

1                               STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2                               ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3                               OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

4       IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
5       BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
6       THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

7       APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION                   CASE NOS. 20365,  
8       COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY                   20366  
9       COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

10                              REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

11                              EXAMINER HEARING

12                              April 4, 2019

13                              Santa Fe, New Mexico

14       BEFORE:   MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER  
15                   TERRY WARNELL, TECHNICAL EXAMINER  
16                   PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER  
17                   DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

18       This matter came on for hearing before the  
19       New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,  
20       Chief Examiner; Terry Warnell and Phillip Goetze,  
21       Technical Examiners; and David K. Brooks, Legal  
22       Examiner, on Thursday, April 4, 2019, at the New Mexico  
23       Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,  
24       Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive,  
25       Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

26       REPORTED BY:   Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
27                              New Mexico CCR #20  
28                              Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
29                              500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
30                              Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
31                              (505) 843-9241

1 APPEARANCES

2 FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:

3 ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.  
 4 HOLLAND & HART, LLC  
 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
 5 (505) 988-4421  
 agrankin@hollandhart.com  
 6  
 7

8 INDEX

9		PAGE
10	Case Numbers 20365 and 20366 Called	3
11	Matador Production Company's Case-in-Chief:	
12	Witnesses:	
13	Cassie Hahn:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	3
15	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	12
16	Clark Collier:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	13
18	Proceedings Conclude	23
19	Certificate of Court Reporter	24

20 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

21	Matador Production Company's Exhibit	
22	Numbers 1 through 7	12
23	Matador Production Company Exhibit	
24	Numbers 8 through 15	21

25

1 (2:30 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Call the hearing back  
3 to order.

4 Call 20365.

5 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Adam  
6 Rankin, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart,  
7 appearing on behalf of Matador Production company, and  
8 we would like to combine Case 20365 for presentation  
9 purposes with Case Number 20366.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So noted.

11 MR. RANKIN: And I have two witnesses to be  
12 sworn in.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. If the witnesses  
14 will please stand up and be sworn in at this time.

15 (Ms. Hahn and Mr. Collier sworn.)

16 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to call  
17 my first witness.

18 CASSIE HAHN,  
19 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was  
20 questioned and testified as follows:

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. RANKIN:

23 Q. Ms. Hahn, will you state your name for the  
24 record and spell it, please?

25 A. Yes. My name is Cassie Hahn, C-A-S-S-I-E, and

1 last name is H-A-H-N.

2 Q. And have you previously testified before the  
3 Division?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And had your credentials as a petroleum landman  
6 accepted as a matter of record?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that  
9 were filed in these two cases?

10 A. Yes, I am.

11 Q. And you're also familiar with the status of the  
12 lands and you've done a study of the ownership --

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. -- of the lands at issue in these two cases?

15 A. Yes.

16 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would retender  
17 Ms. Hahn as an expert in petroleum land matters.

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

19 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Hahn, I'm going to ask you  
20 to direct your attention to what's been marked as  
21 Exhibit 1 in the exhibit packet before you. Will you  
22 review for the examiners, in reference to that exhibit,  
23 what it is that Matador is seeking with these two cases?

24 A. Sure. This is a Midland Map showing the leased  
25 area. Matador is seeking to pool one standard Bone

1 Spring spacing unit underlying the east half-east half  
2 of Sections 15 and 22. Sorry. That's not --

3 Q. That's all right.

4 A. That's a typo. It's the west half-west half of  
5 Sections 15 and 22 for two stand-up horizontal wells.

6 In Case Number 20366, we are seeking to  
7 pool one standard Wolfcamp spacing unit underlying the  
8 west half of Sections 15 and 22 for four stand-up  
9 horizontal wells.

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me. I don't have  
11 a copy of the exhibits in this case. That's probably  
12 not significant. I probably don't need one, but as far  
13 as I know, I don't have one. I was looking in the wrong  
14 place.

15 MR. RANKIN: I thought I brought it up  
16 earlier. There you go.

17 EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you.

18 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) So in Case 20365, that exhibit  
19 corresponds with Exhibit Number 1, and Matador is  
20 seeking to pool the west half-west half of Sections 15  
21 and 22 for the Bone Spring; is that correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And in Case Number 20366, on Exhibit 2, Matador  
24 is seeking to pool the west half of 15 and 22 in the  
25 Wolfcamp; is that right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And then what is the ownership or nature of the  
3 acreage at issue here in these two cases?

