```
Page 2
                             APPEARANCES
 1
 2
     FOR APPLICANT BC OPERATING, INC.:
 3
          MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQ.
          HOLLAND & HART
          110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 5
          (505) 988-4421
          mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
 6
     FOR INTERESTED PARTY CL&F RESOURCES, L.P.:
 7
 8
          JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.
          Post Office Box 1056
 9
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
          (505) 982-2043
          jamesbruc@aol.com
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Numbers 15529 and 15530 Called	4
4	BC Operating, Inc.'s Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Harmon Murphy:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-Examination by Examiner Wade Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	4 21
8		22
9		23
10	Mike Moylett:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	24 30
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	33
13	Proceedings Conclude	35
14	Certificate of Court Reporter	36
15	Certificate of Court Reporter	30
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	BC Operating, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 12 and 10A	
18		21
19	BC Operating, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 13 through 15	30
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (10:28 a.m.)2
- EXAMINER GOETZE: Now, the two remaining
- 3 cases, Case Number 15529 and Case 15530, are
- consolidated for hearing. Case 15529 is application of 4
- 5 BC Operating Inc. for a nonstandard spacing and
- 6 proration unit, unorthodox well location and compulsory
- 7 pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico; Case 15530,
- 8 application of BC Operating, Inc. for a nonstandard
- 9 spacing and proration unit, unorthodox well location and
- compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 10
- 11 Call for appearances.
- 12 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner,
- Michael Feldewert, from the Santa Fe office of Holland & 13
- 14 Hart, appearing on behalf of the Applicant. I have two
- 15 witnesses.
- 16 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 17 Santa Fe representing CL&F Resources, L.P. I have no
- 18 witnesses.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: And Cimarex has filed,
- 20 and I don't see anybody here from Modrall.
- 21 What shall we do?
- 22 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I will
- 23 represent to you that we did receive an email
- 24 communication from Cimarex's attorney this morning
- 25 informing us that they saw no need for their appearance

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

My name is Harmon Murphy. I'm employed by BC

25

Α.

- 1 Operating, Incorporated, and I am their senior landman.
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: May I interrupt just one
- 3 moment?
- 4 You didn't have an opening statement?
- 5 MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
- 6 EXAMINER GOETZE: I just wanted to make
- 7 sure.
- 8 Continue, Mr. Feldewert.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) And how long have you been a
- 10 senior landman for BC Operating?
- 11 A. Since the first of this year, January 1st,
- 12 2016.
- 13 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 14 Division?
- 15 A. No, sir, I have not.
- 16 Q. Would you outline your educational background?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. I graduated from Louisiana State
- 18 University in 2008.
- 19 Q. And in terms of your work history, have you
- 20 been a landman since graduation?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, I have. I was an independent landman
- for six years, and then in June of 2014, I became the
- 23 senior landman for Nadel and Gussman.
- Q. And at the time -- since you've been a senior
- 25 landman, first with Nadel and Gussman and now with BC

- 1 Operating, have your responsibilities included the
- 2 Permian Basin?
- 3 A. They have been, in Eddy and Lea Counties, New
- 4 Mexico.
- 5 Q. And are you a member of any professional
- 6 organizations or affiliations?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, I am, the AAPL and the PBLM.
- 8 Q. How long with AAPL?
- 9 A. Since 2008.
- 10 Q. And what about the Permian Basin Landmen's
- 11 Association?
- 12 A. Since June of 2014.
- Q. Are you familiar with the applications that's
- 14 been filed in these consolidated cases?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- 16 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 17 lands in the subject area?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- MR. FELDEWERT: I would tender Mr. Murphy
- 20 as an expert witness in petroleum land matters.
- 21 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce?
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
- Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Would you please turn to
- what's been marked as BC Operating Exhibit Number 1?

- 1 Does this exhibit identify a Midland map for the wells
- 2 in the acreage that are at issue under these
- 3 consolidated applications?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, it does.
- 5 Q. Okay. The Red Light #1, what acreage is
- 6 involved there?
- 7 A. That encompasses the east half of Section 26
- 8 and 23-26 and the northeast quarter of Section 34 and 23
- 9 South, 26 East.
- 10 Q. And you said Section 26. Do you mean Section
- 11 27?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. Excuse me.
- Q. And then the Red Light #2, is that the west
- 14 half equivalent of that acreage?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you're looking at one-and-a-half-mile
- 17 laterals?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. That is correct, free form.
- 19 O. In what formation?
- 20 A. In the Wolfcamp Formation.
- Q. What's the nature of the acreage that is
- 22 shaded --
- A. In the Red Light #1, there are five state
- 24 leases and one fee lease.
- 25 And in the Red Light #2, there are four

- 1 state leases.
- O. Okay. And then if I look at what's been marked
- 3 as BC Operating Exhibit Number 2, are these draft C-103
- 4 plats for each of these wells?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, they are.
- 6 Q. And does it identify, on these plats, the
- 7 surface and bottom-hole locations?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- 9 Q. And does it also identify the first and last
- 10 take points?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, it does.
- 12 Q. Now, you mention the target is the Wolfcamp
- 13 Formation?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 15 O. Has the company visited with the Division's
- 16 district office to ascertain what pool would be involved
- 17 with these wells?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, it has.
- 19 Q. And what has Mr. Kautz told you?
- 20 A. He put it in my Wolfcamp gas pool.
- 21 O. And is it a Wolfcamp gas pool?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. FELDEWERT: And I believe,
- 24 Mr. Examiners, that Wolfcamp gas pool is identified in
- 25 our application. It has a number series so --

- 1 EXAMINER GOETZE: Yeah. It is one of the
- 2 informal designations, yes, that Paul assigns to them.
- 3 So we realize that, and we do have that on record.
- 4 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) All right. And, Mr. Murphy,
- 5 since this is a gas pool, this is subject to 320-acre
- 6 spacing?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 O. With 660-foot setbacks?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, it does.
- 10 Q. With that in mind, what is the relief that the
- 11 company seeks under this application to drill these two
- 12 wells?
- 13 A. We want to create two nonstandard spacing and
- 14 proration units, one for the northeast quarter of
- 15 Section 34 and one for the northwest quarter of Section
- 16 34.
- 17 Q. Let me stop you right there. Is that for
- 18 purposes of combining that acreage with the standard
- 19 spacing units in Section 27?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. And so ultimately then forming, as
- 22 reflected on the C-102s, a 480-acre nonstandard spacing
- 23 and proration unit?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. What else do you seek under this application?

- 1 A. We'd like to pool all committed working
- 2 interest owners to the Wolfcamp Formation, and we would
- 3 also like to have approval for an unorthodox location
- 4 for a 330 setback.
- 5 Q. Now, what's the reason for the --
- 6 A. We'd like --
- 7 O. Hold on.
- 8 -- for the request for the nonstandard
- 9 [sic] locations?
- 10 A. We'd like to lengthen the lateral to increase
- 11 production.
- 12 Q. And do you expect production of oil and gas
- 13 from these wells?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, we do.
- 15 Q. Then if I turn to what's marked as BC Operating
- 16 Exhibit Number 3, does this exhibit -- do each of these
- 17 two wells identify the acreage that is subject to the
- 18 notice requirements for both the nonstandard spacing and
- 19 proration unit --
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. -- in Section 34?
- 22 A. It does.
- 23 O. And for the nonstandard location for these
- 24 wells?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, it does.

- 1 Q. And did you identify, for purposes of this
- 2 hearing, the affected parties in the offsetting acreage
- 3 for each of those wells?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 5 Q. Looking specifically at the 2H well and noting
- 6 the notice area for that well, does the 2H well encroach
- 7 on a spacing unit to the northwest or the southwest?
- 8 A. No, sir, it does not.
- 9 Q. Nor does it encroach on the spacing units to
- 10 the west?
- 11 A. No, sir, it does not.
- 12 Q. Okay. Is that why, then, the shaded area
- 13 exists to the north, to the east and the south of this
- 14 spacing unit?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, it does.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, turning to the pooling issues, for
- 17 pooling purposes, did you propose each of these wells to
- 18 the working interest owners?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. If I turn to what's been marked as, first, BC
- 21 Operating Exhibit Number 4 and then BC Operating Exhibit
- 22 Number 5, are these examples of the well-proposal
- 23 letters that were sent out to the working interest
- 24 owners for each of these wells?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, it does.

- 1 Q. Okay. And in this case, these were sent to
- 2 CL&F Resources?
- 3 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. Did each of these letters contain an AFE?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, it did.
- Q. And that's found on the last page of each of
- 7 these two exhibits?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- 9 Q. At the time that these AFEs were sent and put
- 10 together, did they reflect the cost that was anticipated
- 11 by BC Operating and other operators who are drilling
- 12 similar wells in the Wolfcamp?
- 13 A. Yes, it was -- it is.
- 14 Q. Now, what overhead and administrative rates are
- 15 requested under this pooling order?
- A. We request \$7,000 a month for drilling and \$700
- 17 a month for production.
- 18 Q. And are these rates that other operators in the
- 19 area are charging for similar wells?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Now, in preparation for this hearing, did you
- 22 also look at the underlying instruments governing the
- 23 non-cost-bearing interests, such as overriding royalty
- 24 interests on royalty interests?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, we did.

- 1 Q. And did you ascertain that there were some of
- 2 those interests that required pooling?
- 3 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 4 Q. What efforts did the company undertake to
- 5 obtain voluntary agreements with non-cost-bearing
- 6 interests prior to --
- 7 A. We mailed them ratifications and amendments.
- Q. If I then turn, for example, to BC Operating
- 9 Exhibit Number 6, is this representative of the types of
- 10 letters that were sent to, first, royalty owners, and if
- 11 I look at the second page, overriding royalty owners to
- 12 the 1H?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then if I turn to BC Operating Exhibit
- Number 7, is this the type of letter that was sent to
- 16 the overriding royalty interest owners?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does that mean, then, that the #2H does not
- 19 have any royalty interests that need to be pooled?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. It's all state lease.
- Q. All right. If I then turn to what's been
- 22 marked as BC Operating Exhibits 8 and 9, these are both
- 23 put together in a similar fashion?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, they are.
- Q. And do they identify the interests that remain

- 1 to be pooled for each well?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, they do.
- 3 Q. And how are those parties identified?
- 4 A. In bold.
- 5 Q. And if I first look at Exhibit Number 8, the
- 6 first page then has a listing of parties, some of which
- 7 are in bold, for the 1H. And on the right-hand side, we
- 8 see the working interest owners?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 10 Q. And now on the left-hand side, we see the
- 11 overriding royalty interests and royalty -- correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. And the percentage interests that you reflect
- 14 here on the first page, is that on a consolidated basis?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. If I look at the second page of this particular
- 17 exhibit, Number 8, does that rate the interest owners --
- 18 working interest ownership by tract?
- 19 A. It does.
- Q. And is Exhibit Number 9 put together in the
- 21 same fashion?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Okay. I see here that the interest in this
- 24 particular area for both wells is pretty heavily
- 25 divided?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. As I look through this, I see some interest
- 3 owners, for example on Exhibit Number 8, that have zero
- 4 percent interest associated with them. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 6 Q. What's the reason for that?
- 7 A. They may have a contractual interest. We're
- 8 not exactly sure.
- 9 Q. So they don't show up as a leasehold of record?
- 10 A. They have no leasehold rights in that
- 11 particular well.
- 12 Q. But you have listed them out of an abundance of
- 13 caution in the event they have a contractual agreement?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 15 Q. The other thing I notice here, Mr. Murphy, is I
- 16 don't see BC Operating listed as a working interest
- 17 owner. Why is that?
- 18 A. That's because BC Operating is the operating
- 19 company for Crown Oil Partners, Crump Energy Partners
- 20 and Nadel and Gussman Permian.
- 21 Q. And we see those companies on each of these
- 22 exhibits listed as the first three interest owners?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. Now, in addition to sending out these
- 25 well-proposal letters, did you reach out to the working

- 1 interest owners in attempt -- efforts to reach an
- 2 agreement?
- 3 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 4 Q. Okay. And did you have various discussions
- 5 with them?
- 6 A. Numerous.
- 7 Q. And are there interest owners you were unable
- 8 to contact?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. FELDEWERT: I apologize. I'm going to
- 11 put this in the record, if I may.
- If I may approach the witness?
- 13 EXAMINER GOETZE: Please.
- Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Murphy, I'm going to
- 15 hand you what's been marked as BC Operating Exhibit
- 16 Number 10A. Mr. Murphy, I introduce this into the
- 17 record -- first off, I believe -- is this correspondence
- 18 that you had in July with one of the parties you seek to
- 19 pool here as CL&F Resources?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's one of the parties that entered an
- 22 appearance in this case?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if I look at this, you're having a
- 25 discussion about whether they're going to execute a JOA;

- 1 is that right?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. And then I also see down here at the bottom --
- 4 let me step back. Ms. Allison Gill, is she with CL&F
- 5 Resources?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, she is.
- 7 Q. And down there, she's indicating that they're
- 8 trying to decide whether they're going to execute a JOA
- 9 or just wait until the order comes in?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And what did you understand her to mean when
- 12 she said they were going to wait until -- possibly wait
- 13 until the order?
- 14 A. They just want to make sure that the order
- 15 comes out, and then they'll make a decision.
- 16 Q. Talking about the pooling order?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 18 Q. All right. And this is the type of
- 19 correspondence that you had with other working interest
- 20 owners?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You mention that there were other
- 23 working interest owners that you were unable to locate.
- 24 What efforts were taken to locate them?
- 25 A. We attempted to contact or identify working

- 1 phone numbers for them and reach out and call them.
- Q. Okay. And, for example, here is -- Mr. George
- 3 D. Gould is listed on Exhibit Number 8. Were you able
- 4 to find contact information for him?
- 5 A. No, sir. I believe he's deceased.
- 6 O. Okay. And accordingly, prior to this Division
- 7 hearing, did the company provide notice by publication
- 8 by name to the interest owners, both cost-bearing and
- 9 non-cost-bearing, that you seek to pool?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 11 Q. And if I turn to what's been marked as BC
- 12 Operating Exhibit Number 10, is this the affidavit of
- 13 the publication that was submitted to the local
- 14 newspaper for the 1H?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. And I see that there are more parties
- 17 listed. And I go to the second page, and I see an
- 18 additional listing of parties that was for publication
- 19 in August?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What was the reason for the second publication?
- 22 A. I believe to include the royalty and overriding
- 23 royalty owners.
- Q. Okay. All right. And then, again, if I turn
- 25 to what's been marked as BC Operating Exhibit Number 11,

- 1 I see, again, a Notice of Publication listing a number
- of parties, as well as a second notice of publication in
- 3 August listing some additional parties, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 5 Q. All right. Finally, if I turn to what's been
- 6 marked as BC Operating Exhibit Number 12, is this an
- 7 affidavit prepared by my office with attached letters
- 8 providing notice of this hearing to the affected
- 9 parties?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- 11 Q. And it includes three letters; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. For each well?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. We have a letter for the pooled parties?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And we have a letter to the offset affected
- 18 parties?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I see mailings, then, that went out in July?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And then as I page through the exhibit --
- 23 unfortunately, I don't have a page number on here.
- 24 There are -- there is an additional set of letters about
- 25 three-quarters of the way through the stack that went

- out on August 26th, 2016. Did you find those?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What was the reason for sending out that
- 4 additional round of notice?
- 5 A. To notify the overriding royalty and royalty
- 6 owners.
- 7 Q. Okay. All right. Were BC Operating Exhibits 1
- 8 through 11 prepared by you or compiled under your
- 9 direction or supervision?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, they were.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, at this time
- 12 I would move the admission into evidence BC Operating
- 13 Exhibits 1 through 12, which includes my Notice of
- 14 Affidavit.
- 15 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce?
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 12,
- 18 inclusive of Exhibit 10A, are entered into record.
- 19 (BC Resources, L.P. Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 12 and 10A are offered and admitted
- into evidence.)
- MR. BRUCE: Just a couple of questions.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. BRUCE:
- Q. Mr. Murphy, do CL&F and Nadel and Gussman

- 1 Permian have a long-standing, friendly relationship with
- 2 each other?
- A. Yes, sir, we do.
- Q. So you've been in touch with them quite a bit
- 5 on these wells?
- 6 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And it's your understanding from speaking with
- 8 them that they will make an election whenever you need
- 9 one to be made?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Wade?
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY EXAMINER WADE:
- Q. Going back to the affidavits, are the parties
- 16 listed -- is that everybody, all the working interests,
- 17 or is this the unlocatable interests that are listed in
- 18 the affidavit? I'm having trouble -- it's everybody?
- 19 That's on the first affidavit.
- 20 And on the second affidavit, is that
- 21 unlocatable affected interests or --
- 22 MR. FELDEWERT: That would be the
- 23 overriding and royalty interests.
- 24 EXAMINER WADE: The overriding and
- 25 royalties.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

questions of this witness.

