

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY CASE NO. 16020
FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND
PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING,
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 22, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on
Thursday, March 22, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,
Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
 New Mexico CCR #20
 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 843-9241

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.
Post Office Box 1056
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 982-2043
jamesbruc@aol.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTIES EOG RESOURCES, EOG M RESOURCES
AND EOG Y RESOURCES:

MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Number 16020 Called	4
4	Mewbourne Oil Company's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Raymond Winkeljohn:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	4
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert	10
8	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	12
	Recross Examination by Mr. Feldewert	15
9	Recross Examination by Examiner Jones	16
10	Jordan Carrell:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	17
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert	21
12	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	22
13	Proceedings Conclude	26
14	Certificate of Court Reporter	27
15		
16		
	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17		
	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	10
18		
	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 8 through 12	21
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (9:04 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Call Case Number 16020,
3 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for a nonstandard
4 oil spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling in
5 Eddy County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
8 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I have two
9 witnesses.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

11 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
12 Feldewert, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart,
13 appearing on behalf of EOG Resources, EOG M Resources
14 and EOG Y Resources. And I have no witnesses here
15 today.

16 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

17 Will the witnesses for Mewbourne please
18 stand and will the court reporter swear the witnesses?

19 (Mr. Winkeljohn and Mr. Carrell sworn.)

20 RAYMOND WINKELJOHN,
21 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
22 questioned and testified as follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. BRUCE:

25 Q. Would you please state your name and city of

1 **residence for the record?**

2 A. Yes. It's Ray Winkeljohn, Midland, Texas.

3 **Q. And who do you work for and in what capacity?**

4 A. Mewbourne Oil Company as a landman.

5 **Q. Have you previously testified before the**
6 **Division?**

7 A. I have.

8 **Q. And were your credentials as an expert**
9 **petroleum landman accepted as a matter of record?**

10 A. Yes.

11 **Q. Are you familiar with the land matters involved**
12 **in this application?**

13 A. I am.

14 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender
15 Mr. Winkeljohn as an expert petroleum landman.

16 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

17 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

18 **Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Will you please identify Exhibit**
19 **1 and describe the lands and the well involved in this**
20 **application?**

21 A. Yes. Exhibit 1 is a Midland Map identifying
22 the project area for the Virgo 24/23 B2AD Fed Com #1 in
23 yellow. Mewbourne seeks an order for a nonstandard
24 spacing and proration unit, as well as a compulsory
25 pooling order for the uncommitted working interest

1 owners.

2 Q. And turning to page 2 of Exhibit 1, what is
3 name of the well involved?

4 A. The Virgo 24/23 B2AD Fed Com #1H.

5 Q. And does Mewbourne seek to force pool only the
6 Bone Spring Formation in this well?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are there any depth severances in the Bone
9 Spring Formation in this well?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Could you identify Exhibit 2 for the Examiner?

12 A. Yes. Exhibit 2 is a tract ownership, and it
13 lists the parties that Mewbourne is seeking to force
14 pool?

15 Q. And the only ones are EOG Resources, EOG Y
16 Resources and the Elliott Family Limited Partnership?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Could you identify Exhibit 3 and discuss your
19 contacts -- Mewbourne's contacts with the parties being
20 pooled?

21 A. Yes. Exhibit 3 is our summary of
22 communications. We sent well-proposal letters to EOG,
23 as well as the Elliotts. And we've had several
24 conversations with EOG. We even went with EOG to the
25 Carlsbad Field Office to discuss a lease suspension on

1 their lease, and we have yet to come to an agreement.

2 Q. It appears from this listing that EOG -- it
3 looks like EOG has agreed with Mewbourne that Mewbourne
4 represents the north half of these two sections, and EOG
5 will operate the south of these two sections?

6 A. Yes. I believe that's correct.

7 Q. If Mewbourne comes to terms with EOG or the
8 Elliott Family Limited Partnership, will you notify the
9 Division?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. In your opinion, has Mewbourne made a
12 good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the
13 interest owners in the well?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Are there any unlocatable owners in these
16 wells?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Would you identify Exhibit 4 and discuss the
19 cost of the proposed well?

20 A. Yes. Exhibit 4 is our AFE for the Virgo well.
21 It has an estimated dry-hole cost of \$2,742,500 and a
22 total well cost of \$10,200,000.

23 Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of
24 other wells drilled to this depth and of this length in
25 this part of southeast New Mexico?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do you request that Mewbourne be appointed
3 operator of the well?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And what overhead rates do you request?

6 A. 7,500 a month for drilling and 750 for a
7 producing well per month.

8 Q. And are these amounts equivalent to those
9 normally charged by Mewbourne and other operators for
10 wells of this type in this area?

11 A. They are.

12 Q. Do you request that the rates be adjusted
13 periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting
14 procedure?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Does Mewbourne request the maximum cost plus
17 200 percent risk charge if any interest owner goes
18 nonconsent in the well?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And were the parties being pooled notified in
21 writing of this hearing?

22 A. Yes.

23 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 5 is my
24 Affidavit of Notice. I would note two things. A number
25 of people are being force pooled, but at this time, as

1 Mr. Winkeljohn said, EOG and the Elliott Limited
2 Partnership are being pooled, and so I only attached the
3 white cards for those two companies. EOG has entered an
4 appearance, but I still haven't gotten a green card back
5 from the Elliott family yet.

6 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Winkeljohn, does Exhibit 6
7 list all of the offset operators or working interest
8 owners for the proposed well?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And was notice given to them?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 7 is my
13 Affidavit of Notice to the offsets other than Mewbourne
14 itself. And, again, I'm missing one green card from
15 Marathon, so at the end of this hearing, it'll have to
16 be continued for two weeks.

17 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Were Exhibits 1 through 7
18 prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled
19 from company business records?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this
22 application in the interest of conservation and the
23 prevention of waste?

24 A. Yes.

25 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the

1 admission of Mewbourne Exhibits 1 through 7.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection?

3 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 are
5 admitted.

6 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1
7 through 7 are offered and admitted into
8 evidence.)

9 MR. BRUCE: I pass the witness.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Feldewert?

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

13 Q. Mr. Winkeljohn, your records don't show any
14 ownership by EOG M Resources?

15 A. No. I believe that these were the only EOG
16 entities owning interest.

17 Q. Do you have a title opinion?

18 A. We do. I don't have a copy with me, but we do
19 have an opinion on it.

20 Q. Okay. All right. And if I look at your
21 Exhibit Number 3, you reference on the first page there
22 under contacts with EOG -- and I'm at the entry for
23 March 6th, where you say that you want to operate the
24 north half of this unit and EOG to operate the south
25 half. And then you go on with another sentence. What

1 you're talking about there is the south -- EOG would
2 operate the south half of Sections 23 and 24, right?

3 A. Yes. I believe that's correct.

4 Q. Because I was confused by the last sentence
5 where it references 320 acres.

6 A. I think what that's pertaining to is EOG's
7 lease in just 23. I think -- I think that that is just
8 pertaining to the south half of 23, not the south half
9 of 24 also, which would be correct as far as EOG's lease
10 goes, since they had the west half of the northwest
11 quarter of 23 and then the south half of 23 -- the
12 majority of the south half of 23.

13 Q. So when I see in the last entry there that you
14 received EOG's letters, JOA and AFEs, regarding proposed
15 wells in the south, 640 acres, of Sections 23 and 24 --
16 do I understand it correctly that you believe you have
17 an agreement where you will operate the north half of
18 that acreage and EOG would operate the south half of
19 that acreage?

20 A. I believe that's correct. Yeah.

21 Q. Have you made an election yet under EOG's
22 proposal for the south half of Sections 23 and 24?

23 A. We have not, since we haven't had them, you
24 know, terribly long. So we have not made a decision on
25 that yet.

1 Q. All right. And then with respect to this
2 agreement on ownership -- or for the operatorship, is
3 that for all depths, or is that for the Bone Spring?

4 A. I believe that's just for the Bone Spring.

5 MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the questions I
6 have. Thank you.

7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I do not think I
8 have any questions.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY EXAMINER JONES:

11 Q. So Mewbourne doesn't own an interest in Tract
12 5, so you haven't proposed -- you haven't sent the well
13 off to get a permit to drill yet; is that correct?

14 A. No. We do have a permit on it. And that
15 was -- the reason we went with EOG to the Carlsbad Field
16 Office. They had a lease suspension due to potash on
17 Tract 5. So when we got our permit, it pulled their
18 lease out of suspension. So we went with them to meet
19 the BLM to discuss -- I guess now it's in prairie
20 chicken season, so we asked for a longer duration of
21 time to drill after prairie chicken season is over. So
22 we have a permit across it.

23 Q. Did you initially propose the wells a shorter
24 distance and then talk to the BLM to extend the
25 distance?

1 A. I don't believe so. I believe we proposed a
2 two-mile lateral, and then at that time, they pulled the
3 lease out of suspension.

4 **Q. So you have a permit from BLM, but you don't**
5 **have a permit from OCD yet, is that correct, because you**
6 **don't have an interest in Tract 5?**

7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Of course, we don't
8 require a separate application on federal wells.

9 EXAMINER JONES: Well, it goes --

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't know if the
11 Division's approved it or not.

12 MR. BRUCE: Page 2 of Exhibit 1.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Oh, there is an API number
14 right there. I was up late last night. I'm sorry.

15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes.

16 EXAMINER JONES: That means that Paul
17 has -- or Ray has processed it.

18 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. Well, the rule
19 says you can't apply for a permit to drill unless you
20 have an interest in every tract, but it doesn't say
21 anything about if you already have a permit from the
22 BLM. So it makes sense because the BLM has issued the
23 permit, and they're not bound by our rules.

24 MR. BRUCE: They've obviously been working
25 with EOG, and EOG has not objected [sic] to the APD.

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah.

2 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) The south of the north half
3 of both Sections 23 and 24, you were mentioning
4 earlier -- did you say COG or EOG?

5 A. EOG.

6 Q. Okay. So the discussions with the south half
7 of 23 and 24 are also EOG?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. So Mewbourne is going to be the only operator
10 in that two-mile well -- I mean -- what I mean is
11 they're going to be the only operator in that section,
12 is that correct, other than EOG?

13 A. That would be correct.

14 Q. So do you have other operations in this area?

15 A. Yes, we do, quite a bit around it, actually.
16 We have some in the north and some in the west as well.
17 It's an area that we're very familiar with, I would say.

18 Q. So potash restricted pops up on Section 23, but
19 is 24 the same way?

20 A. I don't believe so. I think the potash
21 restriction was just on EOG's lease in the northwest of
22 the northwest. I believe that is correct.

23 Q. Okay. Actually, in Section 23, our system pops
24 up potash drilling restricted on almost all of those
25 quarter-quarters in Section 23.

1 A. Perfect.

2 Q. But that's not pertinent.

3 So it's just EOG and -- EOG M Resources,
4 that -- you just said that you don't show them, but they
5 made an appearance in this case just in case?

6 A. Yeah, I think so. Yeah. This was our -- our
7 ownership is Exhibit 2 of this, and we don't show them
8 owning an interest.

9 Q. Is there -- just for my information, EOG M is
10 that -- where does that come from? Do you know what --

11 A. Yeah. It's MYCO Industries.

12 Q. Oh, it's MYCO.

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. Chuck Moran is now in this. Okay.

15 Okay. I don't have any more questions.

16 Thanks very much.

17 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I do have one
18 follow-up question, if I may.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Yes.

20 RE CROSS EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

22 Q. Mr. Winkeljohn, I made note of the fact that
23 you filed your APD for your well prior to having the
24 pooling order?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. If I'm understanding, you did that because you
2 feel like you have a sufficient agreement with EOG
3 whereas you would operate the north half of this acreage
4 and they would operate the south half, right?

5 A. I believe that's correct. The intent was to go
6 ahead and file our APD since, you know, obviously the
7 release expiration is coming up. So we wanted to get
8 moving on this and get our permit and get everything in
9 order.

10 Q. But currently you're waiting for the terms to
11 get papered up?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. But it's pretty firm in your mind?

14 A. I believe -- I think we have every intent to
15 come to an agreement with EOG along those terms, that
16 they would operate the south half and we would operate
17 the north half.

18 RE CROSS EXAMINATION

19 BY EXAMINER JONES:

20 Q. So EOG hasn't signed the com agreement yet
21 either?

22 A. No, they haven't.

23 Q. So the com agreement is still pending?

24 A. That's correct.

25 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.

1 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

2 JORDAN CARRELL,

3 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
4 questioned and testified as follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. BRUCE:

7 Q. Please state your name for the record.

8 A. Jordan Carrell.

9 Q. Where do you reside?

10 A. Midland, Texas.

11 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?

12 A. Mewbourne Oil Company. I'm a geologist.

13 Q. And have you previously testified before the
14 Division?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Were your credentials as an expert petroleum
17 geologist accepted as a matter of record?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And are you familiar with the geology involved
20 in the drilling of this well?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender
23 Mr. Carrell as an expert petroleum geologist.

24 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

25 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

1 **Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Carrell, what is Exhibit 8?**

2 A. Exhibit 8 is an isopach map of the thickness of
3 the Lower 2nd Bone Spring Sand. It shows our proration
4 unit in 23 and 24. It's in the dashed black line. And
5 we have 2nd Bone Spring Sand completed wells in green
6 lines and permits in dashed green lines. And then our
7 Virgo 24/23 B2AD well is in a red arrow across 24-23.
8 The contour interval is 20 feet.

9 **Q. And what is Exhibit 9?**

10 A. Exhibit 9 is a structure contour map of the
11 base of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand across the same area.
12 Both of these maps show a cross section, A to A prime.

13 **Q. And Mr. Winkeljohn mentioned some Mewbourne**
14 **wells. Are those Mewbourne wells up in Section 15 to**
15 **the northwest?**

16 A. Yes. The wells in Section 15 are Mewbourne Oil
17 Company, and the wells in Section 27 are also Mewbourne
18 Oil Company.

19 **Q. Why don't you move to the cross section,**
20 **Exhibit 10?**

21 A. This cross section shows -- in the brown,
22 towards the base there, it's highlighting consistent
23 sand thickness across the target interval in the lower
24 2nd Bone Spring Sand. And we would also be drilling
25 updip, and I don't believe structure would have -- cause

1 any issues with the drilling.

2 Q. In your opinion, is the Lower 2nd Bone Spring
3 Sand continuous across the proposed well unit?

4 A. It is, in my opinion.

5 Q. And from a geologic perspective, will each
6 quarter-quarter section in the well unit contribute more
7 or less equally to production?

8 A. I believe so.

9 Q. What is Exhibit 10?

10 A. Exhibit 10 -- 11?

11 Q. 11. Excuse me.

12 A. This is a production table of the 2nd Bone
13 Spring Sand horizontal wells in the area. These show
14 that an east-west orientation tends to be better wells
15 versus north-south. These also show completion dates,
16 and the more recent completions also have better
17 economics.

18 Our wells in Section 15 have a
19 northwest-south orientation. We tried our best to drill
20 east-west. There were 80 acres in the southwest of
21 Section 15 that the BLM would not put up for auction.

22 Q. I believe surface locations were restricted by
23 the BLM in that section also; were they not?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Finally, what is Exhibit 12?

1 A. Exhibit 12 is our wellbore survey plan for this
2 well. The very last page shows a map view and
3 cross-section view of the well, and the first and last
4 take points are noted on that plat being 330 off of the
5 section lines.

6 Q. So the well location is orthodox?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Were Exhibits 8 through 12 prepared by you or
9 compiled under the company's business records?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this
12 application in the interest of conservation and the
13 prevention of waste?

14 A. Yes.

15 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the
16 admission of Exhibits 8 through 12.

17 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

18 MR. BRUCE: I didn't know if --

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

21 Q. Mr. Carrell, I'm looking at --

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me. You talked
23 over Will when he was trying to admit the exhibits.

24 EXAMINER JONES: I'm not offended.

25 Exhibits 8 through 12 are admitted.

1 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 8
2 through 12 are offered and admitted into
3 evidence.)

4 EXAMINER BROOKS: I just wanted to make
5 sure the record was complete.

6 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

7 Go ahead, Mr. Feldewert.

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

10 Q. Mr. Carrell, I'm looking at Exhibits 9 and 11.
11 Okay?

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. If I'm understanding these two exhibits, the
14 wells that you show in 15, 27 and 30, the zone in which
15 they are completed in are identified on Exhibit 11; is
16 that right?

17 A. Yes. The horizontal target was the Lower 2nd
18 Bone Spring Sand.

19 Q. All right. And if I also understood your
20 testimony, if I'm looking at Exhibit Number 11, you
21 actually have two approved permits to drill for this
22 north-half acreage?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And, again, it's based on the agreement you had
25 with EOG?

1 A. I'm unaware of that, if that's the case.

2 Q. All right. Because you don't have any other
3 agreement consolidating the acreage prior to filing the
4 APD, right?

5 A. I'm unaware.

6 Q. Okay. All right.

7 MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the questions I
8 have. Thank you.

9 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY EXAMINER JONES:

13 Q. The cross section, Exhibit 10, the 2nd Bone
14 Spring is broken up into two? It somehow got split up
15 into two sands there?

16 A. It appears so. Yes. These were probably
17 deposited by turbidites off the shelf, and you also have
18 some carbonates being deposited as well.

19 Q. So the carbonates split up the two, or is it
20 just the carbonates -- is the carbonates bothering your
21 permeability in any of your sands?

22 A. I don't believe so in the Lower 2nd Sand, in
23 the lower portion, which has a consistent thickness, and
24 it's -- consistently in every well, you see the same
25 profiles and logs.

1 Q. Okay. And you went ahead on 9P [sic], on
2 Exhibit 10, you placed a mud log, looks like?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So can you talk about that mud log where it
5 shows, because I can't read it?

6 A. Yeah. Sorry. It is fairly small. What it is
7 showing here is the lithology in the middle track. It
8 may be hard to see, but the dots are the sand. The
9 solid lines are shale intervals, and the brick-looking
10 section is a carbonate.

11 Q. Okay. So your mud logger saw all three
12 different lithologies?

13 A. Yes. And that's very common drilling in the
14 Bone Spring Sand. This was not our mud log. This was a
15 Matador well.

16 Q. Like an old Morrow well that was logged through
17 here?

18 A. Yeah. I'm unaware of where they completed that
19 well.

20 Q. Are you going to use mud logs on your well?

21 A. We will.

22 Q. And you just have a gamma ray at the end of
23 your bit?

24 A. Yes, gamma ray and lithology.

25 Q. And are you expecting -- how fast will you

1 drill your two-mile section?

2 A. That's hard to say.

3 Q. Are you going to be up all night watching it?

4 A. I will be, yes.

5 Q. Okay. So they drill it just so they don't go
6 up and down too much?

7 A. Yeah. Part of my job is to geosteering our
8 horizontal wells.

9 Q. Okay. So you're watching for consistency --

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. -- once you get in -- at least where your well
12 starts on the heel will be real close to you're a prime,
13 which is 13 O [sic]. So you're looking for a sand, it
14 looks like.

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And you're going to go so many feet into that
17 sand. Do you want to be at the bottom of it, or --

18 A. Based off of that well in 13 O [sic], we would
19 want to be towards the top where you see the better
20 porosity develops.

21 Q. Okay. Okay. You would have preferred your
22 location over on the west, is that correct, but you
23 couldn't put your well location over to the west, you
24 said, because you're drilling down --

25 A. Right. We'll be drilling updip.

1 **Q. Drilling up a little bit. How much up?**

2 A. Looking at the structure contour map, it looks
3 like we will come up approximately 100 feet.

4 **Q. Okay. And it's expected to be 40 gravity oil?**

5 A. Yes, 40 gravity oil.

6 **Q. Real gassy?**

7 A. No. A little bit. I think the average GORs
8 are about 750.

9 **Q. Oh, it's low GOR.**

10 A. Yes.

11 **Q. And water? You expect how much oil cut or**
12 **water cut?**

13 A. Yeah. If you look at the map here, I have
14 posted production, cumulative production. As you see in
15 our Section 15, we have very high oil cuts. Moving to
16 the south, you have about 50 percent oil cut. So as
17 you're going updip towards the platform, we expect oil
18 cuts to increase.

19 **Q. Oh, that's good.**

20 A. Yeah.

21 **Q. So this potash area is a lucrative place to**
22 **drill?**

23 A. It appears so.

24 **Q. Okay. Thank you very much.**

25 MR. BRUCE: Nothing further in this matter.

1 Ask that it be continued for two weeks.

2 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

3 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

4 So Case Number 16020 has been heard but is
5 continued until April the 5th.

6 Okay. Take a break.

7 (Case Number 16020 concludes, 9:32 a.m.)

8 (Recess, 9:32 a.m. to 9:50 a.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 10th day of April 2018.

21

22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
23 Certified Court Reporter
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20
25 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

24

25