r			
			Page 2
1	APPEARANCES		
2	For Applicant:		
3	Adam G. Rankin		
4	agrankin@hollandhart.com Holland & Hart		
5	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 875012 505-988-4421		
6			
7			
8	I N D E X		
9	WITNESS:	PAGE:	
10	KATIE MOHEB		
11	Examination by Mr. Rankin	5	
12	KELLI SNIDOW		
13	Examination by Mr. Rankin	21	
14	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	31	
15	:		
16			
17	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION		
18	1-3 Ms. Moheb's Exhibits	13	* .
19	4-7 Ms. Snidow's Exhibits	27	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			:
25	·		

- 1 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: We'll call Case Number
- 2 14866, application of COG Operating, LLC, for a
- 3 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and
- 4 compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 5 I call for appearances.
- 6 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- Just to be clear, are we also calling
- 8 14867 together.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Yes. If you'll go ahead
- 10 and enter your appearance in 14866, and then we'll
- 11 call 14867.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
- 13 Adam Rankin, with Holland & Hart in
- 14 Santa Fe, on behalf COG Operating.
- I have two witnesses.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 17 At this time we will also call Case Number
- 18 14867, application of COG Operating, LLC, for a
- 19 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and
- 20 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- I call for appearances.
- 22 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 23 Adam Rankin, with Holland & Hart,
- 24 Santa Fe, on behalf of COG Operating. We also have
- 25 two witnesses.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Are there any
- 2 other appearances in either of these cases?
- Wery good.
- 4 Mr. Rankin, do I understand that you are
- 5 moving to consolidate Cases 14866 and 14867 for the
- 6 purpose of the hearing?
- 7 MR. RANKIN: Just for purposes of
- 8 providing testimony, yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good. Case Numbers
- 10 14866 and 14867 will be consolidated for purposes of
- 11 hearing.
- 12 And would your witnesses please stand and
- identify themselves.
- 14 MR. RANKIN: One item. On the witness
- 15 list, the two witnesses that we are presenting today
- 16 are different than those who are on the prehearing
- 17 statements. In case of the Grant, it's not going to
- 18 be Davis Armor, it will be Katie Mohebkhosravi.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: In view of the fact that
- 20 no one else entered an appearance, I would assume
- 21 there is no objection.
- MR. RANKIN: That's the case also with the
- 23 geologist as well.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good. You have, I
- 25 assume, given cards to the reporter.

- 1 Very good.
- 2 (Witnesses sworn.)
- 3 MR. RANKIN: Thank you. I call my first
- 4 witness, Ms. Katie Moheb.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Proceed.
- 6 KATIE MOHEB,
- 7 after having been first duly sworn under oath,
- 8 was questioned and testified as follows:
- 9 EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. RANKIN:
- 11 Q. For the record, could you please state
- 12 your name?
- 13 A. Yes. My name is Katayoun Mohebkhosravi.
- 14 But for simplicity's sake at the hearing, I'll go by
- 15 Katie Moheb.
- 16 Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 17 A. I'm employed by Concho.
- 18 Q. And where do you reside?
- 19 A. Midland, Texas.
- Q. And have you previously testified before
- 21 the division as a -- as a landman in petroleum
- 22 matters?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And have your credentials as a petroleum
- landman been accepted before the division?

- 1 A. Yes, they have.
- Q. Are you familiar with the applications
- 3 that are filed in these two cases?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And are you familiar with the lands and
- 6 the status of the lands in these two cases?
- 7 A. Yes. In both cases they are Fee.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would like to
- 10 tender Ms. Moheb as an expert in petroleum land
- 11 matters.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So qualified.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
- 14 Because we're doing these cases together,
- 15 Mr. Examiner, I'm going to be referencing both of
- 16 these exhibit packets simultaneously, but I will try
- 17 to be clear when I refer to one or the other.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Rankin) Ms. Moheb, could you
- 20 please turn to what has been marked as Exhibit 1 in
- 21 both application packets?
- 22 A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. And please review for the Examiners what
- 24 this shows.
- 25 A. Okay. Well, in both cases it is a map

- 1 showing our leasehold. It's also going to show our
- 2 bottom hole and service hole locations as well as
- 3 the Yeso wells in the surrounding area.
- In the Bradley 8 Fee, it's going to show
- 5 where the 2H is located.
- And it also shows, as I mentioned before,
- 7 the leasehold in Section 8, where we are requesting
- 8 a nonstandard 160-acre spacing unit, which is
- 9 comprised on the east half of the west half of
- 10 Section 8. And that's in Township 19 south, Range
- 11 26 east.
- 12 And we're also requesting pooling all --
- 13 all the minerals in that interest in the Yeso
- 14 formation underlying that area.
- 15 Q. In the case of the Bradley -- rather in
- 16 the case of the Grant 2 Fee, you're requesting the
- 17 same, that the -- that all interest be pooled in the
- 18 Yeso formation?
- 19 A. Yes. In the case of the Grant, it shows
- 20 the exact same thing: Our leasehold, the surface
- 21 hole, and bottom hole location.
- 22 And, yes, we are requesting a nonstandard
- 23 180-acre spacing unit. In this case, it's comprised
- 24 in the west half of the east half of Section 2 in
- 25 Township 19, Range 26 east.

- 1 Q. And in addition to seeking the pooling of
- 2 all interests in the Yeso formation, we're also
- 3 seeking that COG be designated operator of the
- 4 wells. Is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's true.
- 6 Q. And as you mentioned earlier, that both
- 7 the lands in this -- both applications are Fee
- 8 lands. Is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And behind exhibit number -- the first
- 11 page of Exhibit Number 1, on both exhibits is an
- 12 ownership by interest. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes. They're broken down -- if you will
- 14 see on the Bradley, it's broken down by tract. And
- then on the back page it shows for the entire area,
- 16 for that whole east half of the west half, each --
- 17 each interest owner.
- 18 Q. How many interest owners shown on these
- 19 pages of Exhibit Number 1 in both cases remain
- 20 uncommitted to the proposed wells?
- 21 A. On -- for the Bradley 8 it's going to be
- 22 three different parties. It's going to be DMD, LLC,
- 23 and two other unleased owners which are Dominique
- 24 Letezio (phonetic) and Carol Sue Sanford Garrett
- 25 (phonetic).

- 1 And in the case for the Grant 2 Fee Number
- 2 3H, we have two. And it is DMD, LLC, and B&G
- 3 Royalties, which is associated with DMD, LLC.
- 4 Q. Thank you, Ms. Moheb.
- 5 Turning to the next exhibit on each
- 6 packet, which is Exhibit Number 2, is this a copy of
- 7 the well proposal letter, a sample of the well
- 8 proposal letter that was sent out to each of the
- 9 interest owners?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And turning to page -- the next page
- 12 behind that, is that also a copy of the AFD that was
- 13 provided?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Aside from signing the well proposal
- 16 letters to each of the interest owners, what efforts
- 17 has COG taken to obtain the voluntary agreement of
- 18 the interest owners in these proposed units?
- 19 A. Well, we have contacted them -- our
- 20 brokers have contacted them, anyone who is unleased,
- 21 and we have made our best estimates to contact, you
- 22 know, the companies who remain uncommitted at this
- 23 time. In both cases I believe it's -- we just
- 24 haven't reached an agreement.
- Q. Thank you.

- 1 Looking at the AFD, are your costs
- 2 presented here consistent with what COG has incurred
- 3 for drilling other wells in the area, similar?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And has COG made an estimate of the
- 6 overhead and administrative costs of well drilling
- 7 as well, and also operating, should it be
- 8 successful?
- 9 A. Yes. Well drilling, it's going to be
- 10 5,500. And well producing, it's going to be 550.
- 11 Q. And are these costs consistent with what
- 12 COG has paid for other wells, horizontal wells, in
- 13 the area?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And does COG request that these figures be
- 16 incorporated into any order that results from this
- 17 hearing?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And does COG also request that these
- 20 figures be adjusted annually in accordance with
- 21 procedures?
- 22 A. Yes, we do.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: And what were those
- 24 figures again, please?
- 25 THE WITNESS: It was 5,500 well drilling

- 1 and 550 well producing. And this is consistent with
- 2 all of our wells in the Lakewood area.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Rankin) Does COG also request
- 4 that in accordance with division rules, a 20 percent
- 5 charge be assessed against all interests that remain
- 6 uncommitted to the well?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 Now, has COG also brought a geologist to
- 10 testify regarding the formation of these nonstandard
- 11 units?
- 12 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. And did COG identify the lease mineral
- 14 interests in the surrounding 40-acre tracts --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- of these nonstandard proration units?
- 17 A. Yes, we have.
- 18 Q. And you have noticed them in accordance
- 19 with the rules of the division?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Turning to Exhibit 3, is this a copy of
- 22 the affidavit prepared by your attorney of the proof
- 23 that the notice was provided in accordance with
- 24 division rules?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And on the following page on both
- 2 exhibits, is this also a copy of the sample letter
- 3 that went out to each of those interests, indicating
- 4 that the hearing was going to be taking place?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And on the subsequent pages is a copy of
- 7 the list of the interest owners, including offset
- 8 owners who should be notified?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in the case of the Bradley, each of
- 11 those interest owners and offsets were -- received a
- 12 green card. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes. And all of the Bradleys.
- 14 O. And the -- we received one returned notice
- 15 from Edward H. Judson in the Bradley. Is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. In the Grant.
- 18 Q. Oh, in the Grant. Sorry.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Thank you. In the Grant.
- 21 And that's an address of record?
- 22 A. Yes, it was. And we have since given them
- 23 notice. We've published notice.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 Turning the page -- thank you.

- 1 Ms. Moheb, were exhibits 1 through 3
- 2 prepared by you or under your supervision?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
- 5 Mr. Examiner, I would like to tender --
- 6 move to admit Exhibits 1 through 3.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Exhibits 1
- 8 through 3 are admitted.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: And I pass the witness.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- Is this in an identified Yeso pool?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. The Bradley is
- in the Atoka Glorieta Yeso.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Atoka Glorieta Yeso?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- And our permits show that the Grant is in
- 17 the Dayton-Grayburg.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Dayton-Grayburg?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's for the Grant.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. On the Bradley
- 21 over in Section 8, do I understand correctly there
- 22 are -- that all interest owners that were served
- 23 within the unit returned their receipts?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. We contacted everyone.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. And then there

- 1 was one who was not contacted in the --
- THE WITNESS: In the Grant.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: -- in the Grant.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was an offset
- 5 owner.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Oh, an offset owner.
- 7 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. The Judson interest,
- 8 Mr. Examiner, was an offset interest owner.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. So there was
- 10 no --
- 11 THE WITNESS: No one within the pooled
- 12 area.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: -- no unserved parties
- 14 in the --
- 15 MR. RANKIN: There was one. And it was
- 16 this -- Ayres, Mary Ayres (phonetic). And we
- 17 brought up the notice of publication, which is on
- 18 the last page of Exhibit 3.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Now, then, I'm
- 20 confused.

-

- 21 Was one of the -- was there a party who
- 22 owns an interest within the proposed compulsory
- 23 pooled unit who was not served and did not return --
- 24 from whom you did not get a return receipt?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. The Gates party we

- 1 have an incorrect address. And we corrected it,
- 2 sent it out, and we have since been in contact with
- 3 them, and we're leasing them right now.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: That party owns an
- 5 interest in the --
- 6 THE WITNESS: In the area, yes. In the
- 7 pool. But we are leasing them right now. So...
- 8 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Now, did you attach
- 9 anywhere for our information a plot of the well
- 10 which shows the completed interval?
- MR. RANKIN: Exhibit 7.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: That's Exhibit 7?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Now, is the completed
- interval intended to be entirely within the --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeso formation.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: -- syntax?
- THE WITNESS: Oh, yes.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So there would be no
- 20 nonstandard location, then?
- 21 THE WITNESS: No. Just a nonstandard
- 22 unit.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 24 That concludes my questions of this
- 25 witness.

- 1 Mr. Ezeanyim?
- 2 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Why are you
- 3 asking for a 160-acre proration unit? Did you
- 4 contact everybody and then some of them refused to
- 5 participate in joining the well -- from the unit?
- THE WITNESS: No. We haven't come -- we
- 7 haven't encountered anyone who has refused to
- 8 cooperate with us at this point. Anyone that we are
- 9 pooling, it's -- it's been people that we haven't
- 10 been able to reach or come to an agreement with yet.
- 11 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: So you reached
- 12 an agreement from the unit in the first place
- 13 forming -- everybody wanted to join in forming that
- 14 unit, right? The 160 acres, right?
- THE WITNESS: Well, we proposed it. And
- then in that area we're proposing 160-acre spacing
- 17 units.
- 18 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Everybody wanted
- 19 to join.
- THE WITNESS: Exactly. But DMD, LLC, it's
- 21 the working interest owner, really the company that
- 22 we've had difficult -- we've had difficulty
- 23 contacting.
- 24 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. I see.
- 25 Because if everybody wanted to join and some people

- 1 didn't want to -- well, drilling the wells, that way
- 2 you would have to come in for a -- that's why I made
- 3 the announcement in the morning. That if you reach
- 4 an agreement you don't need, really, to come to a
- 5 hearing.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 7 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: And that's what
- 8 I'm trying to explore here, what effort you made to
- 9 be able to have everybody join in the drilling of
- 10 the well or participate in drilling of the well.
- THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 12 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: So if you do
- 13 that, all you have to do is to form -- you know,
- 14 sign a C-102 to the difference, and then you won't
- 15 have to come here.
- 16 So I'm wondering what effort you made to
- 17 avoid that.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Well, we made the effort of
- 19 proposing the well and giving them notice and
- 20 attempting to contact them in order to make an
- 21 agreement outside of the forced pooling.
- But we found it necessary to come here for
- 23 forced pooling, specifically for our drilling
- 24 schedule and our drilling plans, just to speed up
- 25 the process.

- 1 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. Let me
- 2 get your pool. Bradley is in what pool?
- THE WITNESS: In the Atoka Glorieta Yeso.
- 4 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. Is there
- 5 a special pool covering that?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.
- 7 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Is that on --
- 8 THE WITNESS: That's what's on the permit.
- 9 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. I know
- 10 that's what -- but I wanted to see, because we have
- 11 a lot of special pools for the Yeso. So I was
- 12 wondering whether this is part of some of it. But
- if it's not, then it's not, and everybody is guided
- 14 by the state-wide rules, right? Is that what you're
- 15 saying, state-wide rules?
- 16 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, it's not
- 17 any kind of special pool.
- 18 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. So the
- 19 Grant, what is the pool?
- THE WITNESS: That's going to be the
- 21 Dayton-Grayburg.
- 22 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: And the same
- 23 thing. There's no -- no special pool for that?
- 24 THE WITNESS: No, to my knowledge.
- 25 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. I see.

- 1 So you located everybody. So there's no reason for
- 2 you to do any newspaper advertisement?
- THE WITNESS: We did one for the Judsons,
- 4 because we didn't get a green card back from them.
- 5 But they were -- they're an offset owner.
- 6 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Is it here?
- 7 MR. RANKIN: Just a correction in that.
- 8 In the Grant, it was Mary Ayers.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Oh, Mary Ayers, yeah.
- 10 MR. RANKIN: -- who was uncontactable.
- 11 It's in Exhibit Number 3 of the Grant, the last
- 12 page. There was a notice of publication for Mary
- 13 Ayers.
- 14 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: You did a
- 15 newspaper publication also?
- MR. RANKIN: Correct.
- 17 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: That's all I
- 18 have.
- 19 THE WITNESS: We have leased.
- MR. RANKIN: The Ayers?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. RANKIN: That's right. So that --
- 23 THE WITNESS: We have leased them since
- 24 then.
- 25 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: So after you

- 1 published, then they came forward and leased?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 3 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. Good.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So we've got a lot of
- 5 confusion here.
- 6 My understanding was that there was one
- 7 unlocated interest in the Grant.
- 8 But do I now understand that -- is that
- 9 the one that you're talking about, that you have now
- 10 located and leased?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Within -- yes. Within the
- 12 pooled unit, within the unit, there was one.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So there is no one --
- 14 THE WITNESS: And we have now leased them.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: -- within either of
- 16 these units -- there's no owner within either of
- 17 these units that you've been unable to locate?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. This is true.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Thank you. That's all I
- 20 have.
- 21 The witness may step down.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 23 Nothing further from me either.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Pardon me?
- MR. RANKIN: Nothing further from me

- 1 either.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. You may call your
- 3 next witness.
- 4 MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Ms. Moheb.
- 5 My next witness is Ms. Kelli Snidow.
- 6 KELLI SNIDOW,
- 7 after having been first duly sworn under oath,
- 8 was questioned and testified as follows:
- 9 EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. RANKIN:
- 11 Q. Thank you, Ms. Snidow.
- How are you?
- 13 A. Good. Thank you.
- Q. Good. Ms. Snidow, by whom are you
- 15 employed?
- 16 A. I'm employed by Concho in Midland, Texas.
- 17 Q. And in what capacity?
- 18 A. I am employed as a geologist.
- 19 Q. And have you previously testified before
- 20 the division as a petroleum geologist?
- 21 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Can you please review for the Examiners
- 23 your background, your education, and your work
- 24 experience?
- 25 A. Sure. I graduated from Hanover College in

- 1 2007 with a bachelor's in geology.
- 2 And then I graduated from Ball State
- 3 University in 2009 with a master's in geology.
- I then went to work for Chevron in 2009,
- 5 working the Midland Basin of the Permian Basin.
- And then I went to work for Concho in
- 7 September of 2011 working the New Mexico shelf.
- 8 Q. And are you familiar with the applications
- 9 that were filed in each of these cases?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. Have you conducted a study of the lands
- 12 that are the subject of these applications?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would like to
- 15 tender Ms. Snidow as an expert in petroleum geology.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So qualified.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
- 18 Ms. Snidow, turning to your first exhibit,
- 19 which has been marked as Exhibit 4 in both exhibit
- 20 packets, one for the Bradley and one for the Grant,
- 21 could you please review for the Examiners what these
- 22 two maps depict?
- 23 A. Yes. So these are both the same maps.
- 24 They're both a structure map on the top of the
- 25 Paddock with a 25-foot contour interval.

- 1 The structure map shows the general
- 2 downdip structural trend of the area from the
- 3 northwest to the southeast.
- 4 The existing fields are highlighted in
- 5 blue, and they're listed to display the structural
- 6 relationship between the existing fields and
- 7 proposed wells, which are -- the proposed COG wells,
- 8 which are red, and shown on the yellow COG acreage.
- 9 These existing fields have both horizontal
- 10 and vertical Yeso production.
- 11 And the Paddock producers are highlighted
- 12 with red circles, and the Blinebry producers are
- 13 highlighted with blue circles or a combination of
- 14 both.
- This map shows that there are no major
- 16 structural or geological impediments in this area.
- 17 Also, that there are no major faults and no
- 18 pinchouts.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Ms. Snidow.
- 20 Turning to your next exhibit, which I
- 21 believe shows another map with the cross-section.
- 22 Is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 24 This is the same map and just showing the
- 25 cross-section line from A to A prime. A is in the

- 1 south end of this map near the Cemetery field, and
- 2 A prime is at the north end showing the Dayton
- 3 field, and the wells highlighted on this
- 4 cross-section will be included in the next exhibit.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Turning to that next exhibit, could you
- 7 review it for the Examiners?
- 8 A. So Exhibit 7 is a cross-section from A to
- 9 A prime. Again, the wells on the left are A,
- 10 towards the south end of the field, or the Cemetery
- 11 field.
- 12 And the wells on the right are near the
- 13 north end, or the Dayton field.
- 14 There are three formations listed on this
- 15 cross-section: The Glorieta, the Paddock, and the
- 16 Blinebry. The Paddock is our target interval. It
- 17 is overlying by the Glorieta and bounded by the
- 18 Blinebry on the bottom.
- This cross-section has been flattened on
- 20 the top of the Paddock to show the stratigraphic
- 21 relationship between these different field areas as
- 22 we move from south to north.
- 23 And on the left, as I mentioned, the green
- 24 Paddock is our target interval, and we have a red
- 25 arrow on the left side indicating our proposed

- 1 lateral interval, or where we would like to land our
- 2 lateral.
- 3 To the right of each well bore is a red
- 4 box, and that is to indicate the zone of the Yeso
- 5 completion in those individual wells.
- 6 But I will point out Wells 1 and 3 do not
- 7 show any Yeso completion, and that's because they
- 8 are -- they're deeper Morrow gas wells that have not
- 9 yet been tested in the Yeso.
- 10 Q. Thank you, Ms. Snidow.
- 11 And just to be clear, this cross-section
- 12 is -- and you indicate a target interval for the
- 13 lateral. That's the case for both the Bradley and
- 14 the Grant wells. Is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct, for both wells.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 And finally, Exhibit Number 7, which has
- 18 already been referenced by the Examiner, this is a
- 19 well bore schematic diagram, is that correct, of
- 20 each well bore and the proposed location showing
- 21 that they're within the standard offsets?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct. These are purely a
- 23 schematic to show that the completed interval in
- 24 each respective well will be within that 330-foot
- 25 setback in both the north and the south section

- lines.
- Q. And based on your analysis and your study
- of the geology of the area and of the nonstandard --
- 4 proposed nonstandard units, what conclusions have
- 5 you drawn about the geology and the potential for
- 6 production?
- 7 A. We believe there are no geologic
- 8 impediments in this area to developing full section
- 9 laterals.
- 10 We also believe that this area can be
- 11 efficiently and economically developed with
- 12 horizontal wells. And additionally, that the --
- 13 each proposed project area will equally contribute
- 14 to the well's production.
- 15 Q. And in your opinion, would the granting of
- 16 COG's application for compulsory pooling in both of
- 17 these cases be in the interest of prevention of
- 18 waste, protective of correlative rights, and the
- 19 interest of conservation?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Thank you, Ms. Snidow.
- 22 And were Exhibits 4 through 7 prepared by
- 23 you or under your supervision?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I move to admit

- 1 Exhibits 4 through 7 into the record.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: 4 through 7 are
- 3 admitted.
- 4 MR. RANKIN: I have no further questions.
- 5 I pass the witness.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 7 Mr. Ezeanyim?
- 8 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: I don't have
- 9 much questions.
- Go to Exhibit Number 4. Would you read
- 11 that --
- 12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat
- 13 that?
- 14 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: The wells that
- 15 you see in that Exhibit Number 4 --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Exhibit Number 4?
- 17 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: -- 4 and 5,
- 18 whatever. I see a bunch of horizontal wells.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Who is the other
- 21 operator of those wells? Is that Concho?
- THE WITNESS: Many of these are Concho
- 23 wells. I cannot speak for all of them, but I
- 24 believe most of these are Concho wells.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Who is the other

- 1 operator, other than Concho? Who, again, operates
- 2 around that area?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I can check on that and get
- 4 back to you.
- 5 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Oh, okay. You
- 6 don't need to. I just wanted to find out who the
- 7 operator is. Is it only COG that operates in that
- 8 township?
- 9 THE WITNESS: It is not just COG.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: You're talking about
- 11 19/25?
- 12 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Yes. Well,
- 13 19/25 -- yeah, 19/25. Really, I'm talking about
- 14 19/25.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Right. Right. There are
- 16 some COG wells listed right there. But some of
- 17 these -- I would hate to give you a wrong answer. I
- 18 will check and get back with you if you would like.
- 19 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. Thank
- 20 you.
- THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 22 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Did you draw
- 23 this well construction to indicate the completed
- 24 intervals?
- THE WITNESS: That's correct.

- 1 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay. So
- 2 what -- how are these going to be constructed? Are
- 3 you going to -- is there a way indicated to get your
- 4 completed intervals?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. If you'll notice on
- 6 the last exhibit we show a -- there's a white arrow
- 7 indicating where the first packer will be placed.
- 8 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Yeah.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Showing that it will not be
- 10 any closer than 330 feet from the north section
- 11 line. And then we will place the packers
- 12 accordingly --
- 13 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay
- 14 THE WITNESS: -- throughout the rest of
- 15 the lateral.
- 16 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Is that going to
- 17 be upon completion?
- THE WITNESS: That's not necessarily been
- 19 determined at this time.
- 20 CHIEF ENGINEER EZEANYIM: Okay.
- I don't have any further questions.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: No questions.
- MR. RANKIN: No redirect for myself,
- 24 Mr. Examiner, so nothing from me further.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Very good. Ther

	Page 30
1	if there is nothing further, Cases 14866 and 14867
2	will be taken under advisement.
. 3	(Proceedings concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	les hereby certify that the foregoing to
19	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1000686
20	Q -100
21	Oil Conservation Division
22	
23	
24	
25	