

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, CASE NO. 20471
LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY
COUNTY NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

May 2, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER
 MICHAEL McMILLAN, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner; Michael McMillan, Technical Examiner; and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, May 2, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
 New Mexico CCR #20
 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, INC.:

DEANA M. BENNETT, ESQ.
MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 848-1800
deanab@modrall.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTY OXY U.S.A., INC.:

MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Number 20471 Called	4
4	Marathon Oil Permian, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Jeff Broussard:	
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Bennett	5
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert	15
8	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	17
	Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	19
9		
10	Geologist Testimony Presented by Affidavit	22
11	Proceedings Conclude	26
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	27
13		
14	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
15	Marathon Oil Permian, LLC's Exhibits	
	A and 1 through 6	14
16		
	Marathon Oil Permian, LLC's Exhibits	
17	B and 7 through 13	25
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (2:09 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. At this point we
3 will go to the NGL cases. There are actually five NGL
4 Water Solution Permian cases. 16504 and 20475 were both
5 continued, so that leaves us with three NGL cases,
6 16506, 20150 and 20404.

7 MS. BENNETT: Mr. Examiner, before we
8 proceed to the NGL cases, I do have one more Marathon
9 case to put on. I can do that now or after the NGL.

10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Oh, okay. You're right.
11 20471. I'm sorry.

12 MS. BENNETT: That's right.

13 EXAMINER DAWSON: We'll go ahead and
14 complete 20471 for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New
15 Mexico.

16 MS. BENNETT: Thanks.

17 My name is Deana Bennett on behalf of
18 Marathon Oil Permian, LLC, the Applicant.

19 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
20 Feldewert, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart,
21 appearing on behalf of OXY U.S.A., Inc.

22 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Is Mr. Bruce going
23 to make an appearance on this?

24 MS. BENNETT: No, he's not.

25 And I have one witness.

1 EXAMINER DAWSON: You have the same
2 witness, Mr. Broussard, who has been sworn in.

3 So you can approach the bench, Mr.
4 Broussard.

5 And when you're ready, Ms. Bennett.

6 MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.

7 Good afternoon, Mr. Examiners.

8 I have with me today Mr. Broussard, who has
9 testified just a few moments, so we'll briefly go
10 through his credentials.

11 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

12 JEFF BROUSSARD,
13 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
14 questioned and testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. BENNETT:

17 **Q. Mr. Broussard, please state your name and who**
18 **you work for.**

19 A. My name is Jeff Broussard, and I work for
20 Marathon Oil Company.

21 **Q. And what are your responsibilities with**
22 **Marathon?**

23 A. My general responsibilities are landman
24 responsibilities related to new leases, acquisitions of
25 acreage, well proposals and the negotiations that go

1 hand in hand with well proposals.

2 Q. Thank you.

3 And your credentials were accepted earlier
4 today as a matter of record?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And your area of responsibility at Marathon
7 includes Eddy County?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. You're familiar with the application filed by
10 Marathon in this matter?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you're familiar with the status of the
13 lands that are the subject of this application?

14 A. Yes.

15 MS. BENNETT: At this time I'd like to
16 request that Mr. Broussard be accepted as an expert
17 witness in land matters.

18 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objections?

19 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

20 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. At this moment
21 Mr. Broussard will be accepted as an expert in petroleum
22 land matters.

23 MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.

24 Q. (BY MS. BENNETT) Mr. Broussard, could you
25 please turn to Exhibit Number 1 and explain to the

1 **examiners what is in Exhibit 1 and what Marathon seeks**
2 **in Exhibit 1?**

3 A. Exhibit 1 is our application to pool all -- and
4 what Marathon seeks is to pool all uncommitted mineral
5 interest owners as it relates to the Wolfcamp Formation
6 in the west half of Section 5, Township 23 South, Range
7 28 East of Eddy County.

8 **Q. Thank you.**

9 **Let's turn to Exhibit 2 now. Can you**
10 **explain what Exhibit 2 is or what that exhibit contains**
11 **for the examiners?**

12 A. Exhibit 2 contains C-102s for each well being
13 proposed here, as well as listing the pool name for
14 these wells, which is the Purple Sage; Wolfcamp Gas
15 Pool. And the pool code for that is 98220.

16 **Q. Does the Purple Sage; Wolfcamp Gas Pool have**
17 **special pool rules?**

18 A. Yes.

19 **Q. Will these wells comply with those pool rules?**

20 A. No.

21 **Q. And they won't comply because they're closer**
22 **than 330 feet from the -- the setback is closer than 330**
23 **feet?**

24 A. Yes.

25 **Q. It's 100 feet?**

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And Marathon will be submitting nonstandard
3 location approvals -- applications for each of these
4 wells?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 3, please, what is
7 Exhibit 3?

8 A. Exhibit 3 is a lease tract map of the west half
9 of Section 5 indicating that each tract within this is
10 all fee acreage.

11 Q. And I see on this slide that you have above
12 10,000 and below 10,000. Is that because there is a
13 depth severance?

14 A. Yes. There is a depth severance within one
15 tract within this unit area.

16 Q. And are the depth severances a function of a
17 lease -- artifact of a lease issue that your title folks
18 discovered?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And does page -- the second page of Exhibit 3
21 and the fourth page of Exhibit 3, do those show the
22 differences in ownership above 10,000 and 11,000?

23 A. They do, yes.

24 Q. And is Marathon attempting to acquire the
25 different -- the interests that are different above and

1 below 10,000 to make the interest uniform above and
2 below?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And if Marathon is successful in acquiring
5 those interests, then will the depth severance
6 effectively be negated?

7 A. Yes. That's correct.

8 Q. And the interest will be above 10,000 and below
9 10,000?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And so Marathon notified everyone of the
12 pooling -- you sent proposal letters to all these folks,
13 and you notified all of them of this pooling hearing?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Did you receive any questions or concerns about
16 the differences above and below?

17 A. No.

18 Q. And you seek to pool all uncommitted mineral
19 interest owners in the proposed unit; is that right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And those owners are shown on the second and
22 fourth pages of Exhibit 3; is that right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And they are simply the same ownership, just
25 different interest amounts?

1 A. Yes, with the exception of DSD Energy
2 Resources, is the only -- only party that does not have
3 an interest in both depths.

4 Q. And Marathon has been in touch with DSD to
5 negotiate acquiring that interest; is that right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And of the owners with interest above and
8 below, DSD actually has the largest interest, is that
9 right, the largest difference in interest --

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. -- 3.75 percent? So it's a pretty small
12 interest?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And the others are all smaller than that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I think that's all the questions I have about
17 that.

18 Are there also overriding royalty interest
19 owners that Marathon seeks to pool?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And they were provided notice of this hearing
22 as well, weren't they?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Can you summarize for the examiners the efforts
25 that Marathon has undertaken to obtain voluntary joinder

1 **in the wells?**

2 A. Yes. We have sent out our initial
3 well-proposal letters that detail surface-hole
4 locations, take points and TVDs on a well-by-well basis,
5 as well as, you know, initial offers to acquire said
6 interests, if they have no interest in participating
7 with us for the development, and attempted to contact
8 any owners that did not contact us first by either phone
9 or email where possible.

10 **Q. In your opinion, has Marathon made a good-faith**
11 **effort to obtain voluntary joinder in the wells?**

12 A. Yes.

13 **Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 4. Is Exhibit 4 the**
14 **proposal letter that was sent to the parties?**

15 A. Yes, it is.

16 **Q. The other working interest owners, I should**
17 **say.**

18 A. Yes.

19 **Q. And it includes the proposed surface-hole**
20 **location and bottom-hole location and target TVD?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. It also includes an option to elect well by**
23 **well?**

24 A. Yes.

25 **Q. And with this letter, did you include AFEs for**

1 each well?

2 A. We did, yes.

3 Q. And are those AFEs behind Tab 5?

4 A. They are.

5 Q. Have you looked through this exhibit to ensure
6 that all AFEs are in this exhibit for each well?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And do the costs for the wells -- are the costs
9 approximately 7 million for the Upper Wolfcamp and the
10 approximately 7,500,000 for the Lower Wolfcamp more or
11 less?

12 A. Yes. Yes.

13 MS. BENNETT: Rather than going through
14 each individual cost, I represent that they're in the
15 materials.

16 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

17 Q. (BY MS. BENNETT) Are those costs in line with
18 the cost of other horizontal wells drilled to this
19 length and depth in this area of New Mexico?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Who should be appointed operator of the well?

22 A. I believe Marathon Oil Permian should.

23 Q. And do you have a recommendations for the
24 amounts which Marathon should be paid for administrative
25 costs?

1 A. Yes. We recommend \$7,000 a month while
2 drilling the wells and \$700 a month while producing.

3 Q. And are these amounts equivalent to those
4 normally charged by Marathon and other operators in this
5 area for horizontal wells of this length?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Does Marathon request that these rates be
8 adjusted periodically as provided by the COPAS
9 accounting procedure?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Does Marathon request the maximum cost plus 200
12 percent risk charge if any pooled working interest owner
13 fails to pay for drilling, completing and equipping the
14 wells?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And the parties you are seeking to pool,
17 including overrides, were notified of this hearing; is
18 that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And we know that because Exhibit 6 is an
21 Affidavit of Notice prepared by me; is that right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And that affidavit has with it the addresses of
24 record that you provided to me for the working interest
25 owners and the overriding interest owners, then a form

1 showing the status of notice and a publication in the
2 "Carlsbad Argus" newspaper; is that right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Does Marathon request that it be allowed a
5 period of one year between when the wells are drilled
6 and when the first well is completed?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or
9 under your supervision or compiled from company business
10 records?

11 A. Yes, they were.

12 Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this
13 application in the interest of conservation and the
14 prevention of waste?

15 A. Yes.

16 MS. BENNETT: With that, I'd like to move
17 the admission of Exhibits 1 through 6.

18 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?

19 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

20 EXAMINER DAWSON: At this time Exhibits 1
21 through 6 will be admitted to the record.

22 (Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibits A and 1
23 through 6 are offered and admitted into
24 evidence.)

25 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Feldewert, do you

1 have questions?

2 MR. FELDEWERT: I have one.

3 CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

5 Q. Mr. Broussard, turn to Exhibit 7.

6 A. Okay.

7 MS. BENNETT: And again I'd like to point
8 out that this exhibit is created by our geologist and
9 not by Mr. Broussard.

10 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) In the previous case, I
11 believe you testified that -- you testified, if I heard
12 you correctly, that the company has drilled at this
13 spacing in the township to the north?

14 A. To the north within this township.

15 Q. Within this township?

16 A. The previous case was in the township to the
17 south of this township. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And so has the company drilled within
19 this township at this spacing?

20 A. I believe so.

21 Q. You believe.

22 Do you know where?

23 A. Is it Sections 19 and 20?

24 MS. BENNETT: And that's your recollection,
25 right?

1 THE WITNESS: That's my recollection. Yes.

2 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) And that would be 23 South,
3 28 East?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Is that right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And did you drill at this spacing for both the
8 Wolfcamp X-Y and the Wolfcamp A and the Wolfcamp D?

9 A. I'm not certain, as I don't have it in front of
10 me, and they weren't my responsibility for those
11 proposals and development plan, but I believe that might
12 be the case. Yes.

13 Q. So they would have drilled seven wells?

14 A. Well, for those specific ones, they have not
15 drilled the Wolfcamp Ds.

16 Q. That was my question.

17 A. Sorry.

18 Q. So they've only drilled the Wolfcamp X-Y and
19 the Wolfcamp A?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Not the Wolfcamp Ds?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And they have completed the X-Ys and Wolfcamp
24 As?

25 A. Yes.

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. You're going to be
2 notifying the overrides. You're not intending the
3 overrides are -- regardless?

4 MS. BENNETT: Well, we did notify the
5 overriding royalty interest owners --

6 EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh.

7 MS. BENNETT: -- not royalty interest
8 owners, which I understand is the discussion that took
9 place earlier this morning. I, unfortunately, wasn't in
10 the room. But based on my understanding of that
11 conversation this morning, we will notify royalty
12 interest owners on a going-forward basis, and we'll be
13 sure to include that information in the landman's
14 affidavit or testimony.

15 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't know of any
16 situation in which you can be faulted for giving too
17 many notices. So I don't have to consider whether it's
18 necessary or not.

19 MS. BENNETT: And perhaps I misunderstood
20 the gist of the conversation this morning. Like I said,
21 I was out of the room when it happened. So perhaps it's
22 not a requirement that we notify royalty interest
23 owners?

24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Perhaps it's not.
25 Perhaps it is.

1 MS. BENNETT: Okay. 50/50 on that.

2 (Laughter.)

3 EXAMINER BROOKS: I'm not in a position to
4 address that authoritatively at this point.

5 MS. BENNETT: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

6 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any questions,
7 Mr. Brooks?

8 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions -- well,
9 yeah, I do have questions.

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

12 Q. What are you going to do if you don't make a
13 deal with the guy that's got the 10,000 -- is it above
14 10,000 or below 10,000?

15 A. The difference in ownership -- the large
16 interest difference is with DSD Energy, and they own --
17 is it a -- 3.75 percent above 10,000.

18 Q. They own nothing below?

19 A. They own nothing below 10,000. Correct.

20 Q. Who owns that interest?

21 A. Marathon does. So their interest comes out of
22 Marathon's interest.

23 Q. What are you going to do -- it's not what
24 you're going to do. It's what you want us to do if you
25 don't make a deal. What are you requesting that the

1 **Division -- what kind of order are you requesting the**
2 **Division to issue in the absence of an agreement with**
3 **the people who own that shallower interest?**

4 A. Well, the pooling of any uncommitted interest.

5 MS. BENNETT: And we'll come back to the
6 Division, of course, if we need to do an allocation
7 formula, which is what I think we would end up doing
8 with that owner. In the event that -- and there are
9 other options that Marathon is discussing internally.

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, yeah. It's
11 questionable whether the Division has the authority to
12 do an allocation formula vertically, not necessarily I'm
13 saying we don't because there's a general provision in
14 the Oil and Gas Act that we could do things on such
15 terms as are fair and equitable. But the confusion is
16 inserted by the fact that there is a formula for
17 horizontal allocation and there is not a formula for
18 vertical allocation, so you think of all the arguments
19 both ways that might arise from that.

20 MS. BENNETT: Right. And at this point, I
21 think we would definitely come back to the Division in
22 the event that we aren't able to reach an agreement. So
23 we're moving forward under the -- with the goal of
24 reaching an agreement, and we would come back to the
25 Division either with an allocation request or having to

1 discuss in more detail the interests and possible other
2 solutions that Marathon is considering in-house at that
3 time.

4 But right now we feel that we would request
5 an order pooling all uncommitted mineral interest
6 owners. They've all had notice of the hearing, and none
7 have objected to the hearing or to the notion of
8 pooling.

9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.

10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Feldewert, do you
11 have any other questions?

12 MR. FELDEWERT: I do not. Thank you.

13 EXAMINER DAWSON: So that concludes this
14 case?

15 MS. BENNETT: Yes. At this time I would
16 like to ask that 20471 be taken under advisement at this
17 point.

18 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. 20471 will be
19 taken under advisement at this point.

20 And we'll go on break for ten minutes.

21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Hold on. You have to do
22 the geologist. I'm going to step out while you do the
23 geologist. I don't know anything about geology.

24 EXAMINER DAWSON: Let's do the geologist.
25 I'm sorry. On this previous case, 20471, we will go

1 over the geologic exhibits at this time.

2 MS. BENNETT: Thank you.

3 EXAMINER DAWSON: Thank you. Sorry about
4 that.

5 MS. BENNETT: So turning to the materials
6 in the packet behind Tab B is the affidavit of our
7 geologist, Matt Baker, and Matt Baker has previously
8 been qualified by the Division, and his credentials were
9 accepted as a matter of record.

10 Mr. Baker has prepared seven exhibits for
11 this case. The first exhibit is a structure map, and
12 the structure map shows that the structure dips to the
13 east.

14 The second exhibit -- and as with our prior
15 exhibits, Mr. Baker has prepared two sets of exhibits,
16 one for the Upper Wolfcamp and one for the Lower
17 Wolfcamp. And at Mr. McMillan's request, we have
18 actually taken out the reference wells from the
19 structure map and have created a separate slide for
20 that. So that is slide nine.

21 So slide nine -- I'm sorry. That's slide
22 eight. Slide eight shows the reference wells that
23 Mr. Baker used and our proposed unit, which is
24 identified by a black-dashed box, and then the Upper
25 Wolfcamp wells are identified inside that black-dashed

1 box.

2 Exhibit 9 is the stratigraphic cross
3 section that follows that line of A to A prime. And
4 there, Mr. Baker has also included an inset of his
5 cross-reference to orient the examiners to the wells
6 vis-à-vis our proposed locations. And Mr. Baker
7 testified that these cross sections give a
8 representative sampling of the Wolfcamp Formation in
9 this area, and you can see the producing zone is
10 highlighted or shaded in green. The target area is
11 denoted by red arrows. And Mr. Baker testifies that for
12 these Upper Wolfcamp wells, the Wolfcamp Y Sand
13 thickness varies slightly from north to south, and the
14 Wolfcamp A is relatively consistent from north to south.

15 Exhibit 10 is the gross interval isochore
16 from Wolfcamp to Wolfcamp B, and this again is for the
17 Upper Wolfcamp wells. And Mr. Baker testifies that the
18 formation is relatively uniform across the proposed
19 unit.

20 Exhibit 11 is the cross-reference well map
21 for the Lower Wolfcamp wells. And, again, this shows
22 the four wells that Mr. Baker used to create his cross
23 section with the line running from A to A prime. And
24 the proposed unit is identified by a black-dashed box,
25 and the proposed wells are identified by the colored

1 lines.

2 Turning to Exhibit 12, Exhibit 12 is the
3 cross section that Mr. Baker prepared from those
4 reference wells. And the producing zone is shaded in
5 green with the target area highlighted by red arrows.
6 He notes that the Wolfcamp B2 interval thickens from
7 north to south and that the D2 is relatively consistent
8 thickness across the unit.

9 Exhibit 13 is the gross interval isochore
10 from the Wolfcamp D2 to Wolfcamp D3. And again here he
11 testifies that the Wolfcamp C2 interval thickens from
12 north to south -- or I'm sorry. I apologize. I
13 misspoke. He testifies based on the gross interval
14 isochore that the formation is relatively uniform across
15 the proposed well unit. From these maps and his study,
16 he concludes that the horizontal spacing unit is
17 justified from a geologic standpoint, that there are no
18 structural impediments or faulting that will interfere
19 with horizontal development and that each quarter
20 section in the unit will contribute more or less equally
21 to production. He notes that the preferred well
22 orientation in this area is either north-south or
23 east-west.

24 He also testified that Exhibits 7 through
25 13 were prepared by him or under his supervision or

1 compiled from company business records, and it's his
2 opinion that the granting of this application is in the
3 interest of conservation and the prevention of waste.

4 At this time I'd like to move Exhibits B7
5 through 13 for admission into the record.

6 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?

7 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection.

8 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. At this time
9 Exhibits B7 through 13 will be admitted into the record.

10 (Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit B and 7
11 through 13 are offered and admitted into
12 evidence.)

13 MS. BENNETT: At this time I would ask this
14 case be taken under advisement, 20471.

15 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Any questions from
16 the opposing attorneys?

17 MR. FELDEWERT: No questions on behalf of
18 OXY.

19 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Mr. McMillan?

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: No questions.

21 EXAMINER DAWSON: I just had one question.

22 MS. BENNETT: Okay.

23 EXAMINER DAWSON: I'm looking at the
24 landing zones, and there is a 10,000-foot depth
25 limitation -- or depth severance in this case?

1 MS. BENNETT: By the lease term, yes. And
2 that's the -- it's sort of my understanding, anyway,
3 that it's an artifact of some title work that was done,
4 but it's a lease term.

5 EXAMINER DAWSON: So you don't think that
6 that landing zone that's depicted on Exhibit 12 at
7 roughly the 10,000-foot interval, that will not affect
8 the depth severance of the lease?

9 MS. BENNETT: Well, that's why Marathon is
10 attempting to acquire those interests, to effectively
11 negate that depth severance. So acquiring the interest
12 would eliminate that depth severance.

13 EXAMINER DAWSON: That's what I figured.
14 Okay. Thank you very much.

15 MS. BENNETT: Thank you.

16 EXAMINER DAWSON: And you're asking for
17 this one -- Case 20471 will be taken under advisement at
18 this time.

19 Thank you.

20 MS. BENNETT: Thank you.

21 EXAMINER DAWSON: We'll break for ten
22 minutes and come back at 2:45.

23 (Case Number 20471 concludes, 2:33 p.m.)

24 (Recess, 2:33 p.m. to 2:47 p.m.)

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 21st day of May 2019.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25