

Brooks, David K., EMNRD

From: jamesbruc@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, June 08, 2013 8:40 AM
To: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD; Goetze, Phillip, EMNRD
Cc: tchavezlaw@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Case 14977/Mewbourne

June 27th is OK by me.

Jim
-----Original Message-----
From: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD, EMNRD <richard.ezeanyim@state.nm.us>
To: jamesbruc <jamesbruc@aol.com>; Brooks, David K., EMNRD, EMNRD <david.brooks@state.nm.us>; Goetze, Phillip, EMNRD, EMNRD <Phillip.Goetze@state.nm.us>
Cc: tchavezlaw <tchavezlaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Fri, Jun 7, 2013 12:02 pm
Subject: RE: Case 14977/Mewbourne

For the interest of all parties concerned, this case is hereby continued to June 27, 2013. Please confirm ASAP. Thank you.

Richard

From: jamesbruc@aol.com [<mailto:jamesbruc@aol.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 8:14 AM
To: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD; Goetze, Phillip, EMNRD
Cc: tchavezlaw@gmail.com
Subject: Case 14977/Mewbourne

Gentlemen: This case is scheduled for June 13th. I just wanted to double check on one thing: By my e-mail to you on April 24th, I said that Mewbourne could do the case on that date IF it can present geology by affidavit. The reason being all of its technical people are out during that week. If that is OK with everyone we can do the case then. If the Division will require a technical witness, or if Mr. Chavez wants Mewbourne's technical witness there for questioning, the case will be need to be continued.

Let me know. Thanks.

Jim