## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS
PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A
SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 20140

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

May 16, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze, Chief Examiner; Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner; and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, May 16, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC:	
3	DEANA M. BENNETT, ESQ.	
4	MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A. 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000	
5	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 848-1800	
6	deanab@modrall.com	
7	FOR INTERESTED PARTY NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE:	
8	ANDREA ANTILLON, ESQ.	
9	NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
10	310 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
11	(505) 827-5702 aantillon@slo.state.nm.us	
12	INDEX	
13		PAGE
14	Case Number 20140 Called	3
15	NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
16	Witnesses:	
17	Neel L. Duncan:	
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Bennett	4
19	Statements by Examiner Goetze	12
20	Statement by Ms. Antillon of the State Land Office	15
21	Proceedings Conclude	17
22	Certificate of Court Reporter	18
23	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
24	NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC Exhibit	4 4
25	Numbers 1 through 5	11

- 1 (8:28 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: My turn.
- 3 EXAMINER LOWE: This morning we're going to
- 4 start out with Mr. Phillip Goetze, with Case Number
- 5 20140, NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC for approval of
- 6 a saltwater disposal well in Eddy County.
- 7 Call for appearances.
- 8 MS. BENNETT: Good morning. Deana Bennett
- 9 on behalf of NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC, the
- 10 Applicant in this case, and I have one witness with me
- 11 here today.
- MS. ANTILLON: Andrea Antillon on behalf of
- 13 the State Land Office. I don't have any witnesses. I
- 14 just have a statement to make at the end.
- 15 EXAMINER LOWE: And Mr. Phillip Goetze will
- 16 be our examiner.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: I'll take it away.
- The witnesses that are here, would you
- 19 please raise your hand and be sworn in by the court
- 20 reporter?
- 21 NEEL L. DUNCAN,
- 22 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
- 23 questioned and testified as follows:

24

25

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. BENNETT:
- 3 Q. Good morning. Good morning, Mr. Duncan. Will
- 4 you please state your name for the record?
- 5 A. Neel Lawrence Duncan.
- 6 Q. And, Mr. Duncan, have you previously testified
- 7 before the Division?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. And, Mr. Duncan, who are you employed by?
- 10 A. Integrated Petroleum Technologies.
- 11 Q. And have you been retained by NGL Water
- 12 Solutions Permian?
- 13 A. I have.
- 14 Q. And what are your responsibilities for NGL?
- 15 A. Development and drilling in southeast New
- 16 Mexico for SWDs.
- 17 Q. And so your area of expertise for NGL covers
- 18 the area that's the subject matter of this application?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And a moment ago, you testified that you
- 21 previously testified before the Division. Were your
- 22 credentials accepted as a matter of record?
- 23 A. Yes, they were.
- 24 MS. BENNETT: With that, I would like to
- 25 tender Mr. Duncan as an expert in saltwater disposal

- 1 matters.
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Ms. Antillon?
- MS. ANTILLON: No objection.
- 4 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
- 5 MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
- 6 Q. (BY MS. BENNETT) I've handed out a packet of
- 7 exhibits to the examiners, and let's turn to Tab 1 in
- 8 the exhibits. And, Mr. Duncan, is Tab 1 the application
- 9 for the Quintana well, which is the subject matter of
- 10 Case Number 20140?
- 11 A. Yes, for 20140.
- 12 Q. And what does NGL seek in this application?
- 13 A. We seek an SWD well, the ability to drill and
- 14 operate an SWD in the -- in the Devonian?
- 15 Q. And this well is in Eddy County; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And does NGL seek approval of the use of 7-inch
- 18 tubing inside the surface and intermediate casings and
- 19 then a 5-1/2-inch tubing?
- 20 A. Yes. The final completion will have a
- 21 7-inch-by-5-1/2-inch tapered string, with the smallest
- 22 casing being 7-5/8, 39 pounds, so we have adequate
- 23 clearance for fishing.
- 24 Q. And behind the application in Exhibit 1, is
- 25 that the C-108 that was submitted by NGL's consultant?

- 1 A. Yes, it is.
- 2 Q. So Exhibit 1 contains the application and the
- 3 C-108; is that accurate?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Well, let's turn now to what's behind
- 6 Tab 2. And Tab 2 is an affidavit of Scott Wilson; is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And has Scott Wilson been retained by NGL?
- 10 A. Yes, he has.
- 11 Q. And is Scott Wilson a petroleum engineer?
- 12 A. He's a petroleum engineer, reservoir engineer
- 13 specialty, with Ryder Scott.
- 14 Q. And has he testified before the Division?
- 15 A. Yes, he has, and his qualifications were
- 16 accepted.
- 17 Q. And has Mr. Wilson prepared a study for this
- 18 proposed well?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And is his study behind his affidavit --
- 21 A. Yes. It's behind the affidavit.
- Q. And does Mr. Wilson study both the increased
- 23 tubing -- the impact of an increased tubing size, as
- 24 well as model the dispersion of the injected fluids, as
- 25 well as the increased pore pressure?

- 1 A. Yes, he does. And there is no risk of
- 2 fracturing due to the additional rate from the larger
- 3 tubing size, and the fluids stay within one mile of the
- 4 well --
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 A. -- according to his work.
- 7 Q. And he models more than just the proposed well;
- 8 is that right?
- 9 A. Yeah. He turns on all the wells that we know
- 10 about.
- 11 Q. So it includes current wells and proposed wells
- 12 in his model?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And now let's turn to Exhibit 3. Is Exhibit 3
- 15 the affidavit of Dr. Kate Zeigler?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And who is Dr. Zeigler?
- 18 A. Dr. Zeigler is a geologist who has testified
- 19 before this Commission before, and her qualifications
- 20 have been accepted. And she's retained by NGL to study
- 21 the confinement of fluids in the -- what we call the
- 22 Devonian.
- Q. And has she prepared a study that she attaches
- 24 to her affidavit?
- 25 A. Yes, she has.

1 Q. And is her study included in Exhibit A behind

- 2 her affidavit?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And does she look at fault lines in the area?
- 5 A. She does.
- 6 Q. And does she look at the geologic formation to
- 7 determine whether there are permeability barriers above
- 8 and below the targeted injection zone?
- 9 A. Yes, she does.
- 10 Q. And what has she concluded about those
- 11 permeability barriers?
- 12 A. She has concluded that injection is confined
- 13 above by the Woodford Shale and below by some amount of
- 14 Montoya.
- 15 Q. And has she looked to see whether this proposed
- 16 well and its operations would impact hydrocarbons above
- 17 the permeability barrier?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what has she concluded with respect to
- 20 that?
- 21 A. It will not impact hydrocarbons above the
- 22 permeability barrier.
- 23 Q. And has she also looked at potential impacts on
- 24 freshwater sources?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And what are her conclusions about the impact

- on freshwater sources?
- 3 A. Injection here will not impact freshwater
- 4 resources.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Let's turn now to Tab 4, please. Is Tab 4
- 7 an affidavit prepared by Dr. Steven Taylor?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. And has Dr. Taylor been retained by NGL?
- 10 A. Yes, he has.
- 11 Q. And what does Dr. Taylor do for NGL?
- 12 A. He operates NGL's seismic network in North
- 13 Dakota, Colorado, New Mexico and Texas.
- 14 Q. And so he actually has installed seismic
- 15 monitoring devices near NGL's SWD wells?
- 16 A. Yes, he has.
- 17 Q. Including in New Mexico?
- 18 A. Including in New Mexico.
- 19 Q. And he's testified before the Division before,
- 20 hasn't he?
- 21 A. Yes, he has.
- 22 Q. And his credentials were accepted as a matter
- 23 of record?
- A. Yes, they were.
- 25 Q. And he has included a study with his affidavit,

- 1 too; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, he has.
- 3 Q. And has he also reviewed and included a study
- 4 by FTI Platt Sparks?
- 5 A. Yes, he has. Todd Reynolds, at FTI Platt
- 6 Sparks, prepared the fault slip analysis based on the
- 7 Zoback, Stanford model.
- Q. And so Dr. Taylor, when he's doing his
- 9 analysis, he looks at desktop reviews, literature and
- 10 his own seismic monitoring; is that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And then Mr. Reynolds uses well-known tool
- developed by Stanford University?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And according to Dr. Taylor's review of those
- 16 two studies, what are his conclusions about induced
- seismisity from the proposed well?
- 18 A. Really that the risk is very, very low.
- 19 Q. And does Dr. Taylor opine about whether there
- 20 is little seismic activity in the area where the well is
- 21 proposed?
- 22 A. Yes. There historically has been a little bit
- of seismic activity, low magnitude, but that's more of a
- 24 function of increased monitoring than anything else.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

1 Let's turn now to Exhibit 5 -- or Tab 5.

- Is Tab 5 an affidavit prepared by me on behalf of NGL
- 3 Water Solutions regarding notice that was given in this
- 4 case?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. And does it have a document showing the parties
- 7 to whom notice was sent followed by a document showing
- 8 the status of mailings and then followed by an Affidavit
- 9 of Publication showing that notice of this hearing was
- 10 given on December 26th, 2018?
- 11 A. Yes, it does. It's all quite legible, too.
- 12 **Q.** Great.
- MS. BENNETT: Well, with that, I have no
- 14 more questions for Mr. Duncan, and I'd like to move the
- 15 admission of Exhibits 1 through 5 and their attachments.
- 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: Ms. Antillon?
- MS. ANTILLON: No objection.
- 18 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 5 are
- 19 so entered.
- 20 (NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC Exhibit
- Numbers 1 through 5 are offered and
- 22 admitted into evidence.)
- MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
- I have no further questions.
- 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Brooks?

- 1 MR. BROOKS: No questions.
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Lowe?
- 3 EXAMINER LOWE: No questions.
- 4 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. At this point we
- 5 do have a deficiency in this case.
- 6 MS. BENNETT: Okay.
- 7 EXAMINER GOETZE: In your review of the
- 8 underground sources of drinking water --
- 9 MS. BENNETT: Uh-huh.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: -- you first say that the
- 11 Rustler is eroded, not present, and then we say it's
- 12 protected, so that right off is an issue. The Rustler
- is present here.
- 14 The other thing is, in 2003, we did an
- 15 extensive report, the City of Carlsbad, for their
- 16 Airport well field, which is just north and adjacent to
- 17 your area. In 2004, Chi Operating lost a well and had a
- 18 blowout in town requiring 1,500 citizens to be
- 19 evacuated. And with that, the Division is under order
- 20 and agreement with the governor to provide the City of
- 21 Albuquerque any application for any type of well, to be
- 22 submitted to them for their review.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Albuquerque or --
- 24 EXAMINER GOETZE: I mean excuse me.
- 25 Carlsbad. He knows where it is.

1 But the name of the gentleman is Richard

- 2 Aguilar. I can give you that. He's on the City of
- 3 Carlsbad Environmental Services website, and I'll also
- 4 given him a heads-up.
- 5 MS. BENNETT: Okay.
- 6 EXAMINER GOETZE: And the reason we're
- 7 asking this is pretty blatant, because there are only
- 8 three fields that provide water and you folks are
- 9 drilling into something which both has the potential for
- 10 loss of control, as what happened with Chi, as well as
- 11 maybe having an impact because these folks are running a
- 12 turbinate surface and this is part of their water
- 13 system. So I would ask you to provide Mr. Aguilar a
- 14 full C-108 --
- MS. BENNETT: Certainly.
- 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: -- and then we'll go from
- 17 there and see what their comments are. I'd ask that
- 18 there be a written response. My understanding right now
- 19 is that Wood is their consultant.
- I would also ask for you to attempt to get
- 21 a water sample from the Office of the State Engineer,
- 22 point of diversion, C-3445. It is possibly cost
- 23 gradient and the closest well that the State Engineer
- 24 has on record. I ask you to make an attempt to get a
- 25 water sample such that you can -- and just make record

- 1 of that.
- THE WITNESS: As a baseline?
- 3 EXAMINER GOETZE: Yeah. Yeah. General
- 4 chemistry is what we normally ask for and what you
- 5 provide in your --
- 6 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Okay.
- 7 EXAMINER GOETZE: You are in an area which
- 8 is very tight and very susceptible, and we've had a
- 9 history of issues there with people dumping brine and
- 10 everything. So if you folks want to put a disposal well
- in this area, you shall make the City of Carlsbad
- 12 knowledgeable of it, and you will also go ahead and
- 13 provide for, at least the closest person, some sort of
- 14 baseline. Okay?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Do you happen to have the API
- 16 number of the well that blew out?
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have a four-bound
- 18 volume in a court case. Yes.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Cool. I'll come up to your
- 20 office and --
- 21 EXAMINER GOETZE: No, you will not because
- 22 I'll be meeting with someone else, but I can send it to
- 23 you.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. All right.
- 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay? Other than that,

1 the application is complete with regards to whatever you

- 2 have asked for, and we have no conflicts with anything
- 3 else in the area.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 5 EXAMINER GOETZE: So I would ask for a
- 6 continuance.
- 7 At this time does the State Land Office
- 8 wish to enter something into record?
- 9 MS. ANTILLON: Right now or at the
- 10 continuance?
- 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: Sure, unless you have
- 12 questions.
- MS. ANTILLON: I have no questions.
- 14 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Then it's then
- 15 your opportunity.
- MS. ANTILLON: Thank you.
- 17 The State Land Office just wants to say
- 18 that we are reviewing this application, and we do have
- 19 concerns with the saltwater disposal well spacing due to
- 20 its close proximity to State Trust Lands.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 EXAMINER GOETZE: So put together the
- 23 information. Continuing, we will talk to the case
- 24 manager, and at least to the next continued docket, we
- 25 would recommend at this point.

1 MS. BENNETT: Uh-huh. Yes. Given that we

- 2 need to coordinate with the City of Carlsbad and for
- 3 purposes of efficiency, I think it might make sense to
- 4 continue it to the July continuance docket, as much as I
- 5 hate that because that's my birthday. So I better get a
- 6 cake; that's all I'm saying, because I can't bring you a
- 7 cake.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 EXAMINER GOETZE: That's right.
- MS. BENNETT: So I would request, if at all
- 11 possible, that it be continued to the July 25th docket
- 12 rather than the June 27th docket to allow us time to
- 13 coordinate with the City of Carlsbad and to be more
- 14 efficient in terms of noticing and continuance.
- 15 EXAMINER GOETZE: Just keep us informed,
- 16 too, of what happens.
- MS. BENNETT: We will for sure.
- 18 EXAMINER GOETZE: If there is a roadblock
- 19 and we need to have a discussion, we'll figure it out.
- 20 Okay?
- 21 MS. BENNETT: We will for sure. Thank you
- 22 very much.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: In the matter of Case
- 24 Number 20140, this case is continued to July -- what was
- 25 it?

Page 17 EXAMINER BROOKS: 25th. EXAMINER GOETZE: 25th. Mr. Brooks is doing better than I am. That's scary. EXAMINER BROOKS: It's early. (Case Number 20140 concludes, 8:43 a.m.) 

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- 20 DATED THIS 30th day of May 2019.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Certified Court Reporter

New Mexico CCR No. 20

Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25