

Jones, William V., EMNRD

From: jamesbruc@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 8:06 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD; bryan@bwenergylaw.com; Brooks, David K., EMNRD
Cc: agrankin@hollandhart.com
Subject: Re: Case 14883 Nadel & Gussman Permian, LLC for NSP and CP, Eddy County, NM

Will: Was tied up yesterday at the Commission, so didn't get a letter over.

Please go ahead and continue this case to 10/18.

I have also talked to Adam, who also wants a continuance.

Thanks.

Jim

If the parties do not settle, I do not agree to a witness appearing by phone.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jones, William V., EMNRD, EMNRD <William.V.Jones@state.nm.us>
To: bryan <bryan@bwenergylaw.com>; jamesbruc <jamesbruc@aol.com>; Brooks, David K., EMNRD, EMNRD <david.brooks@state.nm.us>
Sent: Thu, Sep 13, 2012 12:04 pm
Subject: Case 14883 Nadel & Gussman Permian, LLC for NSP and CP, Eddy County, NM

Hello Elizabeth and Jim,

For the September 20 examiner docket, I have been designated as hearing examiner and David Brooks, Esq. will be the legal examiner.

We have received a request this day by Cirrus Exploration Company to continue this case to October 4, 2012. Please let Mr. Brooks or myself know if that is agreeable to Nadel and Gussman as Applicant. If that is not agreeable to the Applicant, then we will schedule a conference with the attorneys for all parties to hear arguments for continuance and then decide whether to grant the continuance.

We are not amenable to allowing a witness in a contested case to appear by telephone. However, if Mr. Bruce as attorney for the Applicant, has no objection, we may reconsider. We could hear arguments for this matter at the conference.

If Mr. Brooks decides to hear these two issues no earlier than at the hearing on Sept 20, then we will do it that way. In any event, if agreement is reached, let us know asap.

Regards,

William V. Jones, P.E.

505-476-3448W 505-476-3462F
Engineering Bureau, Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505