Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2 3 ORIGINAL 4 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR 5 THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14827 6 7 APPLICATION OF THREE RIVERS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC, FOR A NON-STANDARD SPACING 8 AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 11 2012 MAY 15 A 7 EXAMINER HEARING RECEIVED OUT 12 DOCKET NO. 13-12 13 BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Officer 14 DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner 15 16 APRIL 26, 2012 17 11:10 AM 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 19 This matter came on for hearing before the 20 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, and DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, on THURSDAY, APRIL 26, 2012, at the New Mexico Energy, 21 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South 22 Street Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 23 REPORTED BY: Lisa Reinicke PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 24 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 25 Albuquerque, NM 87102

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 For Three Rivers Operating Company: 3 HOLLAND & HART 4 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 5 (505) 988-4421 By: Adam Rankin 6 7 8 INDEX PAGE 9 10 TIM KANE Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin 3 11 TRAVIS KINLEY 12 Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin 16 13 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF HEARING 27 14 EXHIBITS MARKED/IDENTIFIED 15 1. Airstrip Ownership 12 2. Lease Line Distances 12 16 3. Energy Minerals and Natural Resources 12 4. December 22, 2011 Letter 12 17 5. December 22, 2011 Letter 12 6. Estimate of Costs, Authorization for Expenditure 12 18 7. Affidavit 12 8. Affidavit of Publication 12 19 9. Map 24 10. Map 24 20 11. Map 24 12. Map 24 21 13. Map 24 22 23 24 25

Page 3 1 MR. EXAMINER: I think the next case on the 2 docket is case number 14827; is that right? 3 MR. RANKIN: That's correct. 4 MR. EXAMINER: We call case number 14827, 5 application of Three Rivers Operating Company, LLC, for a non-standard spacing and proration unit and compulsory 6 7 pooling, Lea County. 8 Call for appearances. 9 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. My 10 name is Adam Rankin on behalf of Three Rivers Operating 11 Company. Today we have two witnesses. 12 MR. EXAMINER: Any other appearances? Okay. 13 Let the witness stand up to be sworn, please. State 14 your name first. 15 MR. KANE: Tim Kane, K-a-n-e. 16 Travis Kinley. MR. KINLEY: 17 [Whereupon the witnesses were duly sworn.] MR. EXAMINER: Go ahead. 18 19 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I call my first 20 witness, Mr. Tim Kane. 21 MR. EXAMINER: You may proceed. 22 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 23 24 25

Page 4 1 TIM KANE 2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, 3 was questioned and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RANKIN: 5 6 Mr. Kane, state your name for the record and Ο. 7 indicate by whom you're employed. 8 Tim Kane, and I'm employed by Three Rivers Α. Operating as their land manager. 9 10 And have you previously testified before the Ο. Division? 11 No, I have not. 12 Α. 13 Will you please review for the Examiners your Q. 14 background, your educational background and your work experience? 15 16 I graduated from the University of Houston with a Α. 17 PLM degree in 1983. Since then I've worked as a broker 18 in East Texas. I worked for Swift Energy in Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico. I worked for Torch Energy 19 Advisors throughout the United States including 20 New Mexico. And then I also had my own business in 21 South Louisiana. I've been with Three Rivers for two 22 23 years now. Are you familiar with the application filed in 24 Q. this case? 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

	Page 5
1	A. Yes, I am.
2	Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands
3	in the subject area?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to
6	tender Mr. Kane as an expert in petroleum land matters.
7	MR. EXAMINER: Mr. Kane is so qualified.
8	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
9	Q. (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Kane, can you please turn to
10	Exhibit Number 1 and review that for the Examiners?
11	A. What that is, is a tract-by-tract breakdown. If
12	you look on page 3 of Exhibit 1 that is a breakdown of
13	section 6, 19 south, 35 east, the north half of the
14	south half. And what I've done is broken down by tract
15	who owns the minerals, whether it's the State of
16	New Mexico or fee minerals, and also who holds the
17	working interest.
18	Q. So is there a designated pool that covers the
19	proposed project area?
20	A. Yes, there is.
21	Q. And is that the Scharb Bone Spring pool?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	Q. And is the Scharb Bone Spring pool subject to
24	special pool rules?
25	A. Yes. It has 80-acre spacing, and standard

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 6 locations are 200 feet from the center of the quarter, 1 2 quarter section. 3 Now, does a completed interval for this well Q. 4 comply with all the setback requirements under the 5 horizontal well rules and the special pool rules? 6 Α. Yes, it does. 7 Mr. Kane, if you turn to Exhibit Number 2, does Ο. that depict the wellbore diagram, horizontal wellbore 8 9 diagram, and indicate that the perforations in the 10 completed interval are all within the setback? Yes; it does. 11 Α. And if you turn to the next page on that exhibit 12 Ο. it shows a map view; is that correct? 13 It shows a top to bottom view looking down on the 14 Α. 15 interval, again showing the proposed perforations. 16 Mr. Kane, turning to Exhibit 3, this is a copy of 0. 17 an amended C101 and C103 reflecting that the bottom hole location will be amended to comport with the wellbore 18 schematic diagram; is that correct? 19 20 Α. Correct. 21 0. Turning to Exhibit 4, is this a copy of a sample well proposal letter that was sent to all mineral 22 23 interests? 24 To all working interests. Α. 25 Ο. Working interests. Seeking to pool; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Ο. Now, how many of the interest owners in the north 4 half, south half section 6 remain uncommitted? 5 Α. There are two that we have not been able to get in contact with. 6 7 And those are? Ο. 8 Α. Dasco and Bright Hawk. And turning to Exhibit Number 5, this is a copy 9 Q. 10 of the well proposal that went to Bright Hawk; is that correct? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. And it indicates that they received a copy of 14 this well proposal; is that correct? 15 Α. They have. We do not have a telephone number for 16 them. All I have is this mailing address, and we have 17 not been able to get in contact with them. Now, as for Dasco, the address that you used was 18 Q. the most current address of record for them; is that 19 correct? 20 21 Α. Yes, it is. 22 Now, what efforts has Three Rivers undertaken to Q. locate Dasco? 23 We have looked through the computer searches. 24 Α. 25 They're a New Mexico -- at the time they were a

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1474c13d-8eaa-4ba3-9072-862423214bfc

Page 7

Page 8 1 New Mexico corporation, looked through the Secretary of State records. We could not find any additional 2 information as far as an address for them. 3 We've talked 4 to other working interest owners that were with them in the project, we cannot locate them. 5 6 In your opinion, Mr. Kane, have you undertaken a Ο. 7 good faith effort to reach an agreement, a voluntary 8 agreement, with all these interests? 9 Α. Yes, we have. Turning to Exhibit Number 6, is this a copy of 10 Ο. the AFE that was sent with the proposal? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. And are these expenses Rivers Three has incurred 14 for doing similar work on horizontal wells? Yes, they are. 15 Α. And has Three Rivers made an estimate of overhead 16 Ο. 17 and administrative costs while drilling this well? 18 Α. Yes, we have. Looking at the Earnston Young COPAS overhead study, it is commiserate with 8,000 a 19 month and \$800 a month; 8,000 a month while drilling and 20 800 while producing. 21 22 And these costs are also commiserate with what Ο. 23 Three Rivers and other operators in the area charge for similar wells? 24 Yes, they are. 25 Α.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 9 1 Ο. Mr. Kane, just to back up because I wanted to 2 make sure that we got this. I wasn't sure that we did. But what you're seeking with this application is the 3 4 pool, all interests in the -- is that correct? I wasn't 5 sure if we captured that or not. Yes. We are wanting to pool all of the interests 6 Α. 7 in the Bone Springs interval. Comprised of the north half, south half of 8 Q. section 6; is that correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And township 19 south, range 35 east; is that 11 0. 12 correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Ο. And that would be dedicated to Airstrip 6 State 15 Well 2H? 16 Α. Yes, it will be. 17 Q. And you intend to pool all mineral interests in the Bone Spring formation? 18 Α. 19 Correct. 20 Underlining that spacing unit? Q. 21 Α. Correct. 22 Thank you. Now, going back to the AFE, does Q. Three Rivers request that all these figures be 23 incorporated into any order resulting from this hearing? 24 Yes. 25 Α.

