	Page 1	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
3	ORIGINAL	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR	
5	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14988	
6		
7	APPLICATION OF AMTEX ENERGY, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT,	
8	LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	
9		
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
11	EXAMINER HEARING	
12	DOCKET NO. 18-13	
13	BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, Hearing Officer DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner	
14		
15	MAY 30, 2013	
16	8:20 AM	
17	Santa Fe, New Mexico co	
18	This matter came on for hearing before the	
19	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, PHILLIP GOETZE, Hearing Examiner, and DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal	
20	Examiner, on THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2013, at the	
21	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Street Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.	
22	Noon 102, Bailed 10, New Heateo.	
23	REPORTED BY: PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS	
24	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, NM 87102	
25	mi orioz	

1	APPEARANCES		Page 2
2			
3	For Amtex Energy, Inc.:		
4	HOLLAND & HART 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1		
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421		
6	By: Adam Rankin, Esquire		
7			
8	INDEX	PAGE	
9			
10	WILLIAM J. SAVAGE Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	3	
11			
12	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF HEARING	5	
13	EXHIBITS MARKED/IDE	NTIFIED	
14	1. Unit Agreement	11	
15	2. May 15, 2013 Preliminary Approval Letter	11	
16	3. Affidavit of Sally Meader-Roberts	11	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
l .			

- 1 MR. EXAMINER: In that case we'll go the
- 2 first case. Case 14988, application of Amtex
- 3 Energy, Inc. for approval of a unit agreement, Lea
- 4 County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- 6 MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
- 7 My name is Allen Rankin with Holland & Hart in
- 8 Santa Fe on behalf of Amtex Energy, Inc. I've got
- 9 one witness today.
- MR. EXAMINER: Very good. Will your
- 11 witness please stand and state your name.
- 12 THE WITNESS: William J. Savage with Amtex
- 13 Energy.
- 14 MR. EXAMINER: And will the reporter swear
- 15 this witness in.
- [Whereupon the witness was duly sworn.]
- 17 MR. EXAMINER: Proceed.
- 18 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 19 Mr. Savage, please have a seat.
- 20 WILLIAM J. SAVAGE
- 21 after having been first duly sworn under oath,
- 22 was questioned and testified as follows:
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. RANKIN:
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Savage.

- 1 A. Good morning.
- Q. Mr. Savage, could you please state your
- 3 name and identify the place where you live?
- 4 A. My name is William Savage, William J.
- 5 Savage. I live in South Lake, Texas.
- 6 Q. And can you please identify by whom you're
- 7 employed and in what capacity?
- 8 A. I'm employed by Amtex Energy, Inc., and I'm
- 9 the president of the company.
- 10 Q. And have you previously testified before
- 11 the Division?
- 12 A. No, I have not.
- 13 Q. Can you please briefly review for the
- 14 Examiners your educational and work experience?
- 15 A. Well, I've grown up working on oil rigs and
- 16 pulling units and attended college at Texas A&M
- 17 University. Graduated with an engineering degree
- 18 from Texas A&M. Went to work for Amico Production
- 19 Company in 1980 right after graduation from Texas
- 20 A&M and worked there for seven and a half years as a
- 21 petroleum engineer in charge of the production
- 22 operations of all types. And quit Amico in 1987 and
- 23 formed Amtex Energy, which is my company. And we're
- 24 in business still today.
- Q. And are you familiar with the application

- 1 that was filed in this case?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And are you familiar with the lands in the
- 4 proposed unit area?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
- 7 Mr. Savage as an expert in petroleum engineering.
- 8 MR. EXAMINER: So qualified.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Thank you very much.
- 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have some reservations
- 11 about accepting an Aggie though. I guess I have to.
- MR. RANKIN: That's the first I've heard of
- 13 that. Thank you, Mr. Brooks.
- Q. (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Savage, can you please
- 15 briefly state what it is that you're seeking with
- 16 this application?
- 17 A. Yes. We're asking to be approved to form
- 18 an exploratory unit. We're asking that unit to be
- 19 named the Merchant's State Unit, and it will be in
- 20 Lea County, New Mexico approximately 21 miles west
- 21 of Eunice, New Mexico.
- 22 O. And does Amtex intend to be the unit
- 23 operator of the unit?
- 24 A. Yes. Amtex will be the unit operator.
- MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm going to

- 1 pass out what's been marked as Exhibit Number 1.
- Q. (By Mr. Rankin) Is this a copy of the
- 3 proposed unit agreement?
- A. Yes.
- 5 O. And this was submitted to the State Land
- 6 Office for approval; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Does this unit agreement conform with the
- 9 state format for unit agreements?
- 10 A. Yes, it does. It is on their standard
- 11 form.
- 12 Q. Flipping to the back exhibit, what's been
- 13 marked as Exhibit A --
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. -- to the unit agreement, is this a copy of
- 16 the unit plat area which identifies the leases; is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. Yes. This is the map of the Merchant State
- 19 Unit area which is a two-section unit, section 35,
- 20 township 21 south, range 33 east and section 2,
- 21 township 22 south, range 33 east, Lea County,
- 22 New Mexico. And the two sections together are made
- 23 up of a total acreage of 1,280.12 acres.
- Q. And these four tracts that comprise the
- 25 proposed unit area they're all state leases; is that

