

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC	CASE NO. 16458,
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,	16459,
NEW MEXICO.	16460,
	16461

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

October 18, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER  
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 18, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1 APPEARANCES

2 FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:

3 JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.  
 4 HOLLAND & HART, LLP  
 5 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
 6 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
 7 (505) 988-4421  
 8 jlkessler@hollandhart.com

8 INDEX

9		PAGE
10	Case Numbers 16458, 16459, 16460 and 16461 Called	3
11	COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
12	Witnesses:	
13	Grant Bohls:	
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	4
15	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	15
16	Johnny Bertalott:	
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	19
18	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	21
19	Proceedings Conclude	25
20	Certificate of Court Reporter	26

22 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

23	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 10	14
24	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 11 through 14	23

25

1 (9:07 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Cases 16458,  
3 16459, 16460 and 16461. All of these are styled the  
4 application of COG Operating, LLC for compulsory pooling  
5 in Lea County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, Jordan  
8 Kessler, from the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on  
9 behalf of the Applicant.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

11 I'm happy that you have styled these just  
12 as compulsory pooling. Is there a reason for dropping  
13 the -- you're no longer worried about the stay on the  
14 horizontal well rule?

15 MS. KESSLER: That's right. We've made the  
16 decision in-house that we're comfortable moving forward  
17 with that, so just compulsory pooling.

18 EXAMINER JONES: It helps us on our orders,  
19 since we get confused.

20 MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today,  
21 Mr. Examiner.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Will the witnesses  
23 please stand?

24 (Mr. Bohls and Mr. Bertalott sworn.)

25

1 GRANT BOHLS,  
2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was  
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. KESSLER:

6 Q. Please state your name for the record and tell  
7 the examiners by whom you're employed and in what  
8 capacity.

9 A. My name is Grant Bohls. I'm a landman at COG  
10 Operating.

11 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
12 Division?

13 A. I have not.

14 Q. Can you please review your educational  
15 background?

16 A. I graduated from the University of Tulsa with  
17 an Energy Management degree.

18 Q. And what has been your work history since that  
19 time?

20 A. I've been working with COG Operating since June  
21 of 2017.

22 Q. Has your experience and area of  
23 responsibilities included the Permian Basin?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Are you a member of any professional

1     **associations?**

2           A.     I'm a member of AAPL and PBLA.

3           **Q.     Are you familiar with the applications that**  
4     **have been filed in these consolidated cases?**

5           A.     Yes.

6           **Q.     And are you familiar with the status of the**  
7     **lands in the subject area?**

8           A.     Yes.

9                     MS. KESSLER:  Mr. Examiners, I would tender  
10    Mr. Bohls as an expert in petroleum land matters.

11                    EXAMINER JONES:  So Bohls, B-O --

12                    THE WITNESS:  H-L-S.

13                    EXAMINER JONES:  Okay.  Now, you said  
14    AAP --

15                    THE WITNESS:  AAPL.

16                    EXAMINER JONES:  And what's the next one?

17                    THE WITNESS:  PBLA.

18                    EXAMINER JONES:  Okay.  He's so qualified.  
19                    Thank you.

20           **Q.     (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Bohls, what is Concho**  
21     **seeking under these consolidated applications?**

22           A.     COG is seeking to compulsory pool the Bone  
23    Spring Formation underlying the four 320-acre horizontal  
24    spacing units.

25           **Q.     And for three of those spacing units, is COG**

1     also seeking to dedicate two initial wells?

2           A.     Yes.

3           Q.     Let's turn to Exhibit 1. Does this include the  
4     approved APDs for all seven of the subject wells?

5           A.     Yes.

6           Q.     Let's walk through each one of these. Is the  
7     first page of this exhibit the approved APD for the 501H  
8     well?

9           A.     Yes, it is.

10          Q.     What is the spacing unit for this well?

11          A.     It's the east half-east half of Sections 15 and  
12     22, in township 21 South, Range 33 East.

13          Q.     That's a 320-acre spacing unit?

14          A.     Correct.

15          Q.     All right. Are pages 2 and 3 of this exhibit  
16     the C-102s for the 502H and the 503H wells?

17          A.     Yes.

18          Q.     What is the spacing unit for these two wells?

19          A.     The west half-east half of Sections 15 and 22.

20          Q.     All right. The fourth and fifth pages of this  
21     exhibit, are these the C-102s for the 504H and 505H  
22     wells?

23          A.     Yes.

24          Q.     What's the spacing?

25          A.     The east half-west half of Sections 15 and 22.

1           Q.    All right.  And the final two pages of this  
2   exhibit, are these the C-102s for the 506H and 507H  
3   wells?

4           A.    Yes.

5           Q.    What's the spacing unit?

6           A.    The west half-west half of Sections 15 and 22.

7           Q.    Does each of these C-102s provide the API  
8   number for the subject well?

9           A.    It does.

10          Q.    And has the Division identified a pool and pool  
11   code covering the entire area?

12          A.    Yes, wildcat Bone Spring pool, Pool Code 97895.

13          Q.    And that's reflected on each of the C-102s?

14          A.    Yes, ma'am.

15          Q.    Will the completed interval for each of these  
16   wells comply with the setback requirements for oil  
17   wells?

18          A.    Yes.

19          Q.    And what type of land covers the subject area?

20          A.    The state leases.

21          Q.    Are there any depth severances in this wildcat  
22   Bone Spring pool?

23          A.    There are not.

24          Q.    Let's turn to Exhibit 2.  Is this a lease tract  
25   map covering the east half-east half spacing unit?

1           A.    Yes, ma'am.

2           Q.    This shows COG's interest in the spacing unit  
3   and also the parties that you seek to pool; is that  
4   correct?

5           A.    Yes.

6           Q.    Now, is the east half-east half ownership of  
7   Sections 15 and 22 different from the remainder of the  
8   two sections?

9           A.    Yes, it is.

10          Q.    What type of interest owners do you seek to  
11   pool?

12          A.    The working interest owners and overriding  
13   royalty interest owners.

14          Q.    You've highlighted the working interest owners  
15   on the first page of Exhibit 2 in yellow; is that  
16   correct?

17          A.    Yes.

18          Q.    Now, let's turn to the second page of Exhibit  
19   2. Does this show the overriding royalty interest  
20   owners that you seek to pool?

21          A.    It does.

22          Q.    Exhibit 3 is a lease tract map covering the  
23   west half of Sections 15 and 22 and the west-east half  
24   of those sections, correct?

25          A.    Correct.



1           Q.    Does this show the interest owners that you  
2 seek to pool for those spacing units?

3           A.    Yes, it does.

4           Q.    What is the difference between Exhibits 2 and  
5 3?

6           A.    Centennial Resources is in east half-east half  
7 but not in the remainder of the sections -- section.

8           Q.    And you seek to pool working interest owners,  
9 as reflected on Exhibit 3?

10          A.    Yes.

11          Q.    And the second page of this exhibit is the  
12 overriding royalty interest owners that you also seek to  
13 pool?

14          A.    Yes.

15          Q.    Is Exhibit 4 a copy of the well-proposal letter  
16 that you sent for the 501H well?

17          A.    It is.

18          Q.    Again, that's the east half-east half spacing  
19 unit, correct?

20          A.    It is.

21          Q.    Does Exhibit 5 show the well-proposal letters  
22 that you sent for the west half-east half spacing unit?

23          A.    Yes.

24          Q.    That's wells -- the 502 and 503H wells?

25          A.    Correct.

1           Q.    Does Exhibit 6 include the well-proposal  
2   letters that you sent for the east half-west half  
3   spacing unit?

4           A.    Yes.

5           Q.    That's the 504H and 505H wells?

6           A.    Correct.

7           Q.    And finally, the west half-west half  
8   well-proposal letters are included as Exhibit 7; is that  
9   correct?

10          A.    That is correct.

11          Q.    And that has the 506H and 507H wells?

12          A.    Correct.

13          Q.    Were all of these letters sent on May 29th of  
14   2018?

15          A.    Yes.

16          Q.    And these are just sample letters, but a  
17   similar well-proposal letter was sent to all of the  
18   working interest owners, correct?

19          A.    Yes.

20          Q.    Did each of the well-proposal letters include  
21   an AFE?

22          A.    Yes.

23          Q.    In addition to sending these well-proposal  
24   letters, what other efforts did you undertake to reach  
25   an agreement with the parties, the working interest

1     **owners, that you seek to pool?**

2           A.     In addition to this, I sent JOAs and com  
3     agreements, as well as follow-up emails and phone calls.

4           **Q.     So each of the working interest owners, first**  
5     **of all, was locatable; is that correct?**

6           A.     One of the overriding royalty interest owners  
7     was not locatable.

8           **Q.     But the working interest owners were all --**

9           A.     Working interests, yes.

10          **Q.     And for each of those working interests owners,**  
11     **you sent a well-proposal letter, you sent a filled-out**  
12     **JOA --**

13          A.     Correct.

14          **Q.     -- and you followed up with phone calls and**  
15     **emails; is that correct?**

16          A.     Yes.

17          **Q.     And have you heard back from one of those**  
18     **companies?**

19          A.     Yes. Allar responded, indicating they would  
20     participate under the pooling agreement.

21          **Q.     Okay. So they're not objecting to the pooling**  
22     **hearing?**

23          A.     No.

24          **Q.     You simply haven't heard back from the other**  
25     **companies?**

1           A.     Correct.

2           Q.     Let's look at the AFEs that you sent with these  
3 well-proposal letters. Are the costs on those AFEs  
4 consistent with what COG and other operators have  
5 incurred for drilling similar Bone Spring wells in this  
6 area?

7           A.     Yes.

8           Q.     And do the well-proposal letters identify  
9 overhead and administrative costs for drilling and  
10 producing?

11          A.     Yes, they do.

12          Q.     What are those costs?

13          A.     7,000 a month for drilling and 700 a month for  
14 producing.

15          Q.     And are those in line with what other operators  
16 in the area charge for similar wells?

17          A.     Yes, it is.

18          Q.     Do you ask that those costs be incorporated  
19 into any order resulting from this hearing?

20          A.     Yes.

21          Q.     And do you also ask that they be periodically  
22 adjusted in accordance with COPAS accounting procedures?

23          A.     Yes.

24          Q.     With respect to the uncommitted working  
25 interest owners, do you request that the Division impose

1 a 200 percent risk penalty?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Is Exhibit 8 a sample ratification that you  
4 sent to the overriding royalty interest owners?

5 A. It is.

6 Q. And a similar letter was sent for all of the  
7 spacing units and was sent to all of the overriding  
8 royalty interest owners, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You mentioned that all of the working interest  
11 owners were locatable. Did you receive a returned  
12 undeliverable letter to an overriding royalty interest  
13 owner?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And did you undertake a diligent effort to find  
16 a good address for that overriding royalty interest  
17 owner?

18 A. Yes, I did.

19 Q. Was notice published to them?

20 A. It was.

21 Q. Is Exhibit 9 an affidavit prepared by my office  
22 with attached letters providing notice to the parties  
23 that you seek to pool for each of these hearings?

24 A. Yes, it is.

25 Q. And does Exhibit 10 include a Notice of

1     **Publication -- Affidavit of Publication for each of the**  
2     **cases?**

3             A.     Yes.

4             **Q.     And the unlocatable overriding royalty interest**  
5     **owner was Charis Royalty F, LP; is that correct?**

6             A.     Correct.

7             **Q.     Were Exhibits 1 through 8 prepared by you or**  
8     **compiled under your direction and supervision?**

9             A.     Yes.

10                   MS. KESSLER:   Mr. Examiners, I'd move  
11     admission of Exhibits 1 through 10, which includes  
12     notice affidavits.

13                   EXAMINER JONES:   Exhibits 1 through 10 are  
14     admitted.

15                   (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1  
16                   through 10 are offered and admitted into  
17                   evidence.)

18                   EXAMINER BROOKS:   I think everything's been  
19     covered.   A lot of leading questions, too, but we --

20                   EXAMINER JONES:   They've got a real  
21     witness, so --

22                   EXAMINER BROOKS:   Yeah.   That's one step in  
23     the right direction.

24

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER JONES:

3 Q. So these are two-mile -- two-mile wells in the  
4 potash area?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And it looks like ownership is pretty uniform  
7 in the east half-east half, but the rest of the sections  
8 are uniform together also?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So somehow Centennial got into the mix?

11 A. Yes. There is a previous well that they have  
12 an interest in --

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. -- in that section.

15 Q. And is that the reason for only drilling one  
16 well -- it's a proposed well in the east half-east half?

17 A. Just because of the interest with Centennial, I  
18 think.

19 Q. So you can always drill more wells, but you'll  
20 drill them as infills?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Okay. So where is the Centennial well? Do  
23 they actually operate a well?

24 A. It's a COG well that goes from Sections 27 and  
25 15 into that east half-east half of 22.

1 Q. Of just 22 only?

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 Q. So it doesn't extend up into Section 15?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. Is it also in the Bone Spring?

6 A. I do not remember.

7 Q. Well, we have overlapping spacing units  
8 nowadays, so --

9 This Charis, I think that guy -- maybe I'm  
10 thinking of a different person, a big royalty owner that  
11 came up here a ways back. But it looks like you're  
12 delivering -- or you want them to deliver 80 percent  
13 NRIs on your leases -- proposed leases. So that's --  
14 that looks to be kind of standard here for COG.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay.

17 EXAMINER BROOKS: He's tougher than most  
18 people.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Yeah. Well, I don't know  
20 how you would make your economics work at 75 percent  
21 NRI, but I guess it all depends on the prices and the  
22 revenue -- and the production coming in.

23 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) The Huckleberry, is that  
24 somebody's name out there?

25 A. It's part of a general berry theme going on.



1 (Laughter.)

2 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) Okay. Okay. But these --  
3 so you're only proposing one in the east half-east half,  
4 but each of the other three, you're including two  
5 proposed wells?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So those would work by -- you would send both  
8 proposal letters out and hope they signed both of them?

9 A. Yes. Seven proposals for each one.

10 Q. Mr. Brooks keeps telling me they have to send  
11 out separate letters for every well.

12 A. Yes. We do that.

13 Q. So that's interesting.

14 And you're also spudding down in Section  
15 27. What was the reason for that?

16 A. I don't know the specifics of why we went off  
17 lease there.

18 Q. And Allar, they want to participate under the  
19 pooling order. So they want to be pooled? They don't  
20 want to just join the well?

21 A. That's what we've taken from the phone calls,  
22 so --

23 Q. Okay. So that's -- do you have anything in  
24 evidence here that says that that's what they want to  
25 do, or -- you presented evidence saying that, so that's

1     good enough.   So -- okay.

2                     I think we have wells permitted already.

3     So are these locations going to be -- any changing of  
4     locations?   In other words, we can roll with it right  
5     the way it is right here?

6                     MS. KESSLER:   That's correct, Mr. Examiner.

7             Q.     (BY EXAMINER JONES) And what's your time frame?

8             A.     We're looking to spud the first quarter of  
9     2019.

10            Q.     Really?

11            A.     Uh-huh.

12            Q.     Okay.   Okay (laughter).

13                    MS. KESSLER:   You're welcome.

14            Q.     (BY EXAMINER JONES) Okay.   Well, you've got the  
15     wells permitted, and they're all state wells.

16            A.     Yes, sir.

17            Q.     There must be leases and terms involved that  
18     are going to be affected here, but -- that sounds  
19     like --

20                    EXAMINER JONES:   David, do you have  
21     anything else?

22                    EXAMINER BROOKS:   Nothing further.

23                    EXAMINER JONES:   Thanks for coming up.

24                    MS. KESSLER:   We'll call our next witness,  
25     please.

1 JOHNNY BERTALOTT,  
2 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. KESSLER:

6 Q. Would you please state your name for the record  
7 and tell the examiners by whom you're employed and in  
8 what capacity?

9 A. My name is John Bertalott, and I work with COG  
10 Operating as a geologist.

11 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
12 Division?

13 A. Yes, I have.

14 Q. Were your credentials as an expert in petroleum  
15 geology accepted and made a matter of record?

16 A. Yes, they were.

17 Q. Are you familiar with the applications filed in  
18 these consolidated cases?

19 A. I am.

20 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the  
21 Bone Spring in the subject area?

22 A. I have.

23 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender  
24 Mr. Bertalott as an expert in petroleum geology.

25 EXAMINER JONES: He's so qualified.

1                   Your name is on the pre-hearing statement?

2                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.

3           **Q.     (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Bertalott, please turn to**  
4 **Exhibit 11. What is the targeted interval for these**  
5 **wells?**

6           A.     The targeted interval is the 2nd Bone Spring  
7 Sand. We've identified through our geologic assessment  
8 an upper and lower target in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand.

9           **Q.     So there are two separate targets in the Bone**  
10 **Spring?**

11          A.     Yes.

12          **Q.     Have you prepared a structure map and cross**  
13 **section of the target intervals for the examiners?**

14          A.     Yes, I have.

15          **Q.     And is Exhibit 11 simply a locator map?**

16          A.     It's a locator map showing the location of the  
17 Huckleberry project going from Section 22 up into  
18 Section 15. It's identifying the surface-hole locations  
19 to the south, drilling south to north, and the  
20 Huckleberry State 501H from east to west to the 507H.  
21 The solid dashed lines in orange on that map are  
22 existing 2nd Bone Spring Sand producers in the area.

23          **Q.     This shows an existing well in the Bone Spring**  
24 **in Section 22; is that correct?**

25          A.     That is correct.

1           **Q.   Exhibit 12 is your structure map of the Bone**  
2           **Spring in the area?**

3           A.   Yes, ma'am.  It is a structure map of the 2nd  
4           Bone Spring Sand at a contour interval of 25 feet.  What  
5           we see is we'll be drilling slightly updip from south to  
6           north, looking at the contour map.  You can see that  
7           there are red crosshairs identifying the data points  
8           that went into the construction of the map with the  
9           associated data point at the top of the 2nd Bone Spring  
10          Sand there.

11          **Q.   And what do you see with respect to the**  
12          **structure in this section?**

13          A.   More or less, there is not much structural  
14          complexity.  There is slight structural complexity to  
15          the southeast, but it does not seem to have any  
16          influence on our area of development.

17          **Q.   Have you identified any geologic hazards based**  
18          **on the structures in this section?**

19          A.   I've identified no faults, pinchouts or  
20          geologic impediments in this area.

21          **Q.   Does Exhibit 13 show a line of section drawn**  
22          **across these two sections?**

23          A.   Yes, ma'am.  It's a cross section, A to A  
24          prime, from south to north as our Huckleberry wells.  
25          They are three wells that are representative of the 2nd

1 Bone Spring Sand interval in this area.

2 Q. And is that why you selected these three wells  
3 for your cross section?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. Is Exhibit 14 your cross-section exhibit?

6 A. Yes, it is, so from A to A time. That would be  
7 from south to north, left to right on the cross section.  
8 It's a stratigraphic cross section that's hung on the  
9 top of the 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone. We see just over  
10 the 2nd Bone Spring Sand interval a pretty continuous  
11 thickness from south to north. I've called out the two  
12 lateral intervals that we have -- targets we have  
13 identified. The targets themselves are also continuous  
14 across the area as well.

15 Q. What conclusions have you drawn based on your  
16 geologic study of this area?

17 A. There are no geologic impediments that we can  
18 develop using two-mile laterals. We can efficiently and  
19 economically develop using them as horizontal laterals,  
20 and, on average, each tract will contribute more or less  
21 equally to the production of the well.

22 Q. Finally, in your opinion, Mr. Bertalott, is the  
23 granting of these applications in the best interest of  
24 conservation, for the prevention of waste and the  
25 protection of correlative rights?

1           A.     Yes, ma'am.

2           **Q.     Were Exhibits 11 through 14 prepared by you or**  
3           **compiled under your direction and supervision?**

4           A.     Yes, they were.

5                     MS. KESSLER:   Mr. Examiner, I would move  
6           admission of Exhibits 11 through 14.

7                     EXAMINER JONES:   Exhibits 11 through 14 are  
8           admitted.

9                     (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 11  
10           through 14 are offered and admitted into  
11           evidence.)

12                    CROSS-EXAMINATION

13   BY EXAMINER JONES:

14           **Q.     So do you know why they're spudding down in**  
15           **Section 27?**

16           A.     You mean the surface-hole locations?

17           **Q.     Yeah.**

18           A.     No.   I honestly do not know what the reasoning  
19           was for identifying off-lease locations in this area.

20           **Q.     What about the pad drilling and the sequence of**  
21           **drilling here?**

22           A.     As far as the order which they will be drilled?

23           **Q.     Yes.**

24           A.     It all depends on the rig schedule.   I'm not  
25           sure if they will be drilled currently east to west or

1 -- they'll be drilled about the same time and spud about  
2 the same time. I just don't know which order.

3 Q. And it looks like three separate pads?

4 A. Yes, sir, two two-well pads and a three-well  
5 pad.

6 Q. Okay. And both -- so upper and lower 2nd Bone  
7 Spring Sand?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Is that because of analogy to existing  
10 production?

11 A. So the lower target, other wells have targeted  
12 in the area. The upper geologic assessment, we deemed  
13 it as a prospective target to go and develop.

14 Q. And do it simultaneously? One of these COG  
15 simultaneous drill it real close together and frac it  
16 together?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. That must be working out pretty good. Keep  
19 coming back with those.

20 It's wildcat right now, but I guess  
21 eventually Paul will get it into a pool.

22 Are you going to ask for more 120 days on  
23 this one right now to --

24 MS. KESSLER: We have not specified that,  
25 Mr. Examiner. If we need additional time as we come to



1 the drilling and completion phase of this, we'll request  
2 that with the Division.

3 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you very  
4 much.

5 I'm sorry, David. Did you --

6 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

7 EXAMINER JONES: So we're ready to go on  
8 this one?

9 MS. KESSLER: Yes. I would ask these cases  
10 be taken under advisement.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Cases 16458, 16459, 16460  
12 and 16461 will be taken under advisement.

13 Take a break?

14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Break, yes.

15 EXAMINER JONES: Ten-minute break.

16 (Case Numbers 16458 through 16461 conclude,  
17 9:30 a.m.)

18 (Recess, 9:30 a.m. to 9:51 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 29th day of October 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
24 Certified Court Reporter  
New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25