

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

4 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
5 BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
6 THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

7 APPLICATION OF LIME ROCK RESOURCES CASE NO. 15678
8 II-A, LP FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,
9 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

10

11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

12 EXAMINER HEARING

13 April 27, 2017

14 Santa Fe, New Mexico

15

16 BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
17 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

18

19

20

21 This matter came on for hearing before the
22 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
23 Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on
24 Thursday, April 27, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy,
25 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,
Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

26

27 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
28 New Mexico CCR #20
29 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
30 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
31 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
32 (505) 843-9241

33

1 APPEARANCES
 2 FOR APPLICANT LIME ROCK RESOURCES II-A, LP:
 3 GARY W. LARSON, ESQ.
 HINKLE SHANOR, LLP
 4 218 Montezuma Avenue
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 5 (505) 982-4554
 glarson@hinklelawfirm.com
 6

7 INDEX
 8 PAGE
 9 Case Number 15678 Called 3

10 Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP's Case-in-Chief:

11 Witnesses:

12 Bobby Reynolds:

13	Direct Examination by Mr. Larson	4
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	9
14	Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	10
	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	11
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Larson	13
15	Recross Examination by Examiner Brooks	
	(Witness recalled)	24
16	Recross Examination by Examiner Jones	24
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Larson	25
17	Recross Examination by Examiner Brooks	26

18 Alberto A. Gutierrez:

19	Direct Examination by Mr. Larson	13
	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	19

20 Proceedings Conclude/Certificate of Court Reporter 26/27
 21

22 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

23	Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP Exhibit	
	Numbers 1 through 4	9

24	Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP Exhibit	
25	Numbers 5 through 7	19

1 (8:29 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Let's start on page
3 1, Case Number -- let's call Case Number 15678,
4 application of Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP for
5 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MR. LARSON: Good morning, Mr. Examiner,
8 the Honorable Mr. Brooks. Gary Larson, of the Santa Fe
9 office of Hinkle Shanor, for the Applicant, Lime Rock
10 Resources II-A, LP.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Other appearances?

12 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
13 Santa Fe representing MRC Permian Company and MRC Energy
14 Company, if it exists.

15 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances in
16 this case?

17 MR. BRUCE: And I have no witnesses.

18 EXAMINER JONES: Do you have witnesses?

19 MR. LARSON: I have two witnesses. Yes.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Will the witnesses please
21 stand and the court reporter swear the witnesses?

22 (Mr. Reynolds and Mr. Gutierrez sworn.)

23 MR. LARSON: May I proceed?

24 EXAMINER JONES: Yes, sir.

25

1 BOBBY REYNOLDS,
2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. LARSON:

6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Reynolds.

7 A. Good morning.

8 Q. Could you state your full name for the record?

9 A. Bobby Reynolds.

10 Q. And where do you reside?

11 A. Houston, Texas.

12 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what
13 capacity?

14 A. I'm employed by Lime Rock Resources as a
15 landman.

16 Q. And do your responsibilities as a landman
17 include acreage in southeast New Mexico?

18 A. Absolutely, core area.

19 Q. And are you familiar with the land matters that
20 pertain to the application in this case?

21 A. I am.

22 Q. Have you previously testified at a Division
23 hearing?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And were you qualified as an expert in

1 petroleum land matters?

2 A. Yes.

3 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, I tender
4 Mr. Reynolds as an expert in petroleum land matters.

5 MR. BRUCE: No objection.

6 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

7 Q. (BY MR. LARSON) Would you identify the document
8 marked as Exhibit 1?

9 A. I'm sorry? Oh.

10 Q. Is that Exhibit 1? It's under your left hand.

11 A. Ah. Yes. I'm sorry. It is the C-102 form for
12 this well.

13 Q. And is it a true and correct copy for the
14 Higgins Cahoon 12D #3 well?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And is it a vertical well?

17 A. It is, yes.

18 Q. And will it be drilled in an orthodox location?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And what formation is Lime Rock seeking to
21 pool?

22 A. The Yeso.

23 Q. Are there any depth exceptions in the Yeso?

24 A. No.

25 Q. And what is the pool name?

1 A. The pool name is Atoka; Glorieta-Yeso.

2 Q. Do you know the pool code?

3 A. Yes, 3250.

4 Q. And what is Lime Rock's percentage working
5 interest in the proposed project area?

6 A. 71.875.

7 Q. And how many interests in the proposed project
8 area is Lime Rock seeking to pool?

9 A. There are two.

10 Q. And what are those entities?

11 A. MRC Permian Company and Central National
12 Gottesman.

13 Q. And what are their percentage interests in the
14 project area?

15 A. MRC has 25.3125 percent; Gottesman, .82125
16 [sic].

17 Q. Would you identify the document marked as
18 Exhibit 2?

19 A. Exhibit 2 are our proposal letters and green
20 cards.

21 Q. Is that your signature on the proposal letters?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And are the documents comprised in Exhibit 2
24 true and correct copies of well-proposal letters and the
25 green cards?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And before and after sending the well
3 proposals, have you communicated with the parties Lime
4 Rock seeks to pool?

