STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY CASE NO. 20091 FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

November 15, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, November 15, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Third Floor Meeting Room, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR

New Mexico CCR #20

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
3	GARY W. LARSON, ESQ.	
4	HINKLE SHANOR, LLP 218 Montezuma Avenue	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-4554	
6	glarson@hinklelawfirm.com	
7	FOR INTERESTED PARTY ZANE KIEHNE:	
8	SETH C. McMILLAN, ESQ.	
9	MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS LAW FIRM 325 Paseo de Peralta	
10	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-3873	
11	smcmillan@montand.com	
12	INDEX	
13		PAGE
14	Case Number 20091 Called	3
15	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
16	Proceedings Conclude	8
17	Certificate of Court Reporter	9
18		
19		
20	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
21	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 and 2	5
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (3:20 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to call Case
- 3 Number 20091, application of Mewbourne Oil Company for
- 4 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- 6 MR. LARSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Examiner.
- 7 Gary Larson, with the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor,
- 8 for the Applicant, Mewbourne Oil Company.
- 9 MR. McMILLAN: Good afternoon,
- 10 Mr. Examiners. Seth McMillan, Montgomery & Andrews.
- 11 I'm here on behalf of a gentleman named Zane Kiehne.
- We are not opposing to the applications,
- 13 but Mr. Kiehne is an interested party. He was a noticed
- 14 party as a royalty owner.
- 15 MR. LARSON: And I will be presenting my
- 16 case by affidavit. May I proceed?
- 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please do.
- MR. LARSON: Mr. Examiner, Mewbourne's
- 19 application seeks the pooling of all uncommitted
- 20 interests in the Wolfcamp Formation in a standard
- 21 160-acre horizontal spacing unit, and the horizontal
- 22 spacing unit will be dedicated to the proposed Red Hills
- 23 West 21 WOCN Fed Com #1H and the Red Hills 21 W1CN Fed
- 24 Com #2H, which will hereafter be referred to as the Red
- 25 Hills wells.

1 Exhibit 1 is the self-affirmed statement of

- 2 the landman, Lee Scarborough. The attachments to his
- 3 affidavit are the Midland Map highlighting the
- 4 horizontal spacing unit, the C-102s for the two Red
- 5 Hills wells, a sample of his well-proposal letter, logs
- 6 identifying his communications with the uncommitted
- 7 interests, and proof of notice of today's hearing, along
- 8 with the AFEs that he submitted with the well-proposal
- 9 letters.
- 10 As Mr. Scarborough notes in his affidavit,
- 11 there are no depth severances in the Wolfcamp Formation.
- 12 Mewbourne is requesting overhead and administrative
- rates of \$8,000 a month while drilling and \$800 a month
- 14 while the well is producing. And Mr. Scarbrough affirms
- 15 that these rates are comparable to the rates charged by
- 16 other operators for similar wells of this area of Eddy
- 17 County and are the same rates as those included in
- 18 Mewbourne's JOA. And finally, he notes that Mewbourne
- 19 is requesting a 200 percent risk penalty for the well.
- 20 Exhibit 2 is the self-affirmed statement of
- 21 Mewbourne geologist, Jordan Carrell. That's
- 22 C-A-R-R-E-L-L. Attached to Mr. Carrell's self-affirmed
- 23 statement are Exhibit A, which is the structure contour
- 24 map at the top of Wolfcamp; B, which is a cross section
- 25 running west to east that identifies the targets for

- 1 both Red Hills wells; C is a production table
- 2 identifying other Wolfcamp wells drilled in the
- 3 vicinity; and D and E are Mewbourne's well planning
- 4 reports for the two proposed Red Hills wells.
- 5 And as stated in his affidavit, the
- 6 horizontal spacing unit is justified from a geologic
- 7 standpoint. There is no faulting or other geologic
- 8 impediment that might adversely affect the drilling of
- 9 the proposed wells and, finally, that each quarter
- 10 section in the proposed unit will contribute more or
- 11 less equally to production.
- 12 And with that, I move the admission of
- 13 Exhibits 1 and 2.
- MR. McMILLAN: No objection.
- 15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Exhibits 1 and 2
- 16 may now be accepted as part of the record.
- 17 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1
- 18 and 2 are offered and admitted into
- 19 evidence.)
- 20 MR. McMILLAN: Are you asking if I have any
- 21 questions?
- 22 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah.
- MR. McMILLAN: I have no questions.
- I do, however, have a quick correction to
- 25 the record. It's been a long day for me, too. My

1 client, Mr. Kiehne, is not a royalty interest owner. He

- 2 actually is a mineral with a working interest. That's
- 3 the correction I wanted to make. But I have no
- 4 questions.
- 5 MR. LARSON: Can I add one thing for the
- 6 record, Mr. Examiner?
- 7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes.
- 8 MR. LARSON: And Mr. Kiehne and Mewbourne
- 9 have been engaged in ongoing discussions.
- 10 MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Larson is correct.
- 11 Negotiations are ongoing.
- 12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. So you are
- 13 pooling working interest owners --
- MR. LARSON: Correct.
- 15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: -- only.
- MR. LARSON: Yes.
- 17 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, the authorization
- 18 for proceeding by affidavit in the rule only applies to
- 19 uncontested cases. So is this --
- 20 MR. McMILLAN: We are not contesting. My
- 21 client is not contesting the case.
- 22 MR. LARSON: We agreed on Friday that I
- 23 could present the case by affidavit.
- 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good. Well,
- 25 you can present a case by affidavit if no one objects

1 and no one appeals. It has to be if no one appeals

- 2 because then you run into the -- run into the legal
- 3 residual rule, and that's something you don't want to
- 4 bump into. It's almost as bad as a depth severance.
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 MR. LARSON: I don't want to hear that.
- 7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: What about any
- 8 unlocatable interests.
- 9 MR. LARSON: No.
- 10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And is the pool code
- 11 98065? If you can't answer that, then you'll have to
- 12 state clearly for the record the exact name of the pool.
- MR. LARSON: I will have to email you that.
- 14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Because I think
- 15 I looked -- I must have looked at some offsetting wells,
- 16 and I got pool code 98065.
- 17 MR. LARSON: 98065. What's the name of the
- 18 pool? I hate to ask.
- 19 (Laughter.)
- 20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: WC-025 G08 S263205N;
- 21 Upper Wolfcamp.
- MR. LARSON: Watch what you ask for. I
- 23 will confirm that.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- MR. LARSON: With that, I'd ask the case be

Page 8 taken under advisement. MR. McMILLAN: No objection to that. EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case Number 20091 shall be taken under advisement. MR. LARSON: Thank you. MR. McMILLAN: Great. (Case Number 20091 concludes, 3:27 p.m.)

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- 20 DATED THIS 18th day of December 2018.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter

New Mexico CCR No. 20

24

Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25