

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION	CASE NOS. 16350,
COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,	16351, 16352,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	16353

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

August 9, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER  
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER  
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner, Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, August 9, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.  
Post Office Box 1056  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 982-2043  
jamesbruc@aol.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTIES EOG Y RESOURCES, LLC, EOG A  
RESOURCES, LLC and EOG M RESOURCES, LLC:

ERNEST L. PADILLA, ESQ.  
PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A.  
1512 South St. Francis Drive  
Post Office Box 2523  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 988-7577  
padillalaw@qwestoffice.net

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Numbers 16350 - 16353 Called	4
4	Matador Production Company's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Cassie Hahn:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	5
8	Andrew Parker:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	15
	Cross-Examination by Examiner Lowe	22
10	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	23
11	Proceedings Conclude	25
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	26
13		
14	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
15	Matador Production Company's Exhibit Numbers 1	
	through 5D	14
16		
	Matador Production Company's Exhibit Numbers 6	
17	through 13	21
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (1:53 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER DAWSON: Now, we'll move on to  
3 Case Number 16343, number four on the list, which is  
4 application Matador -- is that okay?

5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, can we move on to  
6 case numbers 19 through 22?

7 EXAMINER DAWSON: That's what Jordan wanted  
8 to do, since you're back.

9 Case numbers 19, 20, 21 and 22 on the list,  
10 which are Case Numbers 16350, 16351, 16352 and 16353,  
11 and they all pertain to an application of Matador  
12 Production Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County,  
13 New Mexico.

14 How many witnesses do you have, Mr. Bruce?

15 MR. BRUCE: Two witnesses, Mr. Examiner.

16 EXAMINER DAWSON: Will the two witnesses  
17 stand and be sworn in by the court reporter, please?

18 MR. PADILLA: I'll enter an appearance on  
19 behalf of EOG Resources, and I have no witnesses.

20 EXAMINER DAWSON: All right.

21 (Ms. Hahn and Mr. Parker sworn.)

22 EXAMINER DAWSON: When you're ready,  
23 Mr. Bruce.

24

25

1 CASSIE HAHN,  
2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was  
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BRUCE:

6 Q. Would you please state your name, your employer  
7 and what capacity you're employed?

8 A. Sure. My name is Cassie Hahn. I work for  
9 Matador Resources Company as a landman.

10 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
11 Division?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And were your credentials as an expert  
14 petroleum landman accepted as a matter of record?

15 A. Yes, they were.

16 Q. Are you familiar with the applications filed  
17 herein?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the  
20 lands involved in these cases?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Ms. Hahn  
23 as an expert petroleum landman.

24 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?

25 MR. PADILLA: None.

1 EXAMINER DAWSON: Ms. Hahn will be admitted  
2 as an expert petroleum landman at this time.

3 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Could you identify Exhibits 1A  
4 through 1D and explain what Matador seeks in these  
5 cases?

6 A. Sure. Matador seeks to pool 260-acre standard  
7 proration units in the Bone Spring of Section 19, 23  
8 South, 35 East and also 260-acre standard proration  
9 units in the Wolfcamp Formation of Section 19, 23 South,  
10 35 East.

11 Tab A is a C-102 for the Dr. Ireland #113H.  
12 It shows a stand-up 160-acre spacing unit in the  
13 Antelope Ridge; Bone Spring Pool. This unit is going to  
14 be in the west half-east half of Section 19, 23 South,  
15 35 East.

16 Tab B is the C-102 for the Dr. Ireland Fed  
17 Com #114H. It shows a stand-up 160-acre spacing unit in  
18 the Antelope Ridge; Bone Spring Pool. And this unit is  
19 going to the east half-east half of Section 19, 23, 35  
20 East.

21 Tab C is the C-102 for the Dr. Ireland Fed  
22 Com 213. It shows a stand-up 160-acre spacing unit in  
23 the Wildcat; Wolfcamp Pool. And this unit is in the  
24 west half-east half of Section 19, 23 South, 35 East.

25 And finally, Tab D is the C-102 for the

1 Dr. Ireland Fed Com #214H. And it shows a stand-up  
2 160-acre spacing unit in the Wildcat; Wolfcamp Pool.  
3 This unit is in the east half-east half of Section 19,  
4 23 South, 35 East.

5 Q. And do you seek to pool either the Bone Spring  
6 or the Wolfcamp Formation in these four wells?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And the C-102s contain the pertinent name of  
9 the pool and the pool code as provided to you by the Oil  
10 Conservation Division?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Now, looking at the C-102s, they show setbacks  
13 330 feet from the end?

14 A. Right.

15 Q. Assuming the pooling applications are granted  
16 and the wells drilled, will they comply with the current  
17 rules of 100-foot setbacks?

18 A. Correct. Yes. We will sundry those.

19 Q. Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 2. Could you  
20 identify that and describe what types of land are  
21 involved in these applications?

22 A. Sure. This is a Midland Map showing the lease  
23 breakdown. It's two federal leases. There is one in  
24 the red, in the south half-southeast quarter, and then  
25 the other is the blue, which is the northeast quarter,

1 and then the north half of the southeast quarter.

2 Q. Are there any depth severances in the Bone  
3 Spring Formation?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And are there any depth severances in the  
6 Wolfcamp Formation?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Let's go on to Exhibit 3. What is Exhibit 3A?

9 A. So Exhibit 3 is our summary of interest of the  
10 parties we're seeking to pool. We're seeking to pool  
11 21.875 percent of uncommitted working interest owners.

12 Q. And what is Exhibit 3B?

13 A. 3B is also a summary of interests of parties  
14 we're seeking to pool in the Wolfcamp, and that is  
15 21.875 percent of uncommitted working interest owners.

16 Q. And, again, these are working interest owners.  
17 They are not unleased mineral owners, correct?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Let's move to -- before we get into it -- into  
20 your contacts, what is the current status of discussions  
21 with either EOG or OXY?

22 A. EOG, we have proposed a JOA, and we are  
23 currently working on a trade.

24 And then OXY, they are reviewing the JOA  
25 currently.



1           Q.    Well, let's go to Exhibits 4A through 4D.

2   First off, would you identify by the letters involved?

3           A.    Can you say that again?

4           Q.    Could you identify the letters?

5           A.    Sure.  So these tabs are our well proposals  
6   that we sent on February 1st, 2018.  We also included  
7   along with those an AFE and our JOA.

8           Q.    Okay.  And besides these letters, have you had  
9   numerous contacts with the parties involved in these  
10   wells?

11          A.    Yes.

12          Q.    And so at this point, you've been working on  
13   this prospect for well over six months?

14          A.    Correct.

15          Q.    Without going into great detail, could you --  
16   AFEs were included with the well proposals; is that  
17   correct?

18          A.    Yes.

19          Q.    AFEs were included?

20          A.    Yes.

21          Q.    And what are the costs of the Bone Spring wells  
22   approximately and the cost of the Wolfcamp wells?

23          A.    The Bone Spring wells are about 7.2 million  
24   each, and the Wolfcamp will be about 8 million each.

25          Q.    And are these costs fair and reasonable and in

1 line with the costs of similar Wolfcamp or Bone Spring  
2 wells drilled in this area?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And do the letters propose overhead rates for  
5 these wells?

6 A. They do.

7 Q. And what are those rates?

8 A. Those will be 7,000 a month while drilling and  
9 700 a month while producing.

10 Q. And are these costs similar to the costs  
11 proposed and used by other operators in this area?

12 A. Yes, they are.

13 Q. And are those costs also included in the  
14 proposed JOA?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And do you request that these costs be adjusted  
17 periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting  
18 procedure?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And there are no unlocatable interests; is that  
21 correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Let's turn back to Exhibit 3 for a short  
24 minute. If you turn to the second page of Exhibit 3,  
25 what is that?

