		Page 3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2	Florene Davidson	
3	CIMAREX WITNESSES: CASE 14481	PAGE
4	CIPERLIN WITHERDED. CROSS FIRST	21102
5	Mark Compton:	
6	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	9. 23
7	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire	2·6 2·7
8	Redirect examination by Mr. Larson	29
9	Lee Catalano:	·
10	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	29 37
11	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire	40 41
12	Recross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry Further examination by Chairman Fesmire	44 45
13	Michael Swain:	13
14		1.5
15	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	46 57
16	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire	61 62
17	Redirect examination by Mr. Larson	64 .
18	CIMAREX WITNESSES: CASE 14480	PAGE
19.	Mark Compton:	,
20	Direct examination by Mr. Larson	67
21	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire	74 77
22	Redirect examination by Mr. Larson Cross-examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	82 83
23	Further redirect examination by Mr. Larson	84
24	Lee Catalano:	
25	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	84 88

	·	Page 4
1	Michael Swain:	
2	Direct examination by Mr. Larson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	90 95
3	Examination by Ghartman 165mile) <u> </u>
4	LYNX PETROLEUM WITNESSES: CASES 14481, 14480	PAGE
5	Larry Scott:	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	98
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Larson on Exhibit 9	116
8	Examination by Commissioner Bailey on Exhibit 9	117
9	Examination by Chairman Fesmire on Exhibit 9	117
10	Continued direct examination by Ms. Munds-Dry	122
11	Cross-examination by Mr. Larson Examination by Commissioner Bailey	137 146
12	Examination by Commissioner Olson	147
13	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	149
14	AGUA SUCIA WITNESSES: CASE 14411	PAGE
15	Ben Stone:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	172
17	Cross-examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Commissioner Bailey	206 228
18	Examination by Commissioner Olson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	232 234 ·
19	Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruce Recross-examination by Mr. Carr	239 240
20	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruce	246
21	Robert Lee:	
22	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-examination by Mr. Carr	247 272
23	Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Commissioner Olson	279 280
24	Examination by Chairman Fesmire	281
25	• •	**************************************

1	EXHIBITS ADMITTED	Page 5
3	CIMAREX EXHIBITS 1 through 7 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 8 through 11 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 12 through 18	23 · 37 57
4 .		I
5	CIMAREX EXHIBITS 1 through 7 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 8 through 11 CIMAREX EXHIBITS 12 through 14	74 87 94
6	•	104
7	LYNX EXHIBITS 1 and 2 LYNX EXHIBITS 9 and 10	134 136
8	AGUA SUCIA EXHIBITS 1 through 9 and 18	206
9	AGUA SUCIA EXHIBITS 10 through 16, 16A and 17	272
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	285
11		,
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		· ·
20	•	
21		The state of the s
22		
23		The state of the s
24		en andress
25		A SETTING THE PROPERTY OF THE

- 1 MR. SMITH: That's right. Perfect.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we will
- 3 adjourn for lunch and reconvene at 1:45.
- 4 (A lunch recess was taken)
- 5 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let's go ahead and go
- 6 on the record. Right now we have a series of about a
- 7 half dozen cases that have been on the books for a while.
- 8 We're going to go ahead and call them and, basically, see
- 9 if there's any reason to keep them on the books.
- The first is Cause Number 10693, the
- 11 application of Pronghorn Saltwater Disposal Systems for
- 12 saltwater disposal in Section 7, Township 20, Range 33
- 13 East in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 14 This case has been called to show cause why
- 15 the case should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time
- 16 period that the matter has been pending before the
- 17 Commission. Is that attorney in that case present?
- Seeing none, we will go ahead and order Case
- 19 Number 10693 dismissed for want of prosecution.
- The next one before the Commission is Case
- 21 Number 11724. It's the De Novo application of
- 22 Gillespie-Crow, Inc., for a unit expansion, statutory
- 23 unitization and qualification of an expanded area for the
- 24 recovered oil tax rate and certification of a positive
- 25 production response pursuant to the New Mexico Enhanced

- 1 Oil Recovery Act in Townships 15 and 16 South, Ranges 35
- 2 and 36 East, in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 3 This case has been called to order the
- 4 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should
- 5 not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period the
- 6 matter has been pending before the Commission. Are there
- 7 any attorneys present in that case?
- 8 MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, Scott Hall,
- 9 Montgomery & Andrews, for Energen Resources Corporation.
- 10 Energen is the successor unit operator to Gillespie-Crow
- 11 for the West Lovington Strawn Unit. And I would also
- 12 recommend that you call the next two cases. All three of
- 13 them involve the same subject matter, the Lovington
- 14 Strawn Unit.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. And who are you
- 16 going to represent in the other two?
- MR. HALL: Energen.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At Mr. Hall's request,
- 19 we'll call Case 11954, the application of Hanley
- 20 Petroleum, Inc., and Yates Petroleum Corporation for
- 21 expansion of the West Lovington Strawn Unit, Townships 15
- 22 and 16 South, Ranges 35 and 36 East in Lea County, New
- 23 Mexico.
- 24 This case is also called to allow the
- 25 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should

- 1 not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period that the
- 2 matter has been pending before the Commission.
- The third is Case Number 11987, the
- 4 application of EEX Corporation for a unit expansion,
- 5 statutory unitization and qualification of the expanded
- 6 unit area for the recovered oil tax rate certification of
- 7 positive production response pursuant to the New Mexico
- 8 Enhanced Oil Recovery Act, Township 16 South, Range 36
- 9 East in Lea County, New Mexico.
- Mr. Hall, having called all three of those
- 11 cases, do you have a pertinent statement?
- 12 MR. HALL: On behalf of the successor unit
- operator now to the West Lovington Strawn Unit, the unit
- 14 operator has no objection to dismissal of these cases,
- 15 provided the record makes clear that the underlying
- 16 orders approving the unit and subsequent operations
- 17 within the unit remain intact.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: They were not issued in
- 19 these cases, were they?
- 20 MR. HALL: I can't remember. There were
- 21 so many of them.
- 22 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, I represented
- 23 Gillespie-Crow, and Mr. Carr represented Yates. This is
- 24 the only career case I ever had. It started in the
- 25 mid-'90s and went on for a decade or more.

- 1 MR. CARR: It's gone on for so long, I
- 2 might even get fired.
- MR. BRUCE: I think all matters have
- 4 finally been resolved in this matter, so I don't see any
- 5 problems --
- 6 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: We want to dismiss the
- 7 cases without doing damage to an order, apparently in a
- 8 different case, establishing or expanding the unit.
- 9 MR. HALL: So long as the record is clear
- 10 that those orders are unaffected, I don't think it
- 11 matters if we dismiss the applications. They were
- 12 separate applications in separate cases where the unit
- 13 was approved, unit expansions were approved, and modified
- 14 unit operations for injection.
- MR. BRUCE: There must have been maybe a
- 16 dozen and a half hearings at the Division. Maybe only
- 17 one of them ever made it up to the Commission level, at
- 18 which time, I think by then, the parties had settled all
- 19 matters between themselves.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: You told me this was
- 21 going to be easy.
- MR. BRUCE: So I concur with Mr. Hall,
- 23 even though I'm out of it at this point. But as long as
- 24 the existing orders, and there were plenty of them, are
- 25 maintained in effect, I don't think anybody objects.

- 1 MR. CARR: Since I no longer represent
- 2 anyone, I don't have a position.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. The Chairman
- 4 will therefore dismiss Cases 11724, 11954, 11987, with
- 5 the stipulation that that dismissal will not affect any
- 6 orders currently in place on the West Lovington Strawn
- 7 Unit. Is that correct?
- 8 MR. HALL: That's correct.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you very much,
- 10 Mr. Hall, I think.
- 11 The next case is Case Number 12276, the
- 12 application of Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company for
- 13 compulsory pooling, Section 36, Township 27 North, Range
- 14 8 West, in San Juan County, New Mexico.
- This case is being called to give the
- 16 applicant the opportunity to appear and show cause why
- 17 the case should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time
- 18 period that this matter has been pending before the
- 19 Commission. It's been pending since June 23rd, 2000.
- 20 Are there any attorneys? Mr. Hall?
- 21 MR. HALL: Same situation, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 Scott Hall, Montgomery & Andrews, on behalf of Energen
- 23 Resources Corporation. I also recommend you call the
- 24 next case, 12277, as well. They're related.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we will

- 1 call Case Number 12277, the application of Burlington
- 2 Resources Oil & Gas Company for compulsory pooling in
- 3 · Section 16, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, in San Juan
- 4 County, New Mexico.
- 5 This case has also been called to allow the
- 6 operator to appear and show cause why the application
- 7 should not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period
- 8 that the matter has been pending before the Commission.
- 9 Mr. Hall?
- 10 MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I represented
- 11 Energen Resources Corporation, among others. Energen and
- 12 those parties were the de novo applicants involving a
- 13 contract dispute for compulsory pooling in the San Juan
- 14 Basin. There was a diversion to the courthouse on this
- 15 one. It's in litigation, which went away.
- 16 In the meantime, a lot of the interests of
- 17 former clients have been transferred. I feel the
- 18 obligation to try to at least contact them and make sure
- 19 they have no objection to dismissal. I don't think that
- 20 will be a problem. I haven't had the time to do that.
- 21 I would request these cases not be dismissed
- 22 until I've had that opportunity to contact those
- 23 successor interest owners.
- 24 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Cases Number 12276 and
- 25 12277 will be continued to the December 9th docket, at

- 1 which time Mr. Hall will let us know whether he does have
- 2 an objection.
- 3 MR. HALL: I'll try. Yes, sir
- 4 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you, Mr. Hall.
- 5 Last but not least -- and I notice Mr. Hall is
- 6 still standing there -- Case Number 13048, the
- 7 application of Devon Energy Corporation, L.P., for
- 8 compulsory pooling, Section 4, Township 23 South, Range
- 9 34 East, in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 10 This case was also called to allow the
- 11 applicant to appear and show cause why the case should
- 12 not be dismissed, given the lengthy time period that this
- 13 matter has been pending before the Commission. It's been
- 14 pending since December 9th, 2004.
- 15 Mr. Hall?
- MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, Scott Hall,
- 17 Montgomery & Andrews, appearing on behalf of EGL
- 18 Resources and Robert Landrik, both of whom were de novo
- 19 applicants in this case. I managed to find a letter in
- 20 my file to the Division at the time asking that these
- 21 applications be dismissed some time ago. We just never
- 22 followed up on it. No objection to dismissal.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: With that, Case Number
- 24 13048 will be dismissed by the Chairman. That's the end
- 25 of the list. Thank you very much, Mr. Hall. Cleaned out

- 1 both your cabinet and Florene's.
- Okay. At this time we will call the next case
- 3 on the docket. It is the application of Agua Sucia, LLC,
- 4 to reinstate Division Administrative Order SWD-559 for a
- 5 saltwater disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. It's
- 6 Case Number 14411. Are there attorneys present?
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce, would you
- 9 state your appearance, please?
- MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce, of Santa Fe,
- 11 representing the applicant. I have two witnesses.
- MR. CARR: May it please the Commission?
- 13 William F. Carr, with the Santa Fe office of Holland &
- 14 Hart, LLP. We represent Armstrong Energy Corporation in
- 15 this matter in opposition to the application, and I have
- 16 three witnesses.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Bruce, do
- 18 you have an opening statement?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir
- 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Would you like to make
- 21 it now?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 23 May it please the Commission? We're here
- 24 today concerning Government E Well No. 1, located in the
- 25 southwest corner of the southwest quarter of Section 25,