Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD

From:

iamesbruc@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, February 01, 2012 9:47 AM

To:

MFeldewert@hollandhart.com; Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; SHall@montand.com

Cc: Subject: Brooks, David K., EMNRD Re: Case Nos. 14791-14795

Richard: Please also continue Cimarex Cases 14767, 14768, 14769, 14770, 14771, 14772, and 14781 until 3/15.

Jim

----Original Message-----

From: Michael Feldewert <MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>

To: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD, EMNRD <richard.ezeanyim@state.nm.us>; jamesbruc <jamesbruc@aol.com>; SHall

<SHall@montand.com>

Cc: Brooks, David K., EMNRD, EMNRD <david.brooks@state.nm.us>

Sent: Wed, Feb 1, 2012 9:26 am Subject: Case Nos. 14791-14795

Richard: As we discussed yesterday, COG and Cimarex have reached a letter agreement. Under that agreement, the parties are to continue the competing pooling cases until after closing. Therefore, please continue Case Nos. 14791-14795 (COG's pooling cases) until the March 15th docket. I understand that Jim Bruce will be contacting you shortly to request a continuance of the competing Cimarex pooling cases.

Thanks for your attention to these matters.

Michael H. Feldewert Santa Fe Office 505-988-4421 505-983-6043 (fax) mfeldewert@hollandhart.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this e-mail. Thank you.

From: Ezeanvim, Richard, EMNRD [mailto:richard.ezeanvim@state.nm.us]

Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 1:29 PM

To: <u>jamesbruc@aol.com</u>; Michael Feldewert; William Carr; <u>SHall@montand.com</u> **Cc:** Jones, William V., EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD

Subject: RE: Case Nos. 14767-14772&14781, and 14791-14795

Okay. Let us schedule the pre-hearing conference tomorrow morning 01/31/12, by 9:00am. See everybody at OCD tomorrow morning. Thank you.

Richard

From: jamesbruc@aol.com [mailto:jamesbruc@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2012 7:31 AM

To: MFeldewert@hollandhart.com; Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; WCarr@hollandhart.com; SHall@montand.com

Cc: Jones, William V., EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD

Subject: Re: Case Nos. 14767-14772&14781, and 14791-14795

That's fine with me.

What time.

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Feldewert < MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>

To: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD, EMNRD <richard.ezeanyim@state.nm.us>; jamesbruc <jamesbruc@aol.com>; William

Carr < WCarr@hollandhart.com>; J. Scott Hall < SHall@montand.com>

Cc: Jones, William V., EMNRD, EMNRD < William. V. Jones @ state.nm. us>; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD, EMNRD

<TerryG.Warnell@state.nm.us>; Brooks, David K., EMNRD, EMNRD <david.brooks@state.nm.us>

Sent: Fri, Jan 27, 2012 5:48 pm

Subject: RE: Case Nos. 14767-14772&14781, and 14791-14795

Richard: I believe that a prehearing conference on Tuesday would be beneficial.

From: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD [mailto:richard.ezeanyim@state.nm.us]

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 3:14 PM

To: jamesbruc@aol.com; Michael Feldewert; William Carr; J. Scott Hall

Cc: Jones, William V., EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD

Subject: Case Nos. 14767-14772&14781, and 14791-14795

It is my understanding that parties are very close to agreement on these cases. Please correct me if I am wrong. If negotiations have broken down irretrievably, will these cases be heard on February 2? It will take approximately 8 hours to hear these cases. Do we need a pre-hearing conference? Please comment appropriately. Thank you.

Richard