

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of CENTENNIAL RESOURCES	Case No. 22251
PRODUCTION, LLC, for Compulsory	Case No. 22265
Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico	Case No. 22266

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2021

STATUS CONFERENCE

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Dean McClure Technical Examiner, on Thursday, November 4, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane.  
New Mexico CCR #122  
PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS  
500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR CENTENNIAL RESOURCES:           Kaitlyn A. Luck, Esq.  
Holland & Hart  
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
(505) 988-4421  
kaluck@hollandhart.com

FOR V-F PETROLEUM:                   Dana S. Hardy, Esq.  
Hinkle Shanor, LLP  
P.O. Box 2068  
Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068  
(505) 982-4544  
dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com

C O N T E N T S

CASE NOS. 22251, 22265, 22266	PAGE
CASE CALLED:	3
SET FOR CONTESTED HEARING ON 1-20-2022	5

1 (Time noted 8:18 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'm now going to call Case  
3 1, 2 and 3. These would be Cases 22251, 22265, 22266,  
4 Centennial Resources.

5 MS. LUCK: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Kaitlyn  
6 Luck with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart on behalf  
7 of Centennial Resources.

8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. We have an entry  
9 by V-F Petroleum?

10 MS. HARDY: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Examiner.  
11 Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor on  
12 behalf of V-F Petroleum.

13 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other interested persons  
14 for cases 22251, -65, and -66?

15 Hearing none, Ms. Luck did you ask for this  
16 status conference?

17 MS. LUCK: Yeah. It's our understanding that  
18 V-F Petroleum was opposed to these cases proceeding by  
19 affidavit at the hearing today, so Centennial was just  
20 wanting to get these set for a contested hearing as soon  
21 as possible. If December 16th is available that would be  
22 Centennial's preference, but if there is other dates the  
23 Division has available, I can circle back with Centennial  
24 about a contested hearing.

25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Just fair warning:

1 Contested cases are going to have to be scheduled into the  
2 new year from today.

3 So Ms. Hardy, V-F Petroleum's position?

4 MS. HARDY: Yes, Mr. Examiner. V-F is still  
5 evaluating whether it may file competing applications,  
6 because that depends on some outstanding issues for them.  
7 So it's possible that V-F's concerns could be resolved and  
8 in this case could be set for a status conference on  
9 December 16th, but V-F is also amenable to setting a  
10 contested hearing date in January if that is Centennial's  
11 preference.

12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. I will throw out  
13 a contested hearing date of January 6th.

14 Do you need to check with your clients, Ms.  
15 Luck?

16 MS. LUCK: I think that we should go ahead and  
17 set it for that date since that's the soonest possible,  
18 and then if it's not available or if they are not  
19 available I can let you know. But for now I would prefer  
20 it to be set then.

21 MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I have a conflict that  
22 date and would prefer the January 20th docket, if that is  
23 possible. It's something I have had scheduled that I  
24 really can't change, unfortunately.

25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Salvidrez, is January

1 20th already booked up?

2 MR. SALVIDREZ: It is, but if you want to  
3 squeeze in two more cases...

4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Why don't we  
5 squeeze. January 20th it is.

6 And again, when parties file contested  
7 cases to a pre-existing -- file new cases that could  
8 appear in a pre-existing contested hearing, as V-F may  
9 here, you need to again file a piece of paper with us  
10 indicating you want the Prehearing Order changed to  
11 include these cases. Okay?

12 MS. HARDY: Yes. Thank you.

13 EXAMINER BRANCARD: That would be helpful to us.

14 MS. HARDY: We will do that if we file competing  
15 cases. Thank you.

16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

17 All right. So any other interested persons  
18 in Cases 22251, -65, -66? (Note: Pause.)

19 Hearing none, they will be set for a  
20 contested hearing on January 20th, and I will issue a  
21 Prehearing Order.

22 MS. LUCK: Thank you.

23 MS. HARDY: Thank you.

24 (Time noted 8:23 a.m)

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO )

2 : ss

3 COUNTY OF TAOS )

4

5

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

6

I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter

7

CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday,

8

November 4, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned

9

matter were taken before me; that I did report in

10

stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein,

11

and the foregoing pages are a true and correct

12

transcription to the best of my ability and control.

13

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by

14

nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the

15

rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and

16

that I have no interest whatsoever in the final

17

disposition of this case in any court.

18

19

/s/Mary Macfarlane\_\_\_\_\_

20

MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR

21

NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122

License Expires: 12/31/2021

22

23

24

25