

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

AMENDED APPLICATION OF HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO THE WELL DENSITY REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE BLANCO-MESAVERDE GAS POOL, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 15978

Consolidated with

APPLICATION OF HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO THE WELL DENSITY REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE BLANCO-MESAVERDE GAS POOL, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 16004

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 8, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, March 8, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY:

ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLC
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
agrarkin@hollandhart.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Numbers 15978 and 16004 Called	4
4	Hilcorp Energy Company's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Charles E. Creekmore:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	5
8	James Osborn:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	14
10	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	24
11	Proceedings Conclude	25
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	26
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
16	Case Number 15978:	
17	Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	14
18	Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 5 through 10	23
19		
20	Case Number 16004:	
21	Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	14
22	Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 5 through 9	23
23		
24		
25		

1 (11:09 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Cause Number 15978,
3 amended application of Hilcorp Energy Company for an
4 exception to the well density requirements of the
5 special rules and regulations of the Blanco-Mesaverde
6 Gas Pool, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

7 This is combined with Case Number 16004,
8 application of Hilcorp Energy Company for an exception
9 to the well density requirements of the special rules
10 and regulations of the Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool, Rio
11 Arriba County, New Mexico.

12 Call for appearances.

13 MR. RANKIN: Adam Rankin, from the Santa Fe
14 office of Holland & Hart. I have two witnesses for both
15 cases.

16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
17 Please proceed.

18 If the witnesses would please be sworn in
19 at this time.

20 (Mr. Creekmore and Mr. Osborn sworn.)

21 MR. RANKIN: Call my first witness,
22 Mr. Creekmore.

23 CHARLES E. CREEKMORE,
24 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
25 questioned and testified as follows:

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. RANKIN:

3 Q. Mr. Creekmore, state your name for the record,
4 and let the Examiner know by whom you're employed and in
5 what capacity.

6 A. Charles E. Creekmore. I'm employed by Hilcorp,
7 and I'm a landman with them.

8 Q. And have you previously had the opportunity to
9 testify before the Division and have your expertise as a
10 petroleum landman made a matter of record?

11 A. Yes, on numerous occasions.

12 Q. Are you familiar with the two applications that
13 were filed for these consolidated cases?

14 A. Yes, I am.

15 Q. And are you also familiar with the status of
16 the lands in the subject areas?

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I tender
19 Mr. Creekmore as an expert in petroleum land matters.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

21 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Mr. Creekmore, will you please
22 review for the Examiners whether or not these two
23 spacing units -- are they subject to the
24 Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool?

25 A. Yes, they are.

1 Q. And are there special pool rules that apply to
2 that pool in this case?

3 A. Yes, sir. No more than four wells per 320-acre
4 spacing units, and no more than two wells per quarter
5 section in those spacing units.

6 Q. And what relief are you seeking from the
7 Division here today with respect to these two cases?

8 A. For Case Number 15978, we're asking for
9 approval of the fourth and fifth wells, vertical wells,
10 in the Blanco-Mesaverde and two wells are in the same
11 quarter-quarter section.

12 Q. And then with respect to Case Number 16004,
13 what are you asking for in that case?

14 A. A fifth vertical well in the spacing unit and a
15 third well in the quarter section in that spacing unit.

16 Q. And do the special pool rules require that any
17 applicant seeking exception come to hearing?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And so are these consolidated cases part of
20 Hilcorp's overall strategy to identify Dakota wells in
21 which you can come uphole to the Mesaverde in order to
22 fully drain the spacing unit in the Mesaverde?

23 A. Yes. In the Blanco-Mesaverde, we've seen an
24 opportunity to fully develop on the 320-acre spacing
25 unit, and there are voids in -- in total or adequate

1 drainage in those. And then we've identified Dakota
2 wells in conjunction with that area where there wasn't
3 adequate drainage, and we want to recomplete those
4 uphole in the Mesaverde. And it makes economic sense as
5 opposed to drilling a new well to utilize the wellbore
6 on the Dakota wells.

7 Q. And has the Division already granted
8 preapproval for commingling downhole in these areas?

9 A. Yes, for numerous wells.

10 Q. So the only restriction is the well spacing and
11 density restrictions under the special pool rules?

12 A. That is correct.

13 Q. Now, has the company also brought a reservoir
14 engineer today to testify about the drainage?

15 A. Yes, we have.

16 Q. Now, looking at your exhibit book, will you
17 just please review for the Examiners how the exhibit
18 book is laid out so we know how the exhibits are
19 sequenced?

20 A. Well, in your notebook, you'll find both
21 organized by case number, and then the exhibits are the
22 same order for both cases. And the notice exhibits that
23 I'm prepared to testify to are first.

24 Q. All right. So let's turn to your exhibit --
25 start with Case Number 15978, Exhibit Number 1. What

1 **does this exhibit show? Is this an overview map?**

2 A. This shows both wells in conjunction to each
3 other where they're located in the Basin.

4 **Q. So that area outlined in green are the two**
5 **spacing units that are the subject of these two cases?**

6 A. Yes. Both of the wells are outlined in green
7 within their respective -- well, these are fully --
8 almost fully developed in the 28-7 Blanco-Mesaverde
9 participating areas, and then a fully developed
10 participating area in the 28-6. The hash mark is the
11 participating areas in both these units.

12 **Q. And what this map shows also is that each of**
13 **these spacing units are wholly within the exterior**
14 **boundaries of -- and within the PAs?**

15 A. Yes. Yes, they are.

16 **Q. Including any offsets -- of any offset notice**
17 **parties would be wholly within those units as well?**

18 A. All the offsets are totally within the unit.
19 Yes.

20 **Q. So on that -- on that issue, would you review**
21 **for the Examiners how notice was provided for both these**
22 **cases?**

23 A. Well, when we're the operator of the unit and
24 the offset is within the unit, then we're required to
25 give notice to all the other working interest owners

1 that have an undivided interest in the participating
2 area.

3 Q. Okay. So all these working interest owners
4 were given notice of these two cases?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Now, with respect to the BLM and the State Land
7 Office, have you reviewed, generally, your plans of
8 development to increase well density in the Mesaverde?

9 A. Yes. I've talked with both, and they're fully
10 in favor of us doing these recompletions.

11 Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to the next exhibit,
12 Number 2. This is a detail of the -- of the spacing
13 unit subject to this case, is that right --

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. -- to 15978?

16 Will you review for the Examiners what it
17 shows?

18 A. I'm sorry?

19 Q. Will you review for the Examiners what this
20 shows?

21 A. Yes. Yes.

22 I would like to point out that I don't
23 think our person who was doing this -- and I didn't
24 catch it. The outer boundary, you'll see on the
25 offsets, they went into the next -- I think they're

1 unfamiliar with these partial sections, these correction
2 sections. So they should have stopped at 11 and 12 and
3 not gone into the 29-7 Unit. But the upper limit should
4 have stopped there at the unit boundary. All the
5 offsets are within the unit.

6 Q. So the red outline area indicates the notice
7 area?

8 A. With the exception, yes.

9 Q. With the exception.

10 It was --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- intended to not go into 29-7?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. All right. And then are the two wells that are
15 subject to this case identified by the green star in the
16 area of the spacing unit?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And those are the 233E and the 233G wells?

19 A. Yes, that is correct.

20 Q. Now, looking at your next exhibit, which are
21 the parties who are identified as the working interests
22 in these offsetting spacing units --

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. -- is this everybody you provided notice to?

25 A. Yes. And they're -- they all have an undivided

1 interest not only in this well but on the offset
2 acreage.

3 Q. Okay. So the interest is common between the
4 spacing unit and the offsets?

5 A. Yes, that's correct.

6 Q. Now, on the next exhibit, Number 4, is this a
7 list of the tracking -- United States Postal Service
8 tracking indicating that each of the proposed parties
9 received notice?

10 A. Yes, it is.

11 Q. And so if I'm correct, Mr. Creekmore, all of
12 them have indicated they were delivered with the
13 exception of one? That is Sharon Beamon Burns; is that
14 correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. And on the next last page of the exhibit, is
17 that a copy of an executed letter indicating that she
18 acknowledged receipt of the application?

19 A. Yes. She lives in between two different homes,
20 and we've had problems notifying her in the past. So
21 now we not only send notice, but we send directly to
22 her, and she has signed off on notice of the hearing
23 today.

24 Q. Okay. So everyone has a correct valid address
25 for everybody?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. With respect to Beamon Burns, you've gotten
3 acknowledgement from her that she actually received
4 notice?

5 A. Right. That is correct.

6 Q. And these are the same addresses that you have
7 in your records for providing JIBs and statements of
8 production in the unit?

9 A. Yes, and also giving notice and ballots.

10 Q. Did you, nevertheless, go ahead and provide
11 a -- well, okay.

12 Now, moving on to the next case, Case
13 Number 16004, Exhibit Number 1 --

14 A. Is the same as the previous exhibit.

15 Q. -- is the same?

16 And looking at Exhibit Number 2, this is a
17 close-up of the spacing unit at issue in this case; is
18 that correct?

19 A. Yes. This is all -- shows the drill block and
20 the spacing unit and then the offsetting acreage, all of
21 which are in the participating area for the 28-6 Unit.

22 Q. Okay. And the well at issue here that you're
23 seeking authority for recompletion is the San Juan 28-6
24 Unit 164 well; is that correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And that well is located in the green area
2 which outlines the spacing unit?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And you went through the same procedure to
5 verify the offsetting working interest owners?

6 A. Yes, we did.

7 Q. And Exhibit Number 3 in that case is a list of
8 the parties you identified and provided notice to?

9 A. Yes. Those are the owners.

10 Q. And your next exhibit, Number 4, is that a
11 tracking from the postal service indicating that each of
12 those parties, with the exception of two, were
13 delivered?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And the last two pages of that exhibit, are
16 those acknowledgments from those two parties that they
17 actually did receive --

18 A. Yes. One of them is from Sharon Beamon Burns.
19 And then the first one, I think, in the exhibit is
20 Omimex Petroleum, and we got them to acknowledge the
21 notice.

22 MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I
23 would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 4 in Case
24 Number 15978 and 1 through 4 in Case Number 16004.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 4 in

1 15978 may be accepted as part of the record, and
2 Exhibits 1 through 4 in Case Number 16004 may now be
3 accepted as part of the record.

4 (Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1
5 through 4 in Case Number 15978 and Exhibit
6 Numbers 1 through 4 in Case Number 16004
7 are offered and admitted into evidence.)

8 MR. RANKIN: No further questions from me,
9 and I pass the witness.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead.

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Thank you.

13 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'll call my
14 second witness, Mr. James Osborn.

15 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think you're getting it
16 down to a science.

17 MR. RANKIN: Trying.

18 JAMES OSBORN,
19 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
20 questioned and testified as follows:

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. RANKIN:

23 Q. Mr. Osborn, will you please state your full
24 name for the record?

25 A. James Osborn.

1 Q. By whom are you employed?

2 A. Hilcorp Energy.

3 Q. And in what capacity do you work for Hilcorp
4 Energy?

5 A. Reservoir engineer.

6 Q. Have you ever previously testified before the
7 Division?

8 A. No, I have not.

9 Q. Will you please review for the Examiners
10 briefly your development educational experience and
11 degrees and also your work experience as a petroleum
12 engineer?

13 A. Okay. I graduated from Texas A & M University
14 in 2012 with a Bachelor in Science and Petroleum
15 Engineering. Upon graduation, I went to work for a
16 small oil and gas company in the Texas-Louisiana area,
17 Swift Energy, and continued to work with Swift until
18 August of 2017 when I joined Hilcorp Energy. And
19 additionally, I'm a member of the SPE.

20 Q. And how long have you been employed at Hilcorp?

21 A. For eight months.

22 Q. Eight months.

23 And are you familiar with the applications
24 that were filed in these two cases?

25 A. I am.

1 Q. And have you conducted, with the geologists at
2 Hilcorp, a review analysis of the drainage in these
3 areas?

4 A. Yes, we have.

5 Q. And you've conducted a study of the lands
6 subject to these applications, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I tender
9 Mr. Osborn as an expert in reservoir engineering.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Expert in petroleum
11 engineering?

12 MR. RANKIN: Expert petroleum -- thank you,
13 Mr. Examiner.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

15 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Mr. Osborn, will you please
16 turn to what's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 in the
17 first case in your exhibit notebook, Case Number 15978?

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. And will you please review for the Examiners
20 what this bubble map shows?

21 A. Okay. So on the left of Exhibit Number 6 is
22 the bubble map representing cumulative production to
23 date for the nine sections surrounding the San Juan 28-7
24 Unit 233E and 233G wells. The red circle on this map
25 indicates the San Juan 28-7 Unit 233G well, and the

1 yellow circle indicates the San Juan 28-7 233E well.
2 The orange and brown circles and their respective sizes
3 provide an illustration of drainage area based upon
4 cumulative production, and the white spaces between the
5 wells represent -- or illustrate undrained areas within
6 the Mesaverde.

7 Q. And so what you've identified is -- based on
8 this map, is that there is potentially unrecovered
9 reserves within the spacing unit?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And just so -- I think you said this, but I
12 want to make sure you did. This map also indicates the
13 location of the 233G well?

14 A. Yes, it does.

15 Q. And in this application, you're seeking
16 authorization for recompletion of two wells, the 233E
17 and the 233G, correct?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Now, will you please explain to the Examiners
20 what your analytical approach is to identify the
21 potential for unrecovered reserves in these cases?

22 A. Absolutely. So working with the geologist,
23 what we did was we used log-derived volumetric
24 calculations to calculate original gas in place in the
25 Mesaverde throughout the entire San Juan Basin.

1 Additionally, we used decline curve analysis to identify
2 ultimate recovery of the existing completions in the
3 Mesaverde. Comparing the original gas in place with the
4 cumulative gas produced, we identified where there is
5 remaining gas in the Mesaverde within the Basin and then
6 used the -- looked at the existing wellbore inventory we
7 have in Dakota wells to identify potential uphole
8 recompletes into the Mesaverde.

9 **Q. So you've used that analytical approach in both**
10 **of these consolidated cases to apply -- unrecovered**
11 **reserves that may potentially remain in place with the**
12 **existing well density?**

13 A. Yes.

14 **Q. Looking at this first case, again, looking at**
15 **Exhibit Number 7, will you review for the Examiners how**
16 **you used that analytical approach to identify these**
17 **locations for this case?**

18 A. Yes. So Exhibit Number 7 is a contour map of
19 the original gas in place in the Mesaverde. The red
20 star indicates the subject well quarter section with
21 the -- that -- where reside the 233G and the 233E wells.
22 Looking at the map, the hotter colors indicate higher
23 gas in place, original, and the cooler colors indicating
24 less original gas in place. So the subject wells are in
25 an area of relatively high gas in place as calculated.

1 **Q. And your next exhibit shows production in those**
2 **areas over time?**

3 A. Correct. Exhibit 8 is a map indicating
4 cumulative gas production across the San Juan Basin.
5 So, again, the red star indicates the location of the
6 San Juan 28-7 units, 233G and 233E wells. And they
7 reside in an area with relatively little existing
8 cumulative production to date.

9 **Q. And then Exhibit Number 9, what does that**
10 **reflect?**

11 A. So Exhibit Number 9 is a map of Mesaverde
12 remaining gas in place, which is original gas in place
13 less of cumulative production. The red star, again,
14 indicates the location of the 233G and 233E wellbores in
15 an area with considerable gas in place remaining.

16 **Q. So in your opinion -- in each case, in 15978**
17 **and the subsequent case, 16004, it's your opinion that**
18 **they're using this analytical approach that you've**
19 **identified gas reserves that remain unproduced?**

20 A. Yes, that's correct.

21 **Q. And that will likely remain unproduced unless**
22 **the well density is increased to access those reserves?**

23 A. That's correct.

24 **Q. So just to recap, did you -- did you also**
25 **conduct a numeric -- or representation of what your**

1 **analysis is?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. Is that on Exhibit Number 10?**

4 A. Yes. Exhibit Number 10 is a tabular form, same
5 data as in the map. But you can see on three different
6 reference areas, quarter section by section and by nine
7 sections, to provide some context as to the areal
8 location of these wells. And in the table, we've
9 indicated the calculated volumetric original gas in
10 place, the section equivalent gas in place, the
11 cumulative production to date in those subject areas and
12 their associated recovery factor to date. Additionally,
13 it's remaining gas in place. Again, that's volumetric
14 gas in place, less of cumulative production to date, and
15 finally EUR of the existing wellbores and their
16 respective recovery factors by subject area.

17 **Q. And just for the record, EUR stands for that?**

18 A. Estimated ultimate recovery.

19 **Q. And these numbers, what would you expect to see**
20 **in a reservoir of this nature in terms of representing**
21 **full drainage or adequate drainage?**

22 A. So the expected recovery factor for a reservoir
23 of this nature would be in the 70 to 80 percent recovery
24 factor.

25 **Q. So for existing well density, you're seeing**

1 **significantly 100 -- expect recovery?**

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. So for that reason, you identified unrecovered
4 **reserves you seek to access?**

5 A. Yes, that's correct.

6 Q. And you conducted the same analysis for Case
7 **Number 16004, correct?**

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So let's quickly turn to Exhibit Number 5 in
10 that case in your exhibit packet. This is a similar
11 bubble map you prepared in the prior case?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Will you review for the Examiners and orient
14 them as to what this map shows?

15 A. Yes. So this is, again, a bubble map of
16 cumulative production to date, with the red -- sorry --
17 the orange and brown circles and their respective sizes
18 representing the amount of production. And the white
19 spaces indicating unrecovered areas -- or undrained
20 areas. The red circle on the map indicates the location
21 of the San Juan 28-6 Unit 164, which is in an area
22 that's been undrained by the existing wellbores.

23 Q. And you undertook the same analytical approach
24 in Exhibits 6, 7 and 8 -- other than locating the
25 subject well for this case, are the same as the prior

1 cases, correct?

2 A. Yes, that's correct.

3 Q. So they show the same analysis, that there are,
4 in this area represented by the star for this well,
5 unrecovered reserves?

6 A. Yes, that's correct.

7 Q. And will you just review for the Examiners
8 Exhibit Number 9, which reflects your numeric analysis
9 for the reserves?

10 A. Yes. So Exhibit Number 9 again shows various
11 volumetric calculations at different reference areas.
12 So at this well, we've shown a reference area, the
13 quarter-section section and a 2.1-mile radius, so just
14 above the nine-section radius, with the associated
15 volumetric gas in place, section equivalent gas in
16 place, cumulative production to date and associated
17 recovery factor, remaining gas in place and estimated
18 ultimate recovery of the existing completions in these
19 subject areas with the associated recovery factor.

20 Q. And, again, you're seeing recovery factors for
21 the existing well density in the spacing unit that are
22 far below what you would expect to see for a fully
23 drained or fully developed spacing unit?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Now, in your conducting your analysis, you

1 worked with your geologists at Hilcorp, correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And you incorporated the geologic data that was
4 previously presented to the Division and made a matter
5 of record in Hilcorp's prior cases?

6 A. Yes, that's correct.

7 Q. So in your opinion, Mr. Osborn, will the
8 granting of this application result in the prevention of
9 waste and the protection of correlative rights?

10 A. Yes.

11 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would move the
12 admission of Exhibits 5 through 10 in Case Number 15978
13 and Exhibits 5 through 9 in Case Number 16004.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 5 through 10
15 in Case Number 15978 may be accepted as part of the
16 record.

17 Plus 10?

18 MR. RANKIN: Yeah.

19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And Exhibits 5 through
20 9 in Case Number 16004 may now be accepted as part of
21 the record.

22 (Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 5
23 through 10 in Case Number 15978 and Exhibit
24 Numbers 5 through 9 in Case 16004 are
25 offered and admitted into evidence.)

1 MR. RANKIN: With that, I have no further
2 questions of this witness. I'll pass him for your
3 questioning.

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

6 Q. Have you -- what has been your actual
7 experience of recompletions for your estimated reserves
8 versus this in some of your -- like, some of the
9 recompletions you've done, have they matched these
10 figures?

11 A. Yes. So the estimated ultimate recoveries on
12 these figures are the existing completions, and then
13 we've been recompleting additional wells.

14 Q. For the recompletions, are they fitting this
15 model?

16 A. Yes. Yes. And since -- since acquiring the
17 acreage, we've had a series of recompletes. We've had a
18 couple of campaigns, and we've had results consistent
19 with the prior wells.

20 Q. So they match the data?

21 A. Yes.

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.

24 MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, we
25 would ask that the Division take these two consolidated

1 cases under consideration.

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case Number 15978 shall
3 be taken under advisement. Case Number 16004 shall be
4 taken under advisement.

5 Thank you very much.

6 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

7 (Case Numbers 15978 and 16004 conclude,
8 11:34 a.m.)

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: We'll adjourn until
10 1:30.

11 (Recess, 11:34 a.m. to 1:31 p.m.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 26th day of March 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25