4 A. In both cases, there are two federal leases.

5 Q. Okay. And has there been a pool assigned --  
6 pool code assigned to the Bone Spring wells?

7 A. Yes. The Bone Spring is Jennings; Bone Spring,  
8 West, and the Wolfcamp is Purple Sage; Wolfcamp.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: What's the number?

10 THE WITNESS: The Jennings; Bone Spring,  
11 West is pool code number 97860.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And other one is Purple  
13 Sage?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, 98220.

15 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) And, Ms. Hahn, has Matador  
16 prepared draft C-102s for each of these wells?

17 A. Yes, we have.

18 Q. And are those marked as Exhibits 3 and 4?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Will you review for the examiners the land  
21 plats that are in Exhibits 3 and 4?

22 A. Sure. Exhibit 3 is the Bone Spring well, which  
23 are the Boros Fed Com #101H and the 121H. These are  
24 showing 320-acre spacing units in the west half-west  
25 half of Sections 15 and 22, 26 South, 31 East.

1 Q. And as to the Bone Spring wells in Exhibit 3,  
2 are the setbacks compliant with the statewide pool rules  
3 for oil wells?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And Exhibit 4. Will you review for the  
6 examiners what Exhibit 4 shows?

7 A. Yes. Exhibit 4 is our C-102s for our Wolfcamp  
8 wells. These are for the Boros Fed Com 201, 202, 221  
9 and 222, and these are 360-acre -- sorry -- 640-acre  
10 spacing units in the west half of Sections 15 and 22, 26  
11 South, 31 East.

12 Q. And, again, the completed intervals for each of  
13 these wells that you're proposing are going to be  
14 compliant with the special pool rules for the Purple  
15 Sage; Wolfcamp pool?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Now, Ms. Hahn, Matador is proposing multiple  
18 wells -- initial wells in each of these cases. Does  
19 Matador have plans to batch drill or drill these and  
20 complete them sequentially?

21 A. Yes. So we will batch drill these. At this  
22 time I'm not sure about how many or the sequence of  
23 them.

24 Q. Okay. And as a consequence of drilling them --  
25 when you say batch drill them, you mean you're going to

1 drill them more or less at the same time or in sequence?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. As a consequence of that, does Matador need  
4 more than 120 days to drill and complete these wells?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Are you asking for one year to do that work?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Now, moving on to your next exhibit, Exhibit 5,  
9 have you identified all the parties that Matador is  
10 seeking to pool in this case?

11 A. Sure. We only have one party. It is  
12 Occidental Permian Limited Partnership. They are an  
13 uncommitted working interest owner, and they own 12.5  
14 percent in the Bone Spring wells.

15 Q. So OXY is the only working interest that you're  
16 seeking to pool?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And in this case, are there any overrides that  
19 need to be pooled as well?

20 A. Yes. If you turn to the third page, there are  
21 four overrides.

22 Q. And those four overrides exist as to both cases  
23 in the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp?

24 A. Yes. Correct.

25 Q. Now, has Matador been in contact with OXY



1 negotiating the well proposals that it sent?

2 A. Yes, we have.

3 Q. And are those well proposals marked as Exhibit  
4 Number -- they may have been left out of the exhibit  
5 packet. Are they in yours?

6 A. No.

7 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'll have to  
8 supplement the exhibit packet. Unfortunately, the  
9 well-proposal letters were not included.

10 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Hahn, did Matador send  
11 well-proposal letters to OXY proposing each of the wells  
12 that are identified in this case?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And did Matador also include an AFE, an  
15 estimated well costs, with its well-proposal letters?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And were those well costs that you identified  
18 in the AFE, which we will supplement to the Division,  
19 consistent with what Matador and other operators have  
20 incurred for drilling similar wells in the area?

21 A. Yes, they are.

22 Q. And did you include an AFE for each of the  
23 wells that were identified?

24 A. Yes, we did.

25 Q. And you proposed each of these wells to OXY?

1           A.     Correct.   Yes.

2           Q.     Now, with respect to OXY, you testified that  
3     you were also -- that you've been continuing discussions  
4     with them; is that right?

5           A.     Yes.   Yes, we have.

6           Q.     Now, are you aware of any issues with the  
7     notice of this case that might have went to OXY?

8           A.     We just found out that the green card did get  
9     returned.   However, it was sent to the record -- the  
10    address on record.

11          Q.     So this is a federal lease, so Matador sent the  
12    notice of pooling to OXY as it was listed in the BLM  
13    records?

14          A.     Yes.

15          Q.     But it was returned -- we got notice it was  
16    returned to sender?

17          A.     Right.

18          Q.     But you've been in discussions with OXY.   And  
19    if you are able to reach agreement with OXY, will you  
20    dismiss OXY from the pooling in this case?

21          A.     Yes.

22                   MR. RANKIN:   Mr. Examiner, marked as  
23    Exhibit Number 7 -- rather, 6 is the affidavit prepared  
24    by my office in Case Number 20365 reflecting notice was  
25    sent to each of the overrides and working interests in

1     this case.

2                   Following the affidavit is a notice letter  
3     and the United States postal tracking information sheet  
4     showing the parties were sent a certified green card --  
5     certified notice by green card.  You'll see that the OXY  
6     notice was return to sender, but the other notices to  
7     the overrides were either received or in transit.

8                   In addition to the notification by letter,  
9     we published notice identifying each of the overrides  
10    and working interest owners being pooled.  That's the  
11    last page of Exhibit Number 6.

12                  Exhibit 7 is a copy of the affidavit for  
13    Case Number 20366 indicating that we provided notice to  
14    the working interest owner, OXY, and the overrides in  
15    that case as well.  Behind my affidavit is a letter  
16    providing notice in that case, along with the USPS  
17    tracking service sheet showing that each of those  
18    parties was sent a green card by certified mail.  Again,  
19    the OXY notice was return to sender.

20                  The last page of that exhibit is a Notice  
21    of Publication reflecting that the overrides were  
22    identified by name, along with the working interests  
23    that we sought to pool, and a Notice of Publication.

24                  Q.     (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Hahn, were Exhibits 1  
25    through 6 either prepared by you or under your -- I'm

1     sorry -- 1 through 8 either prepared by you or under  
2     your direction and supervision?

3             A.     Yes.

4                     MR. RANKIN:   Mr. Examiner, I would tender  
5     the admission of Exhibits 1 through 8, with the note  
6     that I would request the ability to supplement the  
7     exhibits with the well-proposal letter and AFEs.

8                     EXAMINER McMILLAN:   It's really 1 through  
9     7.

10                    MR. RANKIN:   Right. Did I say 8?

11                    EXAMINER McMILLAN:   Yeah.

12                    Exhibits 1 through 7 may now be accepted as  
13     part of the record.

14                    (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers  
15     1 through 7 are offered and admitted into  
16     evidence.)

17                    MR. RANKIN:   And just to clarify that we do  
18     request that we be able to supplement the AFE and the  
19     well-proposal letters for each of the wells that we  
20     propose.

21                                       CROSS-EXAMINATION

22     BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

23             Q.     Were there any depth severances?

24             A.     No.

25                    EXAMINER McMILLAN:   Go ahead.

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

2 EXAMINER GOETZE: No questions.

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Thank you.

4 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

5 I'd ask to call our second witness,  
6 Mr. Clark Collier.

7 CLARK COLLIER,  
8 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
9 questioned and testified as follows:

10 DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. RANKIN:

12 Q. Mr. Collier, would you state your full name for  
13 the record?

14 A. Clark Collier.

15 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what  
16 capacity?

17 A. Matador Resources Company as a geologist.

18 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
19 Division?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Have you had your credentials as an expert in  
22 petroleum geology accepted as a matter of record?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Have you conducted a study of the geology in  
25 the area surrounding these proposed wells?

1           A.     Yes.

2           Q.     In both the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp?

3           A.     Yes, sir.

4                     MR. RANKIN:   Mr. Examiner, I would like to  
5     retender at this time Mr. Clark Collier as an expert in  
6     petroleum geology.

7                     EXAMINER McMILLAN:   So qualified.

8           Q.     (BY MR. RANKIN) Mr. Collier, will you please  
9     turn to what's been marked -- we'll take each of these  
10    cases one at a time.   So let's first address Case Number  
11    20365, which is the Bone Spring Formation.   Will you  
12    review for the examiners what's been marked as Exhibit  
13    Number 8?

14          A.     Yes.   This is just a locator map identifying  
15    the project area.

16          Q.     Okay.   So the project area for the proposed  
17    Bone Spring wells are within that red box; is that  
18    right?

19          A.     That's right.

20          Q.     And what's Exhibit Number 9?

21          A.     Exhibit Number 9 is a structure map.   It's  
22    created on the top of the Bone Spring, and the depths  
23    are in TVD subsea.   You can see the control points  
24    indicated by the bold Z with the colon and then the  
25    negative subsea value.   We also have a cross-section

1 line indicated on this -- on this structure map. And I  
2 apologize again. I recognize that that's not your  
3 preference, so we'll change that going forward.

4 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thanks.

5 THE WITNESS: Also highlighted is the  
6 project area here. And as you can see, the formation is  
7 dipping slightly to the east at about 1 or 2 degrees.

8 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) And in your assessment,  
9 Mr. Collier, looking at the geology here, have you  
10 identified any impediments or faulting or pinch-outs or  
11 geologic impediments that would impair your ability to  
12 drill two-mile horizontal wells in this area of the Bone  
13 Spring?

14 A. No, I haven't.

15 And I forgot to point out that the  
16 currently producing horizontal wells are also indicated  
17 by the color coding on the right side of the -- on the  
18 legend over there.

19 Q. And this map also reflects that you prepared a  
20 cross section; is that right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And those are the -- forgive me if I'm  
23 restating this, but did you already identify the cross  
24 section?

25 A. I did. I think it's from A to A prime,

1 trending from north to south.

2 Q. And the wells that you've identified, are they  
3 representative, in your opinion, of the geology in the  
4 area?

5 A. They are.

6 Q. Is your cross section the next exhibit, Exhibit  
7 Number 10?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Will you review for the examiners what this is?

10 A. This is the cross section as identified on the  
11 previous exhibit. These three wells represent the Bone  
12 Spring Formation, and we've highlighted the upper Boros  
13 101 target -- that's the Avalon shale -- in the light  
14 red, the pink shade. And then the 2nd Bone Spring is  
15 the target for the Boros 102H.

16 Q. And in your opinion, Mr. Collier, is the Bone  
17 Spring Formation continuous and apparent throughout the  
18 entire spacing unit that you're proposing?

19 A. It is.

20 Q. Same with the target intervals that you've  
21 depicted?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Now, what conclusions have you drawn based on  
24 the study of the geology in the area?

25 A. That this -- these projects are in the best



1 interest of conservation of oil and natural gas, that  
2 our target intervals are continuous across the project  
3 area and that every quarter-quarter will produce more or  
4 less equally.

5 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of this  
6 application be in the best interest of conservation, the  
7 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative  
8 rights?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Now, Mr. Collier, you have another set of  
11 exhibits marked as Number 11 here. What do these show?

12 A. So these are cartoons of our wellbores. The  
13 main point of these exhibits is to show that we will be  
14 abiding by the setback rules and get our surface-hole  
15 location.

16 Q. So you've got a cartoon for each one of the  
17 proposed wells in the Bone Spring?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. And each one shows that they're going to be --  
20 the toe and the heel are going to be within the setbacks  
21 required?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. Now, let's talk about the next case, which  
24 deals with the Wolfcamp Formation. That's Case Number  
25 20366. You've got a locator map, which is your Exhibit

1 Number 12; is that right?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And, again, it just shows the project area  
4 surrounded by the red outline?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. And your Exhibit Number 13, what does that  
7 show?

8 A. So this is another structure map, this time on  
9 the top of the Wolfcamp Formation. Just like the Bone  
10 Spring map, this one identifies the control of the Z and  
11 the bold negative numbers are the subsea value. Those  
12 are the control points used for this map. I've also --  
13 it has the same wells on this map showing the offset  
14 producers, and they're color-coded. I've also  
15 highlighted our project area and our projected  
16 surface-hole and bottom-hole location there on the map  
17 and also with the line of cross section, again, on this  
18 structure map from A to A prime.

19 Q. And based on your assessment of the structure  
20 in this area, have you identified any faulting,  
21 pinch-outs or any other sort of geological hazards that  
22 would impair your ability to develop two-mile wells in  
23 this area?

24 A. No, sir.

25 Q. All right. Let's look at your structural

1 cross-section on Exhibit Number 14. Will you review for  
2 the examiners what this shows?

3 A. Yes. This is the cross section as indicated on  
4 the previous exhibit, and we've highlighted two gross  
5 target intervals here, the Upper Wolfcamp target, the  
6 Wolfcamp A. That's our Boros 201 and 202 target. And  
7 then the deeper Wolfcamp target there, the Boros 221 and  
8 222H, we'll be targeting also. I will point out that  
9 I've got kind of a projection of the base of the  
10 Wolfcamp where I put the dashed line and the projection  
11 of our -- a larger regional structure map. That's just  
12 kind of projecting on this cross section. The wells in  
13 this area, very few of them actually penetrate the base  
14 of the pool. So I do believe these wells represent  
15 our -- our target intervals within the Wolfcamp.

16 Q. So based on your study of other wells in the  
17 area, you're confident that the Wolfcamp pool on these  
18 formations are continuous throughout the spacing in this  
19 area?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And that's because you see -- you've seen these  
22 same formation intervals consistent elsewhere in the  
23 immediate area of the spacing unit?

24 A. Absolutely.

25 In addition to that, we have -- seismic

1 shoot over this project area. So that supports that  
2 conclusion as well.

3 Q. Now, Mr. Collier, have you concluded, based on  
4 your assessment of the Wolfcamp, that there are no  
5 geologic hazards or impediments to developing horizontal  
6 wells across the entire spacing unit in this Wolfcamp?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And do you also state that each of the tracts  
9 of the land will contribute more or less equally  
10 proportionately to the direction in the wells that are  
11 being proposed?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And would you also anticipate that horizontal  
14 drilling in this area would be the most efficient and  
15 effective means of producing hydrocarbons in this  
16 region?

17 A. I do.

18 Q. And is it your opinion that the granting of  
19 Matador's application is in the best interest of  
20 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection  
21 of correlative rights?

22 A. It is.

23 Q. Again, Mr. -- Mr. Collier, you have an Exhibit  
24 15 here, which is similar to the exhibit you had for the  
25 Bone Spring wells. Will you please explain what Exhibit

1 15 shows?

2 A. Yes, sir. This is, again, a cartoon diagram of  
3 the proposed wellbores, and we outline the surface-hole  
4 location and the take points. And it shows that we will  
5 be abiding by the rules and where we set our  
6 perforations.

7 Q. So both the heel and toe will be within the  
8 setbacks required in this case for the special pool  
9 rules for the Wolf Sage -- Purple Sage; Wolfcamp?

10 A. That's correct.

11 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, with that, I  
12 have no further questions.

13 I would ask that Exhibits 8 through 15 be  
14 admitted into the record in Case Numbers 20365 and  
15 20366.

16 No further questions. Pass the witness.

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 8 through 15  
18 in Case Numbers 20365 and 20366 may now be accepted as  
19 part of the record.

20 (Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers  
21 8 through 15 are offered and admitted into  
22 evidence.)

23 MR. RANKIN: No further questions.

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Do you have any  
25 questions?

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: No.

2 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no questions for  
3 this witness.

4 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I don't either.

5 MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I  
6 would ask that those two cases, 20365 and 20366, be  
7 taken under advisement.

8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Just for clarity  
9 purposes, there are technically unlocatable interests,  
10 right, because of the unreturned --

11 MR. RANKIN: Well, I don't think it's  
12 technical because we've identified them, and, you know,  
13 we're using their address of record.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: But if it was returned,  
15 since you ran the newspaper ad, you put that in writing.

16 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. I think it's  
17 unlocatable if you don't know where they are. It's  
18 unclear why the address hasn't been updated, but OXY  
19 updated their address on records.

20 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think the language of  
21 the rule is something like if the operator is not  
22 reached at that correct address, then the operator -- if  
23 the party is not reached at that correct address in the  
24 operator's records. And that kind of seems to me to beg  
25 the question if the operator has an incorrect address.

1     So I've never been able to figure out just exactly what  
2     that rule says.

3                   MR. RANKIN:   In this case the parties have  
4     been in contact.   OXY's aware of the well proposal.  
5     They've been in discussions as recently as last week.  
6     So I'll leave it to you how you want to describe it,  
7     but --

8                   EXAMINER McMILLAN:   Okay.   But you ran a  
9     newspaper ad?

10                  MR. RANKIN:   We did.   We identified all the  
11     parties.

12                  EXAMINER McMILLAN:   So cases 20365 and  
13     20366 shall be taken under advisement.

14                  Thank you.

15                  THE WITNESS:   Thank you.

16                  (Case Numbers 20365 and 20366 conclude,  
17     2:53 p.m.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 24th day of April 2019.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
24 Certified Court Reporter  
25 New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters