

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Applications of CIMAREX ENERGY
COMPANY for Compulsory Pooling
Eddy County, New Mexico

Case Nos. 22649-22652

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2022

STATUS CONFERENCE

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiners, John Garcia Technical Examiner, on Thursday, April 7, 2022, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane
New Mexico CCR #122
PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS
500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR CIMAREX ENERGY: James Bruce, Esq.
Post Office Box 1056
Santa Fe, NM 87504
(505) 982-2043
jamesbruc@aol.com

FOR OXY USA: Michael Feldewert, Esq.
Holland & Hart
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com.

C O N T E N T S

CASE NOS. 22649-22652	PAGE
CASE CALLED:	3
CONTINUED TO MAY 5, 2022:	6

1 (Time noted 8:41 a.m.) All right. This could
2 be another confusing one. Let's try Cases 22649, 22650,
3 22651, 22652 and 2265- -- no, that's it. Cimarex Energy
4 Company.

5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce for Cimarex.

6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. These are the
7 White City wells, which rings a bell. This could be part
8 of some other competing applications.

9 MR. BRUCE: It is. Of course we had an --
10 Chevron, there's a -- yeah, Chevron is in these matters
11 also.

12 These are the -- there is a scheduling
13 conference set up for May 5th on competing cases between
14 Chevron and Cimarex. These applications were filed to
15 take into account overlapping well units, so there will be
16 cases on that scheduling conference that will be dismissed
17 as these cases supersede them.

18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Sorry. I should ask for
19 other appearances here, because obviously there are other
20 interested parties.

21 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Mr. Brancard. Good
22 morning. Michael Feldewert of the Santa Fe office of
23 Holland & Hart on behalf of Chevron USA, Inc.

24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Are there any
25 other interested parties on these cases? (Note: No

1 response.)

2 So, if I recall, this is when we had a
3 special docket and we heard the Wolfcamp cases but we
4 didn't hear the Bone Spring cases.

5 MR. BRUCE: That's correct.

6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And these are the Bone
7 Spring cases?

8 MR. BRUCE: Yeah. Some Bone Spring cases were
9 filed last fall but they did not reflect overlapping well
10 units.

11 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And we were going to have a
12 status conference.

13 MR. BRUCE: That's what the other ones are set
14 up for, the ones that will be dismissed, plus Mike's
15 Chevron Bone Spring wells are on that scheduling
16 conference, also.

17 That's correct, isn't it?

18 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. Mr. Examiner, you have
19 entered a Scheduling Order in these other cases. I can
20 give you case numbers if you need them, but you set a
21 Scheduling Conference for May 5th. The parties are at
22 that point -- are currently, as you know, dealing with
23 that overlapping spacing unit issues. And I think Jim's
24 clarified, because I was confused, as well, but I'm
25 assuming that the cases that are on the docket today will

1 actually replace these Cimarex cases that are on the
2 Scheduling Order.

3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have a Scheduling Order
4 here for 22144, -317, -517, -518 Cimarex, and then -375,
5 -376, -377 Chevron.

6 MR. FELDEWERT: That's correct, Mr. Brancard.

7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And so these Cimarex cases
8 will replace some or all of the existing Cimarex cases?

9 MR. BRUCE: I believe they replace them all. I
10 will verify that for you in an email later, but I'm
11 certain that is the case. I just want to make sure I
12 don't mess up.

13 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So I suppose what I am
14 supposed to do right now is schedule these cases that I
15 have in front of me here, -549 through -- sorry, -649
16 through -652 for the status conference on May 5th, add
17 them to that Scheduling Order; and hopefully you will let
18 me know if I should take something off that Scheduling
19 Order.

20 MR. BRUCE: I will do so.

21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So May 5th scheduling status
22 conference. That's where we are headed?

23 MR. BRUCE: At this point that's what I see.
24 That's what it was originally set up for, yeah.

25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay.

1 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.

2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right.

3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. I think we are
4 looking at cases coming up now -- so, anyway, just to be
5 clear on what we just did here, these Cases 22649, -650,
6 -651, -652 will be address at a Status Conference on May
7 6th and they will be added to an existing Scheduling
8 Order.

9 MR. BRUCE: Yes.

10 (Time noted 8:44 a.m.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2 : ss
3 COUNTY OF TAOS)
4

5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

6 I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7 CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, April 7,
8 2022, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were
9 taken before me; that I did report in stenographic
10 shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
11 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
12 the best of my ability and control.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15 rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16 that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17 disposition of this case in any court.

18

19 /S/CCR/Mary Therese Macfarlane

20 MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR
21 NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
22 License Expires: 12/31/2022
23
24
25