STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

Table of Contents

- TAB 1: Affidavit of Neel Duncan
- TAB 2: Affidavit of Scott Wilson
- TAB 3: Affidavit of Kate Ziegler
- TAB 4: Affidavit of Steven Taylor
- **TAB 5:** Notice Affidavit and Notice Letter

Exhibit 1

Neel Duncan Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINTERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

AFFIDAVIT OF NEEL DUNCAN

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, Neel Duncan, make the following affidavit based upon my own personal knowledge.

1. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and am otherwise competent to make the statements contained herein.

2. I am the managing director of Integrated Petroleum Technologies, and I oversee drilling and operations of SWDs for NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC in southeast New Mexico.

3. I have a bachelor's degree in petroleum engineering from Texas Tech University, and I have 35 years of experience working in the oil and gas industry both in the U.S. and internationally.

4. I have previously testified before the Division and have been qualified as an expert in saltwater disposal well drilling, operations and engineering matters.

5. I am familiar with the application that NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC ("NGL") has filed in this matter.

6. The applicant, NGL (OGRID No. 372338), seeks an order approving a saltwater disposal well known as the Ghost Rider SWD #1 that will inject approximately 50,000 bbls per

day of salt water into the Devonian-Fussleman-Siluran group. The application and the C-108 and backup documentation are attached to this Affidavit.

7. NGL retained Lonquist & Co., LLC to conduct a review of the county records and compile a list of parties entitled to notice. Although the Divisions rules only require notice to parties located within half a mile radius of the proposed well location, NGL provided notice to parties within a one-mile radius. NGL also published notice in the Hobbs News Sun.

8. The C-108 was prepared by Lonquist, with the well design provided by Integrated Petroleum Technologies.

9. There are no existing SWDs within 1.5 miles of the proposed location of the Ghost Rider well. There is one application for a SWD that is located 1.5 miles away, and that is NGL's Thunderbolt well. The next the nearest proposed wells are NGL applications for the Hornet, Galaxy, and Raptor wells, which are all located more than 5 miles away from the Ghost Rider location.

10. As part of its application, NGL is requesting to use a tubing size of 7-inch by 5½inch tapered string. This larger tubing size has a number of benefits. It significantly reduces friction in the tubing and increases the ability to inject more fluid into the formation. The more water that is injected per well, the fewer number of wells that are needed to meet industry demands in our areas of development. This reduces costs and also results in less surface impact and fewer emissions.

11. The use of larger tubing will not impact the ability to conduct fishing operations in the well in the event parted tubing must be retrieved.

12. The Division has previously approved the use of 7-inch by 5½-inch for other Devonian disposal wells, including applications by NGL, Mesquite, and OWL.

2

13. I oversaw the work of Scott Wilson, Dr. Kate Zeigler, Dr. Steven Taylor, and Todd Reynolds in connection with this application. These technical experts were retained to ensure that the drilling and operation of the well would not impact groundwater resources, would protect correlative rights, would minimize the risk of induced seismicity, and the injection would be confined to the Devonian injection interval requested in the C-108.

14. Each of these witnesses has previously testified before the Division and their qualifications have been accepted.

15. I attest that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

16. It is my opinion that the granting of these applications is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

[Signature page follows]

Neel Duncan

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 13th day of June, 2019 by Neel Duncan.

Notary Public

My commission expires: 8|1|2|

Official Seal MARSHA E DALTON Notary Public State of New Mexico My Comm. Expires

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINTERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No.

APPLICATION

NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC ("NGL"), OGRID No. 372338, through its undersigned attorneys, hereby makes this application to the Oil Conservation Division pursuant to the provisions of NMSA 1978, Section 70-2-12, for an order approving drilling of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. In support of this application, NGL states as follows:

1. NGL proposes to drill the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well at a surface location 1,585 feet from the South line and 270 feet from the East line of Section 30, Township 26 South, Range 35 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a salt water disposal well.

2. NGL seeks authority to inject salt water into the Silurian-Devonian formation at a depth of 18,953' to 20,729'.

3. NGL further seeks approval of the use of 7 inch tubing inside the surface and intermediate casings and $5\frac{1}{2}$ inch tubing inside the liner and requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 50,000 bbls per day.

4. NGL anticipates using an average pressure of 2,843 psi for this well, and it requests that a maximum pressure of 3,790 psi be approved for the well.

5. A proposed C-108 for the subject well is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. The granting of this application will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, will prevent waste, and will protect correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, NGL requests that this application be set for hearing before an Examiner of the Oil Conservation Division on June 13, 2019; and that after notice and hearing, the Division enter its order approving this application.

Respectfully submitted,

ABADIE & SCHILL, P.C.

By: Lara Katz

Darin C. Savage 214 McKenzie Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (970) 385-4401 Iara@abadieschill.com darin@abadieschill.com

Attorneys for NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC CASE NO. _____: Application of NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC for approval of salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Silurian-Devonian formation through the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well at a surface location 1,585 feet from the South line and 270 feet from the East line of Section 30, Township 26 South, Range 35 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a salt water disposal well. NGL seeks authority to inject salt water into the Silurian-Devonian formation at a depth of 18,953' to 20,729'. NGL further seeks approval of the use of 7 inch tubing inside the surface and intermediate casings and $5\frac{1}{2}$ inch tubing inside the liner and requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 50,000 bbls per day. Said location is 11.7 miles Southwest of Bennett, New Mexico.

RECEIVED:	REVIEWER:	TYPE:	APP NO:	
L	NEW MEXIC - Geolog 1220 South St. F	ABOVE THISTABLE FOR OCD O CO OIL CONSERV Ical & Engineering rancis Drive, Sant	anision use only Ation division g Bureau – a Fe, NM 87505	
THIS	ADMINIST CHECKLIST IS MANDATORY FOR 7 REGULATIONS WHICH R	RATIVE APPLICATI	ATIONS FOR EXCEPTIONS TO I DIVISION LEVEL IN SANTA FE	DIVISION RULES AND
Applicant: NGL WA	TER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN L	LC	OGRID	Number: <u>372338</u>
Well Name: GHOS	T RIDER SWD #I		API: TBD	
Pool: <u>SWD; DEVONIA</u>	N-SILURIAN		Pool Co	ode: 97869
SUBMIT ACCUR	ATE AND COMPLETE IN	IFORMATION REQU	IRED TO PROCESS TH OW	E TYPE OF APPLICATION
1) TYPE OF APPL A. Locatior	ICATION: Check those – Spacing Unit – Simu NSL 🛛 NSP(which apply for [A Itaneous Dedication PROJECT AREA)	A] DN SP _(PRORATION UNIT) SE)
B. Check c [1] Com [[11] Inje [one only for [1] or [1] Imingling – Storage – I DHC CTB C ction – Disposal – Press WFX PMX I	Measurement PLC PC C sure Increase – Enh SWD [IPI] E	OLS OLM anced Oil Recovery EOR PPR	
2) NOTIFICATION A. Offse B. Roya C. Appli D. Notifi E. Notifi F. Surfa G. For a H. No no	N REQUIRED TO: Check t operators or lease ho lty, overriding royalty of cation requires publish cation and/or concur cation and/or concur ce owner Il of the above, proof otice required	k those which appl olders owners, revenue ow ned notice rent approval by S rent approval by B of notification or p	y. wners LO LM ublication is attache	Notice Complete Application Content Complete
3) CERTIFICATIO administrative understand the notifications of	N: I hereby certify that e approval is accurate nat no action will be to are submitted to the D	t the information su and complete to aken on this applic ivision.	ubmitted with this ap the best of my knov ation until the requi	oplication for vledge. I also red information and
Ν	lote: Statement must be comp	leted by an individual wil	h managerial and/or supe	rvisory capaciły.
			4/22/2010	
CHRIS WEYAND			Date	
Print or Type Name				
			512-600-1764	
	41		CHRIS@LONQUIS e-mail Address	Т.СОМ
	~	EXHIBIT		

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

I.	PURPOSE: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance XDisposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? Yes No							
II.	OPERATOR: NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC							
	ADDRESS: <u>1509 W WALL ST // STE 306 // MIDLAND, TX 79701</u>							
	CONTACT PARTY: <u>SARAH JORDAN</u> PHONE: (432) 685-0005 x1989							
III.	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.							
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project?YesNo If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project:No							
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.							
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.							
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:							
	 Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected; Whether the system is open or closed; Proposed average and maximum injection pressure; Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and, If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.). 							
*VIII.	Attach appropriate geologic data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geologic name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.							
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.							
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted).							
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.							
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water.							
XIII.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.							
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.							
	NAME: Christopher B. Weyand TITLE: Consulting Engineer							
	SIGNATURE: 423 2219							
*	E-MAIL ADDRESS. <u>chris@lonquist.com</u> If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not be resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstances of the earlier submittal:							

DISTRIBUTION: Original and one copy to Santa Fe with one copy to the appropriate District Office

III. WELL DATA

- A. The following well data must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. The data must be both in tabular and schematic form and shall include:
 - (1) Lease name; Well No.; Location by Section, Township and Range; and footage location within the section.
 - (2) Each casing string used with its size, setting depth, sacks of cement used, hole size, top of cement, and how such top was determined.
 - (3) A description of the tubing to be used including its size, lining material, and setting depth.
 - (4) The name, model, and setting depth of the packer used or a description of any other seal system or assembly used.

Division District Offices have supplies of Well Data Sheets which may be used or which may be used as models for this purpose. Applicants for several identical wells may submit a "typical data sheet" rather than submitting the data for each well.

- B. The following must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. All items must be addressed for the initial well. Responses for additional wells need be shown only when different. Information shown on schematics need not be repeated.
 - (1) The name of the injection formation and, if applicable, the field or pool name.
 - (2) The injection interval and whether it is perforated or open-hole.
 - (3) State if the well was drilled for injection or, if not, the original purpose of the well.
 - (4) Give the depths of any other perforated intervals and detail on the sacks of cement or bridge plugs used to seal off such perforations.
 - (5) Give the depth to and the name of the next higher and next lower oil or gas zone in the area of the well, if any.

XIV. PROOF OF NOTICE

All applicants must furnish proof that a copy of the application has been furnished, by certified or registered mail, to the owner of the surface of the land on which the well is to be located and to each leasehold operator within one-half mile of the well location.

Where an application is subject to administrative approval, a proof of publication must be submitted. Such proof shall consist of a copy of the legal advertisement which was published in the county in which the well is located. The contents of such advertisement must include:

- (1) The name, address, phone number, and contact party for the applicant;
- (2) The intended purpose of the injection well; with the exact location of single wells or the Section, Township, and Range location of multiple wells;
- (3) The formation name and depth with expected maximum injection rates and pressures; and,

(4) A notation that interested parties must file objections or requests for hearing with the Oil Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, within 15 days.

NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE APPLICATION UNTIL PROPER PROOF OF NOTICE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED.

NOTICE: Surface owners or offset operators must file any objections or requests for hearing of administrative applications within 15 days from the date this application was mailed to them.

Side 2

						ff				ĥ				ft^3	
		<u>35E</u> RANGE				1	Circulation		c 1		Circulation			Ţ	Circulation
		26S TOWNSHIP		<u>UNSTRUCTION DATA</u> Casing	Casing Size: <u>20.000</u> "	or	Method Determined:	iate Casing	Casing Size: <u>13.375</u> "	or	Method Determined:	iate Casing	Casing Size: <u>9.625"</u>	or	Method Determined:
		30 SECTION		<u>WELL CO</u> Surface (l st Intermedi				2 nd Intermed			
		I I'NIT I ETTER	ONIT LETTEN		Hole Size: <u>24.000"</u>	Cemented with: 1.993 sx.	Top of Cement: <u>Surface</u>		Hole Size: 17.500"	Cemented with: 3.285 sx.	Top of Cement: <u>Surface</u>		Hole Size: <u>12.250</u> "	Cemented with: <u>3.249</u> sx.	Top of Cement: <u>Surface</u>
OPERATOR: NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC	WELL NAME & NUMBER: GHOST RIDER SWD #1	WELL LOCATION: 1.585' FSL & 270' FEL	FOOLAGE FOCATION	WELLBORE SCHEMATIC											

INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET

Side 1

Casing Size: <u>7.625</u>	or $\hat{\mathbf{h}}^3$	Method Determined: Calculation		Injection Interval	<u>18.953</u> feet to <u>20.729</u> feet	(Open Hole)	
Hole Size: <u>8.500"</u>	Cemented with: <u>356</u> sx.	Top of Cement: <u>12,400'</u>	Total Depth: <u>20,729</u>				

Production Liner

Tu Ty Pa S. 4. 3. 2. 1. Oti S. 4. 3. 2. 1. 1.	bing Size: 7". 26 lb/ft. P-110. TCPC from 0"- 12.300" and 5.500". 17 lb/ft. P-110 TCPC from 12.300" - 18.903" ing Material: Duoline pe of Packer: 7-5/8" x 5-1/2" TCPC Permanent Packer with High Temp Elastomer and Full Inconel oker Setting Depth: 18.903 her Type of Tubing/Casing Seal (if applicable): Additional Data Additional Data Is this a new well drilled for injection? X vs. No If no, for what purpose was the well originally drilled? <u>N/A</u> Name of field or Pool (if applicable): <u>X</u> ves No from the Injection Formation: Devonian. Silurian. Fusselman and Montoya (Top 100") Name of Field or Pool (if applicable): <u>XWD</u> : Devonian-Silurian Has the well ever been perforated in any other zone(s)? List all such perforated intervals and give plugging detail, i.e. sacks of cement or plug(s) used. <u>No. new drill.</u> Give the name and depths of any oil or gas zones underlying or overlying the proposed injection zone in this area: Delaware: 5.340 Bone Spring: 9.306 Wenter 14.888
	<u>Atoka: 15,006'</u> Morrow: 15,564'

Side 2

INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET

		- 0.0-								с.								۲				
Travel 6.8 miles (past house on		Injection String			T		12300' of 7" P110 26# TCPC	6603' of 5-1/2"	P110 17# TCPC Duoline Internali	Coated Injectior Tubing				1			7-5/8" × 5-1/2"	TCPC Permanen Packer with High Temn Flastomer	and full Inconel	925 trim		
VM - Head South on NM-205 S (Frying Pan Road). nam Rd. Continue on Beckham Road for 2.3 miles	right (SE) side. [31	Cement (HOLD)	LEAD: 741 sx of 13.7 ppg EXTANDACEM, 1.694 ft3/sk @ 75% Excess (750' of fill)	TAII: 1252 sv of 14.8 ppg HALCEM, 1.342 ft3/sk @ 75% Excess (1000' of fill)	Stage 2: 1128 sx of 13.7 ppg HALCEM, 1.747	113/5K @ 50% Excess (0' - 1850')	Stage 1: 2157 sx of 13. 7 ppg HALCEM, 1.777 ft3/sk @ 50% Excess (1850' - 5300')	Stage 3:	1120 sx of 13.7 ppg HALCEM, 1.777 ft3/sk @ 10% Excess (Upper DV Tool to Surface)	Stage 2:	827 sx of 11.9 ppg HALCEM, 1.713 ft3/sk @ 50% Excess	(between Upper and Lower UVI)	Stage 1: 1302 sx of 15.6 ppg HALCEM, 1.232 ft3/sk @ 30% Excess (TD to Lower DVT)		LEAD: 209 sx of 11.9 ppg HALCEM, 2.053 ft3/sk @ 20% Excess over caliper volume or 25%	(17953' - 12400')	TAIL: 147 sx of 13.2 ppg HALCEM, 1.439 ft3/sk	(2000' of fill)			Displace with 3% KCl (or heavier brine if necessary)	
Directions to Site: From Jal, I and turn right (W) onto Beck	right). Location will be on the Lat/Long: 32.01281/-103.40	Logging	Mud loggers on site by	Drillout of Surf.		Gyro Survey			12.25" Open Hole: MWD GR	Triple combo, Caliper , CBL of 13-3/8" Casing to surface	Cased Hole: CBL/Pressure	not circulated on 2nd and	3rd Stages	8.5" Open Hole:	MWD GR Triple combo, Caliper of 8.5"	Open Hole/CBL of 9-5/8" Casing	Current Holo: SCBI /Oraci	Pass to 1000 psi of 7-5/8" Liner before drillout			MWD GR Triple Combo with FMI + CBL	0/6-1 10
TD - 20,729'	GL/KB - 3172'/3192'	Casing	1750' of 20" 133# J55 BTC Centralizers - bottom 2 joints and	every 3rd jt thereafter, Cement basket 5th jt from surface	5300' of 13-3/8" 68# HCL80 BTC	SM A Section Casing Bowl	Centralizers - bottom jt, every 3rd joint in open hole and 2 jt inside the surface casing	10M B Section	12,900' of 9-5/8" 53.5# HCP110 BTC Special Drift to 8.535"	Externally Coat 3600' Between DV Tools	DV Tool at at 9000' ECP/DV Tool @ top of loss zones	(ногр)	Centralizers - bottom jr, 100' aside of DV tool, every 3rd joint in open hole and 5 within the surface casing		6553' of 7-5/8" 39#	HCV150 USS Liberty FJM	Centralizers on and 1 jt above shoe jt	מות נוופון באבו ל דוות לו:			Openhole completion	
	ost	PnW	Spud Mud	MW< 9.0		Brine Water	MW 10-10.5		Freshwater	MW 8.6 - 9.2	High visc. Sweeps and mud up for tight snots	(<10 FL, 30-35	visc.)		AES-VERT OBM	MW 13.0-14.5	UBD/MPD using	ADA			Cut Brine - low grav for possible locces	6000
AFE Number	Estimated Drilling C	Bit/BHA	24" PDC Bit + 9-5/8" X 8" 7/8 4.0 Combo MM w/17" NBS + 1X8" DC	+ 17" IBS + 1X8" DC + SS + 4X8" DC's + X/O +5" HWDP	17-1/2" Varel PDC Rit +	9-5/8"X 8" 7/8 4.0 Combo MM w/ 17" Steel NBS + 17" IBS + 2X8"	DC's + Thruster + 4X8" DC's + 18X6" DC's + X/O + HWDP		12-1/4" Smith XS 716S AxeBlade PDC Bit, sub, o" 7/0 4 00 15 MAA71" MIPC	8 //8 4.0 0.15 MM W/ 12" NBS, ALS Roller Reamer DeMag , UBHO	ALS 12" RR/UBHO/NMDC, 6 jts: 8" DC, X/O sub,	18 jts: 6" DC, X/O sub, 8" Drilling Jars	HWDP + 5" DP to Surface	8-1/2" Smith XS 716S AveRlade	6-3/4" 7/8 5.7 MM w/ 8" NBS	UBHO sub, 8"	NMIBS/UBHU/NMIUC, Ihruster, 18 jts: 6" DC	6" Drilling Jars HWDP + 5" DP to Surface		6-1/2" Smith U611S PDC Bit, sub, 5" 7/8 2.6 0.26 1.5FBH MM w/ 6"	NBS, 6" NMIBS, UBHO/NMDC, Thructer Y/O sub	24 jts: 4-3/4" HWDP + 4" DP to Surface
Lea County, NM	Rig - ??	Problems	Loss Circulation Hole Cleaning	Wellbore stability in the Red Beds Anhydrite in the Rustler	Seepage Losses	Possible H2S	Annydrite Salt Sections	Hard Drilline in the Brushv Canvon (watch for	stick-slip) Seepage to Complete Losses/ Water Flows in	DMG	Some Anhydrite and H2S possible	Production in the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp	Ballooning is possible in Cherry Canyon and Brushy if Broken Down		High Pressure (up to 15ppg) and wellbore instability (fracturing) expected in the Atoka	Production in the Wolfcamp	Atoka and Morrow	Hard Drilling in the Morrow Clastic		Chert is possible	Loss of Circulation is expected	BHT estimated at 280F
er SWD #1	, Silurian, Fusselman	Section	Surface Drill 24" 0' - 1750'	Set and Cement 20" Casing	1st Intermediate Drill 3550' of	17-1/2" Hole 1750' - 5300'	set and Cement 13-3/8" Casing in 2 Stages		2nd Intermediate	12-1/4" Hole 5300' - 12900'	Set 9-5/8" Intermediate Casing	and Cement in 3 Stages			3rd Intermediate Drill 6053' of	8-1/2" Hole	Set 7-5/8" Liner and	Cement in Single Stage		Injection Interval	ill 1910' of 6-1/2" hole 18953' to 20729'	
st Ride	Jevonian			193.0																	<u>م</u>	
L Gho	ection - D													1.44								
ÐN	Vertical Inj	(MD ft)	25' 1,034'	1,581' 1,750'	1,806' 1,850'	2,816'	4,788' 5,300'	5,340' 5,343'	5,378' 5,400'	6,588'	7,823' 9,000'	9,306'	12,400' 12,804' 12,900'	13,206'	14,488' 15,006'	15,564'	16,654' 18,659'	18,903' 18.953'	18,953'	19,954'	20,224'	20,629' 20,729'
	Energy Partners LP	Geologic Tops	Triassic - Rustler Anhydrite -	Base of Silicates Surface TD -	Top of Salt - 1st Int. DV Tool -	Castile -	Base Salt - 1st Int TD -	Delaware Mtn Group - Lamar Limestone -	Bell Canyon - 2nd Int. DV Tool/ACP -	Cherry Canyon -	Brushy Canyon - 2nd Int. DV Tool -	Bone Spring -	3rd Int Liner Top - Wolfcamp - 2nd Int TD -	Penn -	Strawn - Atoka -	Morrow -	Miss Lst - Woodford -	Perm Packer - 3rd Int TD -	Devonian -	Silurian -	Fusselman -	Montoya - TD -

NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC

Ghost Rider SWD No. 1

FORM C-108 Supplemental Information

III. Well Data

A. Wellbore Information

1.

Well information						
Lease Name	Ghost Rider SWD					
Well No.	1					
Location	S-30 T-26S R-35E					
Footage Location	1,585' FSL & 270' FEL					

2.

a. Wellbore Description

Casing Information							
Туре	Surface	Intermediate	Production	Liner			
OD	20"	13.375″	9.625″	7.625″			
WT	0.635″	0.480"	0.545″	0.500″			
ID	18.730"	12.415″	8.535″	6.625″			
Drift ID	18.542"	12.259"	8.535″	6.500"			
COD	21.00"	14.375″	10.625″	8.500"			
Weight	133 lb/ft	68 lb/ft	53.5 lb/ft	39 lb/ft			
Grade	J-55	HCL-80	HCP-110	HCV-150			
Hole Size	24"	17.5"	12.25"	8.5"			
Depth Set	1,750'	5,300'	12,900'	18,953'			

b. Cementing Program

	Cement Information									
Casing String	Surface	Intermediate	Production	Liner						
Lead Cement	Extenda Cem	Halcem	Halcem	Halcem						
Lead Cement Volume	741	3,285	Stage 1: 1,302 sks Stage 2: 827 sks Stage 3: 1,120 sks	209						
Tail Cement	Halcem			Halcem						
Tail Cement Volume	1,252			147						
Cement Excess	75%	50%	30%,50%,10%	25%						
тос	Surface	Surface	Surface	12,400'						
Method	Circulate to Surface	Circulate to Surface	Circulate to Surface	Logged						

3. Tubing Description

Tubing Information								
OD	7"	5.5″						
WT	0.362″	0.304″						
ID	6.276"	4.892"						
Drift ID	7.875″	6.050"						
COD	6.151"	4.653"						
Weight	26 lb/ft	17 lb/ft						
Grade	P-110 TCPC	P-110 TCPC						
Depth Set	0'-12,300'	12,300' -18,903'						

Tubing will be lined with Duoline.

4. Packer Description

7-5/8" x 5-1/2" TCPC Permanent Packer with High Temp Elastomer and Full Inconel

- B. Completion Information
 - 1. Injection Formation: Devonian, Silurian, Fusselman, Montoya (Top 100')
 - 2. Gross Injection Interval: 18,953' 20,729'

Completion Type: Open Hole

- 3. Drilled for injection.
- 4. See the attached wellbore schematic.
- 5. Oil and Gas Bearing Zones within area of well:

Formation	Depth
Delaware	5,340'
Bone Spring	9,306′
Wolfcamp	12,804'
Strawn	14,488'
Atoka	15,006'
Morrow	15,564'

VI. Area of Review

No wells within the area of review penetrate the proposed injection zone.

VII. Proposed Operation Data

1. Proposed Daily Rate of Fluids to be Injection:

Average Volume: 40,000 BPD Maximum Volume: 50,000 BPD

- 2. Closed System
- 3. Anticipated Injection Pressure:

Average Injection Pressure: 2,843 PSI (surface pressure) Maximum Injection Pressure: 3,790 PSI (surface pressure)

- 4. The injection fluid is to be locally produced water. It is expected that the source water will predominantly be from the Avalon, Bone Spring, Delaware and Wolfcamp formations. Attached are produced water sample analyses taken from the closest wells that feature samples from the Avalon, Bone Spring, Delaware, and Wolfcamp formations.
- 5. The disposal interval is non-productive. No water samples are available from the surrounding area.

VIII. Geological Data

The Devonian formation is a dolomitic ramp carbonate that occurs below the Woodford shale and above the Fusselman formation. Strata found in the Devonian formation include two major groups, the Wristen Buildups and the Thirtyone Deepwater Chert, with the Wristen being more abundant. The Wristen Groups is composed of mixed limestone and dolomites with mudstone to grainstone and boundstone textures. Porosity in the Wristen group is a result of both primary and secondary development. Present are moldic, vugular, karstic (including collapse breccia) features that allow for higher porosities and permeabilities. The Thirtyone Formation contains two end-member reservoir facies, skeletal packstones/grainstones and spiculitic chert, with most of the porosity and permeability found in the coarsely crystalline cherty dolomite. These particular characteristics allow for this formation to be a tremendous Salt Water Disposal horizon.

Formation	Depth
Rustler Anhydrite	1,034′
Castile	2,816′
Delaware	5,340'
Bone Spring	9,306'
Wolfcamp	12,804'
Penn	13,206′
Atoka	15,006'
Morrow	15,564'
Mississippian Lime	16,654'
Woodford	18,659′
Devonian	18,953′
Silurian	19,954'
Fusselman	20,224'
Montoya	20,629'

A. Injection Zone: Siluro-Devonian Formation

B. Underground Sources of Drinking Water

Within 1-mile of the proposed Ghost Rider SWD #1 location, there are no water wells. Water wells in the surrounding area have an average depth of 400 ft and an average water depth of 240 ft generally producing from the Santa Rosa. The upper Rustler may also be another USDW and will be protected.

IX. Proposed Stimulation Program

Stimulate with up to 50,000 gallons of acid.

X. Logging and Test Data on the Well

There are no logs or test data on the well. During the process of drilling and completion resistivity, gamma ray, and density logs will be run.

XI. Chemical Analysis of Fresh Water Wells

No water wells exist within one mile of the proposed well location.

XII. Affirmative Statement of Examination of Geologic and Engineering Data

Based on the available engineering and geologic data we find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone (in the proposed <u>Ghost Rider SWD #1</u>) and any underground sources of drinking water.

NAME: John C. Webb

SIGNATURE: John Chiefe

TITLE: Sr. Geologist

DATE: NOU. (201)

 District I

 I625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM \$8240

 Phone: (575) 393-6161

 Phone: (575) 393-6161

 Fax: (575) 393-6720

 District II

 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM \$8210

 Phone: (575) 748-1283 Fax: (575) 748-9720

 District III

 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM \$7410

 Phone: (55) 334-6178 Fax: (505) 334-6170

 District IV

 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM \$7505

 Phone: (505) 476-3460 Fax: (505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico

AMENDED REPORT

Oil Conservation Division

1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Santa Fe, NM 87505

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL, RE-ENTER, DEEPEN, PLUGBACK, OR ADD A ZONE

Operator Name and Address										² OGRID Number 372338			
NGL WA LEK SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC 1509 W WALL ST, STE 306 MIDLAND, TX 79701										API Number TBD			
* Prope	erty Code			* Property Name GHOST RIDER SWD						^{0.} Well No. I			
-		_			^{7.} Su	irface Location	1						
UL - Lot	Section	Township	Range	Lot	Idn	Feet from	N/	S Line	Fe	et From	E/W Line	County	
T	30	26S	35E	N	A	1585'	SC	DUTH		270'	EAST	LEA	
-	* Proposed Bottom Hole Location												
UL - Lot	Section	Township	Range	Lot	Idn	Feet from	N	S Line	Fe	et From	E/W Line	County	
	-	-	-			-				-	-	-	
	^{9.} Pool Information												
					Pool	Name						Pool Code	
				SV	WD; Devo	nian-Silurian						97869	
	Additional Well Information												
^{11.} Work Type 12 N			¹² Well Type SWD	¹² Well Type SWD		^{13.} Cable/Rotary R			¹⁴ Lease Type Private		^{13.} Grou	Ground Level Elevation 3,175	
^{16.} Multiple ^{17.} Prop N 20			¹⁷ Proposed De 20,729'	Proposed Depth ¹⁸ Formation 20,729' Siluro-Devonian			^{19.} Contractor TBD		²⁰ Spud Date ASAP				
Depth		Distance from nearest fresh water well > 1 mile				Distance to nearest surface water > 1 mile		ace water					

We will be using a closed-loop system in lieu of lined pits

^{21.} Pro	posed Casing	and Cement	Program
--------------------	--------------	------------	---------

Туре	Hole Size	Casing Size	Casing Weight/ft	Setting Depth	Sacks of Cement	Estimated TOC
Surface	24"	20"	133 lb/ft	1,750'	1,993	Surface
Intermediate	17.5"	13.375"	68 lb/ft	5,300'	3,285	Surface
Production	12.25"	9.625"	53.5 lb/ft	12,900'	3,249	Surface
Prod. Liner	8.5"	7.625``	39 lb/ft	18,953	356	12,400'
Tubing	N/A	7"	26 lb/ft	0'-12,300'	N/A	N/A
Tubing	N/A	5.5"	17 lb/ft	12,300' – 18,903'	N/A	N/A

Casing/Cement Program: Additional Comments

See attached schematic.

^{22.} Proposed Blowout Prevention Program

Туре	Working Pressure	Test Pressure	Manufacturer
Double Hydrualic/Blinds, Pipe	10,000 psi	8,000 psi	TBD – Schaffer/Cameron

^{23.} I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION			
I further certify that I have complied with 19.15.14.9 (A) NMAC and/or 19.15.14.9 (B) NMAC of applicable. Signature:	Approved By:			
(IN AN				
Printed name: Christopher B. Weyand	Title:			
Title: Consulting Engineer	Approved Date:	Expiration Date:		
E-mail Address: chris@lonquist.com				
Date: 04/22/2019 Phone: (512) 600-1764	Conditions of Approval Attached			

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0700 District II 811 S. Fust St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone: (575) 748-1283 Fax: (575) 748-9700 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 Phone: (505) 334-6178 Fax: (505) 334-6170 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3460 Fax: (505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-102 Revised August 1, 2011 Submit one copy to appropriate District Office

AMENDED REPORT

WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

¹ A			² Pool Code		³ Pool Name						
			97869		SWD; Devonian-Silurian						
⁴ Property Code			⁵ Property Name							⁶ Well Number	
l topeny coue		GHOST RIDER SWD							1		
² OGRID N	10				⁸ Operator	Name			⁹ Elevation		
372558			NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC							3175.00"±	
	¹⁰ Surface Location										
UL or lot no.	Section	Township Range Lot Idn Feet from the North/South line Feet from the Ea				Eas	t/West line		County		
1	30	26 S 35 E N/A 1585' SOUTH 270' EA					EAS	T	LEA		
I	"Bottom Hole Location If Different From Surface										
UL or lot no. Section Township		Township	Range Lot Idn		Feet from the	North/South line	Feet from the	East/West line			County
¹² Dedicated Acres ¹³ Joint or Infill ¹⁴ Consolidation Code ¹⁵ Order No.											

No allowable will be assigned to this completion until all interests have been consolidated or a non-standard unit has been approved by the division.

16	PROPOSED	1	"OPERATOR CERTIFICATION
	GHOST RIDER SWD 1		I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and complete to
	NMSP-F (NAD27)		the best of new knowledge and belief, and that this organization either owns a
	N: 369,069.56		working interest or unleased mineral interest in the kind including the
	E: 789,814.47'		working interest or lineaster nine constrained to the drill this well at this location
	NMSP-E (NAD83)		proposed contour not occarion or nest origin to a mineral or working interest.
	N: 369,126.57'		pursuan to a contract with an owner of such a minerar or noting order.
	Lat: N32'00'40.92"		or to a commence proming agreement of a compactory pooring and
	Long: W103'23'55.66"		beretojore entered by the autiston.
			1/23/2019
			Signature Date
			Chris Weyand
			Printed Name
			chris@lonquist.com
			E-mail Address
	ECTION _		
			SURVEYOR CERTIFICATION
	30		I hereby certify that the well location shown on this plat was
			plotted from field notes of actual surveys made by me or
		270'7	under my supervision, and that the same is true and correct
			to the best of an ballat
		1060'	to the best of my bellef.
			02/07/19
		-	Date of Survey
		63,	Signature and Seal of Professional Surveyor Bar
		N N	MEXIC A
		185,	
		1	25114
			Bills MR. Raule
			25114 247
			Certificate Number
			Solvent Sur







Exhibit 2

Scott Wilson Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINTERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT J. WILSON

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, Scott J. Wilson, make the following affidavit based upon my own personal knowledge.

1. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and am otherwise competent to make the statements contained herein.

2. I am the Senior Vice President for Ryder Scott Company in Denver, Colorado. My responsibilities at Ryder Scott Company include the performance of reserve appraisals, technical evaluations, and reservoir analysis.

3. I hold a bachelor's degree in petroleum engineering from the Colorado School of Mines, and a master's degree in business from the University of Colorado. I have worked as a petroleum engineer since 1983.

4. I am familiar with the application that NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC ("NGL") has filed in this matter, and I have conducted a nodal analysis and reservoir study related to the area which is the subject matter of the application. Copies of my study are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

5. The applicant, NGL (OGRID No. 372338), seeks an order approving the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well. This well is a salt water disposal well.

6. The well will be spaced out and not located closer than approximately 1 mile from other disposal wells approved for injection into the Devonian and Silurian formations.

7. The approved injection zone for the well is located below the base of the Woodford Shale formation and above the Ordovician formation, which consists of significant shale deposits.

8. The well will primarily be injecting fluids into the Wristen Group and Fusselman formations, with some fluids potentially being injected into the Upper Montoya Group. Each of these sub-formations or zones are located within what is commonly referred to by operators and the Division as the "Devonian Silurian" formations. These zones consist of a very thick sequence of limestone and dolostone that has significant primary and secondary porosity and permeability that is collectively between 800 to 1,800 feet thick.

9. I have reviewed step rate tests for similar disposal wells drilled within the area and conducted a nodal analysis. It is my opinion that a large percentage of surface pressure encountered using smaller diameter tubing was a result of friction pressure. For instance, in Case No. 15720, evidence was presented to the Division showing that up to 85% of this surface pressure was due to friction. Increasing the tubing size would reduce friction and would conserve pump horsepower, fuel, and reduce emissions.

10. My nodal analysis indicates that increasing the tubing size to 7" by 5 ¹/₂" would not significantly increase reservoir pressures over a twenty-year time period. The injection zone is located within a reservoir with significant thickness consisting of of high permeability rocks, which results in only very small pressure increases even when injection is increased to a rate of 40,000 barrels per day over a 20-year period.

2

11. It is my opinion that increasing the tubing size will not cause fractures in the formation. Wellhead pressures are set at a maximum that is below the formation fracture pressure and, as a result, it is impossible to get above the formation fracture pressure while honoring wellhead pressure constraints. Consequently, it is highly unlikely that increasing the tubing size in the wells would result in fractures to the formation.

12. I have also studied the potential impact on pore pressures and put together a simulation of the radial influence that the wells would have if larger tubing is used for a period of time. A copy of this study is included in Attachment A to this affidavit. This study shows that it is anticipated that there will be a minimal impact on reservoir pressures and that the majority of fluids will not travel further than 1 mile in 20 years.

13. My studies further indicate that additional injection wells located one mile away from the proposed well will not create any materially adverse pressures in the formation.

14. I attest that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

15. The granting of these applications is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

[Signature page follows]

3

ADuson

Scott J. Wilson

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this ______ day of June, 2019 by Scott J. Wilson.

Notary Public

My commission expires: 09/14/2019

MICHAEL VAN DAMME Notary Public State of Colorado Notary ID 20114059237 My Commission Expires Sep 14, 2019



Typical Wellbore Hydraulics Models predict a 30% increase in **NGL Water Solutions, LLC**

maximum injection rate between 5.5 tubing and 7x5.5 tubing.



Exh. A1



Increased injection rate per well equates to fewer injectors. NGL Water Solutions, LLC



Exh. A2







Exh. A4


Simulation Grid matches Structure and Thickness **NGL Water Solutions, LLC**

Observation wells are placed in grid corners to monitor the large scale pressure distribution. Reservoir Simulation grid incorporates the NGL proposed wells and the close offsets.





3D view of grid shows Structural Relief.



Exh. A6



Exh. A7

Light Blue color to the North East is the thickest Sil/Dev.





Exh. A8

Initial pressure is equilibrated by the model based on





Exh. A9

volumes, and the ability of the reservoir to dissipate pressure Pressure at 20 years is affected by original pressure, injected





Exh. A10





Exh. A11

Detailed saturation profiles after 20 years of injection.





Exh. A12

Water Injection Rate (BWIPD) 6/13/19 20000 30000 10000 40000 Typical wells showing interference when spaced 1, 1.5, and 2 miles apart. WATER INJECTION RATE OBSSE WATER INJECTION RATE OBSSEIM WATER INJECTION RATE OBSSE WATER INJECTION RATE OBSSEIMI 1 mile apart. OBSSE2M Closer spacing causes rates to fall, but not significantly. 2000 Step 89 Time 7000.0 Days on Injection 1.5 miles apart. WATER INJECTION RATE 4000 Step 89 Time 7000 2 miles apart. 6000 Exh. 12









MADERA





Simulation BHIP predictions for wells near Ghost Rider **NGL** Water Solutions, LLC Exh. A17

XXXAAA

Days





Exhibit 3

Dr. Kate Zeigler Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINTERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

AFFIDAVIT OF KATE ZEIGLER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

) ss.)

I, Kate Zeigler, make the following affidavit based upon my own personal knowledge.

1. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and am otherwise competent to make the statements contained herein.

2. I am the senior geologist at Zeigler Geologic Consulting, and I provide a wide range of geoscience related services to companies and other entities in Southeastern New Mexico.

3. I have obtained a bachelor's degree in geology from Rice University, a master's degree in paleontology from the University of New Mexico, and a Ph.D. in stratigraphy and paleomagnetism from the University of New Mexico. Additionally, I have completed several surface geologic maps for the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resource's Geologic Mapping Program as well as for independent operators who are exploring prospects within the western Permian Basin. I have also conducted a prior geologic study concerning what is commonly referred to as the Devonian and Silurian formations in Southeastern New Mexico to help determine whether the approval of 7" by 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ " tubing is appropriate in Devonian and Silurian salt water disposal wells approved by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

4. I am familiar with the application that NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC ("NGL") has filed in this matter, and I have conducted a geologic study of the lands which are the subject matter of the application. A copy of my geologic study, including cross sections, a structure map and isopach are is included in Attachment A to this affidavit.

5. The applicant, NGL (OGRID No. 372338), seeks an order approving the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well. This well is a salt water disposal well.

6. I have been informed that the injection interval for the well will be isolated to the Devonian and Silurian formations (also referred to as the Wristen Group and Fusselman Formation) and the well will have four strings of casing protecting the fresh water aquifer, the salt-bearing interval, and the Permian aged rocks through the Wolfcamp Formation. The deepest casing is 7 5/8", which is cemented and cement is circulated on the 7 5/8" casing.

7. The well will be spaced out and not located closer than approximately 1 mile from other disposal wells that have been approved for injection into the Devonian and Silurian formations.

8. The injection zone for the well is located below the Woodford Shale. The Woodford Shale is an Upper Devonian unit that has low porosity and permeability and consists predominantly of shale and mudstone with some carbonate beds. The Woodford Shale acts as a permeability boundary to prevent fluids from moving upward out of the underlying formations. The Woodford Shale formation in the areas where the well is located is between 100 to 150 feet thick.

9. Below the injection zone for the well is the Ordovician formation, also referred to as the Simpson Group, which contains sequences of shale that make up approximately 55% of the total thickness of the formation in any given place and can likewise act as a permeability

2

boundary that prevents fluids from migrating downwards into deeper formations and the basement rock. In the area where the well is proposed to be located, the Ordovician formation is between 800' and 900' thick and, as a result, there is a significant thickness in this lower shale. Below the Ordovician is the Ellenburger Formation, which is up to 700 feet thick.

10. Based on my geologic study of the area, it is my opinion that the approved injection zone for the well is located below the base of the Woodford Shale formation and above the Simpson Group formation, both of which consist of significant shale deposits. Evidence indicates that shale formations located above and below the approved injection zones will likely restrict fluids from migrating beyond the approved injection zones for the wells.

11. The well will primarily be injecting fluids into the Wristen Group and Fusselman Formation, with some fluids potentially being injected into the Upper Montoya Group. Each of these rock units is located within what is commonly referred to by operators and the Division as the "Devonian-Silurian" formations. These zones consist of a very thick sequence of limestone and dolostone which has significant primary and secondary porosity and permeability that is collectively between 1,700 to 2,000 feet thick.

12. It is my opinion that there is no risk to freshwater resources from injection within the Wristen Group, Fusselman, and Upper Montoya Group because of the depth of these subformations and the upper shale permeability boundary created by the Woodford Shale.

13. I have also studied the location of known fault lines within the area where the well is proposed to be drilled and the closest known fault line is located approximately 4 miles away from the proposed well location.

14. There are no currently recognized production shales within the Wristen Group, Fusselman Formation, and Upper Montoya Group in this part of the western Permian Basin.

3

While there may be some isolated traps located within these sub-formations, it takes significant ability with imaging to be able to locate these deposits in order to properly target them.

15. I attest that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

16. The granting of these applications is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

[Signature page follows]



Kate Zeigler

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this <u>12</u> day of June, 2019 by Kate Zeigler.

Misti Jutien Notary Public

My commission expires: March 15, 2021

Age		Stratigraphic Unit		Key Feature	Estimated Depth BLS for Eddy/Lea County Line*
Triassic		Chinle Santa Rosa		Freshwater	
	Ochoan		Dewey Lake Rustler Salado	resources	
nian	Guadalupian	ן. Grp.	Bell Canyon		
		/are Mti	Cherry Canyon	Current petroleum zone	
Peri	Loopordion	Delaw	Brushy Canyon	↓	
	Leonardian	Bone Spring		Current petroleum zone	
	Wolfcampian	Wolfcamp		1 ↓	
an	Virgilian	Cisco			
/ani	Missourian	Canyon			
sylv	Des Moinesian	Strawn			
nnə	Atokan	Atoka		Current	
<u>а</u>	Morrowan	Morrow		petroleum zone ↓	
Mississ	Upper		Barnett		
1155155.	Lower	limestones			10 100'
	Upper	Woodford		Shale:	~18,100
Devon.	Middle			permeability barrier	~ ~18.250'**
	Lower		Thirtyone	Turnet	,
C:U.	Upper	Wristen		injection	
Silur.	Middle			interval	~
	Lower		russelman		
Ordov	Upper	Montoya		Shale:	
	Middle	Simpson		permeability barrier	~~20,200'
	Lower		Ellenburger	ļ	
Cambrian			Bliss		
Precambrian			basement		

Stratigraphic chart for the Delaware Basin from Broadhead (2017). * Based on data from 30-025-24683 Fairview Mills Fed #1 (14-25S-34E).













Exhibit 4

Dr. Steven Taylor Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINTERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

AFFIDAVIT OF DR. STEVEN TAYLOR

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss.) ss.COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, Dr. Steven Taylor, make the following affidavit based upon my own personal knowledge.

1. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and am otherwise competent to make the statements contained herein.

2. I have worked at the Los Alamos National Labs from 1991 to 2006. I currently am the chief scientist of GeoEnergy Monitoring Systems, Inc., a company that builds and conducts seismic monitoring.

3. I have obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in geology at Ohio University (1975) and a Ph.D. in Geophysics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1980).

4. I am familiar with the application that NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC ("NGL") has filed in this matter and I have conducted a study related to the area which is the subject matter of that application.

5. The applicant, NGL (OGRID No. 372338), seeks an order approving the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well. This well is a salt water disposal well.

6. In its application, NGL requests approval to use larger diameter tubing for this well which is 7" by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ".

7. The well will be spaced out and not located closer than approximately 1 mile from other disposal wells approved for injection into the Devonian and Silurian formations.

8. The approved injection zone for the well is located below the base of the Woodford Shale formation and above the Ordovician formation, which consists of significant shale deposits.

9. The well will primarily be injecting fluids into the Wristen Group and Fusselman formations, with some fluids potentially being injected into the Upper Montoya Group. Each of these sub-formations or zones are located within what is commonly referred to by operators and the Oil Conservation Division as the "Devonian and Silurian" formations. These zones consist of a very thick sequence of limestone and dolostone that has significant primary and secondary porosity and permeability that is collectively between 1,500 to 3,000 feet thick.

10. The closest known fault line is located approximately 10 miles away from where the well is located.

11. I have studied seismic catalogs, unpublished catalogs and USGS catalogs for the time period of 2010 - 2017 selective events within 50 km of the Striker SWD wells. A copy of my study is provided in Attachment A to this affidavit. My study concludes that there is very little seismic activity in the area where the well is located.

12. I have also reviewed information provided by FTI Platt Sparks involving several different fault slip probability analyses conducted using a tool created by Stanford University. These fault slip potential models showed low probability of slip or earthquakes to known

2

mapped faults located closest to the wells. A copy of the studies is included in Attachment B to this affidavit.

13. I attest that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

14. The granting of this application is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

[Signature page follows]

<u>Steven R. Tayh</u> Dr. Steven Taylor SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this That day of June, 2019 by Dr. Steven Taylor. Notary Public My commission expires: 04 08 2025 MBER GRAL MBER GRAL MOMM. No. 2011 MOTARI MAUBLIC MAUBLIC MAUBLIC MAUBLIC MAUBLIC

" Constantions



Seismic Catalog Analysis Within 50 km of Ghost Rider SWD #1 Well

Prepared for NGL-Permian by GeoEnergy Monitoring Systems June 5, 2019

Analysis is based on NMT seismic catalogs, unpublished catalogs and USGS catalogs for the time period 2010-2017 selecting events within 50 km of the Ghost Rider SWD #1 well. Additionally, seismic monitoring from September 6, 2018 to date from the three NGL seismic stations installed at Striker 2, Striker 3 and Striker 6 SWD wells. NGL/GeoEMS installed a seismic monitor at the Salty Dog SWD well (SDOG) in Texas just across New Mexico border on March 28, 2019 that will help constrain locations in southeastern NM.

Striker Two (STR2), Sand Dunes well, Lat/Long: 32.2072820/-103.7557370 Striker Three (STR3), Gossett well, Lat/Long: 32.2551110/-104.0868610 Striker Six (STR6), Madera well, Lat/Long: 32.2091150/-103.5359570 Salty Dog (SDOG), Salty Dog well, Lat/Long: 32.22531/ -103.045212

Figure 1 shows seismic station locations with estimated detection levels for M 1.0 (green circles) and M 1.5 (red circles) along with NGL-Permian stations (yellow pushpins). **Figure 2** shows seismicity listed in Table 1 shown as red circles and additional regional stations from TexNet and NMT (green pushpins). These regional stations are used along with the 3 Striker SWD seismic stations for regional monitoring.

The USGS reports no events in the vicinity since 2010. New Mexico Tech runs a seismic network (SC) north of the wells for the DOE Waste Isolation Plant (only short-period vertical components). There are a total of seven seismic events in this time period ranging in magnitude from 1.0 to 3.1. Since the seismic deployment, there have been event detections listed in Table 2 having preliminary locations using available regional data (**Figure 3**). Due to the small magnitudes, the signal-to-noise levels are low so the locations have large uncertainty and there is little constraint on depth.

No historic or recent events have been located in the vicinity of Ghost Rider SWD #1 well.

_						
	Date	Origin Time GMT	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (km)	Magnitude
	20111227	23:10:37	32.37	-103.95	NaN	1.6
	20120318	10:57:22	32.281	-103.892	5.0	3.1
	20170211	14:34:27	32.29	-103.92	NaN	1.5
	20170302	11:38:53	32.37	-103.88	NaN	1.7
	20170325	22:46:01	32.13	-103.77	NaN	1

Table 1: Seismicity Within 50 km of Striker SWD Wells 2010-2017

20170503 17:4	7:21 32.082	-103.023	5.0	2.6
20170814 01:0	9:56 32.39	-103.56	NaN	1.2

 Table 2. New Mexico Area Reporting Period Seismicity (km units)

Date Origin Time (GMT)	Lat	Long Dept	th Loc E	error M	(+/-)
09/10/18 23:35:43.942	32.1793	-103.5283 1	5.58	1.25	0.23
09/14/18 06:57:47.614	32.1540	-103.5030 1	5.58	1.11	0.41
09/15/18 16:48:21.041	32.1630	-103.5211 1	5.37	1.50	0.00
10/13/18 22:07:22.259	32.0998	-103.4560 6	5.64	1.60	0.12
11/18/18 09:04:52.707	32.2526	-103.7853 5	3.77	1.75	0.20
12/09/18 18:51:00.805	32.3634	-103.8510 1	2.09	1.44	0.08
01/03/19 09:15:48.809	32.2761	-103.6732 6	5.64	1.63	0.00
01/03/19 23:05:33.122	32.2599	-103.7654 4	5.51	1.60	0.25
01/04/19 09:45:38.943	32.2346	-103.7798 4	4.34	1.98	0.38
01/09/19 10:18:54.389	32.2255	-103.7166 5	2.80	1.47	0.41
01/27/19 07:33:47.127	32.2219	-103.7220 5	3.53	1.72	0.31
02/19/19 09:35:15.109	32.2443	-103.6898 1	4.17	1.20	0.00
05/23/19 06:33:40.530	32.2617	-103.7581 4	2.28	1.53	0.27



Figure 1. Striker SWD wells seismic station locations and existing NGL-Permian seismic stations (yellow pushpins). Green and red circles around stations show approximate detection levels for ML 1.0 and 1.5, respectively.



Figure 2. Striker SWD wells seismic station locations (yellow push pins) and existing NGL-Permian seismic stations (yellow pushpins). Other regional seismic stations run by TexNet and New Mexico Tech are shown as green pushpins. Historic seismicity listed in Table 1 shown as red circles. Ghost Rider SWD well shown as blue pushpin.


Figure 3. Seismic events in between September 6, 2018 to date as red circles (Table 2). Seismic stations as yellow (NGL) or green (NMT and TexNet) pushpins. Ghost Rider SWD well shown as blue pushpin.



Texas Registered Engineering Firm No F - 16381

June 12, 2019

RE: Application for Fluid Injection or Disposal Permit NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC Ghost Rider SWD #1 Lea County, New Mexico

FSP Analysis (Fault slip potential)

I have reviewed the geology and seismic activity near the Ghost Rider SWD #1 and I would conclude that this well does not pose a risk related to seismicity in this area. The Area of review (AOR) and subject well are shown on (FSP Exh. 2) in relation to the historical earthquake events in the area. (USGS) (None within the AOR).

* The FSP software used for this analysis was jointly developed by Stanford University, Exxon Mobil and XTO Energy as a tool for estimating fault slip potential resulting from fluid injection.

FSP Methodology

- FSP input variables were determined from nearby Deep injection wells in the review area and published data. (FSP Exh.1)
- Stress gradients and pore pressure gradients were derived from testing and published papers (FSP Exh.1).
- Fault slip potential (FSP) was analyzed in the area of review shown on **FSP Exh.2.** The analysis integrates all of the proposed well locations as well as any existing injection wells in order to fully assess the pressure implications of injection in the area and the potential for slip along existing faults. Historical USGS earthquake events are denoted by the "blue" bulls-eye symbols (none in the AOR).
- Azimuth direction of Shmax was derived from Snee/Zoback 2018. (FSP Exh.3)
- Viscosity of the formation fluid was derived from temperature values at the mid-point injection depth (FSP Exh.4)

- The wells input into the FSP model and the potential faults in the area are shown on FSP Exh.
 5.
 - Existing injection wells are projected into the future at the last reported injection volume and then held constant.
 - The subject well is tested at the proposed maximum injection rate and held constant for 20 years. If the ΔP at the well exceeds the allowed injection pressure, then the modelled injection rates are decreased over time to stay within the allowed maximum injection pressure. This analysis is important because the model should represent realistic injection values over the life of the model and arbitrarily using the permitted rate over the life of the well does not reflect the reality that as the reservoir pressure increases the well's ability to inject fluid may be reduced.
 - The Subject well is denoted in the model as follows:
 - 12 Ghost Rider SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
 - o Also included in the model are existing SWD injection wells as follows:
 - 1 3002512014 injection reported (last reported rate held constant)
 - 2 3002527085 injection reported (last reported rate held constant)
 - 3 3002542054 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 4 3002542355 injection reported (last reported rate held constant)
 - 5 3002543360 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 6 3002544954 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 7 3002545151 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 8 3002545346 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 9 3002545795 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - 17 West Jal B Deep #1 no injection to date (30,000 bbls/d)
 - And these other pending NGL well locations
 - 10 Cobra SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
 - 11 Galaxy SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
 - 13 Hornet SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
 - 14 Raptor SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
 - 15 Thunderbird SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)



- 16 Thunderbolt SWD #1 (40,000 bbls/d)
- **FSP Exh.6** shows the geomechanical properties of the possible faults (with segment numbers).
- **FSP Exh.7** shows the pressure to slip, ΔP , at each possible fault segment.
- FSP Exh.8 shows the probability of fault slip for each fault segment and shows that a ΔP 3,500 psi increase at segment F16 shows a 10% probability of fault slip. The model calculates a ΔP increase of 317 psi at F16 by 2045 thus the calculated pressures remain well below the 10% probability level. (See FSP Exh. 12)
- FSP Exh.9 FSP Exh.11 show the calculated pressures at the possible fault segments as of 1/1/2025, 1/1/2035, and 1/1/2045. Note that by 2045 none of the faults have reached pressures that would initiate fault slip.
- FSP Exh.12 shows the pressure recap for all of the modelled fault segments as of 2045 and the corresponding pressures required to cause fault slip. Also shown are the sources of the fault segments included in the model and the depths where fault displacement can be demonstrated.

FSP Analysis (Findings and Conclusions)

The N-S faults and fault trends in this area of review are not optimally oriented to slip. The orientation of the faults requires significant pressure changes (ΔP +4,400 psi) based on the fixed input parameters the ΔP increase at the most critical fault only reaches 317 psi by 2045.

This model assumes constant injection rates over the next +25 years which is not a typical scenario as SWD wells tend to decrease injection volumes over time as the well ages and disposal demand decreases in the area. If injection volumes are lower over time than the modelled values, then the risk for fault slip is lowered.

In the event seismicity should occur in the future, the wells closest to the faults (proposed and existing) should be the wells considered for modification or reduction of injection rates. At this time there is no evidence to support rate reduction for any of the existing or proposed wells.



Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (512) 327-6930 or email me at todd.reynolds@ftiplattsparks.com.

Regards,

Todd W. Reynolds – Geologist/Geophysicist

Managing Director, Economics/FTI Platt Sparks

Todd W. Reynolds

FTI Platt Sparks 512.327.6930 office



FSP DATA WORKSHEET (General information and Input data)			
		<u>Comments</u>	<u>Variance (+/-)</u>
Well	Ghost Rider SWD #1		
<u>Operator</u>	NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC		
API			
Top Injection Depth (ft)	18953		
Base Injection Depth(ft)	20729		
Mid Injection Depth(ft)	19841		
Mid Injection Depth(m)	6047		
Injection Formation(s)	Siluro-Devonian, Fusselman		
Est Formation Temp (F)	284	Temp graphs (UTPB 2006)	
Est Formation Temp (C)	140	Temp graphs (UTPB 2006)	
Density (kg/m3)	1000	Estimated	40
<u>Viscosity (Pa.s)</u>	0.00025	Calculated	0.00005
Compressibility-Formation (1/Pa)	8.70E-10	Estimated	
Compressibility-Fluid (1/Pa)	4.57E-10	Estimated	
Aquifer thickness (ft)	888		50
Porosity (%)	5		2
<u>Perm (mD)</u>	20		4
<u>Vertical stress grad. (psi/ft)</u>	1.1	Calculated from density log	0.05
<u>Min. Horiz. Stress grad. (psi/ft)</u>	0.67	Determined from A Phi parameter (0.6)	0.02
Max. Horiz. Stress grad. (psi/ft)	0.92	Determined from A Phi parameter (0.6)	0.02
Initial Pore Pressure grad. (psi/ft)	0.46	Normal saltwater pore pressure gradient	0.01
Azimuth of Max Horiz Stress (deg)	75	From Snee/Zoback	5
Fault Orientation (deg)	Dependent on Fault		5
Fault Dip (deg)	85		5
Friction of Coefficient	0.6	typical for pre-existing fault/facture	0.02
Max Injection pressure @ 0.20 psi/ft	3791		
Max Injection rate (bbls/day)	40000		

FSP Exh.





Determination of Viscosity





25 ср

FIG. 6–9. Viscosity of water at oil-field temperature and pressure. (Van Wingen, Secondary Recovery of Oil in the United States, API, 1950, 127, with permission).

FSP Exh. 4







FSP Exh 7









Table 1 FSP ANALYSIS WITH SUBJECT WELL				
Fault Segment	Fault Source	<u>ΔP to slip</u>	<u>ΔP at 2045</u>	
F1	BEG (Basement)	8,676	273	
F2	BEG (Basement)	8,660	393	
F3	BEG (Basement)	8,651	444	
F4	BEG (Basement)	8,459	454	
F5	BEG (Basement)	8,329	417	
F6	BEG (Basement)	7,915	325	
F7	BEG (Basement)	7,773	224	
F8	BEG (Basement)	8,252	150	
F9	BEG (Basement)	8,503	105	
F10	BEG (Basement)	6,529	458	
F11	BEG (Basement)	6,568	450	
F12	BEG (Basement)	6,875	198	
F13	BEG (Basement)	7,355	270	
F14	BEG (Basement)	7,355	328	
F15	BEG (Basement)	5,788	410	
F16	BEG (Basement)	4,428	317	
F17	BEG (Basement)	8,725	151	
F18	BEG (Basement)	8,758	216	
F19	BEG (Basement)	8,758	276	
F20	BEG (Basement)	8,742	197	
F21	BHP at well	NA	1,065	

Exhibit 5

Notice Affidavit and Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF NGL WATER SOLUTIONS PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 20575

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

Lara Katz, attorney in fact and authorized representative of NGL Water Solutions Permian LLC, the Applicant herein, being first duly sworn, upon oath, states that the abovereferenced Application was provided under a notice letter and that proof of receipt is attached hereto.

Lara Katz

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 1/2 day of June, 2019 by Lara Katz.

Notary Public My commission expires: 07/07/2019OFFICIAL SEAL Suleika Enriquez NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW MEXICO My Commission Expires: 9701(1

Mail Activity Report - CertifiedPro.net Mailed from 5/1/2019 to 6/6/2019 User Name: abadieschill Generated: 6/6/2019 9:22:47 AM

931.486990430005946877 931.486990430005946883 931.4869904300059497206 931.4869904300059497266 931.4869904300059497262 931.4869904300059497565 931.4869904300059497565 931.4869904300059497567 931.4869904300059497567 931.4869904300059497567 931.4869904300059497567 931.486990430005950005 931.486990430005950005 931.486990430005950005 931.486990430005950005 931.4869904300059500057 931.48699043000595000572 931.48699043000595000572 931.48699043000595000572 931.48699043000595000572 931.48699043000595000572 931.48699043000595000572 931.486990430005950005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 931.48699043000595005772 93148690430005945502 93148690430005949563 93148690430005949564 93148690430005949574 93148690430005949582 93148690430005949582 93148690430005949565 93148690430005949556 93148690430005949551 93148690430005949551 9314869904300059494298 9314869904300059494465 9314869904300059494519 9314869904300059494813 9314869904300059494823 9314869904300059494861 9314869904300059494946 9314869904300059495417 9314869904300059496674 9314869904300059496551 9314869904300059495561 9314869904300059495530 9314869904300059495493 USPS Article Number 14869904300059492850 Not Applicable Nor Applicable Not Applicable Ghost Rider Ghost Rider Ghost Rider Ghost Rider Ghost Rider Ghost Rider Ghost G host G Ghost Refer Ghost Rider Rider Rider Rider Ride Ride Rider Ride Ride Ride Rider Ride Rider Rider Ride Ride Ride Rider Ride Ride 05/24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 95,24/2019 Malcolm Raymond Sharbutt
 Marathon Oil Permian, LLC
 Marathon Oil Permian, LLC
 Maruta Shato BECKNAM
 NGL WATER SOLUTIONS FEEMMIAN, LLC
 Oll Conservation Division District IV
 Oll Conservation Division District IV 19 EASTERLING NEW MEXICO MINIERALS, LLC 19 EOG RESOURCES INC 19 EOG RESOURCES INC 19 EOG Y, A, M RESOURCES INC 19 FORTS, MINERALS, LLC 19 FORTIS MINERALS, LLC 19 FRANKLIN MOUNTAIN ENERGY LLC 20 BELLOMY EXPLORATION, LLC 20 BEENARD LEE HOUSE FAMILY TRUST 20 BEALCK MOUNTAIN OPERATING, LLC 20 BOAZ ENERGY II, LLC 20 CMP Aquisitions, LLC 20 CMP Aquisitions, LLC TUNDRA HOLDINGS, LLC WILDERPAN, LLC WILL ROSS SHARBUTT WILLIAM BRIAN BECKHAM POGO RESOURCES, LLC Providence Energy Partners III, LLC OXY USA INC. PEGASUS RESOURCES, LLC M R C PERMIAN, LLC MAGNUM HUNTER PRODUCTION, INC. KATHERINE MADEA JARRETT KATHERINE ROSS MADERA C. D. MARTIN NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE Tommie Knight Calley TRANSGLOBE OIL & GAS CORPORATION TALON OIL & GAS III, LLC TAP ROCK RESOURCES, LLC SANTA ELENA MINERALS IV, LP SHARBRO OIL LTD CO SALT CREEK MIDSTREAM, LLC ROBERT C. NORTHINGTON Pevehouse, Inc. LELA ELLEN MADERA LEA CLAIRE MCDONALD BROOKER JUMBO AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORP. JOHN W. B. NORTHINGTON ISRAMCO ENERGY, LLC Great Western Drilling Ltd. GGM EXPLORATION, INC. DIANA NORTHINGTON DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION CO., Crown Rock Minerals, LP COLGATE PRODUCTION, LLC Ę 3300 M, 'A' Street 3879 MAPLE AVE STE 400 15400 Dallas Berkwy 1555 CHANGERG BROOKS SPRING RD 122C CRAZY RABBIT RD PO BOX 2063 PO BOX 2063 PO BOX 840 3131 MCKNIKEY AVE STE 750 3131 MCKNIKEY AVE STE 750 602 PARK POINT DR STE 200 P. O. Box 2244 475 1714 ST STE 1350 5400 LYNDON B JOHNSON FWY STE 1500 600 N MARIENKELD ST STE 660 7041 Sth #3 5555 San Felipe St PO BOX 823 1509 W WALLST STE 306 1225 N. French Drive 105 S 4TH ST 500 W 7TH ST STE 1007 PO B0X 876 123 W MILLS AVE STE 600 PO B0X 123610 700 W Louisiana Ave. 1001 WEST LOOP S STE 750 1200 PACIFIC AVE STE 2220 49 BURLESON UN 500 MAIN ST STE 1200 201 W WALL ST STE 421 901 Lamberton PL NE 306 W WALL ST STE 500 611 W Brown St 333 W SHERIDAN AVE 12613 AVELAR CREEK DR 550 W TEXAS AVE STE 1303 12667 S FM 730 10 WIND RD NW PO BOX 2267 5509 CHAMPIONS DR PO BOX 192428 PO BOX 50088 PO BOX 27570 PO BOX 470698 47 RODEO DR PO BOX 1148 5914 W COURTYARD DR STE 100 11205 LIMONCILLO CT 4029 HARMON LN 1220 South St. Francis Drive 4001 N BENSING RD 187 GEORGE STRAIT PO BOX 443 1630 COUNTY ROAD 87 SUITE 1500 Bldg. 1-201 Address 2 Hobbs Santa Fe JAL

Ghost

05/26/2019

BRIGHAM MINERALS, LLC

3 City DALLAS TULAROSA FORT WORTH MIDLAND HOUSTON FORT WORTH Midlard DALLAS Dallas Dallas SANDERSVILLE SANTA FE MIDLAND DALLAS GOLDEN Quenado DENVER DALLAS MIDLAND QUENTAÓ DALLAS MIDLAND QUENTAÓ DALLAS MIDLAND QUENTAÓ DALLAS MIDLAND CARLSBAD ALSTIN HOBBS CANYON LAKE DALLAS MIDLAND Woodside Houston RIVERVIEW MIDLAND AZLE MANHATTAN Midland HOUSTON MIDLAND MIDLAND ARTESIA FORT WORTH STOWE EL PASO DEATSVILLE Albuquerque MIDLAND Wylie MIDLAND FORT WORTH OKLAHOMA CITY $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times$ 88240-8827 78133-2153 75240-1017 79701-4405 79701 77027-9046 33578-7624 79701-4257 76020-2724 59741-0443 73102-5010 36022-2503 87120-1914 79702-2267 79706-2843 88210-2177 76102-4732 05672-0876 79901-1577 88337-9370 87504-1148 78730-4911 776147-0698 752195 75219-3965 752248 31082-39346 831082-29346 8702-2063 88211-0840 75204-2457 88221 75204-2457 87520-2832 88220-2832 78750-3688 79701-4595 87107 Zip 75201-4649 88352-9402 76102-3926 87505 79701 75098 77227 88240 79701 88252 77056 11377 76121 -7570 2-0823 1-5173 -6580 -3610 FMB ID abadieschill User Na abadieso abadieschill abadieschill abadieschil abadieschill abadieschil abadieschil abadieschill abadieschill abadieschill abadieschil abadieschill abadieschil abadieschill abadieschill abadieschill abadieschill abadieschill abadieschill 21902 Delivered F 21902 To be Returned F 21902 To be Returned F 21902 Delivered F 21902 Mailed 21902 Forwarded 21902 Delivered 21902 To be Retu 21902 To be Retu 21902 To be Retu 21902 Mailed 21902 Delivered 21902 To be Returned 21902 To be Returned 21902 To be Returned 21902 Delivered 21902 21902 Mailed To be Ret Returned Returned irned rned Return Receipt -Return Receipt Return Receipt -Return Receipt -Return Receipt -Return Receipt -Return Receipt -Return Receipt -Return Return Return Return Return Return **Return Receipt** Return Receipt Return Return Receipt Return Return Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Rec Return Rec Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Rec Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Reci Return Receipt n Receipt Receipt -Receipt Receipt Receipt Receipt Receipt eipt eipt eipt ī pr - Electronic, Certified Mail pr - Electronic, Certified Mail pt - Electronic, Certified Mail L'Electronic, Certified Mail
 Electronic, Certified Mail t - Electronic, Certified Mail ot - Electronic, Certified Mail ot - Electronic, Certified Mail Service Options - Electronic, Certified Mail - Electronic, Certified Mail - Electronic, Certified Mail - Electronic, Certified Mail - Electronic, Certified Mail

Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF LEA

I, Daniel Russell, Publisher of the Hobbs News-Sun, a newspaper published at Hobbs, New Mexico, solemnly swear that the clipping attached hereto was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not a supplement thereof for a period of 1 issue(s).

> Beginning with the issue dated May 25, 2019 and ending with the issue dated May 25, 2019.

ublisher

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 25th day of May 2019.

Business Manager



This newspaper is duly qualified to publish legal notices or advertisements within the meaning of Section 3, Chapter 167, Laws of 1937 and payment of fees for said

LEGAL NOTICE MAY 25, 2019

<text>

67115820

KAIYA TOOP **ABADIE SCHILL** 214 McKENZIE SANTA FA NM, NM 87501

00228708