5 A. Yes. Yes. We had first contact with MRC in
6 September of last year. And we have been talking on and
7 off trying to get something going between the two
8 companies, and we just haven't been able to.

9 Q. And in your opinion, has Lime Rock made a
10 good-faith effort to obtain joinder in the well?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Would you next identify the document marked as
13 Exhibit 3?

14 A. Yes. Those are the hearing notice letters that
15 our firm initially sent out.

16 Q. And that also includes the returned green cards
17 for the notice letters?

18 A. It does.

19 Q. And are the notice letters a true and correct
20 copy of the notice letters?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Were those letters sent at your direction?

23 A. They were.

24 Q. Would you next identify the document marked as
25 Exhibit 4?

1 A. It's the drilling and completion AFE.

2 Q. Is it a true and correct copy of the AFE for
3 the Higgins Cahoon 12D #3?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And what are the estimated well costs indicated
6 on the AFE?

7 A. 900,000.

8 Q. And are those costs similar to costs incurred
9 by Lime Rock for its other Yeso vertical wells in the
10 area?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And do you have a recommendation for the
13 amounts Lime Rock should be paid for supervision and
14 administrative expenses?

15 A. Yes, 9,000 for drilling and 800 for production.

16 Q. And the completion on these Yeso verticals are
17 fairly fast?

18 A. They're fast. It's about six days' drilling
19 and maybe six days' completion.

20 Q. And are these costs consistent with those
21 charged by Lime Rock for other Yeso vertical wells?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And do you also recommend that the rates be
24 adjusted periodically pursuant to the COPAS accounting
25 procedure?

1 A. Yes. Yes.

2 Q. Is Lime Rock also requesting a 200 percent
3 charge for the risk of drilling and completing the
4 Higgins well?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of Lime
7 Rock's application serve the interest of conservation
8 and the prevention of waste?

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, I move the
11 admission of Exhibits 1 through 4.

12 MR. BRUCE: No objection.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 4 are
14 admitted.

15 (Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP Exhibit
16 Numbers 1 through 4 are offered and
17 admitted into evidence.)

18 MR. LARSON: And I'll pass the witness.

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BRUCE:

21 Q. Just one question. What is Central National
22 Gottesman?

23 A. I don't really know. It's an outfit out of
24 New York that is not in the oil and gas business. How
25 they acquired this interest is unusual, to say the

1 least. I didn't -- I was actually provided their
2 contact information by MRC, and they -- when they
3 plugged their well out there, they AFE'd Gottesman,
4 never got a return on that. And as far as I know, we
5 sent them notice, sent them the well proposal. And then
6 Gary sent them the notice of hearing, and there's been
7 no reply, although they did receive it.

8 Q. Thank you.

9 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

12 Q. Higgins Cahoon is a very familiar name. I
13 wonder why. I looked over your notice list, and I don't
14 see my name on it. So -- (laughter) -- but I know -- I
15 am assuming that Brooks Oil & Gas does not have
16 any interest in this property.

17 A. Not according to my title opinion, no.

18 Q. Good.

19 A. In fact, these leases are quite old and
20 involve --

21 Q. Well, all our leases are quite old (laughter).

22 A. It's part of that old Fair-something-Farms
23 Subdivision that was done around 1910, and the Higgins
24 family bought a bunch of those lots in fee, as did Laura
25 Cahoon, et al.

1 Q. Well, there must be a lot of Higgins Cahoon
2 because I know that there is a Higgins Cahoon, well,
3 that is in our portfolio, but I don't know where it is.

4 A. They're in Sections 1 and 2 of the township
5 just above this. There are quite a bit of Higgins
6 Cahoon in this area.

7 Q. Well, I just wanted to make sure I'm not
8 disqualified, but I see no real evidence to indicate
9 that I am.

10 A. At this point I don't remember your name on the
11 title opinion.

12 Q. Thank you.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY EXAMINER JONES:

15 Q. So how did Lime Rock obtain interest in this 40
16 acres?

17 A. In 2010, Lime Rock made a large acquisition of
18 this field from Devon, and this was just one of the
19 assets. Overlaying this particular lease is the Atoka
20 San Andres Unit, which, of course, has been producing a
21 long time and holding all of these leases.

22 Q. So is there a depth limitation on your interest
23 out here?

24 A. No.

25 Q. But this is going to be a proposal for 4,700

1 feet; is that correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So the Tubb member, or however the geologists
4 say it, of the Yeso?

5 A. Yes. We complete in four slick-water stages
6 and pretty much get everything in the Yeso,
7 Paddock-Blinebry and Tubb. Normally not any part of the
8 Drinkard.

9 Q. 4,700 feet, will that get you to the bottom of
10 the Yeso or just to the bottom of the Tubb?

11 A. Probably the bottom of the Tubb. Of course,
12 the Drinkard is the last formation there before the Abo.
13 It isn't part of the Yeso, but it tends to be a little
14 wet.

15 Q. You're stealing Mr. Alberto's (laughter) --

16 A. Oh. Well --

17 MR. GUTIERREZ: That's okay.

18 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) -- but I wanted to ask you.

19 So you feel you've made a good-faith effort
20 to obtain joinder from the parties you're wanting to
21 pool?

22 A. Absolutely. Nick Weeks, the landman with MRC,
23 and I have been in communication ever since September of
24 last year and back and forth quite a bit.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.

1 MR. LARSON: I just have one follow-up
2 question, Mr. Examiner.

3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. LARSON:

5 Q. Lime Rock has a number of vertical Yeso wells
6 in this area; do they not?

7 A. Oh, yes. We typically don't drill horizontally
8 because of all the stacked pay out here. We get more
9 bang for our buck vertically.

10 MR. LARSON: That's all I have,
11 Mr. Examiner.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

13 I'm not sure I know this guy.

14 MR. GUTIERREZ: But I don't have a
15 PowerPoint (laughter).

16 EXAMINER JONES: That's unusual.

17 MR. GUTIERREZ: Yeah, I know.

18 ALBERTO A. GUTIERREZ,
19 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
20 questioned and testified as follows:

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. LARSON:

23 Q. Good morning, sir.

24 A. Good morning.

25 Q. State your full name for the record.

1 A. Alberto A. Gutierrez.

2 Q. And where do you reside?

3 A. Albuquerque.

4 Q. And what is the name of your company?

5 A. Geolex, Incorporated.

6 Q. What is your role at Geolex?

7 A. I'm the president of Geolex, and I'm a senior
8 petroleum geologist.

9 Q. And has Lime Rock retained you to provide
10 expert geologic testimony on its behalf today?

11 A. They have.

12 Q. And are you conversant with the geological
13 aspects of the proposed well in Lime Rock's application?

14 A. I am indeed. I have discussed it extensively
15 with Lime Rock's geologists.

16 Q. And you've testified at numerous Division
17 hearings; is that correct?

18 A. I've testified in a few, yes.

19 Q. And were you qualified as an expert in
20 petroleum geology?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, I tender
23 Mr. Gutierrez as an expert in petroleum geology.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection?

25 MR. BRUCE: Let me think about that. No

1 objection.

2 (Laughter.)

3 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

4 Q. (BY MR. LARSON) Would you identify the document
5 marked as Exhibit Number 5?

6 A. Yes. It's just a general location map that
7 just gives the Hearing Examiner an overall view of where
8 the well is located relative to the town of Artesia.

9 Q. And was this document prepared by Geolex?

10 A. It was.

11 Q. And, generally speaking, where will the
12 proposed well be located?

13 A. It's located west of the Pecos River and kind
14 of east of the little town of Atoka.

15 Q. And Mr. Reynolds addressed the fact that Lime
16 Rock has other Yeso wells in this area. Have those been
17 economic wells?

18 A. Yes, they have. Actually, they've got quite a
19 few wells in the area. There's a very broad Yeso trend
20 there, and they've been improving the completion
21 techniques for these wells and have gotten them more and
22 more economical.

23 Q. Would you identify the document marked as
24 Exhibit Number 6?

25 A. Yes. Exhibit 6 is a printout of a structure

1 contour map and line of section for the area showing
2 where the well is going to be drilled relative to other
3 Yeso wells, many of which are Lime Rock wells in the
4 area.

5 Q. And was the structure map prepared by Lime
6 Rock's geology department?

7 A. Yes. They prepared the structure map, and I
8 independently reviewed the data that backs it up, and I
9 confirmed -- concur with the assessment.

10 Q. And what does Exhibit 6 tell you about the
11 target formation for Lime Rock's proposed well?

12 A. Well, basically we're on a little structure
13 nose of the Yeso here. You can see that coming across
14 from Section 11 over into Section 12. This particular
15 location, 990 from the north, 990 from the west of
16 Section 12, is where the proposed Higgins Cahoon 12D #3
17 is, and it shows our line of section across there, which
18 is the subject of the next exhibit.

19 Q. And would you identify that exhibit, which is
20 marked as Number 7?

21 A. Yes. That's this big cross section, and it has
22 a copy of the same structure map next to it as a guide.

23 Q. And was this exhibit also prepared by Lime
24 Rock's geology department?

25 A. It was. Stan Bishop, Lime Rock's head

1 geologist, and myself discussed this extensively, and
2 they prepared it. Yes.

3 Q. And what do Exhibits 6 and 7 tell you about the
4 trends?

5 A. Well, basically it's a broad Yeso trend that
6 extends -- this is kind of the western-southwestern end
7 of it. It extends to the east pretty significantly, and
8 it -- you can just basically see that we are essentially
9 in a dolomitic section of the Yeso. It's pretty much
10 all dolomite, and you tend to have some good shows and
11 production at the very top of that zone. And then
12 continuing all the way down through the section, there
13 are some good shows and then some just above the Tubb at
14 the base.

15 Q. And would you say that the proposed Higgins
16 Cahoon well is right on strike?

17 A. Yes. I'd say it's generally on strike here.
18 It's actually structurally high to a couple of these
19 wells, but it's on strike with the overall trend. Yeah.

20 Q. And are there any geologic impediments in the
21 target interval?

22 A. No, there are not. There are some variations
23 stratigraphically. This is largely a stratigraphic
24 play, but in this particular area and certainly for a
25 vertical 40-acre well, there is no geologic impediment.

1 Q. And following up on a question I asked
2 Mr. Reynolds, throughout this trend, has Lime Rock found
3 it to be economically more vertically rather than
4 horizontally?

5 A. Yes. I mean, some people have started drilling
6 some of these Yeso wells horizontally, but Lime Rock has
7 had good success with a new completion approach that
8 they're following, some very large multistage fracs,
9 slick-water fracs as opposed to gel fracs. And they've
10 seen some significant performance improvement and good
11 performance out of these vertical wells. Some of the
12 horizontal wells -- really the only reason why it makes
13 sense sometimes to do these horizontally is if there is
14 some impediment to a surface or various surface
15 locations.

16 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of Lime
17 Rock's application protect correlative rights and serve
18 the interest of conservation and the prevention of
19 waste?

20 A. Absolutely. I think there is still some oil to
21 be had in this trend, quite a bit, and I think this
22 well's right on strike.

23 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, I move the
24 admission of Exhibits 5 through 7.

25 MR. BRUCE: No objection.

1 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 5 through 7 are
2 admitted.

3 (Lime Rock Resources II-A, LP Exhibit
4 Numbers 5 through 7 are offered and
5 admitted into evidence.)

6 MR. LARSON: I'll pass the witness.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

8 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY EXAMINER JONES:

11 Q. So is this the little town of Atoka, the tight
12 locale for the Atoka Formation?

13 A. You know, I don't know, but I would think it
14 might be.

15 Q. So the pool's name is Atoka; Glorieta-Yeso?

16 A. Yes, it is, but it doesn't have anything to do
17 with the Atoka Formation, this particular well.

18 Q. But what about the Glorieta Formation?

19 A. Well, the Glorieta is named in the pool, but it
20 would not be part of this particular well.

21 Q. So -- but it could possibly in some instances
22 in this pool produce?

23 A. It could. I'm not familiar with Glorieta
24 production in this immediate area.

25 Q. Be unusual?

1 A. Yes. It would be pretty unusual.

2 Q. And why do you think -- why do you pick the
3 Tubb of all -- of any of these members of the Yeso here?

4 A. Well, I think it is -- it's the basal portion
5 of what Lime Rock has found through drilling
6 approximately -- oh, I think they've got close to 100 of
7 these wells throughout the area, and it's the bottom of
8 what they've found to be generally the productive zone.

9 Q. Okay. So the Drinkard is not any good?

10 A. Not here. It's pretty wet.

11 Q. Okay. And so what about the Paddock and the
12 Blinebry? Is that a possibility?

13 A. The Paddock, actually, at the top of the
14 section is usually a very productive portion of the
15 section.

16 Q. Okay. So you could be proving up something
17 that you might be able to drill horizontally someday?

18 A. Certainly. There has been some -- there have
19 been some horizontal wells, but what Lime Rock has found
20 is that these vertical wells on normal 40-acre spacing
21 are easy to complete, easy to drill, and they do -- they
22 are economical and productive.