1           A.    These are overriding royalty interest owners,  
2   and we noticed them as well.

3           Q.    And the overrides are the same whether you're  
4   looking at the west half-west half -- I mean -- excuse  
5   me -- the west half-west half or the east half-east half  
6   or the Wolfcamp or the Bone Spring?

7           A.    Correct.  Yes.

8           Q.    Okay.  And was notice provided to them of this  
9   hearing?

10          A.    Yes.

11          Q.    Now let's move on to Exhibit 5 regarding notice  
12   to everyone.  Was notice given to all of the working  
13   interest owners being pooled?

14          A.    Yes.

15          Q.    And is that reflected in Exhibit 5A, my notice  
16   letter?

17          A.    Yes.

18          Q.    And 5A is for the Bone Spring well, correct?

19          A.    Right.

20          Q.    And 5B is for the Wolfcamp wells?

21          A.    Correct.

22          Q.    And then is Exhibit 5C the notice letter to the  
23   overrides of all four wells?

24          A.    Yes.

25          Q.    And since this application was filed a while

1     ago, was notice given to the offsets?

2             A.     Yes.

3                     MR. BRUCE:   Mr. Examiner, I received a few  
4     green cards back, but I'm still waiting for a bunch of  
5     them.   So I'd ask that the case be continued at the end  
6     of this hearing so we can collect all of the green  
7     cards.

8                     EXAMINER DAWSON:   Okay.

9             Q.     (BY MR. BRUCE) Do you request that Matador  
10    Production Company be named operator of the wells?

11            A.     Yes.

12            Q.     And do you request the maximum cost plus 200  
13    percent risk charge in the event an interest owner goes  
14    nonconsent in the well?

15            A.     Yes.

16            Q.     In your opinion, is the granting of this  
17    application in the interest of conservation and the  
18    prevention of waste?

19            A.     Yes, it is.

20            Q.     And were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you  
21    or under your supervision?

22            A.     Yes.

23            Q.     And was Exhibit 5 compiled from company  
24    business records?

25            A.     Yes.

1                   MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the  
2 admission of Exhibits 1 through 5 -- 1 through 5D, I  
3 suppose I should say.

4                   EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objections?

5                   MR. PADILLA: No objections.

6                   EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

7                   I don't know if I got you on the record as  
8 appearing in this case.

9                   MR. PADILLA: Yes. But in light of Exhibit  
10 3, I think I need to amend my representation of EOG  
11 Resources to EOG Y Resources, LLC, EOG A Resources, LLC  
12 and EOG M Resources, LLC. I think I got an email late  
13 yesterday about that.

14                  EXAMINER DAWSON: So that will be corrected  
15 and submitted for the record.

16                  MR. PADILLA: In addition to --

17                  THE WITNESS: Yeah. These are correct.

18                  MR. PADILLA: -- I made an appearance for  
19 EOG Resources only, but in light of these LLCs, I better  
20 enter an appearance for them as well.

21                  EXAMINER DAWSON: All right. Okay.

22                  MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions of  
23 the witness.

24                  EXAMINER DAWSON: It would just be Exhibits  
25 1 through 5, correct?

1 MR. BRUCE: 1 through 5 or 1 through 5D.

2 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Any objection?

3 MR. PADILLA: No.

4 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Exhibits 1 through

5 5D will be admitted to the record. But I don't see a 5.

6 Is it A, B, C, D?

7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. They're down at the  
8 bottom.

9 EXAMINER DAWSON: That's right. That's  
10 right. They're on the bottom -- marked on the bottom of  
11 the page.

12 Okay. So those, 1 through 5D, will be  
13 admitted to the record at this time.

14 (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers  
15 1 through 5D are offered and admitted into  
16 evidence.)

17 MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions.

18 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Padilla, do you have  
19 any further questions?

20 MR. PADILLA: No, no questions.

21 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Lowe?

22 EXAMINER LOWE: No.

23 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

24 EXAMINER DAWSON: I have no questions.

25 EXAMINER BROOKS: You covered overrides. I

1     don't need to ask any questions.

2                             ANDREW PARKER,

3             after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
4             questioned and testified as follows:

5                             DIRECT EXAMINATION

6     BY MR. BRUCE:

7             **Q.     Can you please state your name and city of**  
8             **residence for the record?**

9             A.     Andrew Parker, Dallas, Texas.

10            **Q.     And who do you work for and in what capacity?**

11            A.     Matador Resources. I am a geologist.

12            **Q.     And what are your responsibilities in the**  
13            **Permian Basin of New Mexico for Matador?**

14            A.     I'm an asset geologist for southeast New Mexico  
15            in Eddy and Lea Counties.

16            **Q.     Have you previously testified before the**  
17            **Division?**

18            A.     I have.

19            **Q.     And were your credentials as an expert**  
20            **petroleum geologist accepted as a matter of record?**

21            A.     Yes, they were.

22            **Q.     And are you familiar with the applications**  
23            **filed in these cases?**

24            A.     Yes.

25            **Q.     And have you conducted a geologic study of the**

1    lands and formations which are the subject of these  
2    applications?

3           A.    Yes.

4                   MR. BRUCE:  Mr. Examiner, I tender  
5    Mr. Parker as an expert petroleum geologist.

6                   EXAMINER DAWSON:  Any objection?

7                   MR. PADILLA:  No.

8                   EXAMINER DAWSON:  Mr. Parker will be  
9    accepted as an expert petroleum geologist at this time.

10           Q.    (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Parker, let's first look at  
11   the Bone Spring.  What is Exhibit 6?

12           A.    This is an original map of the Northern  
13   Delaware Basin in southeast New Mexico showing the  
14   project areas for the Dr. Ireland wells in the yellow  
15   boxes but also highlighted within the red box.

16           Q.    What is Exhibit 7?

17           A.    This is a structure map on the top of the Bone  
18   Spring Formation with 50-foot contours.  You can see the  
19   yellow boxes highlight the project areas for Dr. Ireland  
20   113 and 114.  Those well locations are the red lines.  
21   The orange -- the orange lines are existing Bone Spring  
22   wells in the area, and there's also a cross section  
23   reference line from A to A prime, basically a  
24   south-to-north cross section.

25           Q.    Now, in looking at the existing Bone Spring



1     wells, obviously there is a strong preference for  
2     stand-up units?

3           A.     Yes.    The large majority of wells in this area  
4     are drilled north-south or south-north.

5           Q.     And those wells have apparently worked out  
6     fairly well?

7           A.     Yes.    The ones that we've drilled currently  
8     meet Matador's economic standards.

9           Q.     And looking at it from a structural basis, have  
10    you noticed any faulting or pinch-outs of the Bone  
11    Spring reservoir?

12          A.     I have not.

13          Q.     Have you noticed any geological impediments to  
14    the drilling of horizontal wells?

15          A.     No.

16          Q.     And you've got a two-well cross section marked  
17    on here.   Are those older gas wells that those logs are  
18    obtained from?

19          A.     You know, I actually don't know the production  
20    history of those wells, but they are deeper pilot holes  
21    that -- you know, that have a good set of open-hole  
22    logs.

23          Q.     And do those wells penetrate both the Bone  
24    Spring and Wolfcamp Formations?

25          A.     They do.

1           Q.    Let's move on to your Bone Spring cross  
2 section, Exhibit 7. Can you discuss that for the  
3 Examiner?

4           A.    This is a structural cross section from A to A  
5 prime, so south to north, and it's roughly parallel to  
6 the Dr. Ireland wellbores. The top is the Bone Spring  
7 top, which is also the basal Brushy Canyon, and it goes  
8 down to the Bone Spring base, which is effectively the  
9 Wolfcamp top. And highlighted in orange is the zone  
10 that we plan to target in both Dr. Ireland 113 and 114.

11          Q.    Based on this cross section, is the Bone Spring  
12 continuously -- continuous across both Bone Spring well  
13 units?

14          A.    It is.

15          Q.    And more or less of uniform thickness?

16          A.    It is.

17          Q.    And in your opinion, can the Bone Spring be  
18 efficiently and economically developed by horizontal  
19 wells?

20          A.    Yes.

21          Q.    And in your opinion from a geologic  
22 perspective, will each quarter-quarter section in each  
23 well unit contribute more or less equally to production?

24          A.    Yes.

25          Q.    What is Exhibit 9?

1           A.    This is a wellbore diagram not drawn to scale,  
2   the Dr. Ireland 113, showing the surface location with a  
3   fair amount of back build all the way out to bottom hole  
4   and showing that we are going to go for the 100-foot  
5   setbacks according to the new horizontal rules. And I  
6   believe that's it.

7           Q.    And in your opinion, as to the two Bone Spring  
8   wells, is the granting of the applications in the  
9   interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?

10          A.    Yes.

11          Q.    Let's move on to the Wolfcamp. What is Exhibit  
12   10?

13          A.    This is the same diagram of the southeast Eddy  
14   and Lea Counties, the northern part of the Delaware  
15   Basin showing the project areas for the two Dr. Ireland  
16   Wolfcamp wells.

17          Q.    What is Exhibit 11?

18          A.    This is a structure map on the top of the  
19   Wolfcamp with the same project areas, similar wellbore  
20   locations, except these are the Dr. Ireland 213 and 214.  
21   There are a few Wolfcamp producers in the area that are  
22   the orange sticks. And it's the same wells from the  
23   previous cross section, so it's the same cross section  
24   reference line. And the structure map demonstrates that  
25   there is sort of a gentle south to southeast dip in the

1 area for the Wolfcamp.

2 Q. And structurally, do you see any evidence of  
3 faulting in this area?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And do you notice any geologic features which  
6 would impair the drilling of horizontal wells?

7 A. No.

8 Q. And let's move on to the cross section again.  
9 What does that reflect?

10 A. This is, again, a structural cross section from  
11 south to north, A to A prime, going from the top of the  
12 Wolfcamp to the Wolfcamp base, highlighting the target  
13 interval in orange.

14 Q. And is the Wolfcamp continuous across both of  
15 the proposed well units?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And does the interval look relatively thick  
18 across both well units?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Can this Wolfcamp acreage be efficiently and  
21 economically developed by horizontal wells?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. In your opinion, will each quarter-quarter  
24 section in each Wolfcamp well contribute more or less  
25 equally to production?

1           A.     Yes.

2           **Q.     Finally, what is Exhibit 13?**

3           A.     Exhibits 13A and 13B are also wellbore diagrams  
4     for the Dr. Ireland 213 and 214, respectively, again  
5     with surface hole location and backfill and  
6     demonstrating that the perforations will be within the  
7     new 100-foot setbacks.

8           **Q.     In your opinion, is the granting of the**  
9     **Wolfcamp applications in the interest of conservation**  
10    **and the prevention of waste?**

11          A.     Yes.

12          **Q.     And were Exhibits 6 through 13 prepared by you**  
13    **or compiled under your supervision and direction?**

14          A.     Yes.

15                 MR. BRUCE:  Mr. Examiner, I move the  
16    admission of Exhibits 6 through 13.

17                 EXAMINER DAWSON:  Any objections?

18                 MR. PADILLA:  None.

19                 EXAMINER DAWSON:  So at this time, Exhibits  
20    6 through 13 will be admitted to the record.

21                 (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers  
22                 6 through 13 are offered and admitted into  
23                 evidence.)

24                 MR. BRUCE:  And I have no further questions  
25    of the witness.

1 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Padilla?

2 MR. PADILLA: No questions.

3 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Lowe?

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY EXAMINER LOWE:

6 Q. I've got a question on your Exhibit 8, on  
7 your -- how wide is your target interval?

8 A. Well, the -- so this is the 1st Bone Spring  
9 Sand within the Bone Spring Formation, and the gross 1st  
10 Bone Sand is, you know, 3- or 400 feet thick. The  
11 target sands in the upper portion is more -- you know,  
12 more along the lines of 80 to 100 foot thick, but we  
13 will target a 20- to 30-foot window within that sand.

14 Q. Okay. And the same question goes to your  
15 Exhibit 12 for the Wolfcamp.

16 A. So the entire Wolfcamp Formation over here is,  
17 you know, 4- to 500 feet thick. But this A Lower -- or  
18 this Wolfcamp A Upper is 100 foot thick, and we will,  
19 again, have about a 20- to 30-foot drilling window  
20 within that zone.

21 Q. Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions  
22 I've got.

23 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any questions?

24 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:

3 Q. Okay. I'm going back to your cross section on  
4 Exhibit 12 on the Wolfcamp.

5 A. Uh-huh.

6 Q. And I'm supposing -- what I want to ask is:  
7 Are you kind of targeting that red porosity streak there  
8 at the top of the Wolfcamp, probably about 30 -- I don't  
9 know -- maybe 30 feet down from the -- where you've  
10 marked the top of the zone there?

11 A. We will actually get a little bit lower than  
12 that. There's a little bit more of an organic-rich zone  
13 within that overall window. So I think the porosity  
14 that you're looking at is probably a sandier target, but  
15 we will -- you know, we will land closer to 100 feet  
16 below the base of the 3rd Bone Spring or the Wolfcamp  
17 top. So we'll get further away from that than that.

18 Q. You'll get further away from the 3rd Bone  
19 Spring, so you don't expect any communication with the  
20 3rd Bone Spring?

21 A. No, we do not.

22 Q. Okay. And then your -- what -- on the Bone  
23 Spring, which Bone Spring bench is that?

24 A. This is the uppermost 1st Bone Spring Sand.

25 Q. 1st Bone Spring Sand?

1           A.    Uh-huh.

2           **Q.    Has there been any east-west wells drilled in**  
3 **that area that you know of?**

4           A.    Not in the immediate area.  I mean, within --  
5 within a couple of miles, I believe, the answer to that  
6 is no.  If you go northeast another three to five miles,  
7 there are a couple of east-west wells that are very poor  
8 performers from Avalon through, you know, I think Upper  
9 Wolfcamp, a handful.

10          **Q.    They're not intersecting the fractures like**  
11 **these north-south wells are, in your opinion?**

12          A.    No.  No.  It's very well established that in  
13 the majority of Lea County, the maximum stress direction  
14 is east-west, and you have to go perpendicular to that.

15          **Q.    Okay.  On the -- I notice there are some, like,**  
16 **mile-and-a-half wells over to the -- roughly two miles**  
17 **east of you, mile and a half --**

18          A.    Uh-huh.

19          **Q.    -- east of you.  How do those mile-and-a-half**  
20 **horizontal wells -- how do they perform versus a mile**  
21 **horizontal well?**

22          A.    Most of those are relatively new wells.  I  
23 believe the majority of them are actually drilled by  
24 EOG.  The best one is one there called the Beowulf, and  
25 it's a good well.  I mean, it's something that Matador



1 would drill and repeat. But, you know, the mile wells  
2 do well, as do the mile-and-a-half wells. So --

3 Q. That's all the questions I have. Thank you  
4 very much.

5 A. Thank you.

6 EXAMINER DAWSON: And so you're requesting  
7 that this case be continued to August 23rd for  
8 notification purposes?

9 MR. BRUCE: That is correct.

10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

11 No objection to that?

12 MR. PADILLA: No.

13 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. So these cases,  
14 16350, 16351, 16352 and 16353, will be continued to  
15 August 23rd for notice purposes.

16 Thank you.

17 (Case Numbers 16350, 16351, 16352 and 16353  
18 conclude, 2:18 p.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO  
3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 16th day of August 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
24 Certified Court Reporter  
New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
25