25

- 1 MR. FELDEWERT: I'd call my next witness.
- 2 MIKE MOYLETT,
- 3 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
- 4 guestioned and testified as follows:
- 5 THE WITNESS: Good morning.
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- Q. Would you please state your name, identify by
- 9 whom you're employed and in what capacity?
- 10 A. My name is Mike Moylett. I'm a petroleum
- 11 geologist with BC Operating, Inc., Incorporated.
- 12 Q. How long have you been a petroleum geologist
- 13 with BC Operating?
- 14 A. Over four years.
- Okay. And, Mr. Moylett, you have previously
- 16 testified before the Division as an expert in petroleum
- 17 geology; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's
- 20 been filed in these consolidated cases?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the
- 23 lands that are the subject of this hearing?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: I'd tender Mr. Moylett as

- 1 an expert witness in petroleum geology.
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 3 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Moylett, you were here
- 6 for the testimony that the company intends to complete
- 7 each of these proposed horizontal wells in the Wolfcamp
- 8 Formation?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In your opinion, does that targeted interval
- 11 extend across the surface acreage at issue?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion, are there any structural
- impediments to developing the Wolfcamp under this
- 15 acreage using horizontal wells?
- 16 A. There are none.
- Q. With that in mind, would you turn to what's
- 18 been marked as BC Operating Exhibit Number 13? Please
- 19 describe -- describe and tell us what it shows.
- 20 A. Okay. This is a subsea structure map on top of
- 21 the Wolfcamp Formation, the formation we're proposing to
- 22 drill our horizontal wells in. The contour interval is
- 23 1 inch to 100 feet in the yellow box, in the center of
- 24 the map, in Section 27, in the north half of 34 of 23
- 25 South, 26 East. East is the Red Light acreage we've

- 1 been talking about. The 2H is on the west half, and the
- 2 1H is on the east half.
- 3 The structural cross section shows that
- 4 it's pretty much a regional dip. It's about 100 feet
- 5 per mile or about one-degree dip updip to the west and
- 6 downdip to the east.
- 7 I've shown in green all the Wolfcamp
- 8 producers in this area on this map. The vertical wells
- 9 are just the solid circles. The horizontal wells are
- 10 the green circles with the sticks attached to them.
- 11 Q. And does this support your opinion that there
- is no geologic impediments here in the Wolfcamp to
- developing this acreage using stand-up horizontal wells?
- A. No, it doesn't. It shows that basically as you
- drill from north to south, you would be drilling, for
- 16 the most part, on strike to the Wolfcamp Formation.
- Q. Okay. And there is no faulting or pinchouts or
- 18 anything like that?
- 19 A. There are none.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, did you also prepare
- 21 two cross sections in preparation for the hearing today?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And are those marked as BC Exhibits 14 and 15?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. First pull out BC Exhibit Number 14. Would you

- 1 please identify how this cross section was put together
- 2 and --
- 3 A. This is a structural cross section. The title
- 4 block or the locator map is in the bottom left, and the
- 5 cross section has four wells on it. It runs from west
- 6 to east. Some of the wells adjacent to the Red Light
- 7 acreage I used to either have logs over the Wolfcamp or
- 8 modern suite of logs. So I get the nearest wells that
- 9 showed what the Wolfcamp looks like in the acreage up in
- 10 there.
- 11 The top of the 3rd -- base of the 3rd Bone
- 12 Spring sits on top of the Wolfcamp. That's noted on the
- 13 cross section. And then the base of the Wolfcamp rests
- on top of the Strawn. That's also noted in the cross
- 15 section.
- I show the target interval for the Red
- 17 Light wells on the left part of the cross section. In
- 18 Tract 1, it's a gamma-ray log, and I highlighted the
- 19 carbonate detritus members in Tract 1 in blue. Tract 2
- 20 is a resistivity -- excuse me. It's a neutron density
- 21 log. I just highlighted the neutron log in yellow for
- 22 correlation purposes. And Tract 3 is the resistivity
- 23 log, and I shaded all resistivities less than 100 ohms
- 24 for correlation purposes.
- So as we proceed from west to east with the

- 1 four wells on the cross section, the first well on the
- 2 west, you'll see around 9,300 feet, there is a
- 3 resistivity marker that goes across the west-east cross
- 4 section. And associated with that resistivity marker is
- 5 the carbonate detritus below, and that's the target
- 6 interval for the two Red Light wells.
- 7 Q. And you show that targeted interval as
- 8 extending across the acreage that's at issue today?
- 9 A. Yes, on the east-west cross section that we're
- 10 looking at.
- 11 Q. All right. Did you also then prepare a
- 12 north-south cross section?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. And is that Exhibit Number 15?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Would you please explain that for us?
- 17 A. This is a north-south cross section. The
- 18 locator map is on the bottom left. It's a strike cross
- 19 section that includes five wells on the cross section,
- 20 and you see the locator map from north to south. And I
- 21 have most of the wells that have been drilled through
- 22 the Wolfcamp on our acreage block up in there.
- The color scheme is the same as the
- 24 previous cross section on the gamma ray in Tract 1, the
- 25 neutron density in Tract 2, the resistivity in Tract 3.

- 1 And that resistivity marker that I pointed out in the
- 2 west-east cross section is shown around approximately
- 3 9,430 feet on the well in the west, and you can trace
- 4 that across the cross section in the north-south
- 5 direction.
- Once again, associated above and below that
- 7 resistivity marker are the carbonate detritus, benches
- 8 that we'll be targeting in our Red Light well.
- 9 Q. And does that further confirm that the target
- 10 interval extends across the acreage at issue?
- 11 A. Yes. It shows also on the strike direction
- 12 that the carbonate intervals would be present.
- Q. Based on your study, in your opinion, will the
- 14 Wolfcamp Formation underlying the acreage at issue
- 15 contribute more or less equally to the production from
- 16 the well -- proposed wellbores?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And in your opinion, Mr. Moylett, is the
- 19 granting of this application -- or these two
- 20 applications in the best interest of conservation, the
- 21 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative
- 22 rights?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And were BC Operating Exhibits 13 through 15
- 25 prepared by you or compiled under your direction and

- 1 supervision?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would move
- 4 admission of the evidence of BC Operating Exhibits 13
- 5 through 15.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 7 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 13 through 15
- 8 are so entered.
- 9 (BC Resources, L.P. Exhibit Numbers 13
- through 15 are offered and admitted into
- 11 evidence.)
- MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my
- 13 examination of this witness.
- 14 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Wade?
- 15 EXAMINER WADE: No questions.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
- 18 Q. Welcome back.
- 19 A. Thank you. It's nice to be back.
- Q. First question that pops into my head is why
- 21 are we not including the south half of Section 34 in
- 22 this effort? Is there some limitation?
- A. We don't have ownership of Section 34.
- Q. So it's mostly about ownership that we're
- 25 concerned with?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Of course, in this area, we had a big effort by
- 3 Cimarex to change setbacks and bring this into a more
- 4 conservative effort to develop the Wolfcamp. What do
- 5 you think is going to be the type of production coming
- 6 out of your completed well?
- 7 A. Well, the wells on the base map to the east are
- 8 Mewbourne wells, and we've been in the Mewbourne wells.
- 9 And we've also been in a lot of Matador wells further
- 10 east as a nonoperating interest. We've actually drilled
- 11 the wells ourselves in this Wolfcamp zone. And the
- 12 potential -- in this middle Wolfcamp, the gas-to-oil
- 13 ratio is 10,000 to 1. So these wells generally
- 14 initially produced approximately 600 barrels of oil and
- 15 about 6 million cubic feet of gas per day.
- 16 Q. And at this point, we're looking at a time
- 17 single completion, or were we going to have multiple
- 18 laterals?
- 19 A. For this Red Light well, we will be
- 20 targeting -- one of them will be targeting the
- 21 carbonates above that shale marker, and the second well
- 22 will be targeting carbonates below that shale marker,
- 23 which is common practice out here. The wells to the
- 24 east have drilled from what we call the B1, B2 or lower
- 25 B, upper B benches. A lot of operators have a lot of

- 1 different names for the different benches. We've been
- 2 staying consistent with how Matador's been calling their
- 3 benches.
- Also, the Upper Wolfcamp -- on the top of
- 5 the Wolfcamp, there is also production in this area, and
- 6 it is another target, too. That's a 2001 GOR. That's
- 7 what they call the Wolfcamp, x-y, or some people call it
- 8 Wolfcamp A.
- 9 Q. It has been a preferred target for some of the
- 10 operators in the area?
- 11 A. Yes. It's -- like I say, this is multiple
- 12 benches out here. And also on top of the Strawn, that
- 13 lower Wolfcamp, they call it Wolfcamp B in some cases,
- 14 it's very gassy. But that's been targeted in some other
- 15 areas. So eventually, with the right price scenario
- 16 forecast, that will also be another development.
- 17 Q. I'm more interested in the Upper Wolfcamp since
- 18 that is present.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Well, as usual, your presentation is thorough.
- 21 I have no more questions. Thank you.
- 22 A. Thank you very much.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. --
- 24 EXAMINER GOETZE: Oh, did you like that
- 25 cross? Do you have something to say?

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 MR. BRUCE: I've got to earn my keep.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 5 Q. I just have some general questions, Mr.
- 6 Moylett. On your Exhibit 13, to the north of you, up in
- 7 Sections 10 and 15, are those -- the green circles, are
- 8 those Wolfcamp wells?
- 9 A. I'm sorry?
- 10 Q. To the north of your -- a mile and two to the
- 11 north of your proposed well?
- 12 A. What sections, again?
- 13 O. Look at 10.
- A. 10. Oh, no. Those green circles? I'm sorry.
- 15 They're showing up as -- they're shallow Delaware wells
- 16 that I've got the -- I'm sorry. They're Morrow wells.
- 17 They're not producers anymore.
- 18 The Wolfcamp -- if you look at the ledger,
- 19 I have to have a bigger circle on it.
- 20 Q. Okay. I was confused.
- 21 A. But that's fine.
- 22 Q. And then over to the east, you have a well
- 23 stake, over in Section 20.
- 24 A. Yeah. Those are permanent wells that BC
- 25 Operating has approval for.

- 1 Q. So on the east half of 20, it looks like you're
- 2 proposing three wells?
- 3 A. Yes. There are multiple benches out there, and
- 4 in the north half of 16, we've already drilled the well
- 5 in the north half of the north half. We're waiting on
- 6 completion, so that well's down.
- 7 Q. And the fact that you're drilling some
- 8 east-west and some north-south, there is no preferred
- 9 orientation?
- 10 A. No, there has not been. It's generally been
- 11 driven by land. This principal stress direction out
- 12 here varies, but for the most part, it's kind of north,
- 13 30 degrees east, is the general industry belief.
- 14 Mewbourne has drilled wells north-south, east-west, and
- 15 Matador drills them north-south, east-west, mainly
- 16 driven by your acreage position out here. So we don't
- 17 see a principal stress direction that would dictate
- 18 north-south being a better direction than east-west.
- 19 Q. And how many completion stages did BC use?
- 20 A. Well, the last well -- and it's changing. It's
- 21 a dynamic number, but the last well we did approximately
- 22 22 stages for a one-mile lateral.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. So a mile-and-a-half lateral have approximately
- 25 50 percent more stages in there. And the industry has

- 1 evolved. Where it used to be 1,500 pounds per foot,
- 2 2,000 pounds per foot, now Matador has even gone up to
- 3 3,000 pounds per foot of sand per foot and 40 barrels of
- 4 fluids.
- 5 Q. People are still adjusting their completion
- 6 procedures?
- 7 A. Yes. Yes.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 A. That's why there is no more play sand.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Would you like to
- 11 redirect after all that questioning?
- MR. FELDEWERT: I can't come up with
- 13 anything.
- 14 EXAMINER GOETZE: Thank you, Mr. Bruce. We
- 15 apologize for shortchanging you.
- MR. BRUCE: (Laughter.)
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: At this point there are
- 18 no further questions in this case.
- 19 We will take it under advisement. So Case
- 20 Number 15529 and Case 15530 is taken under advisement.
- 21 And that is the end of today's docket.
- Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen.
- 23 (Case Numbers 15529 and 15530, 11:02 a.m.)
- 24 + So herapy contify that the foregoing to
- 25 See a sample of the process its of the process i

	Page 36	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO	
3		
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court	
6	Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,	
7	and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify	
8	that I reported the foregoing proceedings in	
9	stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are	
10	a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that	
11	were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my	
12	ability.	
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's	
14	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects	
15	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.	
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither	
17	employed by nor related to any of the parties or	
18	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in	
19	the final disposition of this case.	
20	May C. Hankers	
21	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR	
22	Certified Court Reporter	
23	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2016 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters	
24	raar baca froressionar court Reporters	
25		