## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

## ORIGINAL

APPLICATION OF HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY	CASE NOs.	16367,
FOR AN EXCEPTION TO THE WELL DENSITY		16368,
REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIAL RULES AND		16369,
REGULATIONS OF THE BLANCO-MESAVERDE		16370,
GAS POOL, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.		16402

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

September 6, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, September 6, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY:	
3	ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.	
4	HOLLAND & HART, LLC 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
6	agrankin@hollandhart.com	
7		
8	INDEX	
9		PAGE
10	Case Numbers 16367, 16368, 16369, 16370 and 16402 Called	3
11	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
12	Proceedings Conclude	10
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	11
14	<b>.</b>	
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Letters A through C in each case	; 9
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (3:42 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to call Cases
- 3 16367 through 16370 and Case 16402, application of
- 4 Hilcorp Energy Company for an exception to the well
- 5 density requirements of the special pool rules and
- 6 regulations of the Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool, San Juan
- 7 County, New Mexico.
- 8 Call for appearances.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin on
- 10 behalf of Hilcorp Energy Company. I'd like to present
- 11 these five cases by affidavit.
- 12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. I need another
- 13 set of these.
- MR. RANKIN: Another set?
- 15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I need another set to
- 16 give to Will.
- MR. RANKIN: Gotcha.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have an extra set to
- 19 give to Will. I throw all this stuff in the trash.
- 20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. We don't need
- 21 it.
- 22 Any other appearances?
- 23 Please proceed.
- 24 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, if it is
- 25 acceptable to you, I would propose, rather than walk

- 1 through each of these ten affidavits for these five
- 2 cases, that I present just one case by affidavit, and
- 3 then after that, as that the others be accepted into the
- 4 record, since they're all very similar in terms of what
- 5 the evidence is for each one. Otherwise, I can walk
- 6 through each one.
- 7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Let's not walk through
- 8 each one because it's kind of the same thing over and
- 9 over.
- 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes. I think one
- 11 presentation is better than five.
- 12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I need 370 and 402.
- MR. RANKIN: Starting with the first case,
- 14 Mr. Examiner, Case Number 16367, which involves the
- 15 application for the simultaneous dedication of the Davis
- 16 011 well, in each of these cases Mr. Examiner, there are
- 17 three affidavits, one by the landman, Mr. Brad Pearson,
- 18 which is marked as Exhibit A in each of these five
- 19 cases.
- The second exhibit, Exhibit B, is the
- 21 attorney's affidavit prepared by myself reflecting that
- 22 we have given notice to all the affected parties
- 23 identified by Hilcorp, as well as published notice in a
- 24 newspaper of record identifying each of the parties by
- 25 name.

1 Exhibit C is a copy of the affidavit

- 2 prepared by the engineer with responsibility for these
- 3 cases, Mr. James Osborn, and he's prepared a suite of
- 4 exhibits, C1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, reflecting his analysis on
- 5 the drainage issues in that reservoir.
- 6 Walking through briefly this first case,
- 7 Case Number 16367, in each of these cases, Hilcorp is
- 8 seeking an exception to the special pool rules for the
- 9 Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool. Under those special pool
- 10 rules, well density is limited to four wells for
- 11 standard -- per standard 320-acre spacing unit, where
- 12 the rule requires there be no more than two wells per
- 13 quarter section in each spacing unit. In these cases,
- 14 Hilcorp is seeking an exception to those density
- 15 requirements, either a third well in a quarter section
- or a fifth well in the spacing unit. In one case,
- 17 Hilcorp is seeking a sixth well in that spacing unit.
- In this case, Number 16367, the first
- 19 exhibit is Mr. Brad Pearson's affidavit, as I mentioned,
- 20 Exhibit A. Mr. Pearson reviews what Hilcorp is seeking
- 21 in that case, identifies the spacing unit at issue and
- 22 identifies the well -- an additional well that Hilcorp
- 23 is seeking to recomplete in that spacing unit.
- He's also identified the notice area in the
- 25 attached exhibit, Number 2 -- I'm sorry -- A2, where he

1 identifies the spacing unit at issue, the proposed well

- 2 that they're seeking to recomplete within that spacing
- 3 unit within the Mesaverde Formation, as well as the
- 4 notice area, identifying the area in which they give
- 5 notice, which is all the offsetting 320-acre spacing
- 6 units.
- 7 Exhibit B is the attorney affidavit
- 8 prepared by myself, along with the notice letters that
- 9 were sent out to each of the parties that Hilcorp
- 10 identified in the offsetting 320-acre spacing units. In
- 11 this case, there was only one party to be noticed, one
- 12 working interest, and that was the Robert Umbach Cancer
- 13 Foundation. And the subsequent pages behind my
- 14 affidavit are copies of the proof of notice, proof of
- 15 receipts and delivery of notice, as well as a copy of
- 16 the Affidavit of Publication that was published in the
- 17 "Farmington Daily Times."
- 18 Exhibit C is an affidavit that was prepared
- 19 by Hilcorp's engineer, Mr. James Osborn. Mr. Osborn
- 20 prepared a volumetric analysis of the spacing unit and
- 21 the land around the spacing unit establishing that there
- 22 is unrecovered reserves that are not being drained by
- 23 the existing well-density spacing pattern under the
- 24 special pool rules.
- In this application, Hilcorp is seeking

- 1 a -- there are four existing wells in the spacing unit,
- 2 and Hilcorp is seeking a fifth well exceeding the
- 3 density requirements from the special pool rules. So
- 4 there would be five wells in a spacing unit, three wells
- 5 in a quarter section, and, in this case, two wells in
- 6 one quarter-quarter section.
- 7 Mr. Osborn analyzes through his volumetric
- 8 analysis and identifies that there are unrecovered
- 9 reserves based on the comparison of the original gas in
- 10 place against the cumulative gas produced to date and
- 11 their estimate of -- of -- estimate of -- of future
- 12 production.
- His analysis is depicted in Exhibits C1, 2,
- 14 3, 4 and 5, where he shows that the recovery factor in
- 15 the spacing unit is approximately 30 percent where, as
- 16 he testifies in his affidavit, the recovery factors in a
- pool of this type ought to be closer to 70 to 80
- 18 percent. Therefore, there are reserves that are
- 19 remaining that will remain unrecovered unless they are
- 20 able to increase the well density. So he's asking here
- 21 for the approval to drill this additional well.
- 22 Each of the other cases contains a similar
- 23 analysis, identifies the same offsetting notice -- not
- 24 the same offsetting notice parties, but identifies the
- 25 same process for identifying offsetting notice parties.

1 And the engineer goes through the same volumetric

- 2 analysis in each of these cases.
- We understand the Division has requested
- 4 that we submit a supplemental exhibit, essentially an
- 5 Excel spreadsheet, identifying the information that the
- 6 Division has requested for each of these cases, and
- 7 we'll do that to make it easier for the Division to
- 8 enter orders.
- 9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And will you send it to
- 10 Will Jones?
- MR. RANKIN: I will send it to Mr. Jones.
- 12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes.
- MR. RANKIN: And with that, Mr. Examiner, I
- 14 would ask that Exhibits A, B and C in Cases 16367
- 15 through 16370 and Case Number 16402 be admitted into the
- 16 record.
- Mr. Examiner, before I do that, I will
- 18 point out -- I'm sorry for not doing this. Mr. Brad
- 19 Pearson is a landman, and he and Mr. James Osborn have
- 20 previously been recognized by the Division as experts in
- 21 petroleum land matters and petroleum reservoir
- 22 engineering, respectively. So I would ask that they
- 23 both be retendered as experts in each of these cases.
- 24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.
- MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I'd

- 1 ask that these exhibits be entered into the record in
- 2 each of these cases separately.
- 3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits A, B and C in
- 4 16367 and through 16370 and Case 16402 shall be accepted
- 5 as part of the record.
- 6 (Hilcorp Energy Company Exhibit Letters A,
- 7 B and C are offered and admitted into
- 8 evidence.)
- 9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Were there any
- 10 unlocatable interests?
- MR. RANKIN: No, Mr. Examiner, no
- 12 unlocatable. Each of the parties received notice, with
- 13 the exception of BP. Their notice was not picked up by
- 14 receipt. They did not sign for it.
- But in each case, all the parties -- there
- 16 were no unlocatable interests. All the parties are
- 17 known. All the parties have known addresses. So they
- 18 were -- that's all covered in the affidavit.
- 19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Did they have
- 20 discussions with BP?
- 21 MR. RANKIN: Not for these. I don't
- 22 believe they have had discussions specific to the
- 23 individual case, but BP did not protest any of these
- 24 cases.
- 25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: That's really --

Page 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: I thought BP sold out. MR. RANKIN: They're in the process. Yeah. EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't have any questions. EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Then Cases 16367 and through 16370 and 16402 shall be taken under advisement. MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. (Case Numbers 16367, 16368, 16369, 16370 and 16402 conclude, 3:53 p.m.) 

	Page 11
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6	Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7	and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8	that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9	stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10	a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11	were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12	ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19	the final disposition of this case.
20	DATED THIS 28th day of September 2018.
21	Mary C. Hankers
22	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
23	Certified Court Reporter
24	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
25	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters