	Page 1		
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO		
2	ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION		
3	THE MARKED OF THE LEADING CALLED		
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:		
5	CASE NOS: 21281		
6			
7	APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION TO AMEND THE COMMISSION'S RULES FOR PRODUCED WATER IN 19.15.2, 19.15.16 AND 19.15.34 NMAC; STATEWIDE.		
8			
9			
10			
11	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS		
12	COMMISSIONER HEARING Agenda Item 4		
13	May 21, 2020		
14	Santa Fe, New Mexico		
15			
16	BEFORE: ADRIENNE SANDOVAL, CHAIRWOMAN JORDAN KESSLER, COMMISSIONER		
17	DR. THOMAS ENGLER, COMMISSIONER MIGUEL LOZANO, ESQ.		
18	HIGOLI LOLINO, LOQ.		
19	This matter came on for virtual hearing through the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on Thursday, May		
	21, 2020, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural		
20	Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.		
21			
22	Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253		
23	PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105		
24	Albuquerque, NM 87102		
25	505-843-9241		

		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2	Case No. 21281 Called	
3	Reporter Certificate	13
4		
5	EXHIBITS	
6	None.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Agenda Item Number 4, Case
- 2 Number 21281, the Commission will discuss and determine
- 3 whether it will move forward with the rulemaking proposed by
- 4 the Division to amend Rule 19.15.2, Rule 19.15.16 and
- 5 19.15.34 of the New Mexico Administrative Code.
- 6 Have the Commissioners had an opportunity to
- 7 review the proposed rules, the proposed draft of the rule
- 8 changes?
- 9 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yes, Director, I reviewed
- 10 the proposed draft changes to the rules.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: This is Tom Engler. I have
- 12 also read the draft.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Do the Commissioners have
- 14 any questions, comments or concerns for the applicant
- 15 regarding the proposed draft?
- MR. LOZANO: Madam Chair, just so you're aware, I
- 17 believe Ms. Bada is here on behalf of the Division to answer
- 18 questions for the Division on this application.
- MS. BADA: I am.
- 20 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Madam Director, I do not
- 21 have any questions at this time. I believe our counsel has
- 22 also is reviewing them separately, and he may have questions
- 23 as we approach civil rulemaking, but not at this time.
- 24 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Yes. Madam Chair, this is
- 25 Tom. I also agree.

1 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Thank you. Due to the

- 2 current public health emergency related to the Covid 19
- 3 outbreak, this rules hearing will have to be held virtually
- 4 and by telephone.
- 5 This Commission may need wish to consider special
- 6 rules to implement for the purposes of this virtual hearing.
- 7 We can now discuss possible special rules, if any, to
- 8 include as part of the procedural order and notice related
- 9 to this hearing.
- 10 For this hearing I recommend that we have -- we
- 11 schedule a special date to hold the hearing, would be my
- 12 recommendation, I would also recommend that we allow for an
- 13 extended comment period, 30 to 45 days to ensure that there
- 14 is adequate time for public comment and engagement.
- In addition, I propose that the parties submit
- 16 written testimony so that the Commissioners can review
- 17 testimony, written testimony. I think this will provide for
- 18 the most public and transparent process.
- 19 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Madam Chair, is the
- 20 proposal that we use the same Webex platform as we are
- 21 currently using today?
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Yes.
- 23 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Do you know there is a
- 24 limit of people that can join? I know that's been an issue
- 25 in a couple of the public hearings that I have participated

- 1 in. They are capped like at 150.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: I believe it is higher than
- 3 that, but that's something that we can confirm prior to
- 4 putting a notice out, and if there are any issues that arise
- 5 with the cap, we can look at using a separate platform or
- 6 potentially upgrading our membership so that we can allow a
- 7 large number of participants, but that's the recommendation
- 8 to ensure there is a large cap for the meeting.
- 9 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Just my other suggestion
- 10 would just be that people somehow indicate, preferably in
- 11 advance of the hearing, if they are making public comments
- 12 so that we can accommodate the technology. One of the last
- 13 hearings I was involved with, half of the people that signed
- 14 up for public comment weren't able to figure out how to mute
- or unmute themselves, so that's just a thought going
- 16 forward.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Thank you. That could be
- 18 indicated to the Commission clerk prior to the rulemaking
- 19 hearing.
- 20 Are there any concerns about having an extended
- 21 public comment period or doing testimony in written form?
- 22 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: So then if there is
- 23 written testimony, would there also be an opportunity to
- 24 examine or to ask questions related to that testimony?
- 25 MR. LOZANO: Commissioner Kessler, to be clear, I

- 1 believe the Director means technical testimony, which at
- 2 this point would be the Division and any other parties that
- 3 file prehearing statements, yes, they would file their
- 4 initial testimony in writing, but the Commission and the
- 5 other party would be able to examine the person providing
- 6 that testimony.
- 7 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: All right. Thank you for
- 8 that clarification.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Do the Commissioners have
- 10 any, any preference on a 45- versus a 30-day public comment
- 11 period? My inclination is to provide a 45-day comment
- 12 period to just give as much opportunity as possible.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: This is Tom. I have no
- 14 problem with the extra time.
- 15 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Nor do I, but what about
- in terms of the -- or a special special session?
- 17 MR. LOZANO: Let me look. I believe the date
- 18 that we would be published in the Register would be June 9,
- 19 and I will count off the 45 days right now. So 45 days out
- 20 from June 9 would be July 24. And that would --
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Can you --
- 22 (Overtalk.)
- MR. LOZANO: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Do you have the date for
- 25 August hearings?

```
1 MR. LOZANO: Yes. The August hearings -- the
```

- 2 July hearing is the 16th and the August hearing is the 13th.
- 3 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I would prefer a July
- 4 hearing date to an August hearing date, but other than that,
- 5 I'm certainly supportive of extra time for public comment.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: I'm sorry, I'm just looking
- 7 at the calendar. Mr. Lozano, are we going to be setting the
- 8 date now; correct?
- 9 MR. LOZANO: Yeah, we would need to set the date
- 10 now so that we can make sure the notice is issued to the
- 11 Register and the other portal by May 28.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay. Does -- let's see.
- 13 So the earliest date would be July 24. Would the next week,
- 14 the week of July 27, work for the Commissioners and counsel?
- MR. LOZANO: I'm open that week.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: This is Tom. I'm fine.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: How many days do we
- 18 recommend having this run?
- 19 MR. LOZANO: I don't -- I don't know how much
- 20 participation there will be. We can certainly plan for two
- 21 days. Based on the last rulemaking, I suspect we can
- 22 probably get it done in a day, but you know better than me,
- 23 Madam Chair, whether you expect a lot of participation. And
- 24 given that we are doing technical testimony in writing, that
- 25 will certainly cut a lot of time out.

1 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: My recommendation would be

- 2 the 30th and 31st, so that Thursday and Friday. It does not
- 3 appear there is an OCC hearing on the 30th.
- 4 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: That works for me.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Works for me.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: When is the -- we are going
- 7 to ask for written technical testimony before that; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 MR. LOZANO: Correct, Professor Engler. We can
- 10 set a deadline with one week or two weeks in advance of the
- 11 meeting for that testimony.
- 12 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: I guess that would be my
- 13 question, when would that be due so that would be available?
- MR. LOZANO: Basically up to the Commission how
- 15 long they think they need to review that testimony. I --
- 16 it escapes me when prehearing statements are due.
- 17 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: They are typically due a
- 18 week in advance, so I would suggest something like ten days
- 19 when there is technical testimony, and I would feel more
- 20 comfortable given just a little bit more time to review
- 21 that.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: That makes sense to me.
- 23 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Works for me. I just
- 24 wanted to know when, you know, when that would be available,
- 25 because we are supposed to -- we're not going to be going

1 through all of that in the hearing itself; is that correct?

- 2 MR. LOZANO: That's correct, Professor Engler.
- 3 You will be able to review them, and then you can present
- 4 any questions you have to the particular individual
- 5 providing the testimony.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Okay, thank you.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay. So it looks like we
- 8 are going to a 45-day comment public period prior to the
- 9 rulemaking hearing; require testimony, technical testimony
- in writing to be provided ten days prior to the hearing;
- 11 require indication prior to the hearing if members of the
- 12 public would like to participate and provide testimony.
- Was that your intent, Commissioner Kessler?
- 14 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Correct.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: All right.
- MR. LOZANO: Madam Chair, I believe that creates
- 17 a longer comment period -- I'll count it out, but -- which
- 18 is fine, I just want to be clear in the notice or the order.
- 19 That's a 51-day comment period, that's from publication in
- 20 the Register.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay. So instead of 45
- 22 days, 51 days?
- MR. LOZANO: Correct.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Based on the date of the
- 25 hearing?

- 1 MR. LOZANO: That is if you are wanting to accept
- 2 written comments up to the date of the hearing.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Is that traditionally what
- 4 has been done?
- 5 MR. LOZANO: It is, but usually we do all 30 days
- 6 and then we take it all the way up and in fact you can take
- 7 it after that as well.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Understood. Okay.
- 9 All right. If there are no more questions or
- 10 further discussion, we can handle this in two separate
- 11 motions. First, to approve the application and move forward
- 12 with a rules hearing on a specific date; and then, second,
- 13 to determine the special rules that will work best for this
- 14 virtual hearing.
- 15 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Madam Director, I would
- 16 move that we approve the application and set it for a
- 17 special hearing.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Madam Chair, this is Tom
- 19 Engler, I second.
- 20 MR. LOZANO: Just a point of clarification, can
- 21 we indicate the date as part of the motion, July 30 and 31.
- 22 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I forgot the dates, yes.
- 23 I would move that the special hearing be set for July 30 and
- 24 31 of 2020.
- MR. LOZANO: Professor Engler, do you accept the

- 1 amendment, sir?
- 2 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Yes, I do accept the
- 3 friendly amendment.
- 4 MR. LOZANO: I will conduct a roll call, Madam
- 5 Chair, on that motion. Commissioner Kessler?
- 6 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Approve.
- 7 MR. LOZANO: Commissioner Engler?
- 8 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: Approve.
- 9 MR. LOZANO: Chair Sandoval?
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Approve.
- 11 MR. LOZANO: Motion passes unanimously.
- 12 (Agenda Item 4, motion to approve application and
- 13 set for hearing passes unanimously.)
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Second, to determine -- we
- 15 need to have a motion to determine the special rules that
- 16 will exist in this virtual hearing. Is there a motion
- 17 regarding special rules?
- 18 MR. LOZANO: Chair Sandoval, since you appear to
- 19 have a list in hand, you are welcome to make that motion.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: I will make that motion. I
- 21 move that there is a 51-day public comment period prior to
- 22 the rulemaking hearing, that technical testimony is provided
- 23 in writing ten days prior to the hearing. In addition, I
- 24 move that members of the public indicate prior to the
- 25 hearing if they would wish to participate and provide

1 testimony so that we can ensure we will be able to

- 2 accommodate that.
- 3 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Madam Chair, I would
- 4 second that motion simply with a clarification that we say
- 5 ten calendar days for the testimony so there is not
- 6 confusion.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: I agree with that change
- 8 and clarification.
- 9 MR. FELDEWERT: Madam Chair, this is Michael
- 10 Feldewert. Can you hear me?
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: I apologize for jumping in here,
- 13 but I was just looking at the rules for rulemaking, and one
- of the things I know with respect to ten days is that the
- 15 rulemaking talks about 10 business days of submission of a
- 16 prehearing statement with exhibits. So you may want to take
- 17 that into account when you are setting the time frame for
- 18 submission of written technical testimony.
- 19 MR. LOZANO: That's a fair point, Madam Chair.
- 20 Based on Commissioner Kessler's (inaudible) prehearing
- 21 statements, you may want to go to 14 calendar days, or that
- 22 was put them on probably around the same range.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay.
- 24 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: This is Tom. Look, ten
- 25 business days would be Friday, July 17, is that correct,

- 1 for -- yeah. So we could specify the day. If you want ten
- 2 business days, just say by Friday the 17th.
- 3 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I think that's a good
- 4 point, Professor Engler.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ENGLER: And actually we have a
- 6 hearing that's set for Thursday the 16th.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay. Miguel, would it be
- 8 best to revise the motion?
- 9 MR. LOZANO: Yeah, if you -- I mean, if we would
- 10 prefer to have it before a prehearing statement, you
- 11 definitely will want to move it out further. I think the
- 12 17th, that would be -- the 17th would be prehearing
- 13 statement days, so if you want to move it to the beginning
- of that week or on the same day, that gives you about two
- 15 weeks prior to the hearing if you do it on the 17th.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Okay. So I need an amended
- 17 motion to that, the special rules for the rulemaking hearing
- 18 will be to have a 51-day public comment period prior to the
- 19 rulemaking hearing, to have members of the public indicate
- 20 before the hearing if they want to participate and provide
- 21 testimony, and then that all technical testimony be provided
- 22 in writing by Friday, July 17.
- 23 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I accept the amended
- 24 motion.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Mr. Lozano, would you do a

Page 14 roll call vote? 1 2 MR. LOZANO: Yes, Madam Chair. Commissioner Kessler? 3 COMMISSIONER KESSLER: 4 I approve. 5 MR. LOZANO: Commissioner Engler? COMMISSIONER ENGLER: I approve. 6 7 MR. LOZANO: Chair Sandoval? CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: I approve. 8 MR. LOZANO: The motion passes unanimously. (Agenda Item 4, motion determining special rules 10 for virtual hearing passes unanimously.) 11 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: Thank you. Commission, 12 Division and Staff are ordered to ensure that all provisions 13 are included in the hearing notes, notice and procedural 14 orders and that notice requirements are met to proceed on --15 16 MR. LOZANO: July 30. 17 CHAIRWOMAN SANDOVAL: -- July 30. If for some reason the notice requirements cannot be met, please inform 18 the Commission in writing as soon as possible. 19 20 We move on to Agenda Item Number 5. 21 (Agenda Item 4 concluded.) 22 23 2.4 25