

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF TAP ROCK RESOURCES, LLC CASE NOS. 20600,  
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, 20601  
NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

July 25, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER  
KATHLEEN MURPHY, TECHNICAL EXAMINER  
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner; Kathleen Murphy, Technical Examiner; and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, July 25, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT TAP ROCK RESOURCES, LLC:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.  
Post Office Box 1056  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 982-2043  
jamesbruc@aol.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTY MRC PERMIAN:

ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.  
HOLLAND & HART, LLC  
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
(505) 988-4421  
agrarkin@hollandhart.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Numbers 20600 and 20601 Called	4
4	Tap Rock Resources, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Erica Hixson:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	6
8	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	13
9	Emily Lange:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	16
11	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	21
12	Proceedings Conclude	24
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	25
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	Tap Rock Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	12
18	Tap Rock Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 8 through 12	21
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 10:41 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. And I just want to  
3 remind everybody about the exhibits. Please send PDFs  
4 of exhibits.

5 MS. MURPHY: You can send them to me  
6 directly. If you send them to the OCD Hearing email,  
7 just put in the title "our exhibits," and Marlene will  
8 send them to me. What I do is when I get a large file  
9 of them, I go back to my office -- when you guys send  
10 them in, I take them out of the file and eDoc them. And  
11 so at the end of the file, I really don't want to send  
12 emails to Mr. Bruce, but I will. But it's really your  
13 responsibility to send them in, and it worked quite well  
14 last time, so thank you.

15 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Is everybody in  
16 agreement to do page 5, Case 16489 -- and those two are  
17 not combined, is that correct, Mr. Bruce, on page 5?

18 MR. BRUCE: The only Tap Rock ones we're  
19 going on are on page -- 600 and 601.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Those are combined?

21 MR. BRUCE: Yes.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Cases 20600 and  
23 20601, application of Tap Rock Resources, LLC for  
24 compulsory pooling in Lea County.

25 Call for appearances.

1 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of  
2 Santa Fe representing the Applicant.

3 I have two witnesses.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Other appearances?

5 MR. RANKIN: Adam Rankin, with the law firm  
6 of Holland & Hart, appearing on behalf of MRC Permian.

7 No witnesses.

8 EXAMINER JONES: Just MRC Permian?

9 MR. RANKIN: Yeah.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?  
11 Do you object to it being presented by  
12 affidavit?

13 MR. RANKIN: No objections to affidavit.

14 EXAMINER JONES: And that was in both  
15 cases?

16 MR. RANKIN: Yes.

17 EXAMINER JONES: You have witnesses?

18 MR. BRUCE: Two witnesses.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Would the witnesses please  
20 stand and the court reporter swear the witnesses?

21 (Ms. Hixson and Ms. Lange sworn.)

22 ERICA HIXSON,  
23 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was  
24 questioned and testified as follows:

25

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BRUCE:

3 Q. Will you please state your name and city of  
4 residence for the record?

5 A. My name is Erica Hixson. I live in Arvada,  
6 Colorado.

7 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?

8 A. I work for Tap Rock Resources as a landman.

9 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
10 Division?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And were your credentials as an expert  
13 petroleum landman accepted as a matter of record?

14 A. They were.

15 Q. And are you familiar with the land matters  
16 involved in these two applications?

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender  
19 Ms. Hixson as an expert petroleum landman.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection?

21 MR. RANKIN: No objection.

22 EXAMINER JONES: She is qualified as an  
23 expert in petroleum land matters.

24 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Could you identify Exhibits 1  
25 and 2 and identify the lands involved and the wells

1     **involved in these applications?**

2           A.     Exhibits 1 and 2 are C-102s for the two initial  
3     wells.   And Exhibit 1 is the C-102 for The Contest Fed  
4     Com Number 131H, and Exhibit 2 is the C-102 for  
5     The Contest Fed Com 132H.

6           **Q.     And what wells are involved -- I mean what**  
7     **lands are involved in the application?**

8           A.     That is the west half of Section 9, Township 24  
9     South, Range 34 East.

10          **Q.     That's both applications combined?**

11          A.     Correct.   So the west half-west half of Section  
12     9, 24 South, 34 East has the initial well of the 131H,  
13     and the east half of the west half of Section 9 has the  
14     initial well of the 132H.

15          **Q.     Are these APDs still pending?**

16          A.     They are.

17          **Q.     What is Exhibit 3?**

18          A.     Exhibit 3 is a tract map showing the leasehold  
19     position of the west half of Section 9, with the north  
20     half-northwest of Section 9 being federal lease number  
21     13462, and the south half of the northwest of Section 9  
22     being federal lease number 554252, and then the  
23     southwest of Section 9 being fee acreage.

24          **Q.     What is Exhibit 4?**

25          A.     Exhibit 4 is the west half-west half Wolfcamp

1 unit working interest.

2 Q. Okay. And you are seeking at this point to  
3 force pool everyone other than Tap Rock itself?

4 A. Yes. So Tap Rock has approximately 25 percent  
5 working interest, and we're seeking to pool the parties  
6 listed in Exhibit 4.

7 Q. Now, when you look at Advance Energy Partners,  
8 it's got "Advance Energy/FR Energy." What's the  
9 situation there?

10 A. So as of the time of filing, Advance Energy  
11 Partners owned this interest, and they subsequently  
12 assigned to FR Energy, LLC. But we've been in contact  
13 with them, and they've received our proposals.

14 Q. Was notice of the hearing sent out  
15 approximately at the time FR Energy's interest became of  
16 record?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And are you asking that the cases be continued  
19 for four weeks so that notice can be given to FR Energy?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And what is Exhibit 5?

22 A. Exhibit 5 is the east half-west half Wolfcamp  
23 unit working interest.

24 Q. Okay. Generally the same parties but different  
25 interests; is that correct?



1           A.     That's correct.

2           Q.     Could you run through exhibits -- what is under  
3     Exhibit Tab 6 and describe the proposal letters that  
4     you've sent out?

5           A.     Yes.   Exhibit 6 is a sample letter of the  
6     proposals that were sent out to the parties for this  
7     unit.

8           Q.     And letters were sent out as to each well  
9     separately?

10          A.     That's correct.

11          Q.     Have you had any follow-up contacts with the  
12     interest owners?

13          A.     Yes.   With all interest owners, we've been in  
14     contact.

15          Q.     And in your opinion, has Tap Rock made a  
16     good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the  
17     interest owners in the well?

18          A.     Yes.

19          Q.     In the wells, I should say.

20                     If you look at the -- are there AFEs  
21     attached to each letter?

22          A.     There are.

23          Q.     And could you just briefly identify the well  
24     costs?

25          A.     Yeah.   For both The Contest Fed Com 131 and

1 132, the costs are approximately \$7.4 million.

2 Q. And are these costs fair and reasonable and in  
3 line with the cost of other wells drilled to this depth  
4 and length in this part of New Mexico?

5 A. They are.

6 Q. Are there any depth severances?

7 A. No.

8 Q. What overhead rates do you request?

9 A. 7,000 drilling and 700 producing.

10 Q. Are those the rates set forth in your JOA?

11 A. They are.

12 Q. And are those rates reasonable and similar to  
13 rates used by other operators in this area?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In the event that a party goes nonconsent in  
16 the well, do you request the maximum cost plus 200  
17 percent risk charge?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And if any of these interest owners  
20 subsequently join in the wells, will you notify the  
21 Division -- or in the JOA, I should say -- so that they  
22 are no longer subject to force pooling?

23 A. Yes, we will.

24 Q. Did you direct me to send notice to the working  
25 interest owners in this well?

1           A.     Yes.

2           Q.     And is Exhibit 7 a copy of my notice letter?

3           A.     It is.

4           Q.     Now, when you look at this letter, besides the  
5 working interest owners, also notified were overriding  
6 royalty owners; is that correct?

7           A.     Yes.

8           Q.     What did you subsequently find out about the  
9 need to pool overriding royalty parties?

10          A.     All of the conveyances creating each override  
11 allow for pooling.

12                   EXAMINER BROOKS:  I'm sorry.  I didn't hear  
13 your answer.

14                   THE WITNESS:  All the conveyances that  
15 created the overrides allowed for pooling, so we just  
16 noticed out of an abundance of caution.

17                   EXAMINER BROOKS:  Authorized the operator  
18 to pool -- or authorized the lessee or --

19                   THE WITNESS:  The operator.

20                   EXAMINER BROOKS:  -- interest to pool?

21                   THE WITNESS:  Yeah.

22                   EXAMINER BROOKS:  Okay.

23                   MR. BRUCE:  And also part of Exhibit 7,  
24 Mr. Examiner, on the very last page is the Affidavit of  
25 Publication so that those people who didn't either

1 return the green cards or didn't claim their certified  
2 mail did receive constructive notice of the  
3 applications.

4 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Who do you request be named  
5 operator of the wells?

6 A. Tap Rock Operating, LLC.

7 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 7 either prepared by  
8 you or under your supervision or compiled from company  
9 business records?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this  
12 application in the interest of conservation and the  
13 prevention of waste?

14 A. Yes.

15 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the  
16 admission of Exhibits 1 through 7.

17 EXAMINER JONES: Any objections?

18 MR. RANKIN: No objection.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 are  
20 admitted.

21 (Tap Rock Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1  
22 through 7 are offered and admitted into  
23 evidence.)

24 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Rankin?

25 MR. RANKIN: No questions.

1 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Questions?

2 MS. MURPHY: I have no questions.

3 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

4 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY EXAMINER JONES:

7 Q. So Tap Rock -- you're making the application  
8 under Tap Rock Resources, LLC, but the operator will be  
9 Tap Rock Operating, LLC?

10 MR. BRUCE: That is correct.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I've just got to  
12 make sure I remember that. That way when I read the  
13 transcript, I'll remember it.

14 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) I notice you also list the  
15 facilities cost, tangible and intangible. It's about a  
16 million dollars in addition to the 7 million AFE for the  
17 well.

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. Are you intending to include those costs in  
20 the -- in the pooling -- for those pooled, aren't just  
21 part of the payout and the penalty, or do you know? If  
22 you don't know, that's fine.

23 A. I don't know. Yeah.

24 Q. I understand.

25 Mr. Brooks tells me that operators can do

1     **that if they want to, but --**

2                   EXAMINER BROOKS:   Can do what?

3                   EXAMINER JONES:   Include the facilities  
4     cost in the payout.

5                   EXAMINER BROOKS:   Under the rules, they  
6     can.  It's doubtful whether that rule is in accordance  
7     with the statute, but the Commission has never directed  
8     that the Division cease following the rule.  The  
9     Commission has not followed it in a couple of instances,  
10    at least that I know about.

11                  EXAMINER JONES:   That's the kind of thing  
12    we're going to miss when he leaves.  He'll know it, but  
13    he might not tell us.

14                  EXAMINER BROOKS:   Well, lawyers that take a  
15    bar are not going to tell you unless it's in accordance  
16    with their client's interest.

17                  EXAMINER JONES:   Yeah.

18           **Q.    (BY EXAMINER JONES) So these wells are federal**  
19   **wells.  So they're not permitted yet?**

20           A.    We submitted permits two weeks ago, but yeah,  
21    they've not yet been approved.

22           **Q.    When do you want to drill them?**

23           A.    We have them on our drill schedule, these two  
24    wells, for April 2020.

25           **Q.    Do you think it'll take about that long for the**

1     **Feds to come through?**

2           A.     Probably.  Uh-huh.  We're anticipating getting  
3     them probably in February.

4           **Q.     What is the major -- is it the surface cultural**  
5     **issues, or what's the major holdup on the Federal --**

6           A.     Man, if I had the answer to that --

7                     MR. BRUCE:  It's the BLM.

8                     EXAMINER JONES:  Just their backlog?

9                     (Laughter.)

10          **Q.     (BY EXAMINER JONES) It's not any one portion of**  
11     **their process?**

12          A.     I know the surface piece does take a lot of  
13     time for them to review, but if I knew the answer to  
14     that, I would be --

15          **Q.     Okay.  These are standard locations, both of**  
16     **them?**

17          A.     Yes.

18          **Q.     You're proposing them as standard?**

19          A.     Yes.  That's correct.

20          **Q.     So it's possible the BLM might move the -- you**  
21     **don't have surface on-sites yet?**

22          A.     So the southwest quarter of Section 9 is on fee  
23     surface, so that will alleviate a lot of the obligations  
24     of the BLM in their discretion of moving the wells.  So  
25     hopefully -- hopefully, they will stay put as planned.

1           Q.    Okay.  Thanks.  Thanks a lot.

2                               EMILY LANGE,

3           after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
4           questioned and testified as follows:

5                               DIRECT EXAMINATION

6  BY MR. BRUCE:

7           Q.    Would you please state your name and city of  
8           residence for the record?

9           A.    Emily Lange, Evergreen, Colorado.

10          Q.    Who do you work for and in what capacity?

11          A.    I work for Tap Rock in the capacity as a senior  
12          exploration geologist.

13          Q.    Have you testified before the Division?

14          A.    No, sir.

15          Q.    Could you summarize your educational and  
16          employment background for the examiner?

17          A.    Yes.  I received my Bachelor of Science degree  
18          from the University of Southern California in geological  
19          sciences.  I've completed my master's graduate courses  
20          at Southern Methodist University.  And I've been working  
21          in the industry for six years mostly at Matador  
22          Resources up until about two months ago when I started  
23          working for Tap Rock.

24          Q.    And did your experience both at Tap Rock and  
25          Matador include work in the Permian Basin?



1           A.    Yes, sir.

2           Q.    And are you familiar with the geologic matters  
3 involved in these applications?

4           A.    Yes, sir.

5           Q.    And have you prepared, by you or under your  
6 supervision, exhibits for presentation today?

7           A.    Yes, sir.

8                   MR. BRUCE:  Mr. Examiner, I tender  
9 Ms. Lange as an expert petroleum geologist.

10                  MR. RANKIN:  No objection.

11                  EXAMINER JONES:  You had to move from Fort  
12 Worth to Golden?

13                  THE WITNESS:  Well, Dallas to Golden.

14                  EXAMINER JONES:  Must have been tough to  
15 give up Dallas for Golden.

16                  THE WITNESS:  Dallas has its benefits for  
17 sure, but I'm not complaining about the mountains.

18                  EXAMINER JONES:  Okay.

19                  And Adam Smith is not showing up today?  
20 This is a change in --

21                  MR. BRUCE:  No.  We canned him for this  
22 presentation.

23                  EXAMINER JONES:  Okay.  There he is.

24                  (Laughter.)

25                  EXAMINER JONES:  Okay.  She's so qualified.

1           **Q.     (BY MR. BRUCE) Would you briefly identify**  
2   **Exhibit 8 for the examiner?**

3           A.     Yes, sir.   Exhibit 8 is showing our Contest CSU  
4   outlined in red with our two proposed wells, The Contest  
5   Fed Com 131H and The Contest Fed Com 132H, as seen in  
6   Township 24 South, Range 34 East and in Section 9.  It  
7   is sitting in Lea County, and you can see it is sitting  
8   outside of the dashed polygon indicating potash.

9           **Q.     And what is Exhibit 9?**

10          A.     Exhibit 9 is showing our same DSU and proposed  
11   wells.  You'll see on the legend on the right surface  
12   holes indicated by a box, and the bottom hole is  
13   indicated by a circle.  So you can see these wells  
14   are -- surface holes are down in the south portion of  
15   Section 9 and drilling up to the north.  This is  
16   overlain by a subsea structure map of the Wolfcamp A  
17   horizon, contour intervals of 25 feet.  And you can see  
18   that based on this subsea structure map, we're  
19   anticipating the top of the Wolfcamp Formation to come  
20   in at around minus 8,200 feet subsea TVD.

21                   The wells that are highlighted with red  
22   circles denotes offset horizontals that are targeting  
23   the equivalent zone of interest as The Contest -- our  
24   proposed Contest wells.  And the wells that are  
25   connected with the blue line represent our cross

1 section, A to A prime, that you will see in the next  
2 exhibit.

3 **Q. Why don't you move on to that and discuss its**  
4 **contents?**

5 A. Okay. Exhibit 11 is that cross section, A to A  
6 prime, and we have three tracks on here. Track 1 has  
7 gamma ray and caliper curves. Gamma ray is a general  
8 lithology approximator, and it's colored as such. So  
9 signatures in blue indicate carbonate rocks. Signatures  
10 that are in the yellow to orange indicate sands or  
11 siltstones. And signatures that are brown or dark brown  
12 are indicative of shales.

13 Track 2 has our resistivity curves on  
14 there, and that is measuring how resistive the rock is.

15 Track 3 is showing density and neutron  
16 curves, which gives you a general sense of the porosity  
17 of the formation. You can see the blue schematic there  
18 is showing the approximate landing zone of our Contest  
19 wells inside the green-shaded lateral interval of the  
20 Upper Wolfcamp A.

21 **Q. And on this cross section, it looks like the**  
22 **zone you are testing is continuous across both well**  
23 **units?**

24 A. Yes, sir. We have it mapped out, and it is  
25 laterally continuous across our proposed wellbores.

1           **Q.    And what is Exhibit 11?**

2           A.    Exhibit 11 is showing the isopach map, which is  
3   the thickness from the top of the Wolfcamp A to the top  
4   of the Wolfcamp B.  Again, you'll see on this map our  
5   DSU and our proposed wellbore laterals.  The contour  
6   interval for this map is 10 feet.  And at our proposed  
7   locations, we're seeing about 360 feet of gross footage  
8   between Wolfcamp A and the Wolfcamp B.

9           **Q.    In your opinion, will each quarter-quarter**  
10   **section in each well unit contribute more or less**  
11   **equally to production?**

12          A.    Yes, sir.

13          **Q.    And looking at the geology exhibits, is there**  
14   **any faulting or other issues that would impair the**  
15   **drilling of a well?**

16          A.    No, sir.

17          **Q.    Finally, what is contained in Exhibit 12?**

18          A.    Exhibit 12 shows the schematics for both The  
19   Contest Fed 131H and 132H and show that they are going  
20   to be drilled at standard setbacks for the pool.

21          **Q.    And were Exhibits 8 through 12 prepared by you**  
22   **or under your supervision?**

23          A.    Yes, sir.

24                   MR. BRUCE:  Mr. Examiner, I move the  
25   admission of Exhibits 8 through 12.

1 EXAMINER JONES: Any objections?

2 MR. RANKIN: No objection.

3 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 8 through 12 are  
4 admitted.

5 (Tap Rock Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 8  
6 through 12 are offered and admitted into  
7 evidence.)

8 MR. BRUCE: And if you would care for us to  
9 email you a cross section so you can get a bigger than  
10 8-and-a-half-by-11 page, we can gladly do that.

11 EXAMINER JONES: I would gladly forego  
12 that, but I'll ask the geologist here.

13 MS. MURPHY: I'm okay.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY EXAMINER JONES:

16 Q. I do appreciate you talking about the coding of  
17 the colors because we rarely get that. Usually they  
18 don't fill that portion in.

19 A. Yeah. Everybody does it different, so I  
20 thought I'd get the point out.

21 Q. Yeah. It looks like your --

22 EXAMINER JONES: Do you have questions for  
23 her?

24 MR. RANKIN: No questions.

25 MS. MURPHY: I'm okay. Thank you.

1           Q.    (BY EXAMINER JONES) I notice your isopach shows  
2   kind of a thinning here; is that correct? Or am I  
3   reading that wrong? I'm not supposed to know how to  
4   read these things. It's possible that I'm reading it  
5   wrong, but it looks like to the south -- and you've got  
6   actually thicker -- south and southwest is actually  
7   thicker on the Wolfcamp A; is that correct?

8           A.    Yes. There is a subtle -- subtly thicker  
9   section down in that direction. I think, in our  
10   estimation, that overall the zone is still quite thick  
11   enough even if it is suddenly thinner as it moves to the  
12   north.

13          Q.    I don't know if you want to answer this, but  
14   you're drilling only one well in the spacing unit --  
15   proposed well. Do you have more planned, or is this --  
16   you're just going to drill this and see what happens,  
17   and then drill some more?

18          A.    Yes, sir. I believe it is a -- sort of a drill  
19   schedule type of arrangement, why we just proposed these  
20   two to begin with.

21          Q.    Okay. I just -- we have some cases that are  
22   really extreme, where they propose, you know, maybe four  
23   or five wells in one Wolfcamp level and then four or  
24   five in another, and they propose those to compulsory  
25   pool the parities, and that's a lot of money up front.

1 But on the other hand, it's kind of nice if they do  
2 that, if they present some testimony as to is that  
3 needed or what schedule they're going to drill on or --  
4 and sometimes we don't get that. But here it's the  
5 opposite. You're only going to drill one and -- of  
6 course, these are narrow, little units here, so --

7 A. Yes, sir. I think that right now, since we  
8 know that we want to drill these first, that this has  
9 been kind of the top priority. And in other horizons,  
10 we'll come back, I believe, and propose some other  
11 horizons.

12 Q. Okay. So you've got enough control in the  
13 Wolfcamp to know where you're going? You're not going  
14 to drill pilot holes here?

15 A. No, sir. We think we have good control for  
16 landing, and we're pretty active in monitoring as we  
17 drill down to be able to land in our preferred zone.

18 Q. Okay. And the Wolfcamp A is the target, not  
19 anything deeper, for the primary target?

20 A. Correct, Upper Wolfcamp A.

21 Q. Upper Wolfcamp A.

22 A. Uh-huh.

23 EXAMINER JONES: Any questions?

24 MS. MURPHY: No.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thanks very much.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

3 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, as Ms. Hixson  
4 said, we do want to give notice to the additional  
5 interest owner just to be safe, so when I get back to my  
6 office, I'll feed some more money to the OCD slot  
7 machine and request --

8 (Laughter.)

9 EXAMINER BROOKS: He's the slot machine guy  
10 (indicating).

11 (Laughter.)

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I appreciate it.  
13 You'll keep me out of trouble if you do that.

14 So you're going for the 22nd of August?

15 MR. BRUCE: Correct.

16 EXAMINER JONES: For both of these cases?

17 MR. BRUCE: For both cases.

18 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thanks for  
19 venturing down from Golden.

20 We're going to continue Cases 20600 and  
21 20601 to the 22nd of August.

22 (Case Numbers 20600 and 20601 conclude,  
23 11:05 a.m.)

24

25



1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 2nd day of August 2019.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
24 Certified Court Reporter  
25 New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters