	Page 1		
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT		
2	·		
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR		
4	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:		
5	APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. CASE NOS. 20395, FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, 20396, NEW MEXICO. 20397,		
7	20398		
8	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
9	EXAMINER HEARING		
10	April 4, 2019		
11	Santa Fe, New Mexico		
12			
13	BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER		
14			
15			
16			
17	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,		
18	Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, or Thursday, April 4, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy,		
19	Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,		
20	Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.		
21			
22	REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20		
23	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105		
24	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102		
25	(505) 843-9241		

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY CO.:	
3	EARL E. DeBRINE, JR., ESQ.	
4	MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A. 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000	
5	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 848-1800 edebrine@modrall.com	
6		
7		
8	INDEX	
9		PAGE
10	Case Numbers 20395 through 20398 Called	3
11	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
12	Proceedings Conclude	9
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	10
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	Cimarex Energy Co. Exhibits A, B and C	7
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (4:39 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go back on the record.
- 3 Call Case Number 20395, application of
- 4 Cimarex Energy Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy
- 5 County, New Mexico.
- 6 Will these cases be combined with Cases
- 7 20396, 20397 and 20398?
- 8 MR. DeBRINE: Earl DeBrine, with the
- 9 Modrall, Sperling firm, for the Applicant.
- 10 Yes. We'd ask that these cases be
- 11 combined. They're all similar cases, 20395, 20396,
- 12 20397 and 20398.
- 13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Are there any other
- 14 appearances?
- 15 Please proceed.
- MR. DeBRINE: We're presenting this case by
- 17 affidavit, Mr. Examiner. And if you look at what's in
- 18 front of you, we've presented two different affidavits.
- 19 Exhibit A is the affidavit of Riley Morris,
- 20 who is a landman for Cimarex.
- 21 Exhibit B is an affidavit of Staci Mueller,
- 22 who is a geologist with Cimarex.
- 23 Turning to Exhibit A, which is Mr. Morris'
- 24 affidavit, he is a landman who has previously testified
- 25 before the Division. He presents, as part of his

- 1 testimony, four exhibits. Each of them pertains to the
- 2 separate applications that are at issue here. Exhibit A
- 3 is the plat that outlines all four of the horizontal
- 4 spacing units that are the subject of these
- 5 applications.
- 6 Exhibit B to his affidavit is the C-102s
- 7 for the well, which identifies the proposed horizontal
- 8 spacing unit and the pool and pool code that is the
- 9 subject of these applications. The pool code is --
- 10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: 60660.
- 11 MR. DeBRINE: Yes.
- 12 And the Turkey Track; Bone Spring pool, as
- 13 referenced in paragraph F of his affidavit.
- 14 He also includes the sample well proposal
- 15 that was sent out, which is Exhibit C, and the AFE that
- 16 was included with that sample well-proposal letter. He
- 17 opines that he has entered into a good-faith effort in
- 18 trying to reach voluntary pooling with respect to these
- 19 applications. The letters were sent out. There is also
- 20 summary of the contacts that's behind -- I believe it's
- 21 behind Exhibit C, where he details the various contacts
- 22 that he made with the working interest owners in an
- 23 effort to reach voluntary pooling. He also offers his
- 24 opinion that the applications are in the interest of
- 25 conservation and the protection of correlative rights

- 1 and the prevention of waste. He also indicates that
- 2 there are no depth severances within the Bone Spring
- 3 Formation with any of the proposed units.
- 4 There are some overrides that we learned as
- 5 we were preparing these affidavits. I'm not sure -- we
- 6 did not provide notice to those overriding royalty, so
- 7 we'll need to keep this case open for notice purposes.
- 8 There was an unlocatable interest that was provided
- 9 notice by publication, and Exhibit C is the Affidavit of
- 10 Publication within the paper of circulation where the
- 11 units are located. The unlocatable interest was
- 12 provided notice through the -- through the publication.
- 13 But I could not verify that the overriding royalty
- 14 interests were notified. It may be that they are
- 15 subject to pooling under the terms of their leases, but
- 16 we'll need to confirm that, and we'll need to provide
- 17 them notice. We can keep this case open.
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. If they're not
- 19 covered by the lease pooling --
- MR. DeBRINE: Right. We'll need to include
- 21 them, and we'll want to include them within the terms of
- 22 the order, so we'll have to keep this case open for
- 23 notice purposes and come back.
- You've looked at Exhibit B, which is the
- 25 affidavit of Staci Mueller. She is the geologist for

- 1 Cimarex. She has previously testified before the
- 2 Division and qualified as an expert in petroleum
- 3 geology. She indicates that she conducted a geological
- 4 study with regard to the geology underlying the proposed
- 5 spacing unit to her affidavit.
- 6 Exhibit A, if you look at it, it's a
- 7 structure map of the area which identifies the area of
- 8 interest and the four proposed wells in the spacing
- 9 units, also showing the basic -- from A to A prime that
- 10 is the subject of the cross section, which is Exhibit
- 11 B -- I'm sorry -- Exhibit C.
- 12 Exhibit B to her affidavit is the net
- 13 porosity, 3rd Bone Spring sand map, which is the target
- 14 at issue. It identifies the wells and shows the -- the
- 15 line from A to A prime that form the wells that comprise
- 16 the cross sections, which is Exhibit C.
- 17 If you look at Exhibit C, it is the cross
- 18 section hung on the top of the Bone Spring. It
- 19 identifies the target zone with an arrow there at the
- 20 bottom. She also opines that the applications are in
- 21 the interest of conservation and the protection of
- 22 correlative rights and the prevention of waste.
- 23 Exhibit C, as I mentioned earlier, is the
- 24 Affidavit of Publication in the "Carlsbad
- 25 Current-Argus, which identifies the parties that were

- 1 provided notice of -- through publication of each of the
- 2 four applications. There are four separate Affidavits
- 3 of Publication with respect to each of the different
- 4 applications that were filed by Cimarex.
- 5 That concludes my presentation, and I'd ask
- 6 that Exhibits A, B and C be admitted into evidence.
- 7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits A, B and C in
- 8 Cases 20395, 396, 397 and 398 may now be accepted as
- 9 part of the record.
- 10 (Cimarex Energy Co. Exhibits A, B and C are
- offered and admitted into evidence.)
- 12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Did the geologist state
- 13 all quarter-quarter sections --
- 14 MR. DeBRINE: Yes. If you look at her
- 15 affidavit, she indicates that all quarter-quarter
- 16 sections will contribute relatively equally to the
- 17 production in the proposed spacing units and that there
- 18 are no geological impediments that would prevent or
- 19 hinder horizontal development of these spacing units.
- 20 And I also failed to mention that the
- 21 landman also requests that Cimarex be allowed overhead
- 22 and administrative rates of 7,500 per month during
- 23 drilling, 750 a month while producing, and that these
- 24 rates are fair and comparable to those charged by other
- 25 operators for wells of this type in New Mexico and

- 1 consistent with rates awarded by the Division in
- 2 previous pooling cases.
- 3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any unlocatable
- 4 interests?
- 5 MR. DeBRINE: As I indicated earlier, there
- 6 is one unlocatable interest, and if you look at his
- 7 Exhibit A, he identifies who that is. It's the Galesi
- 8 Trusts, which owns a 1.5625 percent working interest in
- 9 Contract Area A, indicated on the tract map.
- 10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And you're going to PDF
- 11 this (indicating)?
- MR. DeBRINE: Yes.
- 13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah, because it's
- 14 copied on both sides.
- MR. DeBRINE: Sure.
- 16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Do you have any
- 17 questions?
- 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.
- 19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.
- 20 MR. DeBRINE: We ask these cases be
- 21 taken -- well, we ask that these cases be continued to
- 22 allow for further notice to the overriding royalty
- 23 owners and then a hearing set to address the notice
- 24 issue and anything that might come up in the interim.
- 25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Sounds good. So

	Page 9
1	these cases, 395 through 398, shall be continued.
2	All right. Thank you very much.
3	MR. DeBRINE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you.
5	(Case Numbers 20395 through 20398 conclude,
6	4:48 p.m.)
7	(Recess, 4:48 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

24

25

New Mexico CCR No. 20

Date of CCR Expiration:

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

12/31/2019