STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER HEARING

SPECIAL MEETING

ROLL CALL, ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND REHEARING CONSIDERATION OF CASE NUMBER 16403

January 8, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: GABRIEL WADE, ESQ., ACTING CHAIRMAN ALLISON MARKS, COMMISSIONER BILL BRANCARD, ESQ.

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on Tuesday, January 8, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

- 1 (9:00 a.m.)
- 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Good morning,
- 3 everyone.
- 4 The time is 9:00. Today's date is January
- 5 8th, 2019. This is a special meeting of the New Mexico
- 6 Oil Conservation Commission. My name is Gabriel Wade.
- 7 I am the acting director of the New Mexico Oil
- 8 Conservation Division.
- 9 And I'll let my fellow commissioner
- 10 introduce herself.
- 11 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Allison Marks. I am
- 12 the designee of the Honorable State Land Commissioner,
- 13 Stephanie Garcia Richard.
- 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: With us also is the
- 15 commission clerk, Florene Davidson, and legal counsel,
- 16 Bill Brancard.
- 17 Before we start on today's agenda, there is
- 18 a procedural matter to attend to, and I will pass this
- 19 to Commissioner Marks.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Under Statute 70-2-4,
- 21 it is -- the Commission elects a Chair. And for
- 22 procedural matters, it is -- director of the Oil
- 23 Conservation Division executes all documents, and
- 24 typically the director of the Oil Conservation Division
- 25 serves as the Commission Chair. Therefore, I would make

1 a motion for the acting director and at any time the

- 2 director of the Oil Conservation Division to serve as
- 3 the Chair of the Oil Conservation Commission.
- 4 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: And I second. So
- 5 the motion does carry. I will act as Chair for today's
- 6 meeting and until time -- such time that a director is
- 7 appointed.
- 8 Moving on to the agenda, the first item on
- 9 the agenda is roll call. We've already introduced
- 10 ourselves. With Commissioner Marks and I, we do have a
- 11 quorum for today's meeting.
- The second item on the agenda is to approve
- 13 the agenda.
- 14 Do I hear a motion?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I don't have the --
- 16 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Oh, you don't
- 17 have --
- 18 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I make a motion to
- 19 approve the agenda.
- 20 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I second the motion.
- 21 The agenda is approved for today.
- The third item on the agenda are the
- 23 applications for rehearing of Case Number 16403, the
- 24 application of Hilcorp Energy Company to amend the well
- 25 density and location requirements and administrative

- 1 exceptions of the special pool rules for the
- 2 Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool, Rio Arriba and San Juan
- 3 Counties.
- 4 Before we go any further into this meeting,
- 5 I'd like to make a brief statement.
- 6 Before this Commission are two motions for
- 7 rehearing, which raise several important legal and
- 8 policy issues. Hilcorp's applications affects over
- 9 1 million acres. The State Land Office has stated that
- 10 over 64,000 of those acres are State Trust Land where
- 11 correlative rights may be impacted by this application.
- 12 The San Juan Citizens Alliance has raised waste of
- 13 natural gas as a potential issue in this matter. Both
- 14 the State Land Office and the San Juan Citizens Alliance
- 15 have raised issues regarding the protection of human
- 16 health and the environment, as well as transparency and
- 17 the lack of public input in this matter.
- In addition, the record holds letters from
- 19 several New Mexico senators, the Navajo Nation and
- 20 dozens of concerned citizens that raised similar issues
- 21 of environmental impact, transparency and the lack of
- 22 public input.
- The record also shows a lack of input by
- 24 the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. The OCD is
- 25 charged with the prevention of waste, the protection of

1 correlative rights and the protection of human health

- 2 and the environment in oil and gas matters. OCD experts
- 3 have not had the opportunity to provide their valuable
- 4 opinions so that this Commission can make the most
- 5 informed decision on this application. Section 70-2-11A
- of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Act states, "The New
- 7 Mexico Oil Conservation Commission is empowered and it
- 8 is its duty to prevent waste and to protect correlative
- 9 rights. To that end, the Commission is empowered to do
- 10 whatever may be reasonably necessary to carry out the
- 11 purpose of this Act, whether or not indicated or
- 12 specified in any section hereof." The New Mexico Oil
- 13 and Gas Act gives this Commission very broad discretion
- 14 to decide whether to rehear this case.
- 15 Because of the legal and policy issues
- 16 raised in this case, I move that this Commission, on its
- 17 own powers as granted by the Oil and Gas Act, rehear
- 18 Case 16403. And I ask that Commissioner Marks second
- 19 the motion.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Thank you,
- 21 Mr. Chairman.
- 22 I certainly concur with all the statements
- 23 that were presented by the Chairman, and I -- as a
- 24 procedural matter before we continue, I think if the
- 25 matter was -- was reheard, I wanted to address certain

1 concerns as far as -- as far as notice if it was set for

- 2 rehearing, in particular I think transparency and I
- 3 think notice in particular to members of, say, the
- 4 Jicarilla Tribe and noticing the Bureau of Indian
- 5 Affairs. As far as the impact this application could
- 6 have on the resource management plan, it could have
- 7 significant applications. So we insisted that notice
- 8 was by certified mail to those entities, especially
- 9 tribal members, the tribal -- tribal government
- 10 landowners and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. I think
- 11 that would be great on the transparency and the notice
- 12 perspective as well.
- With that, I would second the motion.
- 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Okay. Thank you
- 15 very much.
- 16 The motion does carry then. Case Number
- 17 16403 will be reheard.
- I do have a second motion that might get
- 19 towards process, but we can certainly discuss more of
- 20 the process.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Chairman, members of
- 22 the Commission --
- 23 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Excuse me,
- 24 Mr. Feldewert. I'd like to make a second motion before
- 25 you start.

- 1 MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
- 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I also move that
- 3 this case be renoticed for a future Commission hearing
- 4 date, and those who wish to participate may do so if
- 5 they comply with the Oil and Gas Act and rules. Matters
- of standing and other procedural issues can be heard at
- 7 that time.
- 8 And I ask that this motion be seconded, and
- 9 we can also discuss further procedural issues.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Yeah. I second the
- 11 motion.
- 12 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: The motion carries.
- 13 If you would like to discuss those
- 14 procedural issues now. We could also set the hearing
- 15 date.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Okay.
- 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Did you have
- 18 specific issues or requirements that you wanted to
- 19 raise?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MARKS: As you mentioned, I
- 21 believe there are about 1.3 million acres affected by
- 22 this application and 70-or-so-thousand acres of State
- 23 Trust Land. I think it's imperative that the BLM, all
- 24 government land agencies are noticed, that we get as
- 25 much public input as possible and that we encourage

1 public participation in this application and, as

- 2 mentioned, that we ask the Applicant to notice the
- 3 Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Jicarilla and perhaps the
- 4 Forest Service as well --
- 5 MR. BRANCARD: And the BLM?
- 6 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Yes.
- 7 MR. BRANCARD: And I assume the State Land
- 8 Office?
- 9 COMMISSIONER MARKS: And the State Land
- 10 Office, yes.
- 11 -- via certified mail, especially given the
- 12 pending resource management plan with the BLM.
- 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: So the Applicant is
- 14 to notice the Jicarilla, BLM, State Land Office and the
- 15 Forest Service --
- 16 COMMISSIONER MARKS: And the Bureau of
- 17 Indian Affairs.
- 18 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: -- and the Bureau of
- 19 Indian Affairs via certified mail.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Correct.
- 21 I believe that -- although I realize and
- 22 acknowledge that perhaps there are arguments that this
- 23 may be an adjudicatory proceedings, that we can look to
- 24 encourage additional notice, and this would be in the
- 25 best interest of the citizens of the state of New

- 1 Mexico.
- 2 MR. BRANCARD: Well, as a Commission
- 3 proceedings, it will be noticed in the newspapers, two
- 4 counties.
- Is that correct, Florene?
- 6 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Uh-huh.
- 7 MS. DAVIDSON: Correct.
- 8 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: So maybe now we can
- 9 find a date for this hearing.
- 10 And then, Mr. Feldewert, if you have
- 11 questions as to the process or any party has questions
- 12 to the process, we can discuss that.
- Ms. Davidson, do you have any dates that
- 14 are not during the legislative session in April or May
- 15 available?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I also want to make
- 17 sure that we are staying the current order until the
- 18 rehearing.
- 19 MR. BRANCARD: Chair, Commissioner Marks,
- 20 we've had actually two -- we've granted two rehearings
- 21 in the last year. And what we did in those cases was
- 22 there was an order that was suspended until the
- 23 rehearing was completed. At that point the Commission
- 24 can decide whether to reinstate that order, draft a new
- order, amend the order, et cetera.

1 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I make a motion to

- 2 suspend the current order until there is a rehearing on
- 3 the pending application.
- 4 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I second. The
- 5 motion carries. The order will be suspended until the
- 6 rehearing takes place.
- 7 MR. BRANCARD: So a date. We have
- 8 Commission hearing dates scheduled after the legislative
- 9 session of April 11th and May 9th.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MARKS: April 11th would work
- 11 well for me or May 9th, actually. I think the kiddos
- 12 are still in school then, so May 9th would actually --
- 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Is there any major
- 14 conflict with May 9th?
- We'll set this rehearing for May 9th.
- Okay. Does anybody have questions as to
- 17 the process?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Mr. Chair,
- 19 Commissioners, if I may make a request in terms of the
- 20 notice.
- 21 MR. BRANCARD: Mr. Schlenker, could you
- 22 introduce yourself for the record?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Apologies. I've
- 24 been here a few times the last couple of months.
- 25 Erik Schlenker-Goodrich, with Western

1 Environmental Law Center, representing San Juan Citizens

- 2 Alliance.
- I'd like to respectfully request that in
- 4 terms of notice, if notice could be considered also to
- 5 the Navajo Nation and also to individual Navajo chapters
- 6 in the Blanco-Mesaverde Formation or approximate to the
- 7 Blanco-Mesaverde Formation.
- 8 And then the second is a request for
- 9 clarification. Commissioner Marks asked about
- 10 rulemaking proceeding. That was an issue that San Juan
- 11 Citizens Alliance had raised. And so whether
- 12 essentially are we proceeding as a procedural matter,
- 13 sort of a hybrid adjudicatory end rulemaking process?
- 14 So those two aspects.
- 15 MR. BRANCARD: Mr. Chairman, we have taken
- 16 the position that this is an adjudicatory matter, and
- 17 there are procedures for notice of adjudicatory matters.
- 18 But the Commission certainly -- and it's certainly doing
- 19 it right now -- can obviously ask that additional notice
- 20 be provided in the proceedings because it's your
- 21 proceeding. If you want to ask for additional notice,
- 22 you can do so. I hesitate to call this a rulemaking
- 23 because it triggers lots of other statutory
- 24 requirements, New Mexico Administrative Code; we have to
- 25 codify this, et cetera. So I think we're better off

1 continuing to view this as an adjudicatory matter but

- 2 with additional notice that the Commission may require.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Mr. Chairman, as
- 4 Mr. Brancard just stated, I think some of the additional
- 5 rulemaking procedures that the legislature passed during
- 6 the last legislative session will trigger some
- 7 additional requirements that may or may not -- probably
- 8 may not be necessary in this case. But the publication
- 9 in the newspaper -- in the newspapers and I think
- 10 counsel's suggestion of notice to the Navajo Nation and
- 11 to the chapters as an additional notice requirement, I
- would make a motion that the Applicant also notice the
- 13 Navajo Nation and the chapters as well.
- 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Now, the Navajo
- 15 Nation, I don't see as a problem. The individual
- 16 chapter houses is something the OCD, this Commission has
- 17 not had experience with. I think I would have to ask
- 18 that a list be provided for notice.
- 19 MR. BRANCARD: I believe the Nation has
- 20 maps of what chapter boundaries are, so it should be
- 21 pretty easy to figure out the overlap of the chapter
- 22 boundaries with the pool area.
- 23 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Is there not a
- 24 central place -- if we notice the Navajo Nation, would
- 25 that notice not suffice to get to the chapter houses?

- 1 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Mr. Chair,
- 2 Commissioners, the Navajo Nation is sort of a local
- 3 government versus national government dynamic. I think
- 4 San Juan Citizens Alliance would be happy to work
- 5 with -- there is a council -- there is a chapter
- 6 representative back there (indicating), Mario Atencio.
- 7 We'd be happy to work with the chapters to provide a
- 8 list of chapters promptly to the Commission and to
- 9 Hilcorp with those notice provisions, if that's
- 10 acceptable, to help out in that process.
- 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I think we would
- 12 need that relatively quickly, so something like two
- 13 weeks from today's date.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: More than
- 15 reasonable. Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MARKS: And perhaps a
- 17 representative from the Nation is comfortable with that
- 18 as well?
- MR. ATENCIO: Yes. Thank you.
- 20 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: So the motion has
- 21 been seconded. The motion now, as I understand it,
- 22 would be that notice goes to the Navajo Nation. This
- 23 Commission will be provided with a list to notice
- 24 chapter houses. I second that motion. The motion
- 25 carries.

1 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you,

- 2 Commissioners.
- 3 MR. BRANCARD: In regard to the question
- 4 about adjudicatory versus rulemaking, one of the issues
- 5 that's been brought up -- and I think would be very easy
- 6 to coordinate here -- is that a rulemaking normally has
- 7 a 30-day public-notice period. I mean, our rules are a
- 8 20-day public notice period.
- 9 MS. DAVIDSON: Right.
- 10 MR. BRANCARD: So we have lots of time
- 11 between now and the hearing date. I think it will be
- 12 pretty easy to require that the notices -- the
- 13 publication notices, which we will do --
- MS. DAVIDSON: (Indicating.)
- 15 MR. BRANCARD: -- although I think Hilcorp
- 16 also does it -- has done it in the past, be done at
- 17 least 30 days in advance of the May 11th [sic] date.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I'll make a motion for
- 19 notice to be at least 30 days prior to the May 9th
- 20 hearing date.
- 21 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: So as I understand,
- 22 the motion is that all notice will be made 30 days prior
- 23 to May 9th. I second that motion, and the motion
- 24 carries.
- 25 Are there any other procedural questions?

- 1 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Yes, sir,
- 3 Mr. Feldewert.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: Michael Feldewert, with the
- 5 Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of the
- 6 Applicant in this case, Hilcorp Energy Company.
- 7 First off, I understand -- I'm assuming
- 8 that there will be a written decision that will be
- 9 issued by the Commission encapsulating all of these
- 10 rulings?
- MR. BRANCARD: We will try to do that.
- 12 Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
- 14 Secondly -- Mr. Wade, I was unclear --
- 15 which motion were you addressing in making your
- 16 decision?
- 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I moved to rehear
- 18 this case based on our own powers. That was seconded by
- 19 Commissioner Marks.
- 20 MR. FELDEWERT: So you didn't address any
- 21 applications for rehearing?
- 22 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: That's correct.
- 23 MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Now, the agenda
- 24 indicates that you are to consider applications for
- rehearing, not your own motion, number one.

1 Number two, are you aware that the San Juan

- 2 Citizens Alliance did not file their application for
- 3 rehearing?
- 4 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I am aware. I'm
- 5 making this motion independently as a member of this
- 6 Commission. So these types of issues that you're
- 7 raising are beyond the scope of the motion that I made.
- 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. So there is no
- 9 debate that they did not file?
- 10 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I would object to
- 11 that.
- 12 Counsel for San Juan Citizens Alliance.
- Notice was provided. I provided the
- 14 briefing to Bill Brancard on January 3rd. It was posted
- 15 to the docket on January 4th. We concede and apologize
- 16 that it was not timely filed. That was, frankly, our
- 17 mistake, a product of email and filing on Christmas Eve.
- 18 To the degree this is a relevant issue, we would move
- 19 the Commission to exercise its discretion to waive
- 20 timely notice given that the briefing was, in fact,
- 21 filed.
- 22 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: This decision was
- 23 made based on a motion I made as a commissioner. All
- 24 matters of standing and other procedural issues will be
- 25 heard at rehearing.

- 1 Commissioner Marks, please.
- 2 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Mr. Feldewert, if you
- 3 are alleging any violations of the Open Meetings Act
- 4 because of the notice, I believe we can certainly
- 5 discuss the pending -- we can start with the pending
- 6 State Land Office application for rehearing, if that is
- 7 a contention that you have before the Commission right
- 8 now.
- 9 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm afraid, Ms. Marks, you
- 10 misapprehend my question. The point being that the --
- 11 as a jurisdictional requirement, the application for
- 12 rehearing has to filed within a certain period of time
- 13 after the entry of the decision. That did not occur
- 14 here with respect to the San Juan Citizens Alliance
- 15 motion. It's a judicial issue. So that motion is not
- 16 viable. But I understand that you're not granting
- 17 either motion. You're moving on your own motion.
- 18 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: That's correct.
- 19 MR. FELDEWERT: If I may approach.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Is there any objection
- 21 to the Chairman's own motion?
- MR. FELDEWERT: If I may approach.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Okay.
- 24 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: If I may request
- 25 that a copy be provided to counsel for San Juan Citizens

- 1 Alliance and to the State Land Office.
- 2 MR. FELDEWERT: (Complies.)
- 3 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: This body has a
- 5 long-standing tradition of being composed of people with
- 6 special expertise in the area of oil and gas production.
- 7 And as you'll see from the first page, the courts give
- 8 this Commission specialized expertise when it pertains
- 9 to the regulation and conservation of oil and gas, the
- 10 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative
- 11 rights. And that's precisely because this Commission is
- 12 normally comprised of individual with particular
- 13 expertise in oil and gas production such as geologists,
- 14 engineers and other persons with that particular
- 15 expertise.
- 16 The remaining pages of the handout that I
- 17 gave you set forth the requirements for those that
- 18 must -- that they exist for those who sit here on this
- 19 Commission. And I'd note -- go to the -- in particular,
- 20 which is Section 70-2-5, which requires that anyone
- 21 sitting on this Division -- or this Commission as the
- 22 director of the Oil Conservation Division or as its
- 23 acting director must be a registered petroleum engineer
- 24 or an engineer by experience and education in the field
- 25 of petroleum engineering.

```
1 Mr. Wade, I know you're a very good
```

- 2 attorney, but I do not believe that you are an engineer,
- 3 so I don't see how you can sit on this Commission here
- 4 today as the director of the Oil Conservation Division.
- 5 MR. BRANCARD: Mr. Feldewert, are you
- 6 making a motion to challenge?
- 7 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. BRANCARD: (Indicating.)
- 9 MR. FELDEWERT: So as a result --
- 10 MR. BRANCARD: I would like you to say what
- 11 you're going to say. I mean, instead of giving a
- 12 speech, tell us what you're moving and what you're
- 13 asking for.
- MR. FELDEWERT: If I may.
- MR. BRANCARD: Yes.
- 16 MR. FELDEWERT: You've interrupted me.
- 17 What I'm asking for is -- or what I'm
- 18 pointing out is that it's my understanding that the --
- 19 that Mr. Wade is not either a registered petroleum
- 20 engineer or an engineer by education and experience and,
- 21 therefore, is not qualified to sit on this Commission,
- 22 so I would move to have him removed from this Commission
- on the grounds that he does not meet the statutory
- 24 qualifications.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MARKS: I do believe -- just

1 for engagement here, this is a very interesting -- the

- 2 statute was actually brought to my attention over the
- 3 weekend. And the first part, B1, is pretty interesting
- 4 as well, and the first part is "a resident of this
- 5 state." And I don't know if we should get into this
- 6 conversation now or not discussing the former director
- 7 and whether she was a resident of this state and
- 8 validating all former decisions of the director, if we
- 9 are discussing the qualifications and all -- ratifying
- 10 all decisions of the director and whether she was
- 11 properly sitting as Chair of the Commission.
- However, if we go to B3, "by virtue of
- 13 experience, " I will note, from working with Mr. Wade,
- 14 Mr. Wade has served as counsel for the Commission, has
- 15 certainly gained a tremendous amount of experience in
- 16 the oil and gas industry, has, I believe, gone to the
- 17 field, worked with a number of the district offices, and
- 18 now is the deputy director for the Oil Conservation
- 19 Division and has gained a great deal of experience in
- 20 petroleum engineering, and I believe, in my opinion,
- 21 meets the qualifications of 70-2-5B to serve as the
- 22 acting director of the Oil Conservation Division.
- 23 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Thank you,
- 24 Commissioner Marks.
- I'm comfortable with denying your motion.

1 MR. FELDEWERT: Secondly -- I wish I was

- 2 prepared to argue the merits of the motion, but I guess,
- 3 since it's your own motion, it doesn't matter.
- 4 I appreciate your time here today. I'm
- 5 obviously concerned with the procedural aspects here
- 6 today, the politics that appear to have been implemented
- 7 in here and the total disregard for the decisions that
- 8 were made by the prior Commission, which was comprised
- 9 of long-standing individuals with expertise and
- 10 knowledge on this Commission, including Mr. Ed Martin
- 11 who sat on this Commission as the designee of the State
- 12 Land Office at that time and served in that capacity for
- 13 a number of years. And he was only removed because he
- 14 chose to apply the facts to the issues that were
- 15 presented to him --
- 16 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I would object.
- 17 Is counsel for Hilcorp providing evidence or making a
- 18 statement? It's unclear to me what's going on at this
- 19 point.
- 20 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I think we'll allow
- 21 Mr. Feldewert to have his say and allow the other
- 22 parties to give a brief statement if they wish.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.
- 24 MR. FELDEWERT: -- and made his decision on
- 25 the request to intervene, some of which weren't timely,

1 some of which were filed more than once, based on the

- 2 evidence and the briefing and the counsel that was
- 3 provided to him at that time.
- 4 And so I think it appears to me -- and I've
- 5 been involved in this for a long time. But this type of
- 6 political shenanigans that are going on here is a low
- 7 point, in my opinion, for the Commission, the lowest
- 8 point that I have seen, other that perhaps what I saw
- 9 during the Pit Rule when they removed a commissioner in
- 10 the morning session and changed in the afternoon
- 11 session. So it's disappointing. I've made my position
- 12 clear as to the merits of this particular matter. And
- 13 we and I think other operators of the San Juan Basin,
- 14 all of which were cognizant and participated in these
- 15 proceedings and were in favor of this density, are
- 16 extremely disappointed with the results here today.
- 17 MS. ANTILLON: Commissioners -- if I may,
- 18 Mr. Chair.
- Thank you, Commissioners.
- 20 My name is Andrea Antillon, and I am here
- 21 representing the Commissioner of Public Lands and the
- 22 State Land Office. And I just wanted to add a few
- 23 comments regarding the Commissioner's appointment. The
- 24 Commissioner, by statute, can appoint whomever she or he
- 25 wants as long as they have the education and experience.