- 1 right?
- 2 A. All state, yes.
- 3 Q. And the next page is Exhibit B of the unit
- 4 agreement; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And this provides a breakdown of the
- 7 ownership across the unit; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And it indicates that Amtex Energy has a
- 10 100 percent working interest in all the leases; is
- 11 that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the next exhibit, Exhibit C, is a
- 14 schedule of the track participation; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And that indicates that 100 percent of the
- 18 working interest has been committed to the unit?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 20 Q. And I take it that's sufficient to have
- 21 effective control of the unit operations
- 22 100 percent?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Savage, has the State Land Office
- 25 provided its preliminary approval of this proposed

- 1 unit?
- 2 A. Yes, it has.
- 3 Q. I'm going to pass out what's been marked as
- 4 Exhibit 2, and this is a letter from the State Land
- 5 Office; is that correct, granting preliminary
- 6 approval?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- 8 O. Mr. Savage, what formations or horizons are
- 9 you seeking to unitize with this agreement?
- 10 A. We're asking to unitize from the top of the
- 11 Bone Spring formation to the top of the Strawn
- 12 formation.
- Q. And that's approximately from 8,870 feet to
- 14 13,500?
- 15 A. Right, 13,500. Approximately 8870 to
- 16 13,500 feet.
- 17 Q. Now, does Amtex have already in place a
- 18 plan of development to develop the unit area?
- 19 A. Yes. Our plan for development of the unit
- 20 area is we will reenter the -- the only well drilled
- 21 deep in these two sections is the old Enron Grandma
- 22 Ridge 35 State Number 1 well. It was drilled in
- 23 1987. And we will reenter that well. And the
- 24 seven-inch casing was pulled out of it. So we will
- 25 utilize the good surface casing. They said good

- 1 deep surface circulated the cement all the way to
- 2 surface. And then they set intermediate at
- 3 approximately 5,300 feet and circulated that to
- 4 surface as well.
- 5 We will -- the intermediate casing is 10
- 6 and three quarter-inch diameter casing, which is
- 7 even larger than necessary for reentry. But we will
- 8 go in that and blow the intermediate. We will
- 9 sidetrack out of the original wellbore and begin
- 10 drilling a new wellbore so as to take modern mud log
- 11 samples. And once we are down to the proposed
- depth, 13,500 feet, we will also take sidewall core
- 13 sample analysis of each perspective pay zones that
- 14 gives up shows on the mud log.
- 15 And then as well as we will run a much more
- in-depth log analysis with modern logs, including
- 17 the dye pulsonic log so as to clearly identify our
- 18 pay zones and also identify the maximum stress
- 19 orientation in each perspective pay zone so that we
- 20 will be able to choose the proper direction to place
- 21 our horizontal, whether that be north, south, east,
- 22 or west. And that's the tool we need to be able to
- 23 make sure that we're drilling perpendicular to the
- 24 frac plane that we'll be implementing when we
- 25 complete the well and fracture stimulated.

- 1 Q. Mr. Savage, when does Amtex intend to spud
- 2 this first well?
- A. Within 60 days of final approval.
- Q. Now, Mr. Savage, I'm going to pass out
- 5 what's been marked as Exhibit Number 3. I've only
- 6 got three copies of this so I will give one to you,
- 7 one to the court reporter, and one to the Examiner.
- 8 Mr. Savage, this is a copy of the affidavit
- 9 from Ms. Sally Meader-Roberts; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, that is.
- 11 Q. And she's a petroleum geologist who works
- 12 for you; is that right?
- 13 A. She is.
- 14 O. And does this affidavit discuss the
- 15 potential target zones for the exploratory unit?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 O. And does it also include and discuss
- 18 structure maps for the target formations?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And does it also include a type log that's
- 21 representative of the geology in the unit area?
- 22 A. It does, yes.
- Q. And that type log is based on the Grandma
- 24 Ridge 35 well that you mentioned; is that correct?
- 25 A. Right. That is the type log of the Grandma

- 1 Ridge 35 State Well Number 1.
- Q. And it's representative of the geology
- 3 across the unit area?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. Does Ms. Meader-Roberts conclude that this
- 6 proposed exploratory unit covers an area that can be
- 7 reasonably developed under a unit plan?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 O. And does she also conclude that the
- 10 formation of the unit will result in protection of
- 11 the correlative rights and prevention of waste and
- 12 the efficient recovery of hydrocarbons?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were Amtex Exhibits 1 through 3 either
- prepared by you or prepared under your supervision?
- 16 A. Yes, they were.
- MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would move to
- 18 admit Exhibits 1 through 3.
- MR. EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 3 are
- 20 accepted.
- 21 [Exhibits 1 through 3 admitted into evidence.]
- MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I have no
- 23 further questions and pass the witness.
- MR. EXAMINER: Do you have any questions?
- 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions.

	Page 12
1	MR. EXAMINER: Your explanation has been
2	thorough. I don't have any questions for this
3	witness.
4	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. I
5	have nothing further.
6	MR. EXAMINER: We will take this case under
7	advisement.
8	[Case 14988 was taken under advisement.]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	t we haveny certify that the foregoing is
14	man field record of the proceedings 4988
15	hear 1 1/2 30 2013.
16	Oll Conservation Division
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	