Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21634

APPLICATION OF TAMAROA OPERATING LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-STANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

FEBRUARY 4, 2021

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING EXAMINER FELICIA ORTH and TECHNICAL EXAMINER KURT SIMMONS on Thursday, February 4, 2021, via Webex virtual platform as hosted by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Applicant:	
3	DANA HARDY HINKLE SHANOR LLP	
4	P.O. Box 0268	
5	Santa Fe, NM 87504 505-982-4554	
6	CASE CALLED	03
7	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	06
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 3

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: The next matter is 21634.

- 2 The applicant is Tamaroa Operating. The application is for
- 3 compulsory pooling and non-standard spacing. The well name
- 4 is Heritage Park. I believe I see Ms. Hardy there from --
- 5 MS. HARDY: I'm sorry. Good morning, Madam
- 6 Examiner, Dana Hardy for the applicant Tamaroa Operating
- 7 LLC.
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Good morning. I saw an
- 9 entry of appearance on behalf of D.K. Boyd. Mr. Gallegos?
- 10 MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Gene Gallegos appearing for
- 11 D.K. Boyd.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Good morning.
- MR. GALLEGOS: Good morning.
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Pause for a moment in the
- 15 event there are other entries of appearance.
- 16 (No audible response.)
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: I don't hear any. I
- 18 understand that we have a status conference this morning,
- 19 which I did see (audio interference) I'm going to mute
- 20 Mr. Gallegos because we are hearing an echo there.
- 21 I did see some paragraphs in the Boyd prehearing
- 22 statement that set out motion to (audio interference) -- I
- 23 have to mute Mr. Gallegos briefly there -- and I did see a
- 24 response filed yesterday by the applicant.
- I would certainly like to give Mr. Boyd an

Page 4

- 1 opportunity to reply to the response and to be able to
- 2 consider the motion on the way to setting a contested
- 3 hearing if that's what we need. How long, Mr. Gallegos,
- 4 before you believe you could file a reply? Would a week be
- 5 enough?
- 6 MR. GALLEGOS: A week would be -- a week would
- 7 be -- am I on?
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Yes -- no. I unmuted
- 9 you.
- 10 MR. GALLEGOS: Okay.
- 11 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: So you were agreeing that
- 12 a week was sufficient?
- MR. GALLEGOS: (Inaudible.)
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you.
- 15 So following the (audio interference) -- I'm going to mute
- 16 him again. Following the receipt of the reply, the Division
- 17 staff and hearing examiner will consider the motion and get
- 18 a ruling out, and in the event a contested hearing should be
- 19 set at that point, we'll set it confirming the date with all
- 20 counsel. Is there anything else we should talk about in
- 21 connection with the status conference this morning? Again,
- 22 the earliest setting would be April 22.
- MS. HARDY: Madam Examiner, would it be possible
- 24 for us to get the case preliminarily set on April 22 pending
- 25 a ruling on the motion.

Page 5

HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Oh, that may be actually

the best course of action to put it there subject to the

3 ruling on the motion so that Marlene can put it on the

4 docket. And, Marlene, that works better, doesn't it?

5 MS. SALVIDREZ: Yes, I will list April 22.

6 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right, thank you.

7 Thank you, Ms. Hardy, that works better to tentatively put

8 it there subject, again, to the ruling on the motion. Is

9 there anything else we should talk about while we are in the

10 status conference this morning? I will unmute Mr. Gallegos

in the event he has something.

12 MR. GALLEGOS: I think that covers it. I agree

13 with going ahead and setting it on the 22nd.

14 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you.

MS. HARDY: Nothing from me, Madam Examiner.

16 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you very much, Ms.

17 Hardy.

18 (Status conference concluded.)

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 6 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the 8 foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and 9 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript 10 of those proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 12 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of poor to reasonable quality. 16 Dated this 4th day of February 2021. 17 18 /s/ Irene Delgado 19 Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 20 License Expires: 12-31-21 2.1 22 23 2.4 25