Page 10 1 And does Three Rivers request that the overhead Ο. 2 and administrative costs be adjusted in accordance with COPAS accounting procedures? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. And does Three Rivers request in accordance with 6 the rules that the maximum charge of 200 percent be 7 imposed on each working interest that have not voluntarily committed to this well? 8 Α. Yes. 9 10 That's a risk charge. Now, Mr. Kane, does Three Ο. Rivers have a geologist who will testify in support of 11 these non-standard units? 12 13 Α. Yes, we do. 14 And has Three Rivers identified all the leased 0. mineral interests in the surrounding 40-acre tracts of 15 the proposed non-standard spacing unit? 16 17 Α. Yes, we have. 18 Ο. Did Three Rivers include these known lease 19 mineral interest owners in the notice of this hearing? 20 Α. Yes, we did. Turning to Exhibit Number 7, is this an affidavit 21 0. 22 prepared by your attorney indicating that Three Rivers 23 has complied with the rules for providing notice? Yes, it is. 24 Α. 25 Was Three Rivers notified by the Division through 0.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 11 your attorney that there was an objection received by 1 Mr. and Mrs. Murphy? 2 We did receive, and I did talk to them on 3 Α. 4 Saturday. Now, are the Murphys' mineral interests in an 5 Ο. offsetting --6 7 They are unleased mineral interests in an offset Α. section. 8 9 0. And you had a conversation with them on Saturday. What was the nature of that conversation? 10 I tried to explain to them what the procedures 11 Α. They were totally unclear. They thought this was 12 were. something that was affecting their property. And I told 13 them that it wasn't, it was just affecting our interest 14 in section 6. 15 16 Ο. So looking at Exhibit Number 7, Mr. Kane, on the 17 last page, which first of all Exhibit 7 indicates all the interests which received notice. The last page of 18 Exhibit 7, is that a copy, Mr. Kane, of the green card 19 20 that was received by the Murphys? 21 Α. Yes. They admitted that they didn't receive it until, I want to say, like the 21st. But they did admit 22 that it was mailed on April 5th. 23 24 Yeah, if you look at the green card it indicates Q. that the mailing date was April 5th, which is a timely 25

Page 12 mailing; is that correct? 1 2 Α. Correct. Mr. Kane, were Exhibits 1 through 7 prepared by 3 Q. 4 you or were compiled under your supervision? A. Yes, they all were. 5 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to move 6 7 the admission of Exhibits 1 through 7. MR. EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 7 will be 8 admitted. 9 [Exhibits 1 through 7 admitted.] 10 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing 11 further to ask the witness. Pass the witness. 12 13 MR. EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Brooks? 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Who were the parties you couldn't locate? 15 MR. KANE: If you look on Exhibit 1, I have 16 17 response by Bright Hawk/Burkard Venture is none, and then also Dasco Energy is none. 18 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Mr. Rankin, I don't see that in your affidavit -- in your legal notice I 20 21 don't see that you named the parties you were trying to serve by publication. It's a lot of fine print and 22 maybe it's in here somewhere. 23 24 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Brooks, I understand that. We had the most current address of record for Dasco 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 13 Energy and Bright Hawk. Bright Hawk received the AFE. 1 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah, I saw Bright Hawk 3 had signed. Somebody named Carol signed for Bright 4 Hawk. Now what about Dasco? MR. RANKIN: Dasco, the address that was 5 6 used was the most current address of record. 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. So your landman, did you state that that was a current, valid address? 8 9 MR. KANE: That's the best we could find 10 because these people obtained their interest in the late '70s and '80s, and the acreage is held by Shallow 11 12 Production offsetting this section. So they are not 13 currently a working interest owner that we know of in 14 any current well that we can update the address. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah, but where did you get this address from? 16 17 MR. KANE: From the assignment that they received their interest. 18 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: 40 years ago? 20 MR. KANE: 30 years ago. EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, you know, I hate to 21 22 tell people to do things that are not -- I don't like to 23 be too technical about these things. Are you going to have problems if we make you go back and -- is it going 24 25 to cause you problems on your scheduling if we make you

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 go back and redo the --

2 MR. KANE: We're looking at drilling the 3 well the beginning of probably late July or early 4 August.

5 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think you probably have 6 time. I think you should redo the notice with Dasco and 7 put their name on the notice and we should continue this 8 four weeks. Because our rule doesn't specifically say 9 you have to name the unlocatable people. But the rules 10 of civil procedure do specifically say that and I 11 suspect there might be a cloud that may be applied by 12 analogy.

13 MR. RANKIN: Okay. So you would request 14 that we file a new legal advertisement naming Dasco? 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. Yeah, Dasco only. Now Bright Hawk, they're okay with it because they 16 17 received the notice. Dasco, it may be a good address but the address in the 1970s doesn't seem like it's a 18 very good chance it's a good address unless there has 19 20 been some further correspondence.

21 MR. RANKIN: Well, we'll do so. And I guess 22 I'll ask for a continuance for four weeks in order to 23 provide that --

24 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think that's what should 25 be done.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1474c13d-8eaa-4ba3-9072-862423214bfc

Page 14

Page 15 MR. EXAMINER: Why do we need four weeks? 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, because it's 2 3 supposed to be 20 days. 4 MR. EXAMINER: Oh, okay. So are we going to complete it today? 5 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah, we might as well. 7 MR. EXAMINER: So we continue it to May 8 24th. Okay. EXAMINER BROOKS: I'm through. 10 MR. EXAMINER: Can you give me the order 11 number? You mentioned that there's an order -- you know, that gave you a special pool rule for that. Do 12 13 you have the number? 14 MR. RANKIN: Yes, I do. Just one second. Sorry, I did not write that down, Mr. Examiner. 15 16 MR. EXAMINER: Yeah, I need to have that. 17 MR. RANKIN: I'm sorry, I didn't bring it up with me. 18 19 MR. EXAMINER: Okay. That's okay. 20 MR. KANE: It is order number R2589-C. 21 MR. EXAMINER: 2589-C? 22 MR. KANE: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EXAMINER: Well, what happened with Mrs. Murphy? 24 25 MR. KANE: I don't know. I mean, we

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 16 notified all offsetting even mineral owners, and she was 1 an unleased mineral owner and she just had questions 2 about what this procedure was. She absolutely did not 3 4 know why she had received this notice. 5 MR. EXAMINER: She didn't know why she had 6 received it? 7 MR. KANE: And I explained it to her. MR. EXAMINER: Maybe she doesn't have any 8 9 interest. 10 MR. KANE: She doesn't have any interest in 11 the pool that we're looking to establish. 12 EXAMINER BROOKS: She's only an offset. 13 MR. KANE: Yes, sir. 14 MR. EXAMINER: What is the depth of this 15 well? MR. KANE: The depth, going down to 11,000 16 and 6. 17 MR. EXAMINER: Is that the kick --18 19 MR. KANE: That would be the kickoff point. 20 But I'd rather have the geologist explain that. MR. EXAMINER: Well, that's okay. But the 21 22 appointment is 11,000. I'm just wondering about your overhead rates with the depth. I haven't looked at it. 23 24 MR. KANE: I'm sorry, it's 10,664 is the 25 measure depth before you kick off.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

	Page 17
1	MR. EXAMINER: Okay, that's it. Let's get
2	the geologist.
3	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I call my next
4	witness, Mr. Travis Kinley, the geologist for Three
5	Rivers.
6	MR. EXAMINER: Go ahead.
7	TRAVIS KINLEY
8	after having been first duly sworn under oath,
9	was questioned and testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. RANKIN:
12	Q. Mr. Kinley, can you please state your name for
13	the record.
14	A. Travis Kinley.
15	Q. And where are you from and by whom are you
16	employed?
17	A. From Austin, Texas employed as a geologist by
18	Three Rivers Operating.
19	Q. And have you previously testified before the
20	Division?
21	A. No, I have not.
22	Q. Could you please review for the Examiners your
23	background and education and your work experience?
24	A. I received my Bachelor's degree in geology from
25	the University of Wyoming in 2004. Immediately

