

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 15931

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

January 11, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
WILLIAM V. JONES, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, William V. Jones, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, January 11, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1 APPEARANCES

2 FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:

3 JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
 4 HOLLAND & HART, LLP
 5 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
 6 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 (505) 988-4421
 jlkessler@hollandhart.com

7 INDEX

8 PAGE

9	Case Number 15931 Called	3
10	Matador Production Company's Case-in-Chief:	
11	Witnesses:	
12	Nicholas Weeks:	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	3
14	Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	14
15	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	15
16	James Andrew "Andy" Juett:	
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	16
18	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	21
19	Recross Examination by Examiner Brooks	22
20	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	23
21	Proceedings Conclude	25
22	Certificate of Court Reporter	26

22 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

23	Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	13
24	Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers 8 through 13	21

1 (11:17 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case Number 15931,
3 application of Matador Production Company for a
4 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
5 pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, Jordan Kessler,
8 from Holland & Hart, on behalf of the Applicant.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
10 Please proceed.

11 MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. If the witnesses
13 would please stand up and be sworn in at this time.

14 (Mr. Weeks and Mr. Juett sworn.)

15 NICHOLAS WEEKS,
16 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
17 questioned and testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MS. KESSLER:

20 **Q. Will you please state your name for the record**
21 **and tell the Examiners by whom you're employed and in**
22 **what capacity?**

23 A. My name is Nicholas Weeks. I'm a landman with
24 Matador Resources Company.

25 **Q. Have you previously testified before the**

1 **Division?**

2 A. No, I have not.

3 **Q. Please review your educational background.**

4 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree from
5 the University of North Texas in 2006, a juris doctorate
6 from Southern Methodist University in 2011.

7 **Q. What has been your work history relevant to oil
8 and gas?**

9 A. In 2011, I started my career as an independent
10 landman out in east Texas, worked out there from 2011
11 until 2014 when I transitioned to the Permian Basin. I
12 began working the Delaware Basin in southeast New Mexico
13 in 2015 as an independent landman for Matador Resources
14 Company. And in 2016, I came in-house with Matador
15 Resources Company with responsibilities in southeast New
16 Mexico, south Texas, east Texas and Louisiana. And in
17 2017, I became a full-time employee with the same
18 responsibilities.

19 **Q. So since approximately 2014, your experience
20 and responsibilities have included the Permian Basin?**

21 A. That's right.

22 **Q. Are you a member of any professional
23 associations?**

24 A. Yes, I am. I'm a member of the AAPL. I'm a
25 registered professional landman. Also, I'm a member of

1 the Texas Bar and licensed to practice law in the state
2 of Texas.

3 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
4 this case?

5 A. I am.

6 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
7 lands in the subject area?

8 A. I am.

9 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
10 Mr. Weeks as an expert in petroleum land matters.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

12 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Weeks, will you please
13 turn to Exhibit 1 and explain what Matador seeks in this
14 application?

15 A. Matador seeks to form a nonstandard spacing
16 unit of approximately 152 acres, more or less, being the
17 north half-south half, or more accurately, Lot 3 of the
18 northeast quarter of the southwest quarter and the north
19 half of the southeast quarter of Section 19, Township 19
20 South, Range 29 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico.

21 Q. Do you also seek to pool uncommitted interest
22 owners in the Bone Spring Formation?

23 A. We do.

24 Q. And do you seek to dedicate the spacing unit to
25 two initial wells?

1 A. That's correct, the Marbob 19 State Com 123H
2 and the Marbob 19 State Com 133H.

3 Q. Looking at Exhibit 1, it looks like the spacing
4 unit is comprised of two state leases; is that correct?

5 A. That is correct.

6 Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 2. Is this a draft C-102
7 for the Marbob 19 State Com #123H well?

8 A. Yes, ma'am.

9 Q. What is the spacing unit?

10 A. The north half-south half of the section.

11 Q. And what is the pool in the Bone Spring for
12 this area?

13 A. It's the Scanlon Draw; Bone Spring Pool, Pool
14 Code 55510.

15 Q. And there is not an API number for this well
16 because the C-102 has not been submitted; is that
17 correct?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Is the pool subject to Division statewide
20 setbacks for oil wells?

21 A. Yes, it is.

22 Q. And will this 123H well comply with the
23 330-foot setback requirements?

24 A. Yes, it will.

25 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 3, again, this is a draft

1 C-102 for the Marbob 19 State Com #123H well; is that
2 correct?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Is this the same spacing unit as the prior
5 well?

6 A. Yes, ma'am.

7 Q. Same pool?

8 A. Yes, ma'am.

9 Q. Will the 133H well comply with the Division's
10 statewide setbacks?

11 A. Yes, it will.

12 Q. Are there any depth severances in this pool?

13 A. The working interest is consistent throughout
14 the 2nd and 3rd Bone Spring. There is a slight
15 difference in overriding royalty interest owners. Two
16 overriding royalty interest owners have an interest that
17 shifts slightly between the two formations.

18 Q. Are you seeking to pool that overriding royalty
19 interest owner?

20 A. No, ma'am. We intend to address that situation
21 through a contractual arrangement.

22 Q. And what is that contractual?

23 A. Most likely a stipulation of interest.

24 Q. And you're not asking the Division to take any
25 action or seeking any relief from the Division with

1 **respect to that override, correct?**

2 A. No, ma'am.

3 **Q. Why is Matador seeking to dedicate the spacing**
4 **unit to two initial wells?**

5 A. There are economic efficiencies with pad
6 drilling and with zipper fracs.

7 **Q. Which is what you intend to do for these two**
8 **wells?**

9 A. That's correct.

10 **Q. Were all of the parties that you seek to pool**
11 **today provided notice of Matador's plan to drill and**
12 **complete the well simultaneously?**

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 **Q. That was both through the well-proposal letter**
15 **and through the hearing application, correct?**

16 A. Yes, ma'am.

17 **Q. Did any of them object?**

18 A. No.

19 **Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 4. Does this identify**
20 **the parties that you seek to pool in these spacing**
21 **units?**

22 A. It does.

23 **Q. It shows, in fact, Matador's interest in the**
24 **spacing unit, as well as a list of the parties and the**
25 **percentage ownership that you seek to pool?**

1 A. That is correct.

2 **Q. Do you seek to remove one of these parties from**
3 **the list?**

4 A. That's correct. We have received, recently,
5 notice of voluntary joinder from Colgate Production,
6 LLC.

7 **Q. For one of these interest owners, did you**
8 **recently become aware that Matador had sent a**
9 **well-proposal letter and noticed it to the incorrect**
10 **entity?**

11 A. That's correct. We recently became aware of
12 that. We have since reached out to that entity and
13 notified them of our proposed wells and walked them
14 through what Matador's plans are out there.

15 **Q. That would be Turner Royalties, LLC?**

16 A. That's correct.

17 **Q. Did the broker give you an incorrect address**
18 **for that?**

19 A. Yes, ma'am.

20 **Q. It turned out that it was for an incorrect**
21 **entity; is that right?**

22 A. Yeah. The address was for an entity with a
23 similar name in Oklahoma City. A subsequent -- some
24 subsequent research was done, and we were able to
25 identify them as an entity that resides in Santa Fe.

1 Q. Are you seeking to continue this case for one
2 month in order to ensure proper notices and attempt to
3 reach an agreement with that party?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. Looking back at this exhibit, what interests do
6 you seek to pool? Are they all uncommitted working
7 interest owners?

8 A. They are all uncommitted working interest
9 owners.

10 Q. Is Exhibit 5 a sample of the well-proposal
11 letter that was sent for each of the two wells?

12 A. Yes, it is.

13 Q. And is an AFE attached to each of these well
14 proposal letters?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And these were sent to all of the uncommitted
17 interest owners that you seek to pool with the exception
18 of Turner; is that correct?

19 A. That's correct. And subsequently, these have
20 been overnighted to Turner as well.

21 Q. When was this letter sent?

22 A. This letter was sent on November 6th, 2017.

23 Q. And with respect to the AFEs, are the costs
24 represented on the AFEs consistent with what other
25 operators in the area have incurred for similar Bone

1 **Spring wells?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. Has Matador estimated overhead and**
4 **administrative costs for each of the wells?**

5 A. We have. We estimate a \$7,000 per month
6 drilling overhead cost and a \$700 per month producing
7 overhead cost.

8 **Q. Are those costs in line with what other**
9 **operators charge in the area for other Bone Spring**
10 **wells?**

11 A. Yes.

12 **Q. Do you ask that those costs be incorporated**
13 **into any order resulting from this hearing?**

14 A. We do.

15 **Q. And that the costs periodically adjusted in**
16 **accordance with the COPAS accounting procedures?**

17 A. Yes, ma'am.

18 **Q. Do you request that the Division impose a 200**
19 **percent risk penalty?**

20 A. We do.

21 **Q. Now, beyond sending the well-proposal letters**
22 **for each of the wells, please review the efforts that**
23 **you undertook to reach an agreement with the parties you**
24 **seek to pool?**

25 A. We sent offer letters to many of the parties

1 for -- to purchase their interest in the proration unit.
2 Additionally, we've exchanged emails and phone calls
3 with the parties to try to negotiate voluntary joinder
4 into our -- into our unit.

5 **Q. So all of the parties received multiple deal**
6 **structure offers; is that correct?**

7 A. That's correct.

8 **Q. And were all of the parties locatable?**

9 A. No. All of the parties were not locatable.
10 There was one party for whom we have not been able to
11 locate a good address.

12 **Q. Who is that?**

13 A. Buckholz Oil & Gas.

14 **Q. Did you review county records and conduct**
15 **Internet and telephone directory searches to find a good**
16 **address for that party?**

17 A. Yes, we did.

18 **Q. Did you conduct a diligent search to ascertain**
19 **a good address?**

20 A. Yes, we did.

21 **Q. And in your opinion, have you made a good-faith**
22 **effort to reach an agreement with each of the parties**
23 **you seek to pool?**

24 A. Yes, we have.

25 **Q. Did you also identify the 40-acre offsets**

1 surrounding the nonstandard unit?

2 A. We did.

3 Q. Is Exhibit 6 an affidavit prepared by my office
4 with attached letters providing notice of this hearing
5 to the parties that you seek to pool, as well as the
6 offsets?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And Exhibit 7 is an Affidavit of Publication;
9 is that correct?

10 A. Yes, it is.

11 Q. And does this include the party who you were
12 unable to locate?

13 A. Yes, it does.

14 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or
15 compiled under your direction and supervision?

16 A. Yes, they were.

17 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
18 admission of Exhibits 1 through 7, which includes my two
19 notice affidavits.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 7
21 may now be accepted as part of the record.

22 (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers
23 1 through 7 are offered and admitted into
24 evidence.)

25 MS. KESSLER: Thank you. I don't have any

1 other questions, but Mr. Brooks does.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

4 Q. Well, you covered the notice issues quite well,
5 so I don't know think I have any further questions on
6 that.

7 I was a little confused by what was said
8 about depth severances, because if I understood your
9 testimony correctly, there is -- there are overrides
10 that apply separately at different depths.

11 A. So every -- the same parties own an override in
12 both depths. Two of the parties -- a portion of one of
13 the interests shifts so that one party's interest will
14 go down slightly in the 2nd Bone Spring versus the 3rd
15 Bone Spring, and the others will correspondingly
16 increase.

17 Q. So the total override is the same for both the
18 zones, but --

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. -- but one person -- one of the parties owns
21 more in one zone than in the other?

22 A. That's right.

23 Q. And you do not yet have an agreement negotiated
24 on that?

25 A. No, we do not.

1 Q. But you plan to do that?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And if you don't get that done, you will pay
4 each party according to their ownership in a particular
5 zone?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Okay. There's not any -- the people who
8 designed our statutes and rules made no provision to
9 depth severances, and it's questionable whether we even
10 have the authority to pool vertically versus
11 horizontally?

12 MR. FELDEWERT: Oh, you've got a right to
13 do that.

14 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think you're probably
15 right, Mr. Feldewert. However, it has to be implied to
16 some degree.

17 But I think you've got it covered. Thank
18 you.

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY EXAMINER JONES:

21 Q. What's up with Devon? Did you have
22 conversations with them?

23 A. We did. I had conversations with two different
24 landmen out there, provided additional information on
25 the well design, as well as the timing of the wells, and

1 we have made an offer for their interest as well.

2 Q. So it's kind of pending as to whether that will
3 go through?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Okay. Thanks.

6 A. Thank you.

7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

8 MS. KESSLER: I'll call my geologist,
9 please.

10 JAMES ANDREW "ANDY" JUETT,
11 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
12 questioned and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MS. KESSLER:

15 Q. Please state your name for the record.

16 A. Yes. My name is James Andrew Juett.

17 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

18 A. Matador Resources Company as a senior staff
19 geologist.

20 Q. Have you previously testified before the
21 Division?

22 A. Yes, I have.

23 Q. Were your credentials as an expert in petroleum
24 geology accepted and made a matter of record?

25 A. Yes, they were.

1 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed
2 today by Matador in this case?

3 A. Yes, I am.

4 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the
5 Bone Spring subject acreage?

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I'd tender
8 Mr. Juett as an expert in petroleum geology.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I never remember you
10 testifying.

11 (Laughter.)

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So accepted.

13 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) If you will turn to Exhibit 8,
14 and, Mr. Juett, can you please identify this exhibit?

15 A. Yes. Exhibit 8 is just a simple locator map
16 that shows where our project area is, where the Marbob
17 State Com 123 and 133H wells will fall in Eddy County,
18 New Mexico. Outlined by the red box with the green fill
19 is the project area.

20 Q. And it looks like we're adjacent to potash, but
21 not in potash, correct?

22 A. That is correct.

23 Q. What is Exhibit 9?

24 A. Exhibit 9 is a subsea structure map that is on
25 top of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. This exhibit also

1 shows the existing 2nd and 3rd Bone Spring horizontal
2 wells in the area. It also shows the cross-section
3 line, A, A prime, that will be in the next exhibit. And
4 it shows the -- the structure shows that we have a
5 gentle dip to the southeast and that we don't expect any
6 geologic impediments to drilling horizontal wells in
7 this location.

8 **Q. And how many of the wells did you identify that**
9 **you use on your cross-section exhibit?**

10 A. There are several wells. The small red gas
11 well symbols -- they don't show up very well on here,
12 but those were all Morrow wells that penetrated the
13 section, and those were all data points that were used
14 in creating this map.

15 **Q. Do you consider them representative of the Bone**
16 **Spring logs in this area?**

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 **Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 10, and please identify**
19 **this exhibit.**

20 A. Okay. Exhibit 10 is a stratigraphic cross
21 section that's hung on the top of the Wolfcamp zone. It
22 shows the interval of the Bone Spring from the top of
23 the 1st Bone Spring Sand to the base of the 3rd Bone
24 Spring Sand, which sits on top of the Wolfcamp. And it
25 also shows our two potential landing zones -- or not --

1 our two landing zones in the 2nd Bone Spring and the 3rd
2 Bone Spring Sand. It shows that over the project area,
3 we don't expect any great changes in these sands as we
4 cross the area.

5 **Q. You called out your two lateral intervals on**
6 **this exhibit. Do I understand that there are carbonates**
7 **at the top of the Wolfcamp in this area?**

8 A. Yes, there are. You can -- at the base, just
9 underneath the purple Wolfcamp Formation designation, we
10 see some carbonates that are at the top of the Wolfcamp.

11 **Q. And do those act as barriers between the Bone**
12 **Spring and the Wolfcamp in this particular --**

13 A. Yes. We believe they would be frac barriers as
14 we're completing the wells.

15 **Q. Is Exhibit 11 a gross isopach map of the Bone**
16 **Spring in this area?**

17 A. Yes, it is. It's a gross isopach that is made
18 from the top of the 1st Bone Spring Sand to the top of
19 the Wolfcamp. And it basically shows that we don't have
20 any wild swings and thickness changes in that interval,
21 that we expect to have about 2,200 feet of Bone Spring
22 section as we cross this project area.

23 **Q. And what conclusions have you drawn based on**
24 **your study of this area?**

25 A. I believe that drilling a horizontal well in

1 these sands are the most economic way and will prevent
2 waste of drilling of unnecessary wells, that all
3 quarter-quarter sections should be similarly productive
4 and that we don't see any real geologic hazards in the
5 drilling of the horizontal well in this area.

6 **Q. Are Exhibits 12 and 13 wellbore diagrams**
7 **showing that each of the two wells, the 123H and the**
8 **133H, will be orthodox under the Division's pool rules?**

9 A. Yes, they are. They're just simple diagrams,
10 not meant to be to scale, but they do show our
11 penetration points will be inside the rules set up by
12 the State.

13 **Q. In your opinion, will granting Matador's**
14 **application be in the best interest of conservation, for**
15 **the prevention of waste and the protection of**
16 **correlative rights?**

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 **Q. And were Exhibits 8 through 13 prepared by you**
19 **or compiled under your direction and supervision?**

20 A. Yes, they were.

21 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I'd move
22 admission of Exhibits 8 through 13.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 8 through 13
24 may now be accepted as part of the record.

25 (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers

1 8 through 13 are offered and admitted into
2 evidence.)

3 CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

5 Q. Okay. I am looking at Exhibit 10. What is the
6 target interval for the 123H?

7 A. The 123H, we're going to target about 75 to 100
8 feet above the Wolfcamp zone. It's the --

9 Q. So it's the 3rd Bone Spring, right?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. And the 133 is going to be the 2nd?

12 A. The 123 is 2nd Bone Spring, and the 133 is the
13 3rd Bone Spring. Excuse me. Maybe I --

14 Q. Okay. I apologize. 123 is the 2nd Bone
15 Spring, and 133 is the 3rd?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. And for clarity purposes, the 2nd and
18 3rd Bone Spring have slightly different royalty interest
19 owners?

20 MS. KESSLER: Overriding royalty --

21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Overriding royalty
22 interest owners.

23 Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) So would you guys agree
24 that these wells will need to be individually metered,
25 because there could probably be a question of

1 **correlative rights in the mineral interest estate?**

2 A. I would have to defer to the land and legal
3 side to that because I'm -- the geology doesn't really
4 get into that.

5 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, by contractual
6 agreement, we anticipate that the parties will have
7 equal interests.

8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.

9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, it is somewhat a
10 geological question because the land person said that if
11 they didn't get a contractual agreement, they still
12 don't want those interests pooled, but they would pay
13 each according to their -- their share of production.
14 But I have not -- I have heard a number of geologists
15 testify that in various places where they were concerned
16 that the 2nd and 3rd Bone Spring were not regarded as
17 being in communication, and I've never really heard
18 anybody say that they thought they were.

19 RE CROSS EXAMINATION

20 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

21 **Q. Do you believe that the 2nd and 3rd Bone Spring**
22 **will be produced separately so there will be no**
23 **commingling issues?**

24 A. I do. I do not believe that we will -- there
25 will be any communication between the two. We have

1 5- to 600 feet of carbonate between the two sands.

2 Q. Yeah. And you're drilling separate wells in
3 the sands?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. You're not going to be commingling in the
6 wellbore.

7 A. That is correct. We have two surface-hole
8 locations just a few feet apart, so there will be two
9 separate wellbores.

10 Q. Then I don't see any issue there.

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY EXAMINER JONES:

13 Q. The casing, you guys set a string through
14 the -- right at the top of the Delaware Mountain Group?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And then the next string is all the way, right?

17 A. The next string is all the way down.

18 Q. So do you have any issues with Delaware, at
19 least drilling issues as you're going through this?

20 A. We have not. We drill this with a brine mud
21 that is about a 9.2-pound mud. It's a fairly light mud,
22 and we haven't had any real drilling issues in any of
23 the wells we've drilled in and around the area.

24 Q. And your fracs are down the casing, so you
25 probably don't use a DV tool, do you, or not?

1 A. I do not know if we do or not on that, but they
2 will be cased off when we do the fracs. Yes, sir.

3 Q. They'll be frac -- the actual fracs will go
4 down the casing, and so you're just dependent on having
5 a good cement job?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. But you don't have any trouble with your cement
8 jobs?

9 A. We have not. I can only think of one area that
10 we have had some problems with cement jobs, but it
11 turned out that it was a bad log that -- that -- that it
12 was bad data. The cement was still pretty good, but it
13 just -- yeah.

14 Q. Okay. And you're lucky out here. You've got
15 these Morrow wells with the well control.

16 A. We've got three to four wells per section. It
17 gives us a lot of control.

18 Q. Okay. Thanks very much.

19 A. You're welcome.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you very much.

21 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

22 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would ask
23 this case be continued to February 8th, at which time
24 we'll present notice to Turner Royalties.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Case Number

1 15931 shall be continued to February 8th.

2 Let's take lunch and come back at 1:30.

3 (Case Number 15931 concludes, 11:42 a.m.)

4 (Recess, 11:42 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 26th day of January 2018.

21
22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
23 Certified Court Reporter
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20
25 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters