STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT **OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, **EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO**

Case No. 14703 Case No. 14704 Case No. 14705 Case No. 14698

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC FOR A NON-STANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, NON-STANDARD LOCATION AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. Case No. 14725

Case No. 14726

COG's CONSOLIDATED PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

COG Operating, LLC, submits this Pre-Hearing Statement for the above referenced cases pursuant to the rules of the Oil Conservation Division.

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT

ATTORNEY

COG Operating LLC 550 W. Texas Avenue, Suite 100 Midland, Texas 79701

Michael H. Feldewert, Esq. Holland & Hart, LLP

P. O. Box 2208

Santa Fe, NM 87504-2208

505/988-4421

OPPONENT

ATTORNEY

Cimarex Energy Co.

James Bruce

Suite 600

Post Office Box 1056

600 North Marienfeld Midland, Texas 79701 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(505) 982-2043

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF CASE

In Case Nos. 14725 and 14726, COG seeks an order: (1) creating two non-standard 160-acre spacing/proration units and project areas comprised of the W/2 E/2 and the E/2 E/2 of Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico; (2) approving a non-standard location for COG's proposed horizontal well in each of these 160-acre project areas (the Arabian "6" Fee Well Nos. 7 and 8), and (3) pooling all mineral interests in the Glorieta-Yeso formation underlying these two 160-acre project areas.

In Case Nos. 14703, 14704, 14705 and 14698, Cimarex Energy Company ("Cimarex") seeks an order pooling all mineral interests to form four 40-acre oil spacing and proration units for the development of the Glorieta-Yeso formation underlying the SE/4 of said Section 6. In contrast to COG's two horizontal wells, Cimarex proposes to drill <u>at least four vertical</u> wells in each quarter-quarter of the SE/4 of Section 6 at what are described as "unorthodox" well locations.

The Division is asked to determine which of these competing development plans affecting the SE/4 of Section 6 are in the best interests of the affected working interest owners, in the best interests of conservation and in the best interests of the prevention of waste.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSED EVIDENCE

WITNESS Name and Expertise Stuart Dirks – Landman	ESTIMATED TIME Approx. 30	EXBHIBITS Approx. 4
T.J. Midkiff – Engineer	Approx. 30	Approx. 10

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

The parties have requested that the above referenced cases be consolidated for hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & HART, LLP

Michael H. Feldewert

Post Office Box 2208

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208

(505) 988-4421

(505) 983-6043 Facsimile

mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

ATTORNEYS FOR COG OPERATING, LLC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was served upon the following counsel of record this 25th day of August, 2011 via facsimile transmission to:

James Bruce
Post Office Box 1056
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 982-2043
(505) 982-2151 Facsimile
jamesbruc@aol.com

Michael H. Feldewert