23 Q. So they intend to run a mud logger through
24 the -- after the intermediate pipe? Just put a
25 mudlogger on for the rest of the well?

1 A. I don't know the normal procedure, but I
2 believe that's normally how they do it.

3 Q. And the big down-the-casing frac job at high
4 rates with slick-water then is --

5 A. And lots of proppant.

6 Q. Lots of proppant at lower concentrations?

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. Okay. So it's a stratigraphic play and risky
9 in that respect?

10 A. I don't believe it is too risky in this
11 particular location because we've got quite a few wells
12 that are right on strike and structurally at the same
13 elevation or lower that are still producing pretty well.

14 What they have found -- if you look at the
15 wells to the east of this cross section compared to the
16 well at the west end of this cross section, you get a
17 pretty good idea of the differences in the completion
18 approaches.

19 If you take a look, for example, at the
20 Lime Rock Terry 14 C, which kind of is the poster child
21 for their current completion approaches, you can see
22 that they've got a four-stage frac with roughly 330,000
23 pounds of sand per stage. And you can -- and that's a
24 slick-water frac. And you can see the IP in that well
25 came in at about 228 barrels of oil. That's about 10 or

1 12 percent oil cut. They get a lot of water in these
2 wells as well, but they've got their own water-disposal
3 system.

4 But you take a look, then, at the well on
5 the extreme end, the right -- or the east end of this
6 cross section near the A prime, which would be the
7 Stirling 6 M4, and you can see that's a gel frac with
8 much less and about a third of the amount of sand as was
9 used in the others, and you can see the IP came in quite
10 a bit lower.

11 And they just -- what they have found is
12 that doing these slick-water fracs with a lot of
13 proppant is what it takes to get these wells to produce.

14 Q. Okay. So what is the Tubb out here? Is it a
15 sand, silt, carbonate?

16 A. It is a -- it is a sandy carbonate.

17 Q. Sandy carbonate?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. And so surface handling of the fluids -- it's
20 right close to the river. Is everything taken care of
21 in that respect?

22 A. They do. They have a -- they have a -- the
23 produced-water disposal field that they have is to the
24 east here, but they gather all of the produced water and
25 take it to that field via pipeline and then inject it

1 there.

2 Q. Do they tell you anything about the flowbacks
3 from the fracs -- about how much flowback they would get
4 from a frac, or does it pretty much tail off after --

5 A. I think they get a fair amount of flowback with
6 the slick-water fracs. And some of the produced water,
7 they recycle and use as frac fluid as well, and then
8 some of it is disposed of.

9 Q. Is it closed-loop drilling here?

10 A. I believe that the approach they follow is
11 closed loop, but I'm not certain. That would probably
12 be a question for Bobby.

13 Q. It's close to the river, though, so it's
14 probably a closed loop.

15 A. Yeah. It's closed loop. I believe it's closed
16 loop.

17 Q. Thank you very much.

18 MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Reynolds
19 could probably answer a couple of questions if you'd
20 like him to.

21 Jim, do you have --

22 MR. BRUCE: (Indicating.)

23 BOBBY REYNOLDS,

24 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
25 recalled, questioned and testified as follows:

RECROSS EXAMINATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

Q. I had a question as to why the COPAS is higher than what we've been seeing. And I haven't studied the materials that have come out from AAPL about this, but yours was 9,000 and 800, right?

A. (Indicating.)

Q. And what we've been seeing has been in the range of 7- to 8,000. So -- and 7- to 800 on the producing. Is there a reason why this would just be higher than normal, or is that just --

MR. LARSON: I can ask Mr. Reynolds the question.

EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LARSON:

Q. The question raised by Mr. Brooks is about the administrative costs. Are the costs Lime Rock's seeking consistent with their JOAs for Yeso vertical wells in this area?

A. Yes, they are. With the COPAS increases, yes, without a doubt. And like I said, it only takes about 12 days in here, and that's close up to a month. So it wouldn't necessarily be that amount for drilling.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

Q. Yeah. Well, I thought you charged one month -- that's the way I understood what you said before was you charged one month regardless of how long it takes to drill, unless it's more than a month, which you don't expect.

A. And that -- that figure was supplied by the supervisor of our JIB accounting department. What she did was take the -- the nine well -- the wells we had drilled, about 20 of them in the last -- in '16 and '17, and averaged that. And that's how she came up with that.

Q. Okay. Well, thank you.

EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

MR. LARSON: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

(Case Number 15678 concludes, 8:57 a.m.)

(Recess, 8:57 a.m. to 9:17 a.m.)

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20

21

22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
23 Certified Court Reporter
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20
25 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

24

25