STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,975

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL
CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER
REQUIRING WALTER W. ANDERSON TO PROPERLY
PLUG ONE WELL, IMPOSING CIVIL PENALTIES
IN EVENT OF FAILURE TO COMPLY,
AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION TO PLUG SAID
WELL IN DEFAULT OF COMPLIANCE BY
OPERATOR AND ORDERING A FORFEITURE OF
APPLICABLE SECURITY, IF ANY, LEA COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

December 5th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, December 5th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

December 5th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,975

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS (Plugging Bond Administrator, NMOCD, Santa Fe) Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks Examination by Examiner Jones Direct Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Brooks	5 7 7
<u>JANE E. PROUTY</u> (Computer Operations Specialist, NMOCD, Santa Fe) Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks Examination by Examiner Jones	8 10
<pre>CHRIS J. WILLIAMS (District Supervisor, Hobbs District Office, District 1, NMOCD) (Present by Telephone)</pre>	11
Examination by Examiner Jones	14
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	17

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	9 12	10 14
Exhibit 3	12	14
Exhibit 4	12	14
Exhibit 5 Exhibit 6	5, 14 5	14 6
Exhibit 7	6	6
Exhibit 8	13	14

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 8:58 a.m.:

EXAMINER JONES: At this time we'll call Case

12,975, Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation

Division for an order requiring Walter W. Anderson to

properly plug one well, imposing civil penalties in event

of failure to comply, authorizing the Division to plug said

well in default of compliance by operator and ordering a

forfeiture of applicable security, if any, Lea County, New

Mexico.

Call for appearances.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, I'm David Brooks,
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department of the
State of New Mexico, appearing for the New Mexico Oil
Conservation Division.

I have three witnesses, who happen to be precisely the same witnesses who appeared in the immediately preceding case.

EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

There being none, Mr. Brooks, please proceed.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, we'll allow the record to reflect, if I may, that the witnesses that I'm calling were sworn in Case Number 12,974.

Since Ms. Phillips is already on the stand, I 1 will begin with her in this case. 2 DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS, 3 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 4 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. BROOKS: 7 Would you state your name for the record, please? Q. 8 Dorothy Phillips. 9 Α. By whom are you employed? 10 Q. New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 11 Α. 12 Q. In what capacity? Α. I am the plugging bond administrator. 13 And in your capacity as plugging bond 14 Q. administrator do you maintain files that contain the bonds 15 furnished by the operators as security for their obligation 16 17 to plug and abandon wells? That's correct. 18 I call your attention to what has been marked as 19 Q. Exhibits Numbers 6 and 7 in this record. Would you tell us 20 21 what Exhibit Number 6 is? 22 Exhibit Number 6 is a one-well plugging bond in the amount of \$5000 for Walter W. Anderson. 23 24 Q. And would you look at Exhibit Number 5 and 25 compare the legal description of the well appearing in

Exhibit Number 6 with that appearing in Exhibit Number 5? 1 They're one and the same. 2 Thank you. And what is Exhibit Number 7? 3 0. It's a letter requesting cancellation -- the 4 operator had requested cancellation, and it's a response to 5 his request that the bond could not be canceled. 6 Yes, it's not a letter requesting cancellation, 7 but a letter stating that the request is denied, correct? 8 Right, that's correct. 9 Α. And since this is a one-well bond, is the denial 10 0. 11 of the request in accordance with the usual procedures? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 0. And Diane Richardson, whose letter that is, is 13 14 that your predecessor? 15 Α. That's correct. Do you have with you the OCD's file that you 16 Q. maintain on Walter W. Anderson? 17 Yes, sir, I do. 18 Α. Would you compare Exhibits 6 and 7 with the 19 Q. 20 originals appearing in the file and tell the Examiner if they're true copies? 21 22 Yes, they're one and the same. 23 MR. BROOKS: Tender Exhibits 6 and 7. 24 EXAMINER JONES: Six and 7 are so admitted. 25 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness.

EXAMINATION 1 2 BY EXAMINER JONES: 3 Q. So Ms. Phillips -- so who is liable for plugging this well now? Is it Mr. Ward or is it Walter W. Anderson? 4 5 Α. Well, sir, the bond is under Walter W. Anderson. Remains under Walter W. Anderson? 6 Q. 7 A. Yes, sir. MR. BROOKS: This is my mistake, I just realized 8 this now. This bond-forfeiture letter apparently was prior 9 to -- apparently did not pick up a rider. May I ask the 10 witness another question? 11 **EXAMINER JONES:** Yes. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) 13 BY MR. BROOKS: 14 Ms. Phillips, is there a rider changing the Q. 15 16 operator or --No, sir, I do not see that in the file. 17 Well, it appears that this bond must have been 18 Q. 19 reissued, because -- Oh, no. No, I'm sorry. 20 This is somewhat confusing to me, and I'm not 21 sure if there's any explanation for it, but the bond is in the name of Walter W. Anderson? 22 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Q. And --25 Α. And I have not released it.

1	Q I do not know why J.S. Ward and Son, Inc
2	perhaps I don't know if they were a former operator or
3	an insurance broker or what the deal is. But I don't think
4	it matters because Walter W. Anderson is the named operator
5	of the bond.
6	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, I understand. Thank you.
7	And I have no further questions.
8	MR. BROOKS: The witness may stand down then.
9	THE WITNESS: All right, thank you.
10	MR. BROOKS: May this witness be excused inasmuch
11	as she's not needed for any further cases?
12	EXAMINER JONES: She's so excused, thank you
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	EXAMINER JONES: Ms. Phillips.
15	MR. BROOKS: Call Ms. Prouty.
16	JANE E. PROUTY,
17	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
18	her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. BROOKS:
21	Q. Good morning, Ms. Prouty.
22	A. Good morning.
23	Q. State your name for the record, please?
24	A. Jane Prouty.
25	Q. And by whom are you employed?

State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Division. 1 Α. In what capacity? 2 Q. I manage the group who processes the C-115s, 3 Α. which are the production reports. 4 Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD Q. 5 Exhibit Number 1 in Case Number 12,975 and ask you to 6 7 identify it. This report I prepared. It shows that for the Α. 8 one well here, the S.E. Anderson Estate Number 1, we have 9 not received a C-115, the monthly production report, from 10 January, 1997, through now. 11 Okay. Just to clarify, in the light of the 12 Q. Examiner's questions in the last case, you only asked the 13 computer to tell you about the period 1997 through the 14 present, correct? 15 16 Α. Correct. So there may have been production reported prior 17 Q. to 1997? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 In fact, if the information I'm submitting on Q. 21 Exhibit Number 5 is correct, there was production in 1987 22 reported, but I did not ask you to verify that. 23 Α. Okay. Okay. And was Exhibit Number 1 -- production 24 Q. 25 report, Exhibit Number 1, prepared at my request?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And is that a printout prepared by the computer
3	of information that is kept in the ONGARD system by the OCD
4	in the ordinary course of business?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what it indicates, if I'm not mistaken, is
7	that the operator filed no production report whatsoever
8	with regard to this well for that five-year period of time?
9	A. Right.
10	MR. BROOKS: Very good, tender Exhibit Number 1.
11	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibit Number 1 is so admitted.
12	MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY EXAMINER JONES:
15	Q. Jane, can you say again what dates that you
16	searched?
17	A. Just from January, 1997, through the present
18	time.
19	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thank you. I have no
20	further questions of Ms. Prouty.
21	MR. BROOKS: Permission to approach to use the
22	telephone, Mr. Examiner.
23	EXAMINER JONES: You may approach.
24	MR. BROOKS: Call Chris Williams.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm here.

CHRIS J. WILLIAMS, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. BROOKS: Would you state your name for the record, please? 6 Q. 7 Chris Williams. Α. By whom are you employed? 8 Q. State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Division. 9 Α. And where do you reside? 10 Q. Hobbs, New Mexico. 11 Α. 12 Q. And in what capacity are you employed by the Oil Conservation Division? 13 A. District Supervisor for the Hobbs District. 14 Mr. Williams, is the Walter W. Anderson Estate 15 16 Number 1 well, API Number 30-025-20488, within your jurisdiction? 17 18 Α. Yes, it is. 19 Q. Are you acquainted wit that well? 20 Yes, I am. Α. 21 Does your office maintain a well file on that 22 well in the ordinary course of business? 23 Yes, we do. Α. 24 Q. Call your attention to what has been marked as 25 OCD Exhibits 2, 3 and 4, and we'll ask you, are these

exhibits copies of documents which appear in the well file 1 for the Walter W. Anderson, S.E. Anderson Estate Well 2 Number 1, that's maintained by your office? 3 Yes, they are. 4 Okay, would you briefly review these documents 5 Q. for the Examiner and indicate what they disclose about this 6 well? 7 Exhibit Number 2 is an Application for Permit to 8 In this case they applied to re-enter this well and 9 recomplete it to the San Andres. 10 Exhibit Number 3 is a completion report after the 11 12 re-entry. Exhibit Number 4 is the change of operator from 13 Maurice L. Brown Company to Walter W. Anderson. 14 Now, each of these documents were filed by Walter 15 0. W. Anderson, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes. Okay, this is another San Andres well, right, in 18 Q. 19 the 4000-to-5000-foot range? Is that correct? 20 Α. Yes, it is, David. 21 Q. Okay. Have you recently had an inspector make an inspection of this well? 22 23 Α. Yes, we have. 24 Q. And was that inspector acting under your direction? 25

- Yes, he was. 1 Α. And based on the inspector's report, do you have 2 Q. an opinion as to whether or not this well should be plugged 3 in order to prevent waste, protect correlative rights and 4 protect the environment? 5 Yes, we should plug it. Α. 6 7 Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD Q. Exhibit Number 8. Do you have a copy of that? 8 I do. 9 Α. Can you identify it, please? 10 0. It's a plugging procedure written by Billy 11 Prichard of my office, to plug this particular well, the 12 Walter Anderson Number 1. 13 And in preparing that plugging procedure was he 14 0. acting under your direction in the ordinary course of 15 16 business? Yes, he was. 17 Α. And if the S.E. Anderson Estate Well Number 1 18 0. were plugged and abandoned in accordance with the procedure 19 set forth in Exhibit Number 8, in your opinion would it be 20 21 properly plugged in accordance with the New Mexico Oil and 22 Gas Act and the Regulations of the Oil Conservation 23 Division?

Okay, we'll call your attention to what's been

Yes, it would.

24

25

Α.

Q.

marked as Exhibit Number 5, and I will not ask you to speak 1 2 for the last reported production date because you wouldn't 3 have looked that up, but other than that does the information set forth in Exhibit Number 5 appear to be 4 correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, we'll tender Exhibits 7 Numbers 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 with the understanding that the 8 last report of production data on 8 has not been testified 9 to, so it may be excised if it's not correct according to 10 OCD records. 11 12 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 are so admitted. 13 14 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY EXAMINER JONES: 17 Q. Chris, this well is -- it looks like mainly it 18 was tested as a gas well in the San Andres? 19 Right. Α. Okay, and as far as the plugging procedure goes, 20 Q. 21 you don't want to recover any of that 7-inch casing, you 22 just want to perforate? Is it cheaper for you guys to 23 perforate and squeeze than recover any of it? 24 A lot of times what we'll do is, the plugging 25 company will bid that, they'll take a look at our

procedure. This is just in case we can't pull anything out of the well.

Q. Okay.

- A. Okay? And the plugging company will bid it, and they'll say, Well, we can remove 1000 feet of 7-inch casing and we'll get a credit of so much per foot.
 - Q. Okay, so you will try to recover some?
 - A. Some will -- We do try to recover some.

EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thanks a lot Chris.

That's all I have for this witness.

MR. BROOKS: Thank you, I would make one other statement in regard to this case, Mr. Examiner. I'll return to counsel table.

I have been contacted by a gentleman whose name was Anderson, and I forget his first name, but this gentleman who contacted me by telephone after the letters were sent out in this case indicated to me that Walter W. Anderson is deceased, and this gentleman indicated to me that — further, that although he was not personally liable, since he was merely an heir and not the operator, that he wanted to protect his father's good name and he would undertake to see if he could get this well plugged, which sounded like a good idea to me, and I report it to you.

However, at the same time it does not cause me to

withdraw or postpone our recommendation that this order be 1 2 entered, as he can do it within the time frame set forth in 3 the order if he chooses to do so. I bring this to your attention merely inasmuch as 4 you as Examiner may wish to take it under consideration. 5 With that, the Division rests. 6 7 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks, is this gentleman waiting for someone else to contact him to give him a date 8 9 on the plugging? MR. BROOKS: I don't know, he didn't really 10 indicate that he was waiting. But I will undertake, when 11 an order is issued in this case, to make sure that he is 12 13 furnished with a copy. EXAMINER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Brooks. 14 15 anything further in this case? 16 MR. BROOKS: Nothing further, your Honor. 17 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. With that, Case 12,975 will be taken under consideration. 18 19 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 20 10:10 a.m.) 21 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is * * * a complete record of the proceedings in 22 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12973 heard by me on 17/5 23 P202 24 . Examiner Oil Conservation Division 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 6th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006