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 18 1 following that -- well, in 2006 I then received my Master's degree in geology from Texas Christian 2 University in Forth Worth. Beginning in about 2005 I 3 began to work for XTO Energy in Forth Worth where I 4 worked as a petroleum geologist for roughly about five 5 years. And I've been with Three Rivers Operating for 6 7 about a year and a half now. Q. Mr. Kinley, are you familiar with the application 8 9 filed in this case? 10 Α. Yes. And have you conducted a study of the lands that 11 0. 12 are the subject of this application? 13 Α. Yes. MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to 14 tender Mr. Kinley as an expert in petroleum geology. 15 16 MR. EXAMINER: What was your first degree 17 in? MR. KINLEY: I'm sorry? 18 19 MR. EXAMINER: Your first degree, what was it in? 20 MR. KINLEY: Geology. My Bachelor's degree 21 22 in geology and Master's in geology, yes, sir. 23 MR. EXAMINER: He is so qualified. 24 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Kinley, can you please turn 25 Q.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 19 to what has been marked as Exhibit Number 9 and review 1 for the Examiners what this depicts. 2 This is just a general regional location map 3 Α. 4 showing Three Rivers leased here in question with the 5 yellow -- highlighted by the yellow on there, and also 6 indicated by the thick red arrow in the upper right-hand 7 corner of that figure. This is a general overview map of the location of 8 Ο. the well and surrounding wells; is that correct? 9 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Ο. Turn to Exhibit Number 10, this is a close-up 12 map, is that correct, of the leased area and the surrounding wells? 13 Yes, sir. This is a zoomed-in map, again, 14 Α. 15 highlighting the lease, as shown by the legend Three Rivers lease acreage in yellow, again, showing the 16 offset wells which are also highlighted by the -- I'm 17 18 highlighting the producing Bone Spring interval within those, shown again in the legend, by the pink, purple, 19 green, and orange highlight symbols. 20 I'm also pointing out with the thick red arrow 21 22 there the location of the Airstrip 6 State Number 2H 23 well with the surface location shown by the gray square on the left-hand side of that lease, and the bottom hole 24 well location depicted by the red star. 25

Page 20 And turning again over to Exhibit Number 12, 1 Q. Mr. Kinley, this is an overview of the structure map on 2 the top of the third Bone Spring; is that correct? 3 Yes, sir. This is the structure map on the top 4 Α. of the third Bone Spring sand with a 100-foot contour 5 6 interval. You'll see kind of a nose, so to speak, 7 relative high over our lease in section 6, the south 8 half of section 6 with the general regional bit trending 9 from north to south. I'm also showing, again, the 10 location of the well in question here, the Airstrip 11 State Number 2H. In addition to that, I'm showing the 12 line of cross section, which will be presented next by 13 the blue line. And the highlighted wells on that cross section are shown with the pink circles. 14 15 MR. EXAMINER: Before you go on, let's get this out of the way. You have three wells that are 16 horizontal wells, right? 17 18 MR. KINLEY: Yes, sir. MR. EXAMINER: And then they are going to 19 use the same pad for this Airstrip State Number 2H with 20 that well that is on the --21 22 MR. KINLEY: There's actually a plugged well 23 there on that. 24 MR. EXAMINER: Is that plugged or producing? 25 MR. KINLEY: It's plugged, yes, sir.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 21 MR. EXAMINER: Are you going to use -- oh, 1 2 okay, it's plugged. MR. KINLEY: Roughly the same pad, yes, sir. 3 4 MR. EXAMINER: Okay. It looks like that's what you are trying to do. 5 MR. KINLEY: Yes. 6 7 MR. EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead. I just want to clarify that. And then the other two wells are 8 9 producing, right? 10 MR. KINLEY: The other two wells in that path are actually not producing anymore. I believe they 11 are plugged. In addition to that, they're actually 12 13 completed in a shallower zone. 14 MR. EXAMINER: So it's not in the zone you 15 are? 16 MR. KINLEY: Right, correct. 17 MR. EXAMINER: Okay, go ahead. 18 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Kinley, turning to the next 19 Ο. exhibit, Exhibit Number 12, this is the cross section 20 map as indicated on your overview contour map; is that 21 correct? 22 Yes, sir. 23 Α. 24 And it views the wells from a west to east Ο. 25 direction; is that correct?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 A. Yes, sir.

Please review for the Examiners what this shows. 2 Ο. 3 Α. This three-well cross section shows the entire --4 representative wells of the entire Bone Spring section 5 or Bone Spring formation from the top of the Bone Spring line at approximately 7900 feet in this area through the 6 7 Avalon, the first, second, and third Bone Spring members down to about 10,800 feet. It shows the relatively 8 9 strong correlation from the offsetting wells and across our lease. 10

Q. And that's true from the top of the Bone Spring formation through to the bottom, is that correct, that formation is consistent throughout?

14 A. Yes, sir, the top through the base.

Q. Turn to Exhibit Number 13, Mr. Kinley. This is a close up, is that correct, of the third Bone Spring portion of the Bone Spring formation?

This is the same line of cross 18 Α. Yes, sir. section, just a zoomed-in view highlighting the third 19 Bone Spring sand, which will be our target area here, 20 which I've also indicated with the red line as our 21 targeted lateral interval within the third Bone Spring. 22 23 So while the proposed well here is targeting the Q. third Bone Spring formation, Three Rivers is considering 24 25 the entire Bone Spring formation as potentially

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1474c13d-8eaa-4ba3-9072-862423214bfc

Page 22

1 underdevelopment; is that correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Based on your analysis and review of the study and geology of the area, what conclusions have you drawn based on your studies?

I found that the Bone Spring reservoirs correlate 6 Α. 7 very well across the area and especially across our lease; that no geologic impediments are in place as far 8 9 as hindering us for developing this area with full section horizontals; that the entire Bone Spring 10 formation can be efficiently and economically drained by 11 horizontal wells. And that we expect -- we, being Three 12 Rivers, expect the proposed project area to contribute 13 equally to the well's production. 14

Q. Thank you, Mr. Kinley. So in your opinion the granting of Three Rivers application would be in the best interest of conservation and would avoid waste; is that correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And it would protect correlative rights?

21 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Kinley. Were Three Rivers Exhibits Numbers 9 through 13 prepared by you or compiled under your supervision?

25 A. Yes.

Page 23

Page 24 1 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to move to admit numbers 9 through 13. 2 MR. EXAMINER: Exhibits 9 through 13 will be 3 admitted. 4 5 [Exhibits 9 through 13 admitted.] 6 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I have no further 7 questions of the witness. EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions. 8 9 MR. EXAMINER: This case will be continued. But I would like to, in accordance with Division rule 10 order number 2589-C, I do want to see a well diagram. 11 12 MR. RANKIN: That is correct. Mr. Examiner, 13 if you look at Exhibit --14 MR. EXAMINER: Yeah, I saw it. I saw that 15 you have done something here. Yeah, I can see this. You are going east/west, right? 16 17 MR. KINLEY: Yes, sir, east/west from west 18 to east. 19 MR. EXAMINER: You are pooling only the --20 MR. RANKIN: Just the Bone Spring formation. 21 MR. EXAMINER: Anyway, this case will be 22 continued. 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibit 2. Exhibit 2 will 24 be showing. 25 MR. EXAMINER: Okay, very good. Did you

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 25 answer my question when I asked about Mr. Murphy? 1 What 2 happens with them? MR. RANKIN: Well, they're an offsetting 3 They have no interest in the projected area. 4 interest. 5 MR. EXAMINER: Okay, very good. Now at this 6 point case number 14827 will be continued to May 24th, 7 right? 8 MR. RANKIN: Solely for the purposes of providing notice to Dasco Energy; is that correct, 9 Mr. Examiner? 10 11 MR. EXAMINER: Yes. 12 MR. RANKIN: So I won't need to call the 13 witnesses back for that? 14 MR. EXAMINER: We just call it and then get 15 your information. But you are given 30 days to do that. 16 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 17 [Case number 14827 continued.] 18 1 do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 19 the Examiner hearing of Case Ho. 14 heard by ma on 1 20 21 22 23 24 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 26

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1

2

I, Lisa Reinicke, New Mexico Provisional 3 Reporter, License #P-405, working under the direction 4 5 and direct supervision of Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License #112, Official Court Reporter for the US 6 7 District Court, District of New Mexico, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings in 8 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those proceedings and 10 was reduced to printed form under my direct supervision. 11 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 13 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this 14 case and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final 15 disposition of this case in any court. 16 17 18 a R. Reméke 19 20 Lisa R. Reinicke, Provisional License P-405 21 License expires: 8/21/2012 22 Ex count: 23 24 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS