

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

AMENDED APPLICATION OF CHISHOLM ENERGY CASE NO. 16262
OPERATING, LLC FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL
LOCATION AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

November 1, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
 PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, November 1, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
 New Mexico CCR #20
 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT CHISHOLM ENERGY OPERATING, LLC:

JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
jlkessler@hollandhart.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Number 16262 Called	3
Chisholm Energy Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
Witnesses:	
Davis Armour:	
Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	3
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	10, 11
Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	10, 12
Joshua Kuhn:	
Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	14
Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	19
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	20
Proceedings Conclude	25
Certificate of Court Reporter	26

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

Chisholm Energy Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3	10
Chisholm Energy Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 4 and 5	19

1 (8:36 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. On page 14, case
3 number 51, which is Case 16262, amended application --
4 this is the third time amended, I think, or the second
5 time amended. But it's application of Chisholm Energy
6 Operating, LLC for an unorthodox well location and
7 compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.

8 Call for appearances.

9 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, Jordan Kessler
10 from the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

12 MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today,
13 Mr. Examiner.

14 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Will the witnesses
15 stand and the court reporter swear in the witnesses?

16 (Mr. Armour and Mr. Kuhn sworn.)

17 DAVIS ARMOUR,
18 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
19 questioned and testified as follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MS. KESSLER:

22 **Q. Please state your name for the record and tell**
23 **the examiners by whom you're employed and in what**
24 **capacity.**

25 A. My name is Davis Armour. I'm a senior landman

1 for Chisholm Energy.

2 Q. Have you previously testified before the
3 Division?

4 A. Yes, I have.

5 Q. Were your credentials as an expert in petroleum
6 land matters accepted and made a matter of record?

7 A. Yes, they were.

8 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
9 this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And did you testify in the original hearing on
12 this matter?

13 A. Yes, I did.

14 Q. Are you also familiar with the status of the
15 lands in the area?

16 A. Yes.

17 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I tender
18 Mr. Armour as an expert in petroleum land matters.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I don't have my
20 prehearing statement here in front of me. Could you
21 please spell your name?

22 THE WITNESS: It's Davis, D-A-V-I-S,
23 Armour, A-R-M-O-U-R.

24 And I believe, actually, the initial
25 hearing was via affidavit. I don't think that I --

1 MS. KESSLER: You testified via affidavit
2 about that.

3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, affidavit.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Thanks for coming today.
5 He is so qualified as an expert in
6 petroleum land matters.

7 And I don't think we've issued an order in
8 the original one yet.

9 MS. KESSLER: We have not, Mr. Examiner.
10 The Wolfcamp corollary well has an order issued, and the
11 Bone Spring one, I understand, was held off because of
12 this hearing.

13 EXAMINER JONES: And this is the Bone
14 Spring one?

15 MS. KESSLER: This is the Bone Spring one,
16 right.

17 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Do you remember the
18 examiner that heard the case? Was it Mike McMillan?

19 MS. KESSLER: I'll verify that for you. I
20 think that it was Mike McMillan, but I'll find out for
21 sure.

22 EXAMINER JONES: I think I have Mike down
23 as hearing the case.

24 MS. KESSLER: Okay. And I think that's
25 right because I think he issued the Wolfcamp order.

1 EXAMINER JONES: And that was on July the
2 12th?

3 MS. KESSLER: Correct.

4 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Armour, please turn to
5 Exhibit 1. What did Chisholm originally seek under this
6 application?

7 A. Chisholm originally sought to pool an
8 approximately 324-acre, all depths of the Bone Spring in
9 Sections 1 and 12, Township 19 South, Range 33 East.

10 Q. And that would have been for a spacing unit
11 comprised of the east half-west half of Sections 1 and
12 12; is that correct?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Did you also seek an unorthodox well location?

15 A. Yes, we did.

16 Q. As you mentioned, you sought to compulsory pool
17 committed interest owners in the entire Bone Spring
18 Formation?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And this case was originally heard in July of
21 2018?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And an order has not been issued; is that
24 correct?

25 A. That is correct.

1 Q. Since this case was heard, did Chisholm
2 discover an ownership depth severance within the pool?

3 A. Yes, we did.

4 Q. Can you please describe that depth severance?

5 A. The depth severance occurs 200 feet below the
6 base of the Delaware Formation, which sits at the top
7 of the Bone Spring -- at the top Bone Spring Formation.

8 Q. So does OXY own an interest in a different
9 percentage above this depth-severance line than below
10 the depth-severance line?

11 A. Yes, that's correct.

12 Q. Is OXY the only affected interest owner?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So there is a single depth severance, and that
15 is OXY?

16 A. That is correct.

17 Q. Accordingly, is Chisholm seeking to pool only
18 from 200 feet below the base of the Delaware Formation
19 to the base of the Bone Spring Formation?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. This effectively cuts out 200 feet of the Bone
22 Spring; is that correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. Have OXY and Chisholm come to an understanding
25 on how this depth severance should be described?

1 A. Yes, that's correct. We have.

2 Q. If I turn to Exhibit 2, have Chisholm and OXY
3 mutually agreed that this should be the description of
4 the depth severance?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And this is the language used in Chisholm's
7 amended application, right?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Which is a second amended application, correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Is OXY aware of Chisholm's plan to pool only a
12 portion of the pool?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And has there been any objection from OXY?

15 A. No objections.

16 Q. Have all of the parties to be pooled been
17 provided notice of the amended application?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And that would be to pool only a portion of the
20 pool?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Was there any objection from any of those
23 parties?

24 A. No objections.

25 Q. Has Chisholm brought a geologist who will

1 describe in greater detail the depth-severance relation
2 to the proposed well?

3 A. Yes, we have.

4 Q. And all other testimony and exhibits from the
5 original hearing remain the same; is that correct?

6 A. That is correct.

7 Q. And at this time, are you asking to continue
8 this case for two weeks for notice purposes?

9 A. Yes.

10 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I move
11 admission of the Chisholm -- I'm sorry.

12 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) If I turn to Exhibit 3, is
13 this an affidavit with attached letters providing notice
14 to this hearing to the parties you seek to pool?

15 A. Yes, that's correct.

16 Q. And it looks like the letter to Tim McDonald is
17 marked "In Transit." Did you publish notice directly to
18 Tim McDonald?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And is that the last page of Exhibit 3?

21 A. Yes.

22 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I move
23 admission of Exhibits 1 through 3, which include my
24 hearing affidavit.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 are

1 admitted.

2 (Chisholm Energy Operating, LLC Exhibits
3 Number 1 through 3 are offered and admitted
4 into evidence.)

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY EXAMINER JONES:

7 Q. Is OXY on this list of notices?

8 MS. KESSLER: They are.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Mr. Brooks?

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't believe I have
12 any questions.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Goetze?

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:

16 Q. Just one question. We have a description on
17 our C-102 of a landing point. Is that the first take
18 point, or is that just where we end up getting out of
19 our curve?

20 A. I believe so, but I can't -- I can't confirm.

21 Q. Well, let's go ahead and just clarify that by
22 some means of communication.

23 A. Sure. I'll talk to our engineer.

24

25

1 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER JONES:

3 Q. This depth severance is for a specific tract
4 inside this spacing unit, so can you describe the tract?

5 A. Sure. It's the -- it's all of the lands in
6 Section 12 that are inside the unit, so the east
7 half-west half of Section 12.

8 Q. Okay. And how did that depth severance come to
9 be?

10 A. Chisholm acquired the assets of Nearburg
11 Exploration as a successor. Nearburg had drilled
12 some -- drilled a well to earn acreage, and the acreage
13 that they earned was depth limited.

14 Q. Okay. So they drilled a Delaware well then,
15 and it was 200 or so feet below that perforation or
16 something?

17 A. They actually drilled the Bison 12 well, and
18 for some reason -- so it wasn't even OXY. It was OXY's
19 predecessor, Pogo, who had farmed out to Nearburg. So
20 it's two people that have inherited this the way that it
21 is today.

22 Q. Okay. And what conversations with OXY did you
23 have?

24 A. We just talked about how we would describe
25 the -- the -- the depth -- the depth severance. Our

1 initial conversations kind of circulated how we were
2 going to get a communitization agreement approved
3 because it didn't line up with the whole Bone Spring,
4 and then we said, "Oh, we need to re-open the docket."
5 They were -- they were okay with that. They understood
6 the ownership and said, "Yeah. We think that the top
7 200 feet needs to come out."

8 Q. Okay. So is OXY going to end up signing your
9 com agreement?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. I don't have any more questions.

12 Thanks.

13 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:

15 Q. I would make one comment, though, and this may
16 be a discussion with your geologist. In your
17 description of the depth severance, you're saying the
18 Delaware Formation, which is an arcane term that really
19 doesn't exist anymore. And I think you ought to think
20 about keeping the description based upon the Bone
21 Spring, which is a recognized stratigraphic formation --

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. -- unless you folks want to get into a
24 discussion about the Brushy Canyon or the Delaware
25 Mountain Group.

1 A. We certainly don't.

2 Q. So yeah. Go back and maybe change that
3 terminology around so that it can be universally
4 accepted not only by OXY but by everyone else in the
5 profession. Okay?

6 A. Okay.

7 EXAMINER BROOKS: I wasn't aware that the
8 Delaware didn't exist anymore.

9 EXAMINER GOETZE: The Delaware Formation
10 was described by King in 1951, and it was subdivided
11 into three formations and is part of a group. And the
12 Delaware Formation, like many things, comes from
13 terminology like "red beds" that were brought from the
14 1800s and 1900s. But since we all supposedly play by
15 the same rules and since I'm sure the geologist is a
16 member of the American Association of Petroleum
17 Geologists, we have a nomenclature committee and it kind
18 of likes to keep the names straight even though they do
19 change with more and more information that comes in.
20 I'm just saying it will be easier down the road if we
21 get the terminology --

22 MS. KESSLER: I think, Mr. Examiner, the
23 only potential concern with that -- and I'll let the
24 geologist address this -- is the instrument creating the
25 depth-severance references, the Delaware, I know that we

1 want to be consistent with the --

2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Yeah. And then you can
3 cross-reference in there what your description is. So
4 you can take the old into the new and make sure that
5 correlation is carried through.

6 MS. KESSLER: So we'll submit our fourth
7 amended application.

8 EXAMINER GOETZE: No. No. I mean you
9 can do it -- it's just a little footnote for later on
10 down the road.

11 MS. KESSLER: Thank you.

12 We'll call our next witnesses.

13 JOSHUA KUHN,
14 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
15 questioned and testified as follows:

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. KESSLER:

18 Q. Can you please state your name for the record?

19 A. Joshua Kuhn.

20 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?

21 A. I work for Chisholm Energy as a geologist.

22 Q. Have you previously testified before the
23 Division?

24 A. Yes, I have.

25 Q. Were your credentials as an expert in petroleum

1 geology accepted and made a matter of record?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Are you familiar by the applications filed by
4 Chisholm in this case?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And did you provide testimony via affidavit for
7 the original hearing?

8 A. Yes, I did.

9 Q. Have you conducted a geologic study of the Bone
10 Spring in the subject area?

11 A. Yes.

12 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
13 Mr. Kuhn as an expert in petroleum geology.

14 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

15 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Kuhn, please turn to
16 Exhibit 4. Is this a structure map of the Bone Spring
17 in the subject area?

18 A. Yes, it is.

19 Q. And this shows Chisholm's leasehold and the
20 subject well; is that correct?

21 A. Yes, it does.

22 Q. Have you also -- is there a line of A to A
23 prime on this exhibit?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And what is that line? Is that for the

1 **corresponding cross section?**

2 A. Yes. The line from A to A prime is for the
3 corresponding cross section.

4 **Q. So if I turn to Exhibit 5, this is your**
5 **cross-section exhibit, correct?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. Can you please walk us through this exhibit?**

8 A. Yes. This cross section from A to A prime is
9 running from southwest to northeast. It is a
10 stratigraphic cross section, the datum of which is the
11 top of the Bone Spring annotated in the brown line. The
12 red polygon at the very top beneath the datum is the
13 depth severance, the top 200 feet, which we're not
14 pooling.

15 Moving down the cross section, the orange
16 line is the top of the 1st Bone Spring Sandstone. The
17 next line down is the base of the 1st Bone Spring
18 Sandstone.

19 The brown line is the top of the 2nd Bone
20 Spring Sandstone. The green polygon is our effective
21 target interval for this well, the Buffalo 12 1 Fed Com
22 2BS 1H. And at the very base of this cross section is
23 the base of the 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone. Also
24 annotated on here are various distances from this top
25 200 feet, which we are not pooling.

1 If you look on the left side of the cross
2 section, where we're targeting is at least the top of
3 the 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone. Throughout this cross
4 section is at least 1,500 feet to greater than 1,600
5 feet away from where we're targeting horizontally. At
6 this point we don't have any plans to target the 1st
7 Bone Spring Sandstone. If we were in the future, you're
8 looking at roughly 1,000 feet or greater from the base
9 of that 200-foot interval at the top of the Bone Spring,
10 which we're not pooling.

11 I also want to point out what I'll consider
12 a fracture barrier being mainly in the Bone Spring Lime
13 Formation. On all four of these wells, the first track
14 shows gamma ray with a caliper log. The second track is
15 resistivity, and the third track is porosity. By and
16 large, this is mostly clean carbonate, clean limestone.
17 The resistivity is very high, a lot of it being over
18 2,000 ohms. And you can actually see the resistivity
19 wrapping around on the left side of the resistivity
20 track.

21 On the third track, you see porosity, and
22 over a large part of this entire interval, it's very
23 low, 1 to 2 percent porosity. So it's very tight, as
24 indicated by the resistivity logs and the porosity logs,
25 very, very low porosity. There are some shale packages

1 in here. However, they are relatively thin, and, you
2 know -- they're relatively thin.

3 Another frac barrier -- fracture barrier
4 which I will consider and not annotated on here because
5 there is not enough room, but between the base of the
6 1st Bone Spring Sandstone and the top of the 2nd Bone
7 Spring Sandstone lies the 2nd Bone Spring carbonates,
8 which are also very tight, have low porosity and would
9 also act as a fracture barrier.

10 Q. In your opinion, will these barriers prevent
11 hydrocarbons from above the depth-severance line
12 contributing hydrocarbons to the well?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And in your opinion, is it necessary to pool
15 only the interest owners in the interval described to
16 protect the correlative rights of these owners?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. In your opinion, will OXY's interest above the
19 depth-severance line be contributing hydrocarbons to the
20 well?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And if you infill above the current proposed
23 well, will you return to the Division to address whether
24 any infill well will be developing above the
25 depth-severance line?

1 A. Yes.

2 **Q. Were Exhibits 4 and 5 prepared by you or**
3 **compiled under your direction and supervision?**

4 A. Yes, they were.

5 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I move
6 admission of Exhibits 4 and 5.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 4 and 5 are
8 admitted.

9 (Chisholm Energy Operating, LLC Exhibit
10 Numbers 4 and 5 are offered and admitted
11 into evidence.)

12 EXAMINER GOETZE: No questions about any
13 geologic impediments?

14 MS. KESSLER: We already established that
15 at the first hearing.

16 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Sorry. I wasn't
17 there.

18 CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:

20 **Q. Just for clarity, the fracture barrier, the**
21 **upper portion, we denote -- or state that there are**
22 **shale packages. So it's your opinion that this would**
23 **not have a potential for development as an**
24 **unconventional source based on total organic carbon**
25 **content?**

1 A. At this time, by us, no.

2 Q. Okay. So you're going to stay away from --

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. -- doing anything out of the ordinary?

5 Thank you. That's all I have.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY EXAMINER JONES:

8 Q. So there is no Avalon or Leonard or whatever --
9 I've been lectured not just by Phil here but by Paul
10 Kautz not to mention the Leonard, as it's supposed to be
11 called the Avalon for us.

12 MS. KESSLER: Right.

13 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) But where is the Leonard or
14 Avalon at?

15 A. Well, you know, I would call -- some of these
16 higher gamma ray streaks in this Bone Spring section,
17 that's going to be some of your Avalon that people have
18 targeted in Lea County. Not this far north. The shale
19 packages and the pressure gradient seems to be greater
20 to the south, at least from the production from what I
21 have seen.

22 Q. Okay. What about shows on mud logs going
23 through this package? Do you see -- can you talk about
24 shows like from the base of the -- of the generic name
25 Delaware all the way down through --

1 (Laughter.)

2 Q. -- the -- down to your target? What would they
3 look like?

4 A. Well, the mud logs that I've seen in this area,
5 yeah, they are shows. You know, there are -- you know,
6 I can't give an exact range, but there is oil
7 fluorescence described on mud logs. You know, I think
8 with -- and, you know, there are some gas shows, what I
9 would consider a gas show, something greater than four
10 times background gas. However, you know, for us right
11 now, those aren't horizontal targets.

12 Q. Okay. So that sounds -- okay. These cross
13 sections are nice, but they are really, really hard to
14 read. When you mentioned the resistivity wrapping, you
15 said the left. You meant the right, didn't you? It's
16 real high resistivity and it wraps --

17 A. So on the left side of the track, you can see
18 where the resistivity wraps around. If you look in
19 track two, the third well from the left is a good
20 example. You see on track number two how that wrapped
21 resistivity looks white?

22 Q. Okay. I see it. Yeah.

23 A. So that's the wraparound resistivity I was
24 referring to.

25 Q. Okay. So it's really high resistivity?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. Which means that it really is very tight rock.

3 A. Very tight.

4 Q. Very tight.

5 Okay. What about production in the
6 Brushy -- or the lower part of the Delaware?

7 (Laughter.)

8 EXAMINER JONES: What can we call it here?

9 EXAMINER GOETZE: You can call it anything
10 you want.

11 (Laughter.)

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

13 EXAMINER GOETZE: It's just that if you're
14 going to have a legal document, having that little
15 footnote in there is going to make everybody happy down
16 the road.

17 MS. KESSLER: And it should reference?

18 EXAMINER GOETZE: Well, when you've got a
19 Delaware Formation or equivalent top, the Bone Spring.
20 That way you have clarity and everybody has the same
21 word.

22 MS. KESSLER: So just marking a certain
23 bench in the Bone Spring?

24 EXAMINER GOETZE: You've got a log. You
25 just tie it into that log. And that way, as attorneys

1 like to do, there is no wiggle room so you've got a
2 definition that's clear. That's all. But Brushy
3 Canyon, Delaware Mountain.

4 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) Yeah. You see anything in
5 the lower part of that?

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. Is there production?

8 A. Yes. And there are wells in the area. I don't
9 have them annotated on here, but by and large in this
10 area, yes, the Brushy Canyon is productive in this area.

11 Q. Is it productive through horizontal drilling or
12 just through vertical drilling?

13 A. To my knowledge, I haven't seen any horizontal
14 Brushy Canyon wells drilled in this specific area, but
15 they have been drilled in Lea County. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Okay. What about injection or disposal into
17 that interval above where you're at? Is there any
18 concern or presence of disposal wells in that?

19 A. To my knowledge, I don't know of any wells
20 around this area particularly that are disposing into
21 the Brushy Canyon. I do not.

22 Q. So no disposal into the Brushy?

23 A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.

24 Q. So your water is going to go -- do you know
25 where your engineers are going to send this water from

1 **these wells?**

2 A. To be honest, I don't know exactly where
3 they're going to send it.

4 **Q. They haven't come to you to say, "Where is the**
5 **target for injection for disposal"?**

6 A. No. Chisholm actually has several disposal
7 wells that, you know, we dispose our water to, so I'm
8 not sure where they're planning on sending the water to.

9 **Q. Okay.**

10 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So thank you very
13 much for coming.

14 So why are you continuing it for two weeks?

15 MS. KESSLER: We're continuing it for two
16 weeks to correct a current description in the
17 application. So we filed an amended application to
18 correct the description of the depth severance.

19 EXAMINER JONES: October 26th? Or was this
20 a different one?

21 MS. KESSLER: I'm sorry. What's today?

22 EXAMINER GOETZE: Today is the 1st of
23 November.

24 EXAMINER JONES: So this would kick it
25 forward.

1 MS. KESSLER: That one is correct and would
2 kick it forward to November 15th --

3 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

4 MS. KESSLER: -- and then we'll ask that it
5 be taken under advisement.

6 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you very much.

7 MS. KESSLER: Thank you.

8 EXAMINER JONES: So we're going to continue
9 Case Number 16262 to --

10 Is that okay, Mr. Brooks, to November 15th?

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: That's okay with me.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Sounds good.

13 (Case Number 16262 concludes, 9:01 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 11th day of November 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25