STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,444

)

)

)

)

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 17th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

	INDEX		
March 17th, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,444			
			PAGE
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			7
	* * *		
_	KHIBITS		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	4	-	
Attachment A	4	-	
Attachment B	4	-	
Attachment C	4	-	
Attachment D	4	_	
Attachment E	5	-	
Attachment F	5	-	
Exhibit 2	-	-	
	* * *		
APP	EARANCE	S	
FOR THE APPLICANT:			
HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., a 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 3 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 879 By: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY	1	CARR	

2

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:02 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Let's go back to page 1, now
4	call this hearing to order.
5	Now I'll call Case Number 13,444, which is the
6	Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for approval of
7	a unit agreement in Eddy County, New Mexico.
8	Call for appearances.
9	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
10	Examiner. My name is Ocean Munds-Dry. I'm with Holland
11	and Hart.
12	I'm here representing Yates Petroleum Corporation
13	this morning.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances?
15	Ms. Munds-Dry, please continue.
16	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Yates seeks
17	approval of the Cohiba State Exploratory Unit. It's
18	comprised of 879.20 acres of State of New Mexico lands.
19	It's located approximately five miles southwest of Malaga.
20	100 percent of the working interest in these lands is owned
21	by Yates and its affiliated companies.
22	Yates proposes to test all formations from the
23	surface to the base of the Bone Springs formations, and
24	Yates seeks approval of this proposed unit by affidavit
25	pursuant to Division policy.

1

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

3

Mr. Hearing Examiner, if you'll turn to Yates
Exhibit Number 1, the affidavit of Ray Podany, he's the
petroleum geologist identifying the project in his
affidavit.
Attachment A to the Affidavit is a fully executed
copy of the unit agreement. You will note that it conforms
to the State Land Office form.
Attachment B to the affidavit is a copy of the
plat to the executed unit agreement, and identifies the
unit boundaries.
The initial test well is to be located at a
standard location 660 feet from the north line and 660 feet
from the west line of Section 1. It will test all
formations from the surface to an approximate total depth
of 8500 feet.
Attachment C to the affidavit is a copy of the
ownership breakdown. You'll note that the first lease
expires April 1st of 2005. You'll also note that 100
percent of the working interest is owned by Yates and its
affiliated companies, and 100 percent is voluntarily
committed to the unit. This will give Yates complete
control of operations.
Attachment D to the affidavit is a letter from
the Commissioner of Public Lands giving preliminary
approval to the formation of this unit.

Attachment E to the affidavit is a top-of-1 structure map for the Bone Springs sands, illustrating 2 Yates' projection of the sand trend in this area. You can 3 see the sand is deposited in deep-water depositional 4 systems, and they are the main producing objective, which 5 is the Bone Springs. Trends north northeast-south 6 7 southeast -- southwest, sorry. Attachment F to the affidavit is a cross-section 8 9 of the sands in the proposed area. The one producing Bone 10 Springs well in the area, which is not in the proposed unit 11 area, is the Harkey 35 State 1 well in Section 35. It had 12 a cumulative production of 25 MBO and 490 MMCF. 13 Mr. Podany testifies that the development of this 14 unit area is pursuant to unit plan, is in the best interest 15 of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection 16 of correlative rights. 17 Mr. Hearing Examiner, unless you have any questions, we ask that Yates Exhibit Number 1 and its 18 19 attachments be admitted into evidence. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: No questions because the 21 witness isn't here, and if he was I wouldn't have any 22 questions for him. 23 And does anybody else have anything further in 24 Case 13,444? 25 If not, this case for the exploratory unit near

5

Malaga, New Mexico, will be taken under advisement. MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Ms. Munds-Dry. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 8:24 a.m.) * * I do heraby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13444. heard by me pr 17 March 2005 . , Examiner Oll Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 17th, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

7