1 And that person serves on this Commission on behalf of

- 2 the Commissioner, and the Commissioner can change that
- 3 appointment at any time and as long as it's -- as you
- 4 stated, as long as the new designee meets those
- 5 requirements under 70-2-5.
- 6 And so when the prior Commissioner Dunn
- 7 decided to sit on the Commission himself, he had the
- 8 expertise, after four years of working as Commissioner
- 9 for the State Land Office, to do so, and it was his
- 10 choice to remove Mr. Martin who had served on his behalf
- 11 at that time.
- 12 I think the Commissioner of Public Lands
- 13 realizes that this is an important decision and takes
- 14 care to appoint somebody that they believe will act in
- 15 the best of the interest of the OCC and in the best
- 16 interest of the public and -- so I take note on behalf
- 17 of the State Land Office as to what Mr. Feldewert has
- 18 said, and I just wanted to make those comments known.
- 19 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 Any other comments?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Yeah, just one
- 22 brief comment.
- I want to say exactly what I said back in
- 24 November at this hearing, that there is an opportunity
- 25 to do this process right. We recognize that Hilcorp has

- 1 a right to seek a doubling of its well density in the
- 2 area. We don't think its application was well tailored,
- 3 but we certainly think that they are entitled to bring
- 4 that argument. At the same time, we need to work
- 5 together as New Mexicans to bring all stakeholders to
- 6 the table to ensure that when you make these decisions,
- 7 these decisions are, in fact, in the public interest,
- 8 not the corporate oil and gas industry interest. And so
- 9 I appreciate the Commission's motion sua sponte to
- 10 rehear this case, and I look forward to San Juan
- 11 Citizens Alliance bringing its arguments on May 9th.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Commissioner Marks.
- 14 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Thank you,
- 15 Mr. Chairman.
- 16 Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Feldewert's comment, I
- 17 certainly was not here for any of the prior hearings,
- 18 and any implications that this Commission or the State
- 19 Land Office has prejudged the merits of the application
- 20 is quite offensive to me. I -- I think the -- the State
- 21 Land Office -- the Enabling Act certainly creates a
- 22 charitable trust, and it's in the interest of the State
- 23 Land Office to gain and get as much money as possible to
- 24 the beneficiaries of -- of the trust? And if we -- if
- 25 we look at the Enabling Act, I think that goes to show

1 as to why perhaps the State Land Office, looking at the

- 2 application for rehearing filed by the State Land Office
- and looking at those merits and the merits of that
- 4 application, why under the Enabling Act perhaps the
- 5 State Land Office should have been a stakeholder and
- 6 should have been a party to those proceedings. And it
- 7 is for that reason and that reason alone that I would
- 8 make a motion to grant the State Land Office's
- 9 application for a rehearing. When you look at the New
- 10 Mexico constitution and -- and not to violate those
- 11 statutory duties and to make sure parties that should be
- 12 represented before this Commission are parties to a
- 13 proceeding.
- 14 There is a motion there, Mr. Chairman,
- 15 to consider the State Land Office's application for
- 16 rehearing.
- 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: As I stated and I
- 18 think where we left off procedurally, that any questions
- 19 as to standing or other procedural issues will be heard
- 20 at the rehearing. That is the motion and the way we had
- 21 left that, my understanding of it. So I think maybe
- 22 your motion might be better heard at the next hearing
- 23 where argument can be made.
- MR. BRANCARD: I think Ms. Marks' motion is
- 25 to grant the State Land Office's request for rehearing.

- 1 So you're granting the rehearing on two grounds?
- 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Essentially.
- 4 COMMISSIONER MARKS: That's correct, under
- 5 the sua sponte motion and the State Land Office's
- 6 application for rehearing as well.
- 7 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Will the -- what's
- 8 the effect of the granting of the State Land Office's
- 9 motion at this time?
- 10 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Another -- another
- 11 grounds to grant the rehearing in addition. I believe
- 12 there were two pending applications for rehearing. We
- 13 would not be entertaining the San Juan Citizens Alliance
- 14 application for rehearing, but we entertained the sua
- 15 sponte motion for a rehearing brought by the Chair and
- 16 then the pending application for rehearing by the State
- 17 Land Office.
- ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Mr. Brancard, do you
- 19 have any input?
- 20 MR. BRANCARD: No. To me I think it's
- 21 perfectly acceptable for you to grant the rehearing on
- 22 two grounds.
- 23 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Okay. Then I will
- 24 second that motion, and the motion carries.
- MR. FELDEWERT: No argument, Mr. Chairman?

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: We will hear

- 2 argument, if there is going to be, at rehearing. That
- 3 is when we will be better prepared to hear that
- 4 argument.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Perhaps a motion to
- 6 reconsider at that time. But I did see a response by
- 7 Hilcorp to the State Land Office's application. I just
- 8 don't think argument is necessary at this time.
- 9 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: So be prepared for
- 10 whatever arguments you want to make at rehearing.
- 11 Anything further?
- 12 MR. BRANCARD: Do we have a date?
- ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: We have a date.
- 14 MR. BRANCARD: I will draft a proposed
- order and send it to the two Commissioners. Do you want
- 16 to give the acting Chair the authority to sign the
- order, so we don't have to meet --
- 18 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Yes, because I believe
- 19 under Statute 70-2-4, we have it anyway. But yes, I'll
- 20 make a motion to give the Chair authority to sign the
- 21 order. Actually -- yes.
- 22 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: I second the motion
- 23 to carry. So I will be able to sign the order that will
- 24 be forthcoming.
- 25 Any other procedural issues?

1 MR. BRANCARD: I think we're fine for now.

- 2 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Yes.
- 3 Mr. Chair, I would just -- I would just
- 4 note that I looked at the docket. Perhaps this is not
- 5 acceptable, but I looked at the pending docket for
- 6 January 17th. If counsel could reach out to -- I notice
- 7 the dockets are quite large before the Commission and
- 8 the Division. But if all counsel in those cases, if we
- 9 could maybe get proposed stipulated findings submitted
- 10 to the Commission before the hearing, that might
- 11 expedite the hearings before the Commission and
- 12 certainly would do so before the Division as well. And
- 13 then that would allow the Commission to hear more cases
- 14 that are pending before it. So if counsel for the
- 15 Commission could reach out to any parties that have
- 16 filed appearances in those cases, that may help the
- 17 Commission, although I would ask that those proposed
- 18 findings be filed with the Commission maybe at least ten
- 19 days before -- I guess in the 17th case, that would be
- 20 hard. In that case maybe five days or so beforehand, as
- 21 a matter of transparency so the public can see the
- 22 proposed findings and comment on those findings as well.
- MR. BRANCARD: There are a lot of cases
- 24 listed for the January 17th docket. A lot of those are
- 25 parts of single hearings, so there are actually fewer

Page 29 1 hearings. I don't know. 2 Have we had any other requests for 3 continuances yet, Florene? MS. DAVIDSON: No. 4 5 MR. BRANCARD: So we may be going forward 6 with a number of these. I think there is a possibility for stipulations because a number of these cases were already heard before with the Division. And so while 9 it's de novo, they can certainly agree in advance which 10 of the facts that the Division could be agreed to. 11 12 Florene and I will check and see which cases are actually going forward. 13 14 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Okay. 15 ACTING CHAIRMAN WADE: Okay. If there is nothing further, this meeting is adjourned. 16 17 (The proceedings conclude, 9:37 a.m.) 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- 16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- 20 DATED THIS 11th day of January 2019.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20

Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25