STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF NOVO OIL AND GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 20185

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

January 10th, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
KATHLEEN MURPHY, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner; Kathleen Murphy and Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiners; and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, January 10th, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT NOVO OIL AND GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE,	LLC:
3	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART, LLP	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
6	jlkessler@hollandhart.com	
7		
8	INDEX	
9		PAGE
10	Case Number 20185 Called	3
11	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
12	Proceedings Conclude	7
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	8
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	Novo Oil & Gas Northern Delaware, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3	7
18		7
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (2:07 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: Call Case 20185,
- 3 application of Novo Oil & Gas Northern Delaware, LLC for
- 4 compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- 6 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners and
- 7 Ms. Examiner, Jordan Kessler, from the Santa Fe office
- 8 of Holland & Hart, on behalf of the Applicant, Novo.
- 9 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Any other
- 10 appearances in this case? This is Case Number 20185.
- MS. KESSLER: We're presenting this case by
- 12 affidavit, and if you would turn to Exhibit 1, this is
- 13 the affidavit of the landman, Brandon Patrick. He
- 14 states in paragraph five that in this case he's seeking
- 15 to compulsory pool the Wolfcamp Formation, Purple Sage;
- 16 Wolfcamp Gas Pool, in the 640-acre spacing unit
- 17 comprised of the east half of Sections 4 and 9 in 23
- 18 South, 28 East, Eddy County, and that'll be dedicated to
- 19 the Goonch Fed Com 0409 #214H well.
- 20 Attached to his affidavit as Exhibit A is a
- 21 C-102 of the proposed well. This is a draft C-102.
- 22 It's been submitted to the BLM but not yet approved.
- 23 Exhibit B shows the interest owners, but
- 24 the working interest owners and the overriding royalty
- 25 interest owners on the second page that he's seeking to

1 pool. These are all working interest owners with whom

- 2 he has had contact, and that's set forth in his
- 3 affidavit. This also includes a well-proposal letter
- 4 and AFE, and all of those costs are in line with other
- 5 wells in the area.
- 6 Exhibit 2 is a geology affidavit from
- 7 Mr. Michael Hale. He attaches a handful of exhibits.
- 8 He's not been accepted before as a certified -- his
- 9 credentials as an expert petroleum geologist have not
- 10 previously been approved, so he sets forth his
- 11 credentials as an expert in petroleum geology and
- 12 attaches, as Exhibit A, his resume. He's had over 11
- 13 years of experience in oil and gas.
- 14 He's also included a structure map of the
- 15 top of the Wolfcamp. They're targeting the X-Y. He
- 16 includes a gross isopach map, a cross section. And then
- 17 all of these exhibits go to show that the Wolfcamp
- 18 Formation X-Y is suitable for development and
- 19 particularly note that the target is X-Y and the well
- 20 will be drilled north to south.
- 21 Exhibit 3 is an affidavit from my office
- 22 signed by Mr. Rankin. As my colleague previously noted,
- 23 there was an issue with the holidays for notice, and so
- 24 we're asking that this case also be continued to January
- 25 24th. Notice has been sent and will be perfected at

- 1 that hearing. There will be no additional exhibits.
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: Was Ascent actually bought
- 3 by Novo or just changed their name? Is that what
- 4 happened?
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Ascent is still --
- 6 EXAMINER JONES: Still there?
- 7 MR. BRUCE: (Indicating.)
- 8 EXAMINER JONES: This guy just worked for
- 9 Ascent and now is a VP of Novo.
- 10 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, unless there
- 11 are questions that I can answer through the affidavit, I
- 12 would ask that these cases -- excuse me -- that the
- 13 exhibits be entered into the record and the case be
- 14 continued to January 24th.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. The land exhibits
- 16 are 1A, 1B and 1C?
- 17 EXAMINER JONES: Yes, Eddy County,
- 18 Wolfcamp, Purple Sage; Wolfcamp.
- 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: It's Purple Sage. That
- 20 isn't filled in on the C-102.
- MS. KESSLER: No, Mr. Examiner. It's not.
- 22 It's pointed out in paragraph 5 of the affidavit.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: And you've got an
- ownership plat, and you've got the ownership broken down
- 25 by -- by percentages of working interests, which are

1 not -- now, wait. I thought you said -- this is not the

- 2 case where you had only one working interest owner,
- 3 right?
- 4 MS. KESSLER: That was the prior case.
- 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: That was the prior case.
- 6 That's the trouble with doing too many cases.
- 7 So you've got a lot of working interest
- 8 owners, but they're all broken out by tract on Exhibit
- 9 B, looks like.
- 10 MS. KESSLER: Yes. That's right.
- 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: And Exhibit C is just a
- 12 notice letter. You sent notice to everybody?
- MS. KESSLER: Exhibit C is a well-proposal
- 14 letter, Mr. Examiner. The notice exhibit is included as
- my colleague's affidavit, Exhibit 3.
- 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. You sent a notice
- 17 to -- you sent notice to all the working interest
- 18 owners?
- 19 MS. KESSLER: That's correct, and the
- 20 overriding royalty interest owners.
- 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: And the overrides.
- What land type is this?
- MS. KESSLER: As you can see in Exhibit B,
- there are two federal leases and one fee lease.
- 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good. Thank

Page 7 you. I think that covers everything I need to ask. EXAMINER JONES: The 8,000 and 800 sounds good. So with that, we'll admit the exhibits in Case Number 20185 and continue it to January 24. (Novo Oil & Gas Northern Delaware, LLC Exhibit Number 1 through 3 are offered and admitted into evidence.) (Case Number 20185 concludes, 2:13 p.m.)

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- 16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- 20 DATED THIS 31st day of January 2019.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20

Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25