

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY CASE NO. 16081
FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION
UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

May 3, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
 SCOTT DAWSON, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,
Chief Examiner, Scott Dawson, Technical Examiner, and
David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, May 3,
2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural
Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South
St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New
Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
 New Mexico CCR #20
 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.
Post Office Box 1056
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 982-2043
jamesbruc@aol.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTY EOG A, Y and M RESOURCES:

ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLC
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
agrarkin@hollandhart.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Number 16081 Called	4
4	Mewbourne Oil Company's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Joshua Anderson:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	5
8	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	12, 21
9	Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	12
10	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	14
11	Charles Crosby:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	14
13	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	18
14	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	19
15	Statement/Public Comment by Mr. Taschner (Gregory family)	21
16	Proceedings Conclude	24
17	Certificate of Court Reporter	25
18		
19	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
20	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	11/12
21	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 8 through 15	18
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (9:28 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I would like to call
3 this hearing back to order.

4 The next case to be heard is 16081,
5 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for a nonstandard
6 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Eddy
7 County, New Mexico.

8 Call for appearances.

9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
10 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I have two
11 witnesses.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?

13 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin,
14 from the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, appearing on
15 behalf of EOG A, Y and M.

16 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, some Gregory
17 family members are here. At the end, they would like to
18 make a brief statement.

19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Thanks.

20 MR. BRUCE: May we swear in the witnesses?

21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah.

22 Will the witnesses please stand up and be
23 sworn in at this time?

24 (Mr. Anderson and Mr. Crosby sworn.)

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please proceed.

1 JOSHUA ANDERSON,
2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BRUCE:

6 Q. Will you please state your name and city of
7 residence?

8 A. Joshua Anderson, Midland, Texas.

9 Q. And who do you work for and in what capacity?

10 A. I work for Mewbourne Oil Company as a petroleum
11 landman.

12 Q. Have you previously testified before the
13 Division?

14 A. I have.

15 Q. And were your credentials as an expert
16 petroleum landman accepted as a matter of record?

17 A. They were.

18 Q. And does your area of responsibility at
19 Mewbourne include this portion of southeast New Mexico?

20 A. It does.

21 Q. Are you familiar with the land matters in this
22 application?

23 A. I am.

24 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender
25 Mr. Anderson as an expert petroleum landman.

1 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Objections?

2 MR. RANKIN: No objection.

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

4 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Anderson, could you identify
5 Exhibit 1 and describe the lands and the wells involved
6 in this application?

7 A. Exhibit 1 is a -- the first part of Exhibit 1
8 is a Midland Map Company plot showing -- the highlighted
9 part showing the proposed proration unit and the
10 proposed wellbores for the Rustler Breaks 26/23 W2ML Fee
11 Com #1H and the Rustler Breaks 26/23 W0ML Fee Com #2H,
12 as well as Form C-102 for each of the wells.

13 Q. Okay. And so you seek a nonstandard unit and
14 to force pool the Wolfcamp Formation under the southwest
15 of 23 and the west half of 26?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Are there any depth severances in the Wolfcamp
18 Formation?

19 A. There are not.

20 Q. Could you identify Exhibit 2 for the Examiner?

21 A. Exhibit 2 is the tract ownership for the west
22 half of Section 26 and the southwest quarter of Section
23 23 for the Wolfcamp Formation.

24 Q. Now, at this point you're force pooling quite a
25 few interest owners and quite a large percentage.

1 Before we get into your summary of communications, have
2 a lot of these parties expressed interest in joining in
3 the well?

4 A. That's correct. Every party that we're seeking
5 to pool, except for the EOG parties, have either sent --
6 signed AFEs or either verbally have told me that they
7 want to participate in the well.

8 Q. Okay. And so if any of these parties join --
9 sign a JOA after this hearing, will you so notify the
10 Division?

11 A. I will.

12 Q. Well, let's get into your discussions with the
13 parties. Referring to Exhibit 3, is the first part of
14 this a summary of your contacts with the various
15 parties?

16 A. It is, just a brief summarization of all of our
17 communications with the parties we're seeking to pool.

18 Q. Okay. So it looks like you've been in
19 negotiations for about four months -- I mean about eight
20 months with the parties?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And besides -- and following up behind this,
23 are there proposal letters and other correspondence with
24 the parties?

25 A. There are. It's well proposals, signed,

1 certified -- signed, certified receipts for them
2 receiving the well proposals, as well as various email
3 communication.

4 **Q. And so besides letters, there have been emails**
5 **and telephone calls with the various parties?**

6 A. That's correct.

7 **Q. In your opinion, have you made a good-faith to**
8 **obtain the voluntary joinder of these working interest**
9 **owners in this well unit?**

10 A. We have.

11 **Q. And all of the working interest owners you are**
12 **seeking to pool are locatable; are they not?**

13 A. That's correct.

14 **Q. Would you then move on to Exhibit 4 and discuss**
15 **that for the Examiner?**

16 A. Exhibit 4 are the AFEs for the Rustler Breaks
17 1H and 2H. And the proposed dry-hole costs for the 1H
18 is \$3,414,500, and the total completed costs for the
19 well would be \$9,648,700. And for the 2H, dry-hole
20 costs of \$3,072,000 and completion costs -- or total
21 completed well costs of \$9,379,300.

22 **Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of**
23 **similar wells drilled to this depth in this area of**
24 **New Mexico?**

25 A. They are.

1 Q. And do you request that Mewbourne be appointed
2 operator of the wells?

3 A. We do.

4 Q. What is your recommendation for the amounts
5 Mewbourne should be paid for supervision and
6 administrative expenses?

7 A. We ask for \$8,000 a month during the drilling
8 of the well and \$800 a month after the well is
9 producing.

10 Q. And are these amounts equivalent to those
11 normally charged by Mewbourne and other operators in
12 this area for wells of this type?

13 A. It is.

14 Q. And are these the figures used in your JOA?

15 A. They are.

16 Q. Do you request that the rates be adjusted
17 periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting
18 procedure?

19 A. We do.

20 Q. And if a working interest owner goes nonconsent
21 in the well, do you request a maximum cost plus 200
22 percent risk charge?

23 A. We do.

24 Q. And were the parties being pooled notified of
25 this hearing?

1 A. They were.

2 Q. And is that reflected in my Affidavit of Notice
3 marked as Exhibit 5?

4 A. It is.

5 MR. BRUCE: And everyone -- I got green
6 cards back from everyone except for Mr. Rankin's client,
7 but he's here so --

8 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) And was notice given to all of
9 the offset operators or working interest owners?

10 A. It was.

11 Q. And is that reflected in my Affidavit of Notice
12 marked Exhibit 6?

13 A. It is.

14 Q. Now, a lot of the people who are being force
15 pooled are also offset operators or working interest
16 owners; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 MR. BRUCE: And, again, Mr. Examiner, the
19 original EOG Resources, Inc. did not return a green
20 card, but Exhibit 7 Affidavit of Notice -- Affidavit of
21 Publication by which EOG Resources -- so they received
22 notice either by mail or by publication.

23 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) One thing. In referring back to
24 Exhibit 1 -- Mr. Anderson, as you're aware, the Division
25 is requiring -- in mile-and-a-half Wolfcamp laterals,

1 they're requiring notice, which, in this case, is the
2 northwest quarter of Section 23, the omitted quarter
3 section. Is the northwest quarter or the north half of
4 Section 23 committed to a producing well?

5 A. It is.

6 Q. Whose well is that?

7 A. Marathon's.

8 Q. And, therefore, you did not notice every
9 interest owner in the northwest quarter of Section 23?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 7 either prepared by
12 you or under your supervision or compiled from company
13 business records?

14 A. They were.

15 Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this
16 application in the interest of conservation and the
17 prevention of waste?

18 A. It is.

19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the
20 admission of Exhibits 1 through 7.

21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Objections?

22 MR. RANKIN: No objections.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 7
24 may now be accepted as part of the record.

25 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1

1 through 7 are offered and admitted into
2 evidence.)

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Cross?

4 MR. RANKIN: No questions.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

7 Q. So the first question I've got is: For the
8 W2ML Fee Com #1H, is the API number 43938?

9 A. 43938, yes.

10 Q. And then for the W0ML Fee Com #2H, is the API
11 number 4460?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Okay. Now, I was not clear on your unlocatable
14 interests. You were able to locate all of the pooled
15 parties, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. No depth severances.

18 And this is Purple Sage, right?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Go ahead.

21 EXAMINER DAWSON: I have no questions.

22 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead, David.

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

25 Q. You did not notice -- well, first of all, what

1 type of land is this, federal, state or private?

2 A. It is state and fee.

3 Q. Okay. What part of it is private?

4 A. The southwest quarter of 23 has various fee
5 tracts in it.

6 Q. Okay. And Mr. Bruce asked you about the
7 northwest quarter of 23, right?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. And no notices were sent to the owners of
10 interest in the northwest quarter of 23?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Okay. But notice was sent to all owners within
13 the proposed project area?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And I believe you testified that you got green
16 cards from everybody?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Except for EOG.

20 Q. Except for EOG. But they appeared?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. They're in evidence right now.

23 Thank you?

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead.

25 EXAMINER DAWSON: I have one more question.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:

3 Q. The well in the north half of Section 23 --

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. -- that's a Marathon well, you said?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And that's also a Purple Sage; Wolfcamp well?

8 A. (Indicating.)

9 Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank
10 you.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you -- oh, wait.
12 These are proposed wells, right?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you. Sorry about
15 that.

16 CHARLES CROSBY,
17 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
18 questioned and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BRUCE:

21 Q. Will you please state your name for the record?

22 A. Charles Crosby.

23 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?

24 A. I'm a geologist for Mewbourne Oil Company.

25 Q. Have you previously testified before the

1 **Division?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. And were your credentials as an expert**
4 **petroleum geologist accepted as a matter of record?**

5 A. Yes.

6 **Q. And are you familiar with the geology involved**
7 **in this application?**

8 A. Yes, I am.

9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender
10 Mr. Crosby as an expert petroleum geologist.

11 MR. RANKIN: No objections.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

13 **Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Crosby, let's start first**
14 **with the W0ML well. What does Exhibit H show?**

15 A. This is a structured contour map on the top of
16 the Wolfcamp in the project area just showing continuous
17 consistent structural dip to the east. The proration
18 unit for the proposed well is highlighted by the
19 black-dashed line, with the proposed well itself
20 highlighted by the dashed red arrow. Wolfcamp Shale
21 wells are shown by the navy blue sticks, with the
22 Wolfcamp Sand wells highlighted by the violet sticks.
23 And also shown here is a cross-section reference line
24 labeled "A to A prime."

25 **Q. Let's move on to that cross section. What does**

1 **that show in Exhibit 9?**

2 A. As previously referred to, it's a cross section
3 representative of the entire Wolfcamp section in the
4 area of interest. Our delineated Wolfcamp zones are
5 labeled to the left of the cross section. The landing
6 point for the W0ML well is highlighted within the
7 Wolfcamp Sand shown by the proposed landing points shown
8 by the red arrow. Excuse me. This cross section just
9 shows that the Wolfcamp Sand in this area is consistent
10 throughout.

11 **Q. And would you anticipate each quarter section**
12 **in the well to be more or less equally productive in the**
13 **well?**

14 A. Yes.

15 **Q. What is Exhibit 10?**

16 A. This is a table just showing locations and some
17 production statistics for the Wolfcamp horizontals in
18 the area, and this is just meant to show that regardless
19 of horizontal orientation, wells with similar completion
20 and similar time on production show similar production
21 regardless of orientation.

22 **Q. What is Exhibit 11?**

23 A. I apologize for the fine print here. This is
24 just survey statistics for the proposed well.

25 **Q. And will the first and last take points for the**

1 well be at orthodox locations?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Let's move on to the W2ML. Start with Exhibit
4 12. What is that?

5 A. This is the same structure map that I showed
6 before. The only difference here being the dashed red
7 arrows reflective of the W2ML well.

8 Q. And Exhibit 13, the cross section, is that the
9 same as before?

10 A. Right. Same cross section representative of
11 the Wolfcamp, but this just shows our proposed landing
12 point in the Wolfcamp D, Wolfcamp Shale.

13 Q. And is the Wolfcamp Shale continuous across the
14 well unit?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And will each quarter section contribute more
17 or less equally to production?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And finally, Exhibit -- or, again, Exhibit 14,
20 is that the same as before?

21 A. Same table.

22 Q. No preferred orientation in the well unit?

23 A. Right.

24 Q. And finally, Exhibit 15.

25 A. This is just survey statistics for the W2ML

1 well.

2 Q. And, again, are the first and last take points
3 in this well at an orthodox location?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Were Exhibits 8 through 15 prepared by you or
6 compiled from company business records?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this
9 application in the interest of conservation and the
10 prevention of waste?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the
13 admission of Exhibits 8 through 15.

14 MR. RANKIN: No objection.

15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 8 through 15
16 may now be accepted as part of the record.

17 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 8
18 through 15 are offered and admitted into
19 evidence.)

20 MR. BRUCE: And I have no further questions
21 of this witness.

22 MR. RANKIN: No questions.

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

25 Q. I've got a question. You said the orientation

1 **of the well is not important; it's the completion**
2 **that's --**

3 A. No. That table is just meant to -- the
4 orientation is not necessarily a factor in this area
5 when it comes to production. And that table is just
6 meant to show the wells with similar completions show
7 similar production regardless of the orientation.

8 **Q. Okay. So completion is really the key?**

9 A. It seems so --

10 **Q. Okay.**

11 A. -- in terms of orientation.

12 **Q. Okay. I don't have any more questions.**

13 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions.

14 MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this
15 case.

16 EXAMINER DAWSON: I have a question.

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:

19 **Q. The well that Marathon drilled in the north**
20 **half of Section 23 --**

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 **Q. -- is that on the list you have of the wells?**

23 A. Yes. Those wells that Marathon drilled are on
24 the list. They have been TD'd or are pending
25 completion -- or there is -- there is no completion date

1 out there.

2 Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank
3 you, Mr. Crosby.

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Actually, I need to --
6 I've got a question for the landman.

7 Thanks for that presentation.

8 JOSHUA ANDERSON,

9 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
10 re-called, questioned and testified as follows:

11 RECROSS EXAMINATION

12 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

13 Q. You will have separate elections for each well,
14 right?

15 A. Yeah.

16 Q. I just wanted that as part of the record.

17 MR. BRUCE: Yes.

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. That was it.
19 Thanks.

20 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, as I said, some
21 members of the Gregory family are here and want to make
22 a statement, and then I'd ask this matter be taken under
23 advisement.

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. I want to
25 make -- for clarity purposes, you're representing

1 EOG A, Y and M only?

2 MR. RANKIN: Correct, Mr. Examiner.

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And now if you-all
4 would like to make some statements, if you'd come over
5 here so we get your name on the record.

6 MR. TASCHNER: Yes, sir. My name is Daniel
7 Taschner. I'm here on behalf of myself and the heirs of
8 the Gregory family. I appreciate your time.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Sure.

10 MR. TASCHNER: We're just wanting to make a
11 statement to get on record not only on -- not really on
12 this exact well that they're presenting at this time for
13 pooling, but we are affected by the compulsory pooling
14 in multiple wells by Mewbourne and multiple companies
15 from our interest that we have on our deed.

16 We gained our interest from the T. J. [sic]
17 Gregory warranty deed for mineral and surface that's in
18 Eddy County on Book 265, page 832. We gained a
19 one-fifth interest. What we've tried to do is we've
20 tried to clear up interests, which we've been told in
21 the past are multiple and require a quiet title, which
22 we understood.

23 We haven't been able to find an attorney
24 that does not have a conflict of interest down in
25 southeastern New Mexico due to alleged fraud and alleged

1 theft of property that we found while we're trying to
2 clear up our interest on this deed. So why we're doing
3 that -- while we were trying to clear up our deed, we
4 found this alleged fraud and theft of real property. So
5 right now there is actually an ongoing investigation
6 through the New Mexico State Police that's looking to be
7 turned over to the AG's Office for our deed and
8 interest.

9 So we just wanted to come and make a
10 statement and get on record, for our interest, what's
11 going on with our interest at this point.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.

13 MR. BRUCE: And I might just comment. The
14 Gregory family owns a claimed interest and quite a bit
15 of acreage in this --

16 MR. TASCHNER: Yeah. Our deed covers over
17 2,000 net mineral acres and a couple hundred actual
18 surface acreage in the White City, Malga area in
19 southeastern New Mexico.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Would you like to ask a
21 question?

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are you asking the
23 Division to do anything in response to your comments?

24 MR. TASCHNER: Not at this time. We are
25 affected by the compulsory pooling, which we understand

1 that it's got to be cleared up for funds to be released.
2 So we understand that. And we're not -- we're not
3 against drilling, but the effects that we also had is
4 that people are actually being paid out on interests
5 when we're still being pooled. So that's what we're
6 trying to get cleared up.

7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Is your interest leased?

8 MR. TASCHNER: No. No, sir. So we're just
9 trying to get on record to let it be known --

10 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, they might not
11 own in this area, but they have adjoining acreage.

12 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. So they do not
13 own -- you said they may not own.

14 MR. BRUCE: I do not believe. Otherwise,
15 we would have force pooled them. I mean, we have force
16 pooled the Gregory family in the past.

17 MR. TASCHNER: Multiple times. I think on
18 22 wells, we have been force pooled through the
19 Mewbourne Oil Company.

20 MR. BRUCE: They just wanted to get
21 their --

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Thank you.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Appreciate your time.

24 MR. TASCHNER: Thank you, guys.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Anybody else like to

1 make a statement?

2 That's good. Thank you for coming.

3 Okay. With that in mind, Case Number --

4 MR. RANKIN: Nothing further from me,

5 Mr. Examiner.

6 MR. BRUCE: I just want to find out how EOG

7 avoids signing green cards for their certified mail.

8 (Laughter.)

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: That's good.

10 So Case Number 16081 shall be taken under
11 advisement.

12 (Case Number 16081 concludes, 9:52 a.m.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 9th day of June 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
25 New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters