		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT CAZA PETROLEUM, LLC:	
3	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 982-2043	
5	jamesbruc@aol.com	
6	FOR INTERESTED PARTIES MRC DELAWARE RESOURCES, LLC, EXPLORER RESOURCES, LLC and MRC SPIRAL RESOURCES, 1	
7	JULIA BROGGI, ESQ.	
8	HOLLAND & HART, LLP 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
9	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
10	jbroggi@hollandhart.com	
11		
12	INDEX	
13		PAGE
14	Case Number 16360 Called	3
15	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
16	Proceedings Conclude	9
17	Certificate of Court Reporter	10
18		
19		
20	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
21	Caza Petroleum, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	7
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		

- 1 (3:06 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case 16360, application
- 3 of Caza Petroleum LLC for compulsory pooling, Eddy
- 4 County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 7 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I'm doing this
- 8 case by affidavit.
- 9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
- 10 MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi, with Holland &
- 11 Hart, on behalf of MRC Delaware Resources, LLC and MRC
- 12 Explorer Resources, LLC and MRC Spiral Resources, LLC.
- 13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And does Matador, et.
- 14 al., have any objections to --
- MS. BROGGI: No, no objections.
- 16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead. Please
- 17 proceed.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've submitted
- 19 three exhibits to you. Exhibit 1 is the affidavit of
- 20 John Brown, the landman for Caza who was previously
- 21 qualified by the Division as an expert petroleum
- 22 landman.
- The purpose of this case is to force pool
- 24 interest owners into a 640-acre horizontal spacing unit
- 25 in the Wolfcamp Formation, the Purple Sage; Wolfcamp Gas

- 1 Pool. The unit is the north half of 22 and the north
- 2 half of 21, 23 South, 27 East. And the subject well is
- 3 the Forehand Ranch, 22-21 State Well #2H. The first
- 4 take point is in the southeast quarter-northeast quarter
- of 22, and the last take point is in the
- 6 southwest-northwest of Section 21.
- If you'll go to the end of the landman's
- 8 affidavit, you'll see an approved APD for this well,
- 9 which gives the API number, which is 44621. Also
- 10 attached is a C-102, which was prepared late last year.
- 11 For some reason, someone showed that the well unit was
- 12 320 acres being comprised of 40-acre tracts. It's
- 13 actually four quarter sections. And this well was
- 14 before the pool rules, so we will have to submit a new
- 15 C-102 showing that they will the use the 100-foot
- 16 setbacks. This well is proposed only. There are no
- 17 depth severances in the Wolfcamp.
- 18 Attachment B to the exhibit lists all of
- 19 the interest owners in the well and their interests.
- 20 There were no unlocatable owners. At this point, Caza
- 21 seeks to force pool everyone except itself, of course.
- 22 Attachment C is the proposal letter which
- 23 was sent to all of the interest owners.
- 24 And Attachment D is the APD -- or excuse
- 25 me -- the AFE for the well, which shows an approximate

- 1 cost of \$13.3 million.
- 2 Caza has the right to pool the overriding
- 3 royalty owners in the well unit. The AFE amount is
- 4 stated to be fair and reasonable, comparable to the cost
- of other wells of this type in Eddy County. They are
- 6 requesting 7,500 and 750 a month for overhead rates as
- 7 adjusted by the COPAS accounting procedure. Caza
- 8 requests a cost plus 200 percent risk charge and that
- 9 Caza Operating, LLC be named operator of the well.
- 10 That's their operating entity.
- 11 Exhibit 2 is my Affidavit of Notice even
- 12 though -- the first letter is the notice to the interest
- 13 owners. I did not receive a couple of green cards back,
- 14 and a couple of letters were returned to me even though
- 15 Caza states in its affidavit that they have never
- 16 received -- that all interest owners were locatable.
- But Exhibit 3 is the Affidavit of
- 18 Publication, and those who did not receive green cards
- 19 received constructive notice. There are additional
- 20 letters besides the original letter of notice. One, we
- 21 notified the Land Office just as a courtesy notice. And
- then a subsequent notice went out to COG Operating, and
- 23 they received -- they returned the green card. And then
- 24 a subsequent notice also went out to Matador Delaware
- 25 Resources, and all the Matador entities did return their

- 1 green cards.
- 2 Exhibit 4 is the affidavit of Jordan
- 3 Carrell, the geologist, also previously qualified as an
- 4 expert by the Division. A structure map is attached as
- 5 Attachment A, outlining the well unit, and it also
- 6 identifies a couple of cross sections. The structure
- 7 dips slightly to the east. They didn't quite comply
- 8 with your 25-foot thing, but it's close, 40 feet.
- 9 Attachment B is an isopach of the Wolfcamp
- 10 A, the Upper Wolfcamp, showing that the proposed well
- 11 path is pretty similar, right around 350 to 380 feet of
- 12 gross thickness.
- 13 Attachment C is a cross section of the
- 14 well. Again, the Wolfcamp -- it shows the Wolfcamp
- 15 target in the middle, between the top of the Wolfcamp
- 16 and the Wolfcamp B. Each quarter section in the unit
- 17 will contribute more or less equally to production, and
- 18 the target zone is continuous across the well unit, and
- 19 there is no faulting.
- The geologist states in his affidavit that
- 21 in this area, as in surrounding townships, Wolfcamp
- 22 wells are drilled as both stand-up and lay-down units,
- 23 and Caza sees no difference in the production quality
- 24 from either a stand-up or a lay-down.
- 25 And then Attachment D shows the proposed

- 1 wellbore sketch and the footages. Again, we will have
- 2 to correct the footages since they are now going to have
- 3 100-foot offsets at the end -- at the beginning and end
- 4 of the unit.
- 5 Both affidavits state that the granting of
- 6 this application is in the interest of conservation and
- 7 the prevention of waste.
- And I would move the admission of Exhibits
- 9 1 through 4 and ask that the case be taken under
- 10 advisement.
- MS. BROGGI: No objection.
- 12 (Caza Petroleum, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 4 are offered into evidence.)
- 14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Are there any
- 15 depth severances?
- MR. BRUCE: No.
- 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. I don't agree
- 18 with your statement about the 100 foot. I thought there
- 19 was -- I thought the Purple Sage had 330.
- MR. BRUCE: Oh, that's right. It is 330.
- 21 Yeah. Sorry.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes, that is true. I had
- 23 not picked up on the fact that it was Purple Sage.
- MR. BRUCE: That's right. It is 330.
- 25 But I'd ask that the case be taken under

- 1 advisement.
- 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: With regard to the people
- 3 that you got letters returned from and that you served
- 4 by publication, did the landman, in covering his
- 5 affidavit, do his diligence to obtain --
- 6 MR. BRUCE: In Subparagraph 2E, he states
- 7 that a proposal letter was sent to the interest owners,
- 8 that they made numerous phone calls, they talked with
- 9 everyone. Everyone received their correspondence. The
- 10 only person they haven't phone calls with or any
- 11 correspondence with was Haycor, Inc., which hasn't
- 12 responded. But I did get a green card back from Haycor.
- 13 But Caza had contacts with every other interest owner
- 14 other than Haycor.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Including those
- 16 whose --
- MR. BRUCE: Envelopes were returned.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: -- were returned?
- I don't know that we follow a consistent
- 20 policy on that, when you get notice letters back. So
- 21 I'm not going to make you redo this one for that, but in
- 22 the future, I'd like to get -- I want to get everybody
- on board with having something in the record to show
- 24 there was due diligence to find better addresses if
- 25 there is -- now, if they get it back and it doesn't

25

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters