 8:22 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Now if there are no 3 questions, then we can go on the record. 4 5 Today is March 3, 2005, approximately 8:20, because of my announcements. 6 My name is Richard Ezeanyim. 7 We're in Porter Hall, Oil Conservation Hearing 8 Room, and today we're going to consider Docket Number 9 07-05. 10 Currently I don't have a lot of dismissals. 11 have some continuances, and I'm going to first of all read 12 out the continuances. 13 Page 3, Case Number 13,433, this is the 14 Application of Myco Industries, Inc., for an exception to 15 16 Division Rule 104.C.(2).(c), Eddy County. Continued to March 17. 17 Case Number 13,437, this is the Application of 18 Lance Oil and Gas Company, Inc., for compulsory pooling, 19 20 San Juan County, New Mexico. This is continued to the April 7th docket. 21 22 Case Number 13,413. This case has already been heard, and I think it's taken under advisement and the 23 order is being worked on that, so we leave that case for 24

the docket.

25

At this point does anybody have any continuances 1 or dismissals? 2 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe. 3 On page 1, the bottom case, 13,423, Application of 4 Mewbourne Oil Company, I'd request that that case be 5 dismissed. 6 On page 2, the middle case, 13,408, Application 7 of Latigo Petroleum, Inc., I ask that that case be 8 continued for -- is it four or five weeks? I'm not -- Is 9 it March 31 or April 7th, is the --10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: April 7th. 11 MR. BRUCE: April 7th, continue it to April 7th. 12 The bottom case, 13,389, Application of Nadel and 13 Gussman Permian, L.L.C., request that that case be 14 dismissed. 15 And then on page 3 at the top, 13,411, the 16 Application of Primero Operating, Inc., this advertisement 17 is incorrect, so it has been corrected. And we ask that it 18 be continued to March 17th. And I have spoken with Ms. 19 Davidson about correcting it, so... 20 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, before I make some 22 statements, let me read... 23 So Case Number 13,423 is dismissed. 24 Case Number 13,408 is continued to April 7th on 25 page 2.

On the same page 2, Case Number 13,389 is 1 dismissed. 2 Case Number 13,411 is continued to March 7th. 3 Any other dismissals or continuances? 4 And Mr. Bruce, I want to make a comment on that, 5 on this 13,411. You've done that, what you meant on them. 6 You want to continue those; is that what you said? 7 MR. BRUCE: Well, Mr. Examiner, this case was --8 has already been heard by Examiner Jones --9 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Uh-huh. MR. BRUCE: -- and at the hearing I noticed that 11 the ad was incorrect, and I e-mailed a correct ad to the 12 Division, but the Division lost it. So I e-mailed the ad 13 again, so -- which is why it had to be continued to the 14 15 17th. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, that's good. But what troubles me with some -- this case, 17 13,411 and -- let me see, one other in here -- and 13,408, 18 19 if you are correcting the mistakes there, you are going to correct that thing, is to correct that you are asking for 20 21 exception to Division Rule 104.D.(3). 104.D.(3) is an exception to -- Are you asking 22 for an exception to an exception? Are you asking for an 23 24 exception to 104.C.(3)? I mean, D.(3) -- maybe 104.C.(3) 25 pursuant to 104.D.(3) --

MR. BRUCE: No, no, no, no, no. I mean, what 1 -- if you're talking about the Latigo Petroleum case --2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah. 3 MR. BRUCE: -- that's 104.D.(3), and the problem 4 there is, there are about 200 people to notify, and we've 5 gotten some additional names, so we had to renotify people 6 of the hearing date. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I understand, Mr. Bruce. 8 What I'm saying is that -- What are we asking for, what are 9 we saying 104.D.(3)? 10 MR. BRUCE: Two wells -- two gas wells on 160 gas 11 -- an exception -- 104.D.(3) requires only -- or allows 12 only one well on a 160-acre gas-well unit, and we're asking 13 for two wells on a 160-acre gas-well unit. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Is it not 104.C.(3)? 15 16 104.D.(3) -- You ask an exception for 104.C.(3) pursuant to 104.D.(3). 104.D.(3) is an exception --17. MR. BRUCE: Well, I --18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- to those rules. 19 20 MR. BRUCE: -- I -- if there's a -- if there's a 21 typo in there, there's a typo in there. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: There's a typo in there, and 23 I want it corrected because we're not asking for an 24 exception to 104.D.(3). 104.D.(3) is an exception to 25 either 104.C.(1), 104.C.(2) --

MR. BRUCE: No, no --1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- 104.C.(3) --2 MR. BRUCE: Well, 104- -- I believe 104.C, which 3 is, if you'd go down to the Myco case, 104.C.(2), and for 4 that matter, one of the Pecos Production cases today are 5 allowing two gas wells on the same quarter section within a 6 160-acre -- within a 320-acre gas-well unit, and I believe 7 104.D.(3) is proper, because you're asking for simultaneous 8 dedication. 9 I will double-check that, but I believe that 10 104.D.(3) is correct. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, please do that. And in 12 one of your statements you've corrected it, some of them 13 might say 104.C.(3). Which is the correct statement? 14 Because you are asking an exception to 104.C.(3), pursuant 15 16 to 104.D.(3). 17 104.D.(3) --MR. BRUCE: Well --18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: --104.C.(1), 104.C.(2), 19 20 104.C.(3). MR. BRUCE: Well, that's fine, and if I have to 21 22 correct it, that's fine. 23 I'm just using past Division advertisements, 24 promulgated by the Division itself with respect to the 25 relief sought herein, so --

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, and you have been advised in 1999, and then we have to -- like some of the Rules -- some of the cases say 104.C.(2), which I understand, but not 104.D.(3).

MR. BRUCE: Well --

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So why I mention this is that when you correct the advertisement --

MR. BRUCE: Well --

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- I want you to look at it and correct those two --

MR. BRUCE: Well, that's fine, Mr. Examiner, but what I'm telling you is, I'm using the -- Primero Operating was actually a case set by the Division, and so I'm just simply using their terminology.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, that's fine.

Well, at this point there are other cases, I

don't know -- yeah, I think some of them have been

dismissed or continued -- there are other cases that have

been heard and we are not taking under advisement.

If there is no objection, I would like to collect information on those. I think those cases -- ask me to take just a moment so we can proceed with the rest of the cases.

If you don't have any objection, I can call those cases and get the information, and then we'll take them

1	into	advise	ment before	e we go a	head with	our b	usiness	today.
2		A	ny objecti	on to me	doing tha	t?	ı	
3		. (	Thereupon,	these pr	oceedings	were	conclude	ed at
4	8:25	a.m.)		e e e	a i			
5				* *	*			
6								
7					٠			
8								
9		• .				;		
10		, , , , , ,	•			•		
11	1,			l ee he	olere record	that the	, <b>C</b> a.:	
12		• • •	•	the Exc	willer hearing	of the pr	oceedings i	3. Pr
13				heard t	y me on 3	131	<u> </u>	
14	4			on	enservation	Division	Exemin	er
15			•	· ·				
16				Ų.	·			
17						• .*		
18			. '					
19		•:						,
20	,	,						
21						•		
22	<u>:</u>					:		
23								
24								
25								

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